

## Problem Set 2

Due at the *beginning* of class on Sept. 22

These problems are not particularly difficult, but they will require some thought.

1. Prove that any  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete language  $L$  (more formally, the natural relation derived from  $L$ ) is self-reducible in the sense discussed in class.
2. As part of the proof that the language  $CS$  (circuit satisfiability) is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete, we used the fact that any boolean function  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  can be encoded as a circuit (using “and”, “or”, and “not” gates). Prove this by giving an explicit description of a circuit that computes a given function  $f$ . What is the worst-case number of gates your circuit uses as a function of  $n$ , the number of input bits?
3. (**optional—unsolved problem**) Prove that Sudoku (or, more formally, the generalization to  $n \times n$  boards) is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete. Note: by using Google you can find a solution, but I am looking for a simpler solution that relies on a reduction from a more well-known  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete problem. I don’t know how difficult it is to find a “simpler” solution, so work on this problem at your own risk!
4. Answer the following questions regarding  $\mathcal{NP}$  and  $\text{co}\mathcal{NP}$ .
  - (a) In class we gave two definitions of  $\text{co}\mathcal{NP}$ : first,  $\text{co}\mathcal{NP} = \{L \mid \bar{L} \in \mathcal{NP}\}$ , and second,  $L \in \text{co}\mathcal{NP}$  if there exists a poly-time computable (and polynomially-bounded) relation  $R$  such that  $x \in L \Leftrightarrow \forall w : R(x, w)$ . Prove that these definitions of  $\text{co}\mathcal{NP}$  are equivalent.
  - (b) Let  $L$  be an  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete language. Show a Cook reduction from any language  $L' \in \text{co}\mathcal{NP}$  to  $L$ . Why is your reduction *not* a Karp reduction?
  - (c) Show that if there exists a language  $L \in \mathcal{NP}$  such that there is a Karp reduction from every language  $L' \in \text{co}\mathcal{NP}$  to  $L$ , then  $\mathcal{NP} = \text{co}\mathcal{NP}$ .
5. For any complexity class  $\mathcal{C}$ , show that  $\text{co}\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  implies  $\text{co}\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}$ .
6. Recall that for any language  $L$ , the *Kleene star* of the language is

$$L^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x_1 \cdots x_k \mid x_i \in L, k \geq 0\}.$$

A complexity class  $\mathcal{C}$  is *closed* under Kleene star if  $L \in \mathcal{C}$  implies  $L^* \in \mathcal{C}$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{NP}$ , and  $\text{co}\mathcal{NP}$  are closed under Kleene star. (Note: this problem is more difficult than the rest, but not too difficult to be optional!)