Problem Set 5

Due at the beginning of class on Dec. 2

- 1. Let p be a prime with $p=3 \mod 4$. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ be a quadratic residue. Show how to compute the square roots of x efficiently. (Hint: Show how to find an even integer i such that $x^i=x$. Then $x^{i/2}$ is one square root of x...) Use your algorithm to compute the square roots of 2 modulo 71.
- 2. Let N = pq where p and q are distinct, odd primes. Prove that $\mathcal{L}_p(xy) = \mathcal{L}_p(x) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p(y)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ (where $\mathcal{L}_p(x)$ is the *Legendre symbol* of x, defined to be +1 if x is a quadratic residue modulo p, and -1 otherwise). Prove that $\mathcal{J}_N(xy) = \mathcal{J}_N(x) \cdot \mathcal{J}_N(y)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$.
- 3. Let p, q be distinct primes with $p = q = 3 \mod 4$. Recall the encryption scheme given in class based on the quadratic residuosity assumption: the public key is N = pq and to encrypt a "0" the sender sends a random quadratic residue, while to encrypt a "1" she sends a random non-quadratic residue with Jacobi symbol +1.
 - Show that given a public key N and ciphertexts C_1, C_2 which are encryptions (both with respect to N) of bits b_1, b_2 , it is possible to efficiently compute a ciphertext C' which is an encryption of $b_1 \oplus b_2$ without knowing the values b_1, b_2 . Show that given a public key N and a ciphertext C which is an encryption of some bit b, it is possible to efficiently generate a second, random ciphertext C' which is also an encryption of the same bit b (again, without knowing the value of b).
- 4. Assume two students Alice and Bob want to find out whether they both like each other. We will model this by assuming that Alice has an input bit x_A which is 1 iff she likes Bob, and similarly for Bob; the students want to compute the value of $f(x_A, x_B) = (x_A \text{ and } x_B)$. Since neither one wants to risk embarrassment, they want to find out the answer without (necessarily) revealing their true feelings; in particular, if $f(x_A, x_B) = 0$ and $x_B = 0$ (i.e., Bob does not like Alice) then Bob should not learn whether or not $x_A = 1$ (i.e., whether or not Alice likes Bob); similarly for Alice.

A third student Carol has offered to help. She generates two random, distinct primes p and q with $p=q=3 \mod 4$ and announces the value N=pq. Carol then agrees to decrypt any *one* ciphertext that Alice and Bob give her (using the encryption scheme described in the previous question). Let $\mathcal{E}_N(b)$ denote the encryption of a bit b. Consider the following protocol:

- (a) Alice computes $C_A \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_N(x_A)$ and sends C_A to Bob.
- (b) Bob does the following: if $x_B = 0$, he computes $C_B \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_N(0)$. If $x_B = 1$, he sets $C_B = C_A$. In either case, he then sends C_B to Carol.

- (c) Carol decrypts C_B and announces the result.
- (1) Show that the final result computed by the above protocol is correct. (2) Show that Bob learns nothing about the input of Alice when $x_B = 0$. (3) Show that Alice does potentially learn something about the input of Bob when $x_A = 0$ (note that Alice sees what Bob sends to Carol). (4) Suggest a way to modify the protocol so that it is still correct, but neither Alice nor Bob learn anything about the other's input (when their own input is 0).
- 5. One of the more interesting applications of cryptography is that it enables secure gambling over the Internet. As an example, say parties A and B, communicating over the Internet and not in the same city, want to flip a fair coin. A will pay B \$1 if the coin is "0", and B will pay A \$1 if the coin is "1". Consider the following ways they might do this:
 - (a) A flips a coin and sends the result to B.
 - (b) A videotapes herself flipping a coin, and sends the result to B along with the video so he can verify that the coin-flip occurred as claimed.
 - (c) A generates random primes p, q with $p = q = 3 \mod 4$, chooses a random bit b, and sends $(N, \mathcal{E}_N(b))$ to B (the notation is like in the previous problem). Then B chooses a random bit b' and sends b' to A. Finally, A reveals p and q (at which point B can figure out b) and the value of the coin is $b \oplus b'$.
 - (d) A generates random primes p, q with $p = q = 3 \mod 4$, chooses a random bit b, and sends $(N, \mathcal{E}_N(b))$ to B. Then B chooses a random bit b' and sends $\mathcal{E}_N(b')$ to A. Finally, A reveals p and q (at which point everyone can figure out b, b') and the value of the coin is $b \oplus b'$.

In which of the above protocols can A cheat and bias the value of the coin? In which of the above protocols can B cheat? Which of the above protocols (if any) are secure against cheating by either player?

- 6. Consider the following way to deal cards to two players A and B. In what follows, let p = 2q + 1 where p, q are prime, and let g be a generator of the subgroup of quadratic residues in \mathbb{Z}_p^* (assume the decisional Diffie-Hellman assumption holds in this subgroup). All computations in what follows are done modulo p. Assume A has public key $y_A = g^{x_A}$ and B has public key $y_B = g^{x_B}$ where $x_A, x_B \in \mathbb{Z}_q$.
 - (a) The deck of cards is some fixed set $\{y_1, \ldots, y_{52}\}$, where $y_1, \ldots, y_{52} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ are distinct quadratic residues known to both A and B.
 - (b) A begins by encrypting all cards using her public key; i.e., for each i she computes $C_i = \langle g^{r_i}, \ y_A^{r_i} \cdot y_i \rangle$ (where all r_i are chosen independently at random from \mathbb{Z}_q). She then randomly permutes the set of ciphertexts and sends them to B.
 - (c) B chooses five of the $\{C_i\}$ at random, call these C_1^*, \ldots, C_5^* , and sends them back to A (these will represent A's cards). From the remaining values, B chooses another five at random; call these $\hat{C}_1, \ldots, \hat{C}_5$. For each of these values $\hat{C}_i = \langle z_{i,1}, z_{i,2} \rangle$, B computes $\hat{C}'_i = \langle g^{r'_i}, z_{i,1} \cdot g^{s_i}, z_{i,2} \cdot y_B^{r'_i} \cdot y_A^{s_i} \rangle$ and sends $\hat{C}'_1, \ldots, \hat{C}'_5$ back to A as well. Here, the r'_i , s_i are chosen independently at random from \mathbb{Z}_q .

(d) For each of the values $\hat{C}'_i = \langle w_1, w_2, w_3 \rangle$ that A receives, A computes $\hat{C}''_i = \langle w_1, w_3/w_2^{x_A} \rangle$ and sends the result back to B (these will represent B's cards).

Show how both A and B can compute the value of the cards in their hand. Show that the resulting protocol is *correct* in that — assuming both A and B are honest — the 10 cards dealt are all distinct and uniformly-chosen from the deck. Argue also that neither A nor B know about the cards in the other player's hand.

Assume we change the protocol so that B can choose the set of cards $\{y_1, \ldots, y_{52}\}$ any way he wants, as long as $y_1, \ldots, y_{52} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and they are all distinct. Show how B can "mark" cards so that he can cheat when selecting his hand later (e.g., he can "mark" the ace of spades and make sure he always gets it). *Hint:* El Gamal encryption is secure only if it is used to encrypt quadratic residues...