

Problem Set 1

Due at the *beginning* of class on Sept. 21

1. In each of the following cases, write the given expression in your own words (in English, not equations) and determine its value.

- (a) $\Pr[x \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^4 : x = 0100]$.
- (b) $\Pr[x \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{10}; y \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{10} : x = y]$.
- (c) Let A be an algorithm such that $A(x)$ outputs 1 if and only if the first three bits of x are 0, let $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$ denote the one-time pad encryption scheme, and consider the following two expressions:

$$\Pr \left[k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\ell; C \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_k(0^\ell) : A(C) = 1 \right]$$

$$\Pr \left[k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\ell; C \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_k(1^\ell) : A(C) = 1 \right].$$

2. In class we discussed *perfect secrecy* for the case when the adversary sees the encryption of a single message; we also showed the one-time pad scheme and said that it was secure only in this case.
 - (a) Formulate a definition of perfect secrecy for the case when the adversary sees the encryption of *two* messages (using the same key). Your definition may be modeled on any of the three definitions of perfect secrecy given in class.
 - (b) Does there exist a *deterministic* encryption scheme with perfect secrecy under your definition in part (a)? Does it help if we allow encryption to be *randomized*? Prove or disprove your assertion in each case.
 - (c) If the answer to part (b) is “no” for the case of deterministic encryption, suggest an alternate definition of perfect secrecy when two messages are encrypted which might be achievable by a deterministic scheme. (You do not need to construct such a scheme, but will get extra credit if you do so for a suitable alternate definition!)
3. Another definition of security that is sometimes (mistakenly) considered for private-key encryption is *security against key-recovery attacks*. Consider the following definition of this notion:

An encryption scheme $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$ with associated key space \mathcal{K} and message space \mathcal{M} is *perfectly-secure against key recovery* if the following holds for any algorithm A and any distribution Dist over the message space \mathcal{M} :

$$\Pr[k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}; m \leftarrow \text{Dist}; C \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_k(m) : A(C) = k] \leq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{K}|}.$$

Answer the following questions:

- (a) Explain the above definition in your own words.
 - (b) Show that the above definition is not *necessary* for perfectly-secure encryption. I.e., show that there exists an encryption scheme which is perfectly-secure under the definition we gave in class, but not perfectly-secure against key recovery.
 - (c) Show that the above definition is not *sufficient* for perfectly-secure encryption. I.e., show that there exists an encryption scheme which is perfectly-secure against key recovery, but not perfectly-secure under the definition we gave in class.
4. Recall the definition of a *one-way function* given in class. Let f and g be one-way functions. The notation “ \circ ” denotes string concatenation.
- (a) Consider the function f' defined as $f'(x_1 \circ x_2) = f(x_1) \circ x_2$ (where $|x_1| = |x_2|$). Prove or disprove whether f' is a one-way function. (I.e., is f' a one-way function for *every* one-way function f ?)
 - (b) Consider the function g' defined as $g'(x_1 \circ x_2) = x_1 \circ g(x_2)$ (where $|x_1| = |x_2|$). Prove or disprove whether g' is a one-way function. (I.e., is g' a one-way function for *every* one-way function g ?)
 - (c) Consider the function h defined as $h(x) = f(x) \circ g(x)$. Prove or disprove whether h is a one-way function. (I.e., is h a one-way function for *all* one-way functions f, g ?)