

Sane Bounds on Some Poly VDW Numbers

William Gasarch- U of MD Clyde Kruskal- U of MD
Justin Kruskal- Eleanor Roosevelt HS

VDW's Theorem

Theorem

For all k , for all c , there exists $W = W(k, c)$ such that for all c -colorings of $[W]$ there exists a, d

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$ are the same color.

Theorem

For all k , for all c , there exists $W = W(k, c)$ such that for all c -colorings of $[W]$ there exists a, d

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$ are the same color.

How to prove?

1. VDW Proof [4]. Elem. ω^2 -induction. Bounds INSANE!
2. Shelah's Proof [3]. Elem. Bounds HUGE.
3. Gowers's Proof [2]. Adv. Bound is *only* 9 towers.

Poly VDW Theorem

Why

$$a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d?$$

Can $d, 2d, \dots, (k - 1)d$ be replaced by other functions of d ?

Poly VDW Theorem

Why

$$a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d?$$

Can $d, 2d, \dots, (k - 1)d$ be replaced by other functions of d ?

Theorem

For all $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $(\forall i)[p_i(0) = 0]$, for all c , there exists $W = W(p_1, \dots, p_k; c)$ such that for all c -colorings of $[W]$ there exists a, d such that

$a, a + p_1(d), \dots, a + p_k(d)$ are all the same color

Poly VDW Theorem

Why

$$a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d?$$

Can $d, 2d, \dots, (k - 1)d$ be replaced by other functions of d ?

Theorem

For all $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $(\forall i)[p_i(0) = 0]$, for all c , there exists $W = W(p_1, \dots, p_k; c)$ such that for all c -colorings of $[W]$ there exists a, d such that

$$a, a + p_1(d), \dots, a + p_k(d) \text{ are all the same color}$$

How to prove?

1. Bergelson and Leibman [1]. Adv. No bounds!
2. Walters [5]. Elem. ω^ω induction. Bounds INSANE.

Want SANE bounds on $W(p_1, \dots, p_k; c)$

Forbidden Distances

Definition

Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, $c \in \mathbb{N}$, and $W \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. A (F, c) -proper coloring of $[W]$ is a coloring $COL : [W] \rightarrow [c]$ such that, for all $x, y \in [W]$ with $y - x \in F$, $COL(x) \neq COL(y)$.
2. $W = W(F; c)$ is the least num such that there is no (F, c) -proper coloring of $[W]$. If no such num exists, we set $W(F; c) = \infty$.

We will get **SANE** bounds on $W(p(x); 2)$

Example

We show $W(\{5, 8\}; 2) = 13$.

Assume $COL : [13] \rightarrow \{R, B\}$.

Example

We show $W(\{5, 8\}; 2) = 13$.

Assume $COL : [13] \rightarrow \{R, B\}$.

KEY: $COL(x) = R \implies COL(x + 5 \bmod 13) = B$.

1. If $x + 5 \leq 13$ obvious.
2. If $x + 5 > 13$ then $x + 5 \bmod 13 = x - 8$.

Example

We show $W(\{5, 8\}; 2) = 13$.

Assume $COL : [13] \rightarrow \{R, B\}$.

KEY: $COL(x) = R \implies COL(x + 5 \bmod 13) = B$.

1. If $x + 5 \leq 13$ obvious.
2. If $x + 5 > 13$ then $x + 5 \bmod 13 = x - 8$.

1	6	11	3	8	13	5	10	2	7	12	4	9	1
R	B	R	B	R	B	R	B	R	B	R	B	R	B

Example

We show $W(\{5, 8\}; 2) = 13$.

Assume $COL : [13] \rightarrow \{R, B\}$.

KEY: $COL(x) = R \implies COL(x + 5 \bmod 13) = B$.

1. If $x + 5 \leq 13$ obvious.
2. If $x + 5 > 13$ then $x + 5 \bmod 13 = x - 8$.

1	6	11	3	8	13	5	10	2	7	12	4	9	1
R	B	R	B	R	B	R	B	R	B	R	B	R	B

AH-HA: 1 is BOTH R and B. Contradiction.

AH-HA: Could color [12]– just omit the 1 and renum.

If s, t are relatively prime and one is even. . .

Lemma

If s, t are relatively prime and one of them is even then

$$W(\{s, t\}; 2) = s + t = m.$$

If s, t are relatively prime and one is even. . .

Lemma

If s, t are relatively prime and one of them is even then
 $W(\{s, t\}; 2) = s + t = m$.

Proof.

Assume $COL : [m] \rightarrow \{R, B\}$.

If s, t are relatively prime and one is even...

Lemma

If s, t are relatively prime and one of them is even then
 $W(\{s, t\}; 2) = s + t = m$.

Proof.

Assume $COL : [m] \rightarrow \{R, B\}$.

KEY: $COL(x) = R \implies COL(x + s \bmod m) = B$.

If s, t are relatively prime and one is even...

Lemma

If s, t are relatively prime and one of them is even then $W(\{s, t\}; 2) = s + t = m$.

Proof.

Assume $COL : [m] \rightarrow \{R, B\}$.

KEY: $COL(x) = R \implies COL(x + s \bmod m) = B$.

1	$1 + s \bmod m$...	$1 + (m - 1)s \bmod m$	$1 + ms \bmod m = 1$
R	B	...	R	B

AH-HA: 1 is BOTH R and B . Contradiction.

AH-HA: Could color $[m - 1]$ — just omit the 1 and renum. □

Generalize to s, t Not Necc. Rel Prime

Lemma

Let $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $g = \gcd(s, t)$. Then

$$W(\{s, t\}; 2) = \begin{cases} s + t - g + 1 & \text{if either } s/g \text{ or } t/g \text{ is even} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Lemma

Let $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $p(0) = 0$. Let $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $p(i), p(j) \neq 0$, and $g = \gcd(p(i), p(j))$. If either $p(i)/g$ or $p(j)/g$ is even then

$$W(p(x); 2) \leq |p(i)| + |p(j)| - g + 1.$$

Lemma

Let $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $p(0) = 0$. Let $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $p(i), p(j) \neq 0$, and $g = \gcd(p(i), p(j))$. If either $p(i)/g$ or $p(j)/g$ is even then

$$W(p(x); 2) \leq |p(i)| + |p(j)| - g + 1.$$

Note: The premise is equivalent to $p(i)$ and $p(j)$ have a different number of factors of 2.

Theorem

If $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $p(0) = 0$ then

$$W(p(x); 2) \leq |p(|2p(1)|)| + 1.$$

Theorem

If $p(x) \in Z[x]$ such that $p(0) = 0$ then

$$W(p(x); 2) \leq |p(|2p(1)|)| + 1.$$

Proof.

$p(1)$ and $p(2p(1))$ have different number of factors of 2.

Now use Lemma. □

Theorem

If $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $p(0) = 0$ and p has degree n . Then

$$W(p(x); 2) < 2 \max_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} |p(i)|.$$

Theorem

If $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $p(0) = 0$ and p has degree n . Then

$$W(p(x); 2) < 2 \max_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} |p(i)|.$$

Proof.

Need $(\exists 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1)$, $p(i)$ and $p(j)$ have different number of factors of 2:

Theorem

If $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $p(0) = 0$ and p has degree n . Then

$$W(p(x); 2) < 2 \max_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} |p(i)|.$$

Proof.

Need $(\exists 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1)$, $p(i)$ and $p(j)$ have different number of factors of 2:

$$p(1) + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} (-1)^i (p(i+2) - p(i+1)) = 0.$$

Second Upshot

Theorem

If $p(x) \in Z[x]$ such that $p(0) = 0$ and p has degree n . Then

$$W(p(x); 2) < 2 \max_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} |p(i)|.$$

Proof.

Need $(\exists 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1)$, $p(i)$ and $p(j)$ have different number of factors of 2:

$$p(1) + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} (-1)^i (p(i+2) - p(i+1)) = 0.$$

All terms paired off except the initial $p(1)$. Hence odd num of $p(i)$ terms. If all have same number of factors of 2 factor them out.

Have odd sum of odd nums is 0. Contradiction.

We will get SANE bounds on $W(ax^2 + bx; 3)$

A UMCP MATH COMPETITION PROBLEM

Show that if $\{1, 2, \dots, 2006\}$ is 3-colored then there exists two numbers a square apart that are the same color.

A UMCP MATH COMPETITION PROBLEM

Show that if $\{1, 2, \dots, 2006\}$ is 3-colored then there exists two numbers a square apart that are the same color. Let

$COL : [2006] \rightarrow \{R, B, G\}$. Fix $x \geq 10$. Assume $COL(x) = R$.

1. $COL(x + 16) \neq R, COL(x - 9) \neq R$.
2. $COL(x + 16) \neq COL(x - 9)$.
3. Assume $COL(x + 16) = B, COL(x - 9) = G$.

A UMCP MATH COMPETITION PROBLEM

Show that if $\{1, 2, \dots, 2006\}$ is 3-colored then there exists two numbers a square apart that are the same color. Let

$COL : [2006] \rightarrow \{R, B, G\}$. Fix $x \geq 10$. Assume $COL(x) = R$.

1. $COL(x + 16) \neq R$, $COL(x - 9) \neq R$.
2. $COL(x + 16) \neq COL(x - 9)$.
3. Assume $COL(x + 16) = B$, $COL(x - 9) = G$.

$COL(x + 7) = COL((x + 16) - 9) \neq COL(x + 16) = B$.

$COL(x + 7) = COL((x - 9) + 16) \neq COL(x - 9) = G$.

Hence $COL(x + 7) = R = COL(x)$.

A UMCP MATH COMPETITION PROBLEM

Show that if $\{1, 2, \dots, 2006\}$ is 3-colored then there exists two numbers a square apart that are the same color. Let

$COL : [2006] \rightarrow \{R, B, G\}$. Fix $x \geq 10$. Assume $COL(x) = R$.

1. $COL(x + 16) \neq R, COL(x - 9) \neq R$.
2. $COL(x + 16) \neq COL(x - 9)$.
3. Assume $COL(x + 16) = B, COL(x - 9) = G$.

$COL(x + 7) = COL((x + 16) - 9) \neq COL(x + 16) = B$.

$COL(x + 7) = COL((x - 9) + 16) \neq COL(x - 9) = G$.

Hence $COL(x + 7) = R = COL(x)$.

$(\forall x \geq 10)[COL(x) = COL(x + 7)]!!$

A UMCP MATH COMPETITION PROBLEM

Show that if $\{1, 2, \dots, 2006\}$ is 3-colored then there exists two numbers a square apart that are the same color. Let $COL : [2006] \rightarrow \{R, B, G\}$. Fix $x \geq 10$. Assume $COL(x) = R$.

1. $COL(x + 16) \neq R, COL(x - 9) \neq R$.
2. $COL(x + 16) \neq COL(x - 9)$.
3. Assume $COL(x + 16) = B, COL(x - 9) = G$.

$$COL(x + 7) = COL((x + 16) - 9) \neq COL(x + 16) = B.$$

$$COL(x + 7) = COL((x - 9) + 16) \neq COL(x - 9) = G.$$

Hence $COL(x + 7) = R = COL(x)$.

$$(\forall x \geq 10)[COL(x) = COL(x + 7)]!!$$

$$COL(10) = COL(10+7) = COL(10+2 \times 7) = \dots = COL(10+7 \times 7).$$

Contradiction! 10 and $10 + 7^2$ are a square apart!

A UMCP MATH COMPETITION PROBLEM

Show that if $\{1, 2, \dots, 2006\}$ is 3-colored then there exists two numbers a square apart that are the same color. Let $COL : [2006] \rightarrow \{R, B, G\}$. Fix $x \geq 10$. Assume $COL(x) = R$.

1. $COL(x + 16) \neq R, COL(x - 9) \neq R$.
2. $COL(x + 16) \neq COL(x - 9)$.
3. Assume $COL(x + 16) = B, COL(x - 9) = G$.

$$COL(x + 7) = COL((x + 16) - 9) \neq COL(x + 16) = B.$$

$$COL(x + 7) = COL((x - 9) + 16) \neq COL(x - 9) = G.$$

Hence $COL(x + 7) = R = COL(x)$.

$$(\forall x \geq 10)[COL(x) = COL(x + 7)]!!$$

$$COL(10) = COL(10+7) = COL(10+2 \times 7) = \dots = COL(10+7 \times 7).$$

Contradiction! 10 and $10 + 7^2$ are a square apart!

Can replace 2006 with $10 + 7 \times 7 = 59$

KEY TO THAT PROOF

Show that if $\{1, 2, \dots, 2006\}$ is 3-colored then there exists two nums a square apart that are the same color.

KEY TO THAT PROOF

Show that if $\{1, 2, \dots, 2006\}$ is 3-colored then there exists two numbers a square apart that are the same color.

We used

$$16 + 9 = 25.$$

KEY TO THAT PROOF

Show that if $\{1, 2, \dots, 2006\}$ is 3-colored then there exists two numbers a square apart that are the same color.

We used

$$16 + 9 = 25.$$

For general $p(x)$ we will need to find x, y, z such that

$$p(x) + p(y) = p(z).$$

KEY TO THAT PROOF

Show that if $\{1, 2, \dots, 2006\}$ is 3-colored then there exists two numns a square apart that are the same color.

We used

$$16 + 9 = 25.$$

For general $p(x)$ we will need to find x, y, z such that

$$p(x) + p(y) = p(z).$$

1. Approach can't work for $p(x) = x^3$.
2. We will only work with quadratics.

(ALMOST) GENERAL QUADRATIC CASE

Theorem

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that a divides b . Then

$$W(ax^2 + bx; 3) \leq 72b^2/a + 1.$$

(ALMOST) GENERAL QUADRATIC CASE

Theorem

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that a divides b . Then

$$W(ax^2 + bx; 3) \leq 72b^2/a + 1.$$

Proof.

Let

$$x = 5b/a, y = 6b/a, z = 8b/a.$$

$$p(x) = a(5b/a)^2 + b(5b/a) = 25b^2/a + 5b^2/a = 30b^2/a$$

$$p(y) = a(6b/a)^2 + b(6b/a) = 36b^2/a + 6b^2/a = 42b^2/a$$

$$p(z) = a(8b/a)^2 + b(8b/a) = 64b^2/a + 8b^2/a = 72b^2/a$$

(ALMOST) GENERAL QUADRATIC CASE

Theorem

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that a divides b . Then

$$W(ax^2 + bx; 3) \leq 72b^2/a + 1.$$

Proof.

Let

$$x = 5b/a, y = 6b/a, z = 8b/a.$$

$$p(x) = a(5b/a)^2 + b(5b/a) = 25b^2/a + 5b^2/a = 30b^2/a$$

$$p(y) = a(6b/a)^2 + b(6b/a) = 36b^2/a + 6b^2/a = 42b^2/a$$

$$p(z) = a(8b/a)^2 + b(8b/a) = 64b^2/a + 8b^2/a = 72b^2/a$$

$$p(x) + p(y) = p(z).$$

Still more to do, but this is key ingredient. □

GENERAL QUADRATIC CASE

Theorem

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m = \max\{a, b\}$. Then

$$W(ax^2 + bx; 3) \leq O(m^7).$$

Proof.

You don't really want to see the proof. □

PART III: OPEN QUESTIONS

1. Obtain smaller values for $W(ax^2 + bx; 3)$ (e.g., $O(m^6)$).
2. Obtain SANE values for $W(ax^3 + bx^2 + cx; 3)$.
3. Obtain SANE values for $W(ax^2 + bx; 4)$.
4. Obtain SANE values for $W(p_1(x), \dots, p_k(x); 2)$.
5. Obtain SANE values for $W(p_1(x), \dots, p_k(x); 3)$
6. Start study of $W(f; c)$ where f is poly with constant term.
7. Start study of $W(f; c)$ where f is non poly functions.

- 1 V. Bergelson and A. Leibman. Polynomial extensions of van der Waerden's and Szemerédi's theorems. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, pages 725–753, 1996. <http://www.math.ohio-state.edu/~vitaly/> or <http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/vdw/vdw.html>.
- 2 W. Gowers. A new proof of Szemerédi's theorem. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 11:465–588, 2001. <http://www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/~wtg10/papers/html> or <http://www.springerlink.com>.
- 3 S. Shelah. Primitive recursive bounds for van der Waerden numbers. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, pages 683–697, 1988. <http://www.jstor.org/view/08940347/di963031/96p0024f/0>.

Bibliography (cont)

- 4 B. van der Waerden. Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung. *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.*, 15:212–216, 1927.
- 5 M. Walters. Combinatorial proofs of the polynomial van der Waerden theorem and the polynomial Hales-Jewett theorem. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 61:1–12, 2000.
<http://jllms.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/reprint/61/1/1>
or <http://jllms.oxfordjournals.org/> or or
<http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/vdw/vdw.html>.