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## How it Began

## A Recreational Math Conference (Gathering for Gardner) May 2016

I found a pamphlet:
The Julia Robinson Mathematics Festival:
A Sample of Mathematical Puzzles
Compiled by Nancy Blachman
which had this problem, proposed by Alan Frank:
How can you divide and distribute 5 muffins to 3 students so that every student gets $\frac{5}{3}$ where nobody gets a tiny sliver?


## Five Muffins, Three Students, Proc by Picture

| Person | Color | What they Get |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alice | RED | $1+\frac{2}{3}=\frac{5}{3}$ |
| Bob | BLUE | $1+\frac{2}{3}=\frac{5}{3}$ |
| Carol | GREEN | $1+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3}=\frac{5}{3}$ |

Smallest Piece: $\frac{1}{3}$


## Can We Do Better?

The smallest piece in the above solution is $\frac{1}{3}$.
Is there a procedure with a larger smallest piece?
Work on it with your neighbor

## Five Muffins, Three People-Proc by Picture

## YES WE CAN!

| Person | Color | What they Get |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alice | RED | $\frac{6}{12}+\frac{7}{12}+\frac{7}{12}$ |
| Bob | BLUE | $\frac{6}{12}+\frac{7}{12}+\frac{7}{12}$ |
| Carol | GREEN | $\frac{5}{12}+\frac{5}{12}+\frac{5}{12}+\frac{5}{12}$ |

Smallest Piece: $\frac{5}{12}$


## Can We Do Better?

The smallest piece in the above solution is $\frac{5}{12}$.
Is there a procedure with a larger smallest piece?
Work on it with your neighbor

## 5 Muffins, 3 People-Can't Do Better Than $\frac{5}{12}$

## NO WE CAN'T!

There is a procedure for 5 muffins, 3 students where each student gets $\frac{5}{3}$ muffins, smallest piece $N$. We want $N \leq \frac{5}{12}$.

Case 0: Some muffin is uncut. Cut it $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and give both $\frac{1}{2}$-sized pieces to whoever got the uncut muffin. (Note $\frac{1}{2}>\frac{5}{12}$.) Reduces to other cases.

## 5 Muffins, 3 People-Can't Do Better Than $\frac{5}{12}$

## NO WE CAN'T!

There is a procedure for 5 muffins, 3 students where each student gets $\frac{5}{3}$ muffins, smallest piece $N$. We want $N \leq \frac{5}{12}$.

Case 0: Some muffin is uncut. Cut it $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and give both $\frac{1}{2}$-sized pieces to whoever got the uncut muffin. (Note $\frac{1}{2}>\frac{5}{12}$.) Reduces to other cases.
(Henceforth: All muffins are cut into $\geq 2$ pieces.)
Case 1: Some muffin is cut into $\geq 3$ pieces. Then $N \leq \frac{1}{3}<\frac{5}{12}$.

## 5 Muffins, 3 People-Can't Do Better Than $\frac{5}{12}$

## NO WE CAN'T!

There is a procedure for 5 muffins, 3 students where each student gets $\frac{5}{3}$ muffins, smallest piece $N$. We want $N \leq \frac{5}{12}$.

Case 0: Some muffin is uncut. Cut it ( $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ ) and give both $\frac{1}{2}$-sized pieces to whoever got the uncut muffin. (Note $\frac{1}{2}>\frac{5}{12}$.) Reduces to other cases.
(Henceforth: All muffins are cut into $\geq 2$ pieces.)
Case 1: Some muffin is cut into $\geq 3$ pieces. Then $N \leq \frac{1}{3}<\frac{5}{12}$. (Henceforth: All muffins are cut into 2 pieces.)

Case 2: All muffins are cut into 2 pieces. 10 pieces, 3 students: Someone gets $\geq 4$ pieces. He has some piece

$$
\leq \frac{5}{3} \times \frac{1}{4}=\frac{5}{12} \quad \text { Great to see } \frac{5}{12}
$$

## What Happened Next?

## What Happened Next?

Yada Yada Yada- in 2020:

## What Happened Next?

Yada Yada Yada- in 2020:

## MATHEMATICAL MUFFIN MORSELS: NOBODY WANTS A SMALL PIECE

William Gasarch, Erik Metz, Jacob Prinz, Daniel Smolyak
Is there a way to divide five muffins for three students so that everyone gets $5 / 3$, and all pieces are larger than $1 / 3$ ?
Spoiler alert: Yes!
In this book we consider THE MUFFIN PROBLEM: what is the best way to divide up m muffins for $s$ students so that everyone gets $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ muffins, with the smallest pieces maximized.

This problem takes us through much mathematics of interest, for example, combinatorics and optimization theory.
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## General Problem

$f(m, s)$ be the smallest piece in the best procedure (best in that the smallest piece is maximized) to divide $m$ muffins among $s$ students so that everyone gets $\frac{m}{s}$.

We have shown $f(5,3)=\frac{5}{12}$ here.
We have two proofs that shown $f(m, s)$ exists, is rational, and is computable.
One use Linear Programming.
One use Integer Programming.

## Amazing Results!/Amazing Theorems!

1. $f(43,33)=\frac{91}{264}$.
2. $f(52,11)=\frac{83}{176}$.
3. $f(35,13)=\frac{64}{143}$.

All done by hand, no use of a computer by Co-author Erik Metz is a muffin savant !

## Amazing Results!/Amazing Theorems!

1. $f(43,33)=\frac{91}{264}$.
2. $f(52,11)=\frac{83}{176}$.
3. $f(35,13)=\frac{64}{143}$.

All done by hand, no use of a computer by Co-author Erik Metz is a muffin savant !

Have General Theorems from which upper bounds follow. Have General Procedures from which lower bounds follow.

## $f(3,5) \geq ?$

Clearly $f(3,5) \geq \frac{1}{5}$.
Can we get $f(3,5)>\frac{1}{5}$ ?
Work on it with your neighbor

## $f(3,5) \geq \frac{1}{4}$

$f(3,5) \geq \frac{1}{4}$

1. Divide 2 muffin $\left[\frac{6}{20}, \frac{7}{20}, \frac{7}{20}\right]$
2. Divide 1 muffin $\left[\frac{5}{20}, \frac{5}{20}, \frac{5}{20}, \frac{5}{20}\right]$
3. Give 4 students $\left(\frac{5}{20}, \frac{7}{20}\right)$
4. Give 1 students $\left(\frac{6}{20}, \frac{6}{20}\right)$

## $f(3,5) \geq \frac{1}{4}$
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2. Divide 1 muffin $\left[\frac{5}{20}, \frac{5}{20}, \frac{5}{20}, \frac{5}{20}\right]$
3. Give 4 students $\left(\frac{5}{20}, \frac{7}{20}\right)$
4. Give 1 students $\left(\frac{6}{20}, \frac{6}{20}\right)$

Can we do better?
Work on it with your neighbor

## Three Muffins, Five People-Can't Do Better Than $\frac{1}{4}$

## NO WE CAN'T!

There is a procedure for 3 muffins, 5 students where each student gets $\frac{3}{5}$ muffins, smallest piece $N$. We want $N \leq \frac{1}{4}$.

Case 0: Alice gets 1 piece of size $\frac{3}{5}$. Look at the rest of that muffin which totals to $\frac{2}{5}$. (1) That piece is cut. Have piece $\leq \frac{2}{5} \times \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{5}$, OR (2) That piece uncut. So someone gets a $\frac{2}{5}$-piece. Must also get a $\frac{1}{5}$ piece.

## Three Muffins, Five People-Can't Do Better Than $\frac{1}{4}$

## NO WE CAN'T!

There is a procedure for 3 muffins, 5 students where each student gets $\frac{3}{5}$ muffins, smallest piece $N$. We want $N \leq \frac{1}{4}$.

Case 0: Alice gets 1 piece of size $\frac{3}{5}$. Look at the rest of that muffin which totals to $\frac{2}{5}$. (1) That piece is cut. Have piece $\leq \frac{2}{5} \times \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{5}$, OR (2) That piece uncut. So someone gets a $\frac{2}{5}$-piece. Must also get a $\frac{1}{5}$ piece.
(Henceforth: All people get $\geq 2$ pieces.)
Case 1: Alice gets $\geq 3$ pieces. Then $N \leq \frac{3}{5} \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{1}{5}$.

## Three Muffins, Five People-Can't Do Better Than $\frac{1}{4}$

## NO WE CAN'T!

There is a procedure for 3 muffins, 5 students where each student gets $\frac{3}{5}$ muffins, smallest piece $N$. We want $N \leq \frac{1}{4}$.

Case 0: Alice gets 1 piece of size $\frac{3}{5}$. Look at the rest of that muffin which totals to $\frac{2}{5}$. (1) That piece is cut. Have piece $\leq \frac{2}{5} \times \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{5}$, OR (2) That piece uncut. So someone gets a $\frac{2}{5}$-piece. Must also get a $\frac{1}{5}$ piece.
(Henceforth: All people get $\geq 2$ pieces.)
Case 1: Alice gets $\geq 3$ pieces. Then $N \leq \frac{3}{5} \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{1}{5}$. (Henceforth: Everyone gets 2 pieces.)

Case 2: Everyone gets 2 pieces. 10 pieces, 3 muffins: Some muffin gets $\geq 4$ pieces. So some piece is $\leq \frac{1}{4}$.

## $f(3,5)$ and $f(5,3)$

1. Divide 4 muffins $\left[\frac{5}{12}, \frac{7}{12}\right]$
2. Divide 1 muffin $\left[\frac{6}{12}, \frac{6}{12}\right]$
3. Give 2 students $\left(\frac{6}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{7}{12}\right)$
4. Give 1 students $\left(\frac{5}{12}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{5}{12}\right)$
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2. Divide 1 muffin $\left[\frac{6}{12}, \frac{6}{12}\right]$
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4. Give 1 students $\left(\frac{5}{12}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{5}{12}\right)$
$f(3,5) \geq \frac{1}{4}$
5. Divide 2 muffin $\left[\frac{6}{20}, \frac{7}{20}, \frac{7}{20}\right]$
6. Divide 1 muffin $\left[\frac{5}{20}, \frac{5}{20}, \frac{5}{20}, \frac{5}{20}\right.$ ]
7. Give 4 students $\left(\frac{5}{20}, \frac{7}{20}\right)$
8. Give 1 students $\left(\frac{6}{20}, \frac{6}{20}\right)$
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$f(3,5) \geq \frac{1}{4}$
5. Divide 2 muffin $\left[\frac{6}{20}, \frac{7}{20}, \frac{7}{20}\right]$
6. Divide 1 muffin $\left[\frac{5}{20}, \frac{5}{20}, \frac{5}{20}, \frac{5}{20}\right]$
7. Give 4 students $\left(\frac{5}{20}, \frac{7}{20}\right)$
8. Give 1 students $\left(\frac{6}{20}, \frac{6}{20}\right)$
$f(3,5)$ proc is $f(5,3)$ proc but swap Divide/Give and mult by $3 / 5$.

## $f(3,5)$ and $f(5,3)$

1. Divide 4 muffins $\left[\frac{5}{12}, \frac{7}{12}\right]$
2. Divide 1 muffin $\left[\frac{6}{12}, \frac{6}{12}\right]$
3. Give 2 students $\left(\frac{6}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{7}{12}\right)$
4. Give 1 students $\left(\frac{5}{12}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{5}{12}\right)$
$f(3,5) \geq \frac{1}{4}$
5. Divide 2 muffin $\left[\frac{6}{20}, \frac{7}{20}, \frac{7}{20}\right]$
6. Divide 1 muffin $\left[\frac{5}{20}, \frac{5}{20}, \frac{5}{20}, \frac{5}{20}\right.$ ]
7. Give 4 students $\left(\frac{5}{20}, \frac{7}{20}\right)$
8. Give 1 students $\left(\frac{6}{20}, \frac{6}{20}\right)$
$f(3,5)$ proc is $f(5,3)$ proc but swap Divide/Give and mult by $3 / 5$.
Duality Theorem: $f(m, s)=\frac{m}{s} f(s, m)$.

## Conventions

We know and use the following:

1. By duality can assume $m>s$
2. If $s$ divides $m$ then $f(m, s)=1$ so assume $s$ does not divide $m$.
3. All muffins are cut in $\geq 2$ pcs. Replace uncut muff with $2 \frac{1}{2}$ 's
4. If assuming $f(m, s)>\alpha>\frac{1}{3}$, assume all muffin in $\leq 2$ pcs.
5. $f(m, s)>\alpha>\frac{1}{3}$, so exactly 2 pcs , is common case.

We do not know this but still use: $f(m, s)$ only depends on $\frac{m}{s}$.
All of our techniques that hold for $(m, s)$ hold for $(A m, A s)$.
For particular numbers, we only look at $m, s$ rel prime.

What if $m<s$ ?

## What if $m<s$ ?

Duality Theorem: $f(m, s)=\frac{m}{s} f(s, m)$.

## What if $m<s$ ?

Duality Theorem: $f(m, s)=\frac{m}{s} f(s, m)$.
Hence we will just look at $m>s$.

## Floor-Ceiling Thm Generalizes $f(5,3) \leq \frac{5}{12}$

$$
f(m, s) \leq \mathrm{FC}(m, s)=\max \left\{\frac{1}{3}, \min \left\{\frac{m}{s\lceil 2 m / s\rceil}, 1-\frac{m}{s\lfloor 2 m / s\rfloor}\right\}\right\} .
$$
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f(m, s) \leq \mathrm{FC}(m, s)=\max \left\{\frac{1}{3}, \min \left\{\frac{m}{s\lceil 2 m / s\rceil}, 1-\frac{m}{s\lfloor 2 m / s\rfloor}\right\}\right\} .
$$

Case 0: Some muffin is uncut. Cut it $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and give both halves to whoever got the uncut muffin, so reduces to other cases.
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Case 0: Some muffin is uncut. Cut it $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and give both halves to whoever got the uncut muffin, so reduces to other cases.

Case 1: Some muffin is cut into $\geq 3$ pieces. Some piece $\leq \frac{1}{3}$.

## Floor-Ceiling Thm Generalizes $f(5,3) \leq \frac{5}{12}$

$$
f(m, s) \leq \mathrm{FC}(m, s)=\max \left\{\frac{1}{3}, \min \left\{\frac{m}{s\lceil 2 m / s\rceil}, 1-\frac{m}{s\lfloor 2 m / s\rfloor}\right\}\right\} .
$$

Case 0: Some muffin is uncut. Cut it $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and give both halves to whoever got the uncut muffin, so reduces to other cases.

Case 1: Some muffin is cut into $\geq 3$ pieces. Some piece $\leq \frac{1}{3}$.
Case 2: Every muffin is cut into 2 pieces, so $2 m$ pieces.

## Floor-Ceiling Thm Generalizes $f(5,3) \leq \frac{5}{12}$

$$
f(m, s) \leq \mathrm{FC}(m, s)=\max \left\{\frac{1}{3}, \min \left\{\frac{m}{s\lceil 2 m / s\rceil}, 1-\frac{m}{s\lfloor 2 m / s\rfloor}\right\}\right\} .
$$

Case 0: Some muffin is uncut. Cut it $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and give both halves to whoever got the uncut muffin, so reduces to other cases.

Case 1: Some muffin is cut into $\geq 3$ pieces. Some piece $\leq \frac{1}{3}$.
Case 2: Every muffin is cut into 2 pieces, so $2 m$ pieces.
Someone gets $\geq\left\lceil\frac{2 m}{s}\right\rceil$ pieces. $\exists$ piece $\leq \frac{m}{s} \times \frac{1}{\lceil 2 m / s\rceil}=\frac{m}{s[2 m / s\rceil}$.

## Floor-Ceiling Thm Generalizes $f(5,3) \leq \frac{5}{12}$

$$
f(m, s) \leq \mathrm{FC}(m, s)=\max \left\{\frac{1}{3}, \min \left\{\frac{m}{s\lceil 2 m / s\rceil}, 1-\frac{m}{s\lfloor 2 m / s\rfloor}\right\}\right\}
$$

Case 0: Some muffin is uncut. Cut it $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and give both halves to whoever got the uncut muffin, so reduces to other cases.

Case 1: Some muffin is cut into $\geq 3$ pieces. Some piece $\leq \frac{1}{3}$.
Case 2: Every muffin is cut into 2 pieces, so $2 m$ pieces.
Someone gets $\geq\left\lceil\frac{2 m}{s}\right\rceil$ pieces. $\exists$ piece $\leq \frac{m}{s} \times \frac{1}{\lceil 2 m / s\rceil}=\frac{m}{s\lceil 2 m / s\rceil}$.
Someone gets $\leq\left\lfloor\frac{2 m}{s}\right\rfloor$ pieces. $\exists$ piece $\geq \frac{m}{s} \frac{1}{\lfloor 2 m / s\rfloor}=\frac{m}{s\lfloor 2 m / s\rfloor}$.

## Floor-Ceiling Thm Generalizes $f(5,3) \leq \frac{5}{12}$

$$
f(m, s) \leq \mathrm{FC}(m, s)=\max \left\{\frac{1}{3}, \min \left\{\frac{m}{s\lceil 2 m / s\rceil}, 1-\frac{m}{s\lfloor 2 m / s\rfloor}\right\}\right\}
$$

Case 0: Some muffin is uncut. Cut it $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and give both halves to whoever got the uncut muffin, so reduces to other cases.

Case 1: Some muffin is cut into $\geq 3$ pieces. Some piece $\leq \frac{1}{3}$.
Case 2: Every muffin is cut into 2 pieces, so $2 m$ pieces.
Someone gets $\geq\left\lceil\frac{2 m}{s}\right\rceil$ pieces. $\exists$ piece $\leq \frac{m}{s} \times \frac{1}{\lceil 2 m / s\rceil}=\frac{m}{s\lceil 2 m / s\rceil}$.
Someone gets $\leq\left\lfloor\frac{2 m}{s}\right\rfloor$ pieces. $\exists$ piece $\geq \frac{m}{s} \frac{1}{\lfloor 2 m / s\rfloor}=\frac{m}{s\lfloor 2 m / s\rfloor}$.
The other piece from that muffin is of size $\leq 1-\frac{m}{s[2 m / s\rfloor}$.

## FC Gives Upper Bound

Give $m, s$ :

$$
\mathrm{FC}(m, s)=\max \left\{\frac{1}{3}, \min \left\{\frac{m}{s\lceil 2 m / s\rceil}, 1-\frac{m}{s\lfloor 2 m / s\rfloor}\right\}\right\}
$$

Gives an upper bound. So we know

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s) \leq \mathrm{FC}(m, s)]
$$

Is the following true?

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s)=\mathrm{FC}(m, s)]
$$

## FC Gives Upper Bound

Give $m, s$ :

$$
\mathrm{FC}(m, s)=\max \left\{\frac{1}{3}, \min \left\{\frac{m}{s\lceil 2 m / s\rceil}, 1-\frac{m}{s\lfloor 2 m / s\rfloor}\right\}\right\}
$$

Gives an upper bound. So we know

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s) \leq \mathrm{FC}(m, s)]
$$

Is the following true?

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s)=\mathrm{FC}(m, s)]
$$

No: If so my book would be about 20 pages.

## THREE Students

CLEVERNESS, COMP PROGS for the procedure.
FC Theorem for optimality.
$f(1,3)=\frac{1}{3}$
$f(3 k, 3)=1$.
$f(3 k+1,3)=\frac{3 k-1}{6 k}, k \geq 1$.
$f(3 k+2,3)=\frac{3 k+2}{6 k+6}$.
Note: A Mod 3 Pattern.
Theorem: For all $m \geq 3, f(m, 3)=\mathrm{FC}(m, 3)$.

## FOUR Students

CLEVERNESS, COMP PROGS for procedures.
FC Theorem for optimality.
$f(4 k, 4)=1$ (easy)
$f(1,4)=\frac{1}{4}$ (easy)
$f(4 k+1,4)=\frac{4 k-1}{8 k}, k \geq 1$.
$f(4 k+2,4)=\frac{1}{2}$.
$f(4 k+3,4)=\frac{4 k+1}{8 k+4}$.
Note: A Mod 4 Pattern.
Theorem: For all $m \geq 4, f(m, 4)=\mathrm{FC}(m, 4)$.

## FIVE Students

CLEVERNESS, COMP PROGS for procedures.
FC Theorem for optimality.
For $k \geq 1, f(5 k, 5)=1$.
For $k=1$ and $k \geq 3, f(5 k+1,5)=\frac{5 k+1}{10 k+5} . f(11,5) ?$
For $k \geq 2, f(5 k+2,5)=\frac{5 k-2}{10 k} . f(7,5)=\mathrm{FC}(7,5)=\frac{1}{3}$
For $k \geq 1, f(5 k+3,5)=\frac{5 k+3}{10 k+10}$
For $k \geq 1, f(5 k+4,5)=\frac{5 k+1}{10 k+5}$
Note: A Mod 5 Pattern.
Theorem: For all $m \geq 5$ except $\mathbf{m}=11, f(m, 5)=\mathrm{FC}(m, 5)$.

## What About FIVE students, ELEVEN muffins?

1. We have a procedure which shows $f(11,5) \geq \frac{13}{30}$.
2. $f(11,5) \leq \max \left\{\frac{1}{3}, \min \left\{\frac{11}{5\lceil 22 / 5\rceil}, 1-\frac{11}{5[22 / 5\rfloor}\right\}\right\}=\frac{11}{25}$.

So

$$
\frac{13}{30} \leq f(11,5) \leq \frac{11}{25} \quad \text { Diff }=0.006666 \ldots
$$

Options:

1. $f(11,5)=\frac{11}{25}$. Need to find procedure.
2. $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$. Need to find new technique for upper bounds.
3. $f(11,5)$ in between. Need to find both.
4. $f(11,5)$ unknown to science!

## Vote

## What About FIVE students, ELEVEN muffins?

1. We have a procedure which shows $f(11,5) \geq \frac{13}{30}$.
2. $f(11,5) \leq \max \left\{\frac{1}{3}, \min \left\{\frac{11}{5\lceil 22 / 5\rceil}, 1-\frac{11}{5[22 / 5\rfloor}\right\}\right\}=\frac{11}{25}$.

So

$$
\frac{13}{30} \leq f(11,5) \leq \frac{11}{25} \quad \text { Diff }=0.006666 \ldots
$$

Options:

1. $f(11,5)=\frac{11}{25}$. Need to find procedure.
2. $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$. Need to find new technique for upper bounds.
3. $f(11,5)$ in between. Need to find both.
4. $f(11,5)$ unknown to science!

Vote WE SHOW $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$. Exciting new technique!

## Terminology: Buddy

Assume that in some protocol every muffin is cut into two pieces.
Let $x$ be a piece from muffin $M$.
The other piece from muffin $M$ is the buddy of $\boldsymbol{x}$.
Note that the buddy of $x$ is of size

$$
1-x .
$$

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Easy Case Based on Muffins

There is a procedure for 11 muffins, 5 students where each student gets $\frac{11}{5}$ muffins, smallest piece $N$. We want $N \leq \frac{13}{30}$.

Case 0: Some muffin is uncut. Cut it $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and give both halves to whoever got the uncut muffin. Reduces to other cases.

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Easy Case Based on Muffins

There is a procedure for 11 muffins, 5 students where each student gets $\frac{11}{5}$ muffins, smallest piece $N$. We want $N \leq \frac{13}{30}$.

Case 0: Some muffin is uncut. Cut it $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and give both halves to whoever got the uncut muffin. Reduces to other cases.

Case 1: Some muffin is cut into $\geq 3$ pieces. $N \leq \frac{1}{3}<\frac{13}{30}$.

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Easy Case Based on Muffins

There is a procedure for 11 muffins, 5 students where each student gets $\frac{11}{5}$ muffins, smallest piece $N$. We want $N \leq \frac{13}{30}$.

Case 0: Some muffin is uncut. Cut it $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and give both halves to whoever got the uncut muffin. Reduces to other cases.

Case 1: Some muffin is cut into $\geq 3$ pieces. $N \leq \frac{1}{3}<\frac{13}{30}$.
(Negation of Case 0 and Case 1: All muffins cut into 2 pieces.)

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Easy Case Based on Students

Case 2: Some student gets $\geq 6$ pieces.

$$
N \leq \frac{11}{5} \times \frac{1}{6}=\frac{11}{30}<\frac{13}{30}
$$

Case 3: Some student gets $\leq 3$ pieces. One of the pieces is

$$
\geq \frac{11}{5} \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{11}{15}
$$

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Easy Case Based on Students

Case 2: Some student gets $\geq 6$ pieces.

$$
N \leq \frac{11}{5} \times \frac{1}{6}=\frac{11}{30}<\frac{13}{30}
$$

Case 3: Some student gets $\leq 3$ pieces.
One of the pieces is

$$
\geq \frac{11}{5} \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{11}{15}
$$

That pieces buddy is of size:

$$
\leq 1-\frac{11}{15}=\frac{4}{15}<\frac{13}{30}
$$

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Easy Case Based on Students

Case 2: Some student gets $\geq 6$ pieces.

$$
N \leq \frac{11}{5} \times \frac{1}{6}=\frac{11}{30}<\frac{13}{30}
$$

Case 3: Some student gets $\leq 3$ pieces.
One of the pieces is

$$
\geq \frac{11}{5} \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{11}{15}
$$

That pieces buddy is of size:

$$
\leq 1-\frac{11}{15}=\frac{4}{15}<\frac{13}{30}
$$

(Negation of Cases 2 and 3: Every student gets 4 or 5 pieces.)

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Fun Cases

Case 4: Every muffin is cut in 2 pieces, every student gets 4 or 5 pieces. Number of pieces: 22. Note $\leq 11$ pieces are $>\frac{1}{2}$.

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Fun Cases

Case 4: Every muffin is cut in 2 pieces, every student gets 4 or 5 pieces. Number of pieces: 22. Note $\leq 11$ pieces are $>\frac{1}{2}$.

- $s_{4}$ is number of students who get 4 pieces
- $S_{5}$ is number of students who get 5 pieces


## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Fun Cases

Case 4: Every muffin is cut in 2 pieces, every student gets 4 or 5 pieces. Number of pieces: 22. Note $\leq 11$ pieces are $>\frac{1}{2}$.

- $s_{4}$ is number of students who get 4 pieces
- $S_{5}$ is number of students who get 5 pieces

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 s_{4}+5 s_{5} & =22 \\
s_{4}+s_{5} & =5
\end{aligned}
$$

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Fun Cases

Case 4: Every muffin is cut in 2 pieces, every student gets 4 or 5 pieces. Number of pieces: 22. Note $\leq 11$ pieces are $>\frac{1}{2}$.

- $s_{4}$ is number of students who get 4 pieces
- $s_{5}$ is number of students who get 5 pieces

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 s_{4}+5 s_{5} & =22 \\
s_{4}+s_{5} & =5
\end{aligned}
$$

$s_{4}=3$ : There are 3 students who have 4 shares.
$s_{5}=2$ : There are 2 students who have 5 shares.

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Fun Cases

Case 4: Every muffin is cut in 2 pieces, every student gets 4 or 5 pieces. Number of pieces: 22 . Note $\leq 11$ pieces are $>\frac{1}{2}$.

- $s_{4}$ is number of students who get 4 pieces
- $s_{5}$ is number of students who get 5 pieces

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 s_{4}+5 s_{5} & =22 \\
s_{4}+s_{5} & =5
\end{aligned}
$$

$s_{4}=3$ : There are 3 students who have 4 shares.
$s_{5}=2$ : There are 2 students who have 5 shares.
We call a share that goes to a person who gets 4 shares a 4 -share. We call a share that goes to a person who gets 5 shares a 5 -share.

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Fun Cases

Case 4.1: Some 4-share is $\leq \frac{1}{2}$.

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Fun Cases

Case 4.1: Some 4-share is $\leq \frac{1}{2}$. Alice gets $w, x, y, z$ and $w \leq \frac{1}{2}$.
Since $w+x+y+z=\frac{11}{5}$ and $w \leq \frac{1}{2}$

$$
x+y+z \geq \frac{11}{5}-\frac{1}{2}=\frac{17}{10}
$$

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Fun Cases

Case 4.1: Some 4-share is $\leq \frac{1}{2}$.
Alice gets $w, x, y, z$ and $w \leq \frac{1}{2}$.
Since $w+x+y+z=\frac{11}{5}$ and $w \leq \frac{1}{2}$

$$
x+y+z \geq \frac{11}{5}-\frac{1}{2}=\frac{17}{10}
$$

Let $x$ be the largest of $x, y, z$

$$
x \geq \frac{17}{10} \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{17}{30}
$$

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Fun Cases

Case 4.1: Some 4-share is $\leq \frac{1}{2}$.
Alice gets $w, x, y, z$ and $w \leq \frac{1}{2}$.
Since $w+x+y+z=\frac{11}{5}$ and $w \leq \frac{1}{2}$

$$
x+y+z \geq \frac{11}{5}-\frac{1}{2}=\frac{17}{10}
$$

Let $x$ be the largest of $x, y, z$

$$
x \geq \frac{17}{10} \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{17}{30}
$$

The buddy of $x$ is of size

$$
\leq 1-x=1-\frac{17}{30}=\frac{13}{30}
$$

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Fun Cases

Case 4.1: Some 4-share is $\leq \frac{1}{2}$.
Alice gets $w, x, y, z$ and $w \leq \frac{1}{2}$.
Since $w+x+y+z=\frac{11}{5}$ and $w \leq \frac{1}{2}$

$$
x+y+z \geq \frac{11}{5}-\frac{1}{2}=\frac{17}{10}
$$

Let $x$ be the largest of $x, y, z$

$$
x \geq \frac{17}{10} \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{17}{30}
$$

The buddy of $x$ is of size

$$
\leq 1-x=1-\frac{17}{30}=\frac{13}{30}
$$

GREAT! This is where $\frac{13}{30}$ comes from!

## $f(11,5)=\frac{13}{30}$, Fun Cases

Case 4.2: All 4 -shares are $>\frac{1}{2}$. There are $4 s_{4}=124$-shares. There are $\geq 12$ pieces $>\frac{1}{2}$. Can't occur.

## HALF Method

The above reasoning can be used to verify that $f(11,5) \leq \frac{13}{30}$ but could not generate the upper bound $\frac{13}{30}$.

## HALF Method

The above reasoning can be used to verify that $f(11,5) \leq \frac{13}{30}$ but could not generate the upper bound $\frac{13}{30}$.

Can modify the method so that we have an easily computable function $\operatorname{HALF}(m, s)$ such that

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s) \leq \min \{\operatorname{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s)\}]
$$

## HALF Method

The above reasoning can be used to verify that $f(11,5) \leq \frac{13}{30}$ but could not generate the upper bound $\frac{13}{30}$.

Can modify the method so that we have an easily computable function $\operatorname{HALF}(m, s)$ such that

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s) \leq \min \{\mathrm{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s)\}]
$$

Is the following true?

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s)=\min \{\operatorname{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s)\}]
$$

## HALF Method

The above reasoning can be used to verify that $f(11,5) \leq \frac{13}{30}$ but could not generate the upper bound $\frac{13}{30}$.

Can modify the method so that we have an easily computable function $\operatorname{HALF}(m, s)$ such that

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s) \leq \min \{\mathrm{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s)\}]
$$

Is the following true?

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s)=\min \{\operatorname{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s)\}]
$$

No: If so my book would be about 40 pages.

## HALF Method

The above reasoning can be used to verify that $f(11,5) \leq \frac{13}{30}$ but could not generate the upper bound $\frac{13}{30}$.

Can modify the method so that we have an easily computable function $\operatorname{HALF}(m, s)$ such that

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s) \leq \min \{\mathrm{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s)\}]
$$

Is the following true?

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s)=\min \{\operatorname{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s)\}]
$$

No: If so my book would be about 40 pages.
For $f(24,11)$ it fails!

## $f(24,11) \leq \frac{19}{44}$

Assume $(24,11)$-procedure with smallest piece $>\frac{19}{44}$.
Can assume all muffin cut in two and all student gets $\geq 2$ shares. We show that there is a piece $\leq \frac{19}{44}$.

Assume $(24,11)$-procedure with smallest piece $>\frac{19}{44}$.
Can assume all muffin cut in two and all student gets $\geq 2$ shares. We show that there is a piece $\leq \frac{19}{44}$.

Case 1: A student gets $\geq 6$ shares. Some piece $\leq \frac{24}{11 \times 6}<\frac{19}{44}$.

Assume $(24,11)$-procedure with smallest piece $>\frac{19}{44}$.
Can assume all muffin cut in two and all student gets $\geq 2$ shares. We show that there is a piece $\leq \frac{19}{44}$.

Case 1: A student gets $\geq 6$ shares. Some piece $\leq \frac{24}{11 \times 6}<\frac{19}{44}$.
Case 2: A student gets $\leq 3$ shares. Some piece $\geq \frac{24}{11 \times 3}=\frac{8}{11}$. Buddy of that piece $\leq 1-\frac{8}{11} \leq \frac{3}{11}<\frac{19}{44}$.

Assume $(24,11)$-procedure with smallest piece $>\frac{19}{44}$.
Can assume all muffin cut in two and all student gets $\geq 2$ shares. We show that there is a piece $\leq \frac{19}{44}$.

Case 1: A student gets $\geq 6$ shares. Some piece $\leq \frac{24}{11 \times 6}<\frac{19}{44}$.
Case 2: A student gets $\leq 3$ shares. Some piece $\geq \frac{24}{11 \times 3}=\frac{8}{11}$. Buddy of that piece $\leq 1-\frac{8}{11} \leq \frac{3}{11}<\frac{19}{44}$.

Case 3: Every muffin is cut in 2 pieces and every student gets either 4 or 5 shares. Total number of shares is 48 .

## How many students get 4? 5? Where are the Shares?

4-students: a student who gets 4 shares. $s_{4}$ is the number of them. 5 -students: a student who gets 5 shares. $s_{5}$ is the number of them.

## How many students get 4? 5? Where are the Shares?

4-students: a student who gets 4 shares. $s_{4}$ is the number of them. 5 -students: a student who gets 5 shares. $s_{5}$ is the number of them.

4-share: a share that a 4-student who gets.
5-share: a share that a 5 -student who gets.

## How many students get 4? 5? Where are the Shares?

4-students: a student who gets 4 shares. $s_{4}$ is the number of them. 5 -students: a student who gets 5 shares. $s_{5}$ is the number of them.

4-share: a share that a 4-student who gets.
5-share: a share that a 5 -student who gets.

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 s_{4}+5 s_{5} & =48 \\
s_{4}+s_{5} & =11
\end{aligned}
$$

## How many students get 4? 5? Where are the Shares?

4-students: a student who gets 4 shares. $s_{4}$ is the number of them. 5 -students: a student who gets 5 shares. $s_{5}$ is the number of them.

4-share: a share that a 4-student who gets.
5-share: a share that a 5 -student who gets.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
4 s_{4}+5 s_{5}=48 \\
s_{4}+s_{5}=11
\end{array}
$$

$s_{4}=7$. Hence there are $4 s_{4}=4 \times 7=284$-shares.
$s_{5}=4$. Hence there are $5 s_{5}=5 \times 4=205$-shares.

## Case 3.1 and 3.2: Too Big or Too Small

Case 3.1: $\exists$ a share $\geq \frac{25}{44}$. Its buddy is

$$
\leq 1-\frac{25}{44}=\frac{19}{44}
$$

## Case 3.1 and 3.2: Too Big or Too Small

Case 3.1: $\exists$ a share $\geq \frac{25}{44}$. Its buddy is

$$
\leq 1-\frac{25}{44}=\frac{19}{44}
$$

Case 3.2: There is a share $\leq \frac{19}{44}$. Duh.

## Case 3.1 and 3.2: Too Big or Too Small

Case 3.1: $\exists$ a share $\geq \frac{25}{44}$. Its buddy is

$$
\leq 1-\frac{25}{44}=\frac{19}{44}
$$

Case 3.2: There is a share $\leq \frac{19}{44}$. Duh. Henceforth assume that all shares are in

$$
\left(\frac{19}{44}, \frac{25}{44}\right)
$$

## Case 3.3: Some 5 -shares $\geq \frac{20}{44}$

5-share: a share that a 5 -student who gets.

## Case 3.3: Some 5-shares $\geq \frac{20}{44}$

5-share: a share that a 5 -student who gets.
Claim: If some 5 -shares is $\geq \frac{20}{44}$ then some share $\leq \frac{19}{44}$.

## Case 3.3: Some 5 -shares $\geq \frac{20}{44}$

5-share: a share that a 5 -student who gets.
Claim: If some 5 -shares is $\geq \frac{20}{44}$ then some share $\leq \frac{19}{44}$.
Proof: Assume that Alice 5 pieces $A, B, C, D, E$ and $E \geq \frac{20}{44}$.
Since $A+B+C+D+E=\frac{24}{11}$ and $E>\frac{20}{44}$

$$
A+B+C+D<\frac{24}{11}-\frac{20}{44}=\frac{76}{44}
$$

## Case 3.3: Some 5 -shares $\geq \frac{20}{44}$

5-share: a share that a 5 -student who gets.
Claim: If some 5 -shares is $\geq \frac{20}{44}$ then some share $\leq \frac{19}{44}$.
Proof: Assume that Alice 5 pieces $A, B, C, D, E$ and $E \geq \frac{20}{44}$.
Since $A+B+C+D+E=\frac{24}{11}$ and $E>\frac{20}{44}$

$$
A+B+C+D<\frac{24}{11}-\frac{20}{44}=\frac{76}{44}
$$

Assume $A$ is the smallest of $A, B, C, D$.

$$
A \leq \frac{76}{44} \times \frac{1}{4}=\frac{19}{44}
$$

## Case 3.3: Some 5 -shares $\geq \frac{20}{44}$

5-share: a share that a 5 -student who gets.
Claim: If some 5 -shares is $\geq \frac{20}{44}$ then some share $\leq \frac{19}{44}$.
Proof: Assume that Alice 5 pieces $A, B, C, D, E$ and $E \geq \frac{20}{44}$.
Since $A+B+C+D+E=\frac{24}{11}$ and $E>\frac{20}{44}$

$$
A+B+C+D<\frac{24}{11}-\frac{20}{44}=\frac{76}{44}
$$

Assume $A$ is the smallest of $A, B, C, D$.

$$
A \leq \frac{76}{44} \times \frac{1}{4}=\frac{19}{44}
$$

Henceforth we assume all 5-shares are in

$$
\left(\frac{19}{44}, \frac{20}{44}\right)
$$

## Case 3.4: Some 4-shares $\leq \frac{21}{44}$

4-share: a share that a 4 -student who gets.

## Case 3.4: Some 4-shares $\leq \frac{21}{44}$

4-share: a share that a 4 -student who gets.
Claim: If some 4 -shares is $\leq \frac{21}{44}$ then some share $\leq \frac{19}{44}$.

## Case 3.4: Some 4-shares $\leq \frac{21}{44}$

4-share: a share that a 4 -student who gets.
Claim: If some 4-shares is $\leq \frac{21}{44}$ then some share $\leq \frac{19}{44}$. Proof: Assume that Alice 4 pieces $A, B, C, D$ and $D \leq \frac{21}{44}$. Since $A+B+C+D=\frac{24}{11}$ and $D \leq \frac{21}{44}$

$$
A+B+C>\frac{24}{11}-\frac{21}{44}=\frac{75}{44}
$$

## Case 3.4: Some 4-shares $\leq \frac{21}{44}$

4-share: a share that a 4 -student who gets.
Claim: If some 4 -shares is $\leq \frac{21}{44}$ then some share $\leq \frac{19}{44}$. Proof: Assume that Alice 4 pieces $A, B, C, D$ and $D \leq \frac{21}{44}$. Since $A+B+C+D=\frac{24}{11}$ and $D \leq \frac{21}{44}$

$$
A+B+C>\frac{24}{11}-\frac{21}{44}=\frac{75}{44}
$$

Assume $A$ is the largest of $A, B, C$.

$$
A \geq \frac{75}{44} \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{25}{44}
$$

The buddy of $A$ is of size

$$
\leq 1-\frac{25}{44}=\frac{19}{44}
$$

## Case 3.4: Some 4-shares $\leq \frac{21}{44}$

4-share: a share that a 4 -student who gets.
Claim: If some 4 -shares is $\leq \frac{21}{44}$ then some share $\leq \frac{19}{44}$. Proof: Assume that Alice 4 pieces $A, B, C, D$ and $D \leq \frac{21}{44}$. Since $A+B+C+D=\frac{24}{11}$ and $D \leq \frac{21}{44}$

$$
A+B+C>\frac{24}{11}-\frac{21}{44}=\frac{75}{44}
$$

Assume $A$ is the largest of $A, B, C$.

$$
A \geq \frac{75}{44} \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{25}{44}
$$

The buddy of $A$ is of size

$$
\leq 1-\frac{25}{44}=\frac{19}{44}
$$

Henceforth we assume all 4-shares are in

$$
\left(\frac{21}{44}, \frac{25}{44}\right) .
$$

## Case 3.5: All Shares in Their Proper Intervals

Case 3.5: 4 -shares in $\left(\frac{21}{44}, \frac{25}{44}\right)$, 5 -shares in $\left(\frac{19}{44}, \frac{20}{44}\right)$.

## Case 3.5: All Shares in Their Proper Intervals

Case 3.5: 4 -shares in $\left(\frac{21}{44}, \frac{25}{44}\right)$, 5 -shares in $\left(\frac{19}{44}, \frac{20}{44}\right)$.

## Case 3.5: All Shares in Their Proper Intervals

Case 3.5: 4 -shares in $\left(\frac{21}{44}, \frac{25}{44}\right)$, 5 -shares in $\left(\frac{19}{44}, \frac{20}{44}\right)$.

Recall: there are $4 s_{4}=4 \times 7=284$-shares.
Recall: there are $5 s_{5}=5 \times 4=205$-shares.

## Case 3.5: All Shares in Their Proper Intervals

Case 3.5: 4 -shares in $\left(\frac{21}{44}, \frac{25}{44}\right)$, 5 -shares in $\left(\frac{19}{44}, \frac{20}{44}\right)$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
(\text { ?? } \\
\frac{19}{44} & & \text { 5-shs } & \text { ) } \\
\frac{20}{44} & 0 \text { shs } & \left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{21}{44}
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}
$$

Recall: there are $4 s_{4}=4 \times 7=284$-shares.
Recall: there are $5 s_{5}=5 \times 4=205$-shares.

## More Refined Picture of What is Going On

| 19 | 20 -shs | ${ }_{20}{ }^{4}$ | 0 shs | 21 | 28 4-shs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{19}{44}$ |  | $\frac{24}{44}$ |  | $\frac{21}{44}$ |  |  |

## More Refined Picture of What is Going On

| ( | 20 -shs | ) | 0 shs |  | 28 4-shs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{19}{44}$ |  | $\frac{20}{44}$ |  | 44 |  | $\frac{2}{4}$ |

Claim 1: There are no shares $x \in\left[\frac{23}{44}, \frac{24}{44}\right]$.

## More Refined Picture of What is Going On

| ( | 20 -shs | ) | 0 shs |  | 28 4-shs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{19}{44}$ |  | $\frac{20}{44}$ |  | 44 |  | $\frac{2}{4}$ |

Claim 1: There are no shares $x \in\left[\frac{23}{44}, \frac{24}{44}\right]$.
If there was such a share then its buddy is in $\left[\frac{20}{44}, \frac{21}{44}\right]$.

## More Refined Picture of What is Going On

Claim 1: There are no shares $x \in\left[\frac{23}{44}, \frac{24}{44}\right]$.
If there was such a share then its buddy is in $\left[\frac{20}{44}, \frac{21}{44}\right]$.
The following picture captures what we know so far.

S4 $=$ Small 4 -shares
$\mathrm{L} 4=$ Large 4 -shares. L 4 shares, 5 -share: buddies, so $|\mathrm{L} 4|=20$.


Claim 2: Every 4-student has at least 3 L 4 shares.

Claim 2: Every 4-student has at least 3 L4 shares.
If a 4 -student had $\leq 2$ L4 shares then he has

$$
<2 \times\left(\frac{23}{44}\right)+2 \times\left(\frac{25}{44}\right)=\frac{24}{11} .
$$

Claim 2: Every 4-student has at least 3 L4 shares.
If a 4 -student had $\leq 2$ L4 shares then he has

$$
<2 \times\left(\frac{23}{44}\right)+2 \times\left(\frac{25}{44}\right)=\frac{24}{11} .
$$

Claim 2: Every 4-student has at least 3 L 4 shares.
If a 4 -student had $\leq 2$ L4 shares then he has

$$
<2 \times\left(\frac{23}{44}\right)+2 \times\left(\frac{25}{44}\right)=\frac{24}{11} .
$$

Contradiction: Each 4-student gets $\geq 3 \mathrm{~L} 4$ shares. There are $s_{4}=74$-students. Hence there are $\geq 21$ L4-shares. But there are only 20 .

## INT Technique

The above reasoning can be used to verify that $f(24,11) \leq \frac{19}{44}$ but could not generate the upper bound $\frac{19}{44}$.

## INT Technique

The above reasoning can be used to verify that $f(24,11) \leq \frac{19}{44}$ but could not generate the upper bound $\frac{19}{44}$.

Can modify the method so that we have an easily computable function $\operatorname{INT}(m, s)$ such that

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s) \leq \min \{\operatorname{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s), \operatorname{INT}(m, s)\}]
$$

## INT Technique

The above reasoning can be used to verify that $f(24,11) \leq \frac{19}{44}$ but could not generate the upper bound $\frac{19}{44}$.

Can modify the method so that we have an easily computable function $\operatorname{INT}(m, s)$ such that

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s) \leq \min \{\operatorname{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s), \operatorname{INT}(m, s)\}]
$$

Is the following true?

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s)=\min \{\operatorname{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s), \operatorname{INT}(m, s)\}]
$$

## INT Technique

The above reasoning can be used to verify that $f(24,11) \leq \frac{19}{44}$ but could not generate the upper bound $\frac{19}{44}$.

Can modify the method so that we have an easily computable function $\operatorname{INT}(m, s)$ such that

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s) \leq \min \{\operatorname{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s), \operatorname{INT}(m, s)\}]
$$

Is the following true?

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s)=\min \{\operatorname{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s), \operatorname{INT}(m, s)\}]
$$

No: If so my book would be about 60 pages.

## INT Technique

The above reasoning can be used to verify that $f(24,11) \leq \frac{19}{44}$ but could not generate the upper bound $\frac{19}{44}$.

Can modify the method so that we have an easily computable function $\operatorname{INT}(m, s)$ such that

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s) \leq \min \{\operatorname{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s), \operatorname{INT}(m, s)\}]
$$

Is the following true?

$$
(\forall m, s)[f(m, s)=\min \{\operatorname{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s), \operatorname{INT}(m, s)\}]
$$

No: If so my book would be about 60 pages.
For $f(31,19)$ it fails!

## $f(31,19) \leq \frac{54}{133}$

We show $f(31,19) \leq \frac{54}{133}$.
Assume (31, 19)-procedure with smallest piece $>\frac{54}{133}$.

## $f(31,19) \leq \frac{54}{133}$

We show $f(31,19) \leq \frac{54}{133}$.
Assume (31, 19)-procedure with smallest piece $>\frac{54}{133}$.
By INT-technique methods obtain:
$s_{3}=14, s_{4}=5$.


## $f(31,19) \leq \frac{54}{133}$

We show $f(31,19) \leq \frac{54}{133}$.
Assume (31, 19)-procedure with smallest piece $>\frac{54}{133}$.
By INT-technique methods obtain:
$s_{3}=14, s_{4}=5$.

| 54 | 20 4-shs | )[ | 0 | 59 | S3 shs | 74 | 0 | ]( | 20 L3-shs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 133 |  | 133 |  | 133 |  | 133 |  | 133 |  |

We just look at the 3-shares:

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
( & \text { S3 shs } & ) \\
\frac{59}{133} & & & 0 & ] \\
\hline 133
\end{array}
$$

## $f(31,19) \leq \frac{54}{133}$

## $f(31,19) \leq \frac{54}{133}$

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
( & \text { S3 shs } & )\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\text { ( } & 0 & ]( \\
\frac{59}{133} & & \frac{74}{133} \\
& & \frac{78}{133}
\end{array}\right. & & \frac{79}{133}
\end{array}
$$

1. $J_{1}=\left(\frac{59}{133}, \frac{66.5}{133}\right)$
2. $J_{2}=\left(\frac{66.5}{133}, \frac{74}{133}\right)\left(\left|J_{1}\right|=\left|J_{2}\right|\right)$
3. $J_{3}=\left(\frac{78}{133}, \frac{79}{133}\right)\left(\left|J_{3}\right|=20\right)$

Note: Split the shares of size 66.5 between $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$.
Notation: An $e(1,1,3)$ students is a student who has a $J_{1}$-share, a $J_{1}$-share, and a $J_{3}$-share.
Generalize to $e(i, j, k)$ easily.

## $f(31,19) \leq \frac{54}{133}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } J_{1}=\left(\frac{59}{133}, \frac{66.5}{133}\right) \\
& \text { 2. } J_{2}=\left(\frac{66.5}{133}, \frac{74}{133}\right)\left(\left|J_{1}\right|=\left|J_{2}\right|\right) \\
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1. $J_{1}=\left(\frac{59}{133}, \frac{61}{133}\right)$
2. $J_{2}=\left(\frac{64}{133}, \frac{66.5}{133}\right)$
3. $J_{3}=\left(\frac{66.5}{133}, \frac{69}{133}\right)\left(\left|J_{2}\right|=\left|J_{3}\right|\right)$
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$e(1,5,5)$. Let the number of such students be $x$ $e(2,4,5)$. Let the number of such students be $y_{1}$ $e(3,4,5)$. Let the number of such students be $y_{2}$. $e(4,4,4)$. Let the number of such students be $z$.

1) $\left|J_{2}\right|=\left|J_{3}\right|$,
only students using $J_{2}$ are $e(2,4,5)$ - they use one share each, only students using $J_{3}$ are $e(3,4,5)$ - they use one share each. Hence $y_{1}=y_{2}$. We call them both $y$.
2) Since $\left|J_{1}\right|=\left|J_{4}\right|, x=2 y+3 z$.
3) Since $s_{3}=14, x+2 y+z=14$.
$(2 y+3 z)+2 y+z=14 \Longrightarrow 4(y+z)=14 \Longrightarrow y+z=\frac{7}{2}$. Contradiction.
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## GAP Technique

The above reasoning can be used to verify that $f(31,19) \leq \frac{54}{133}$ but could not generate the upper bound $\frac{54}{133}$.

Cannot quite modify the method, but we can use this method and a method we have to find procedure and to a binary search to zero-in on answer. We call this $\operatorname{GAP}(m, s)$. So we have
$(\forall m, s)[f(m, s) \leq \min \{\operatorname{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s), \operatorname{INT}(m, s), \operatorname{GAP}(m, s)\}]$
Is the following true?
$(\forall m, s)[f(m, s)=\min \{\operatorname{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s), \operatorname{INT}(m, s), \operatorname{GAP}(m, s)\}]$
No: If so my book would be about 80 pages.
For $f(67,21)$ it fails!

## The Train Method

We developed the Train Method which showed settled $f(67,21)$ and 13 other problems we could not do.

## Upshot

Let

$$
A=\{(m, s) \mid 2 \leq s \leq 100 \text { and } s<m \leq 110 \text { and } m, s \text { rel prime }\}
$$

There are 3520 pairs $(m, s)$ in $A$. We solved all of them!

- For 2301 of them $f(m, s)=\mathrm{FC}(m, s)$. That is $\sim 65.37 \%$.
- For 329 of them $f(m, s)=\operatorname{HALF}(m, s)$. That is $\sim 9.35 \%$.
- For 186 of them $f(m, s)=\operatorname{INT}(m, s)$. That is $\sim 5.28 \%$.
- For 111 of them $f(m, s)=\operatorname{MID}(m, s)$. That is $\sim 3.15 \%$.
- For 240 of them $f(m, s)=\operatorname{EBM}(m, s)$. That is $\sim 6.28 \%$.
- For 89 of them $f(m, s)=\operatorname{HBM}(m, s)$. That is $\sim 2.53 \%$.
- For 250 of them $f(m, s)=\operatorname{GAP}(m, s)$. That is $\sim 7.10 \%$.
- For 13 of them $f(m, s)=\operatorname{TRAIN}(m, s)$. That is $\sim 0.40 \%$
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Want proc for $f(5,3) \geq \frac{5}{12}$.
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$\left\{\frac{6}{12}, \frac{6}{12}\right\}$ is $(0,2,0), m_{1}$ muffins of this type.
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3) Student=pieces add to $\frac{5}{3}$
$\left\{\frac{6}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{7}{12}\right\}$ is $(0,1,2), s_{1}$ students of this type.
$\left\{\frac{5}{12}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{5}{12}\right\}$ is $(4,0,0), s_{2}$ students of this type.
4) Set up equations:
$m_{1}(0,2,0)+m_{2}(1,0,1)=s_{1}(0,1,2)+s_{2}(4,0,0)$
$m_{1}+m_{2}=5$
$s_{1}+s_{2}=3$
Natural Number Solution: $m_{1}=1, m_{2}=4, s_{1}=2, s_{2}=1$
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Want proc for $f(m, s) \geq \frac{a}{b}$.

1) Guess that the only piece sizes are $\frac{a}{b}, \ldots, \frac{b-a}{b}$
2) Muffin=pieces add to 1 : Vectors $\vec{v}_{i}, x$ types.
$m_{i}$ muffins of type $\overrightarrow{v_{i}}$
3) Student $=$ pieces add to $\frac{m}{s}$ : Vectors $\vec{u}_{j}$. y types.
$s_{j}$ students of type $\vec{u}_{j}$
4) Set up equations:
$m_{1} \vec{v}_{1}+\cdots+m_{x} \vec{v}_{x}=s_{1} \vec{u}_{1}+\cdots+s_{y} \vec{u}_{y}$
$m_{1}+\cdots+m_{x}=m$
$s_{1}+\cdots+s_{y}=s$
5) Look for Nat Numb sol. If find can translate into procedure.
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## So Where Are We Now?

Is the following true: For all $m, s, f(m, s)$ is the min of
$\operatorname{FC}(m, s), \operatorname{HALF}(m, s), \operatorname{INT}(m, s), \operatorname{MID}(m, s)$,
$\operatorname{EBM}(m, s), \operatorname{HBM}(m, s), \operatorname{GAP}(m, s), \operatorname{TRAIN}(m, s)$
No. Did not work on

- $f(205,178)$
- $f(226,135)$
- $f(233,141)$
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Scott Huddleston has an algorithm that is REALLY FAST and seems to ALWAYS WORK. Erik and Jacob understand it, nobody else does. They have replicated his results and think that YES it solves ALL problems.

## The Scott Huddleston Technique

Scott Huddleston has an algorithm that is REALLY FAST and seems to ALWAYS WORK. Erik and Jacob understand it, nobody else does. They have replicated his results and think that YES it solves ALL problems.

Richard Chatwin independently came up with the same algorithm and proved it worked, but never coded it up. He tells me its poly time and I believe this can be proved, though its not in his paper. His paper is here: https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.08726
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## Interesting VS Important

A Math problem can be

- Interesting or NOT Interesting.
- Important or NOT Important.

These are not well defined terms but
Interesting Keeps on leading to new mathematics, new
techniques, and connections to other fields of Math.
Important Either useful OR about deep mathematical objects (hard to define that).
There are four possibilities.

1. Interesting and Important: Calculus, The Prime Number Theorem.
2. Interesting but Not Important: Fermat's Last Theorem, The Muffin Problem.
3. Not Interesting but Important: So far $P$ vs $N P$ has had no progress (so not interesting) but its very important.
4. Not Interesting and Not Important: So far $R(5)$ has not lead to any math of interest and is also not important to find. Same for most Ramsey-type Numbers.
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## Lessons Learned

I found this problem in a pamphlet at a Recreational math Conference.

Math is all around you! Pursue your curiosity!
You never know where the next big project will come from!

