#### 1 Title, Authors, and Abstract

- 1. Include Ian as an author. DONE
- 2.  $\{0,\ldots,x\}$  is used here, but  $\{1,\ldots,x\}$  is used for the same purpose later in the paper.
  - PROB DONE- I replace the 0 with a 1 HERE. I the searched for other times I had  $\{0, \ldots, x\}$ . There weren't any. Did I miss any?
- 3. "other that N" SHOULD BE "other than N" DONE
- 4. We do not find the fraction of primes  $\leq x$  in  $A_d$ , we estimate the number of primes  $\leq x$  in  $A_d$ . Although the number can easily be used to calculate the fraction. Could be: "we form a conjecture to estimate the number of primes in  $A_d$  that are  $\leq x$ ."

QUESTION: I DON"T SEE HOW THIS DIFFERS FROM WHAT I ALREADY HAVE.

- 5. "We then then" SHOULD BE "We then." DONE
- 6. "Second we then" SHOULD REMOVE "then." DONE

#### 2 Introduction

"In section 3 se" SHOULD BE "In section 3 we." DONE

## 3 Congruence Monoid Prime Estimation

- 1. "9 is primes" SHOULD BE "9 is prime" DONE
- 2.  $\pi_d$  SHOULD BE  $\pi_d(x)$

PROB DONE- I assume this is for the first line of the section. Is there any other place I made this mistake?

3.  $\pi$  SHOULD BE  $\pi(x)$ 

PROB DONE- I assume this is for the first line of the section. Is there any other place I made this mistake?

4. "Hence This suggests" SHOULD REMOVE the capital "T" DONE

#### 4 Integral Domains

- 1. Def 3.1: (D, +x) SHOULD BE (D, +, x). DONE
- 2. Def 3.1.2: communative SHOULD BE commutative. DONE
- 3. Def 3.2.1: "Dis a" SHOULD BE "D is a" DONE
- 4. Def 3.2.3: "if(r = ab,": REMOVE unnecessary parenthesis. DONE

#### 5 Gaussian

- 1. "This will not be out" SHOULD BE "not be our."
- 2. "Prime Number Theory" SHOULD BE "Theorem" (unless intentional).
- 3. Remove double space before "Recall."
- 4. About norm  $< r^2$  or r:

Clarification: We used r before, now we are using  $r^2$  in

Do we want to use  $\{a + bi : a^2 + b^2 \le r\}$ .

OR

 $\{a+bi:a^2+b^2\leq r^2\}$  " (I think you forgot to add the sqrt to the  $a^2+b^2$  in your email, so you swapped the order of us doing  $r^2$  and r accidentally.)

In any case, I think we should use  $\{a+bi: a^2+b^2 \le r^2\}$  (new version's Def 4.2.2 (equivalent)) because the radius is the sqrt of the norm. This aligns more with the definition of a circle in the complex plane (or any circle): norm  $\le r^2$  is more appropriate for 2D since it matches the form  $X^2 + Y^2 = r^2$  SHOULD BE  $r = sqrt(X^2 + Y^2)$ .

I DID NOT MAKE THIS CORRECTION- YOU WILL MAKE IT SINCE YOU KNOW MORE ABOUT THIS MATERIAL AND WHAT YOU DID THAN I DO.

5. Conversly, norm  $\leq r$  would make this circle ridiculously large (counting to norm  $\leq 10^7$  would be impossible for us). Moreover, the scales between the estimations will be too far off.

I DID NOT MAKE THIS CORRECTION- YOU WILL MAKE IT SINCE YOU KNOW MORE ABOUT THIS MATERIAL AND WHAT YOU DID THAN I DO.

6. Another clarification: our code has always internally used norm  $\leq r^2$ , not r, but our x-axis and estimation before used norm  $\leq r$  to make it more "similar" to the PNT. However, I think simply matching the estimation's format of the PNT does not make it a closer analogy; the logic behind using norm  $\leq r^2$  is more accurate, even if the estimation uses different powers.

I DID NOT MAKE THIS CORRECTION- YOU WILL MAKE IT SINCE YOU KNOW MORE ABOUT THIS MATERIAL AND WHAT YOU DID THAN I DO.

7. If we use norm  $\leq r^2$  (as the current version does), the graph cannot be from the previous version (I think this revised version uses the old one by mistake). It should use the new graph I emailed before, also file Gaussiangraph.png)

I DID NOT MAKE THIS CORRECTION- YOU WILL MAKE IT SINCE YOU KNOW MORE ABOUT THIS MATERIAL AND WHAT YOU DID THAN I DO.

8. The MAPE table should add:  $10^7:7.220\%$ 

This is because the attached graph uses norm  $\leq 10^7$ , here max r = 3162.277... (exact  $\sqrt(10^7)$ ).

I DID NOT MAKE THIS CORRECTION- YOU WILL MAKE IT SINCE YOU KNOW MORE ABOUT THIS MATERIAL AND WHAT YOU DID THAN I DO.

9. To clarify again: norm  $\leq r^2$  is the revised version, not the old one, and I think we should stick with this.

I DID NOT MAKE THIS CORRECTION- YOU WILL MAKE IT SINCE YOU KNOW MORE ABOUT THIS MATERIAL AND WHAT YOU DID THAN I DO.

# 6 Other Integral Domains

- 1. 2c): ... O(sqrt(-d)) ha" SHOULD BE "has." DONE
- 2. 5.3: to ask is is SHOULD BE to ask is DONE

### 7 References

- 1. "Simple analytica" SHOULD BE "Simple analytic." DONE
- 2. "Factoriation" SHOULD BE "Factorization." DONE