

Problem Set 1 — Solutions

Thanks to Dov Gordon for helping with these solutions

1. Say the scheme is not perfectly secret. Then for some distribution \mathcal{D} over the plaintext space \mathcal{P} , there exists a message $m \in \mathcal{P}$ with¹ $\Pr_{\mathcal{D}}[M = m] \neq 0$ and a ciphertext c with $\Pr_{\mathcal{D}}[C = c] \neq 0$ such that

$$\Pr_{\mathcal{D}}[M = m \mid C = c] \neq \Pr_{\mathcal{D}}[M = m]. \quad (1)$$

Assume $\Pr_{\mathcal{D}}[M = m \mid C = c] > \Pr_{\mathcal{D}}[M = m]$. (The proof can be modified in case the opposite holds. Alternately, it is possible to show that Equation (1) implies that there exists a \tilde{c} with $\Pr_{\mathcal{D}}[C = \tilde{c}] \neq 0$ and $\Pr_{\mathcal{D}}[M = m \mid C = \tilde{c}] > \Pr_{\mathcal{D}}[M = m]$.) Using the definition of conditional probabilities, it is not hard to see that this implies $\Pr[C = c \mid M = m] > \Pr_{\mathcal{D}}[C = c]$. (Note that we have removed the subscript in the first case since this probability no longer depends on \mathcal{D} , but only on the choice of the key.)

Now,

$$\Pr[C = c \mid M = m] > \Pr_{\mathcal{D}}[C = c] = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{P}} \Pr[C = c \mid M = m] \cdot \Pr_{\mathcal{D}}[M = m],$$

where the sum is taken over all m with $\Pr_{\mathcal{D}}[M = m] \neq 0$. It follows that there exists an $m' \in \mathcal{P}$ with

$$\Pr[C = c \mid M = m] > \Pr[C = c \mid M = m'].$$

Let m, m', c be as above, and consider the following adversary \mathcal{A} in the indistinguishability experiment: \mathcal{A} outputs the messages m, m' . It gets back a ciphertext C . If $\hat{c} = c$ it outputs $b' = 0$ and otherwise it outputs a random bit. What is the probability that $b' = b$? We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[b' = b] &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Pr[b' = 0 \mid b = 0] + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Pr[b' = 1 \mid b = 1] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\Pr[b' = 0 \mid b = 0 \wedge C = c] \cdot \Pr[C = c \mid b = 0] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Pr[b' = 0 \mid b = 0 \wedge C \neq c] \cdot \Pr[C \neq c \mid b = 0] \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\Pr[b' = 1 \mid b = 1 \wedge C = c] \cdot \Pr[C = c \mid b = 1] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Pr[b' = 1 \mid b = 1 \wedge C \neq c] \cdot \Pr[C \neq c \mid b = 1] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\Pr[C = c \mid M = m] + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Pr[C \neq c \mid M = m] \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \Pr[C \neq c \mid M = m'] \right), \end{aligned}$$

¹We use the notation $\Pr_{\mathcal{D}}[\cdot]$ to emphasize that the probability is taken over the distribution \mathcal{D} on the plaintext space (in addition to random choice of key).

by definition of \mathcal{A} . Since $\Pr[C \neq c \mid M = m] = 1 - \Pr[C = c \mid M = m]$, we obtain

$$\Pr[b' = b] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left(\Pr[C = c \mid M = m] - \Pr[C = c \mid M = m'] \right) > \frac{1}{2}.$$

So the scheme is not perfectly indistinguishable.

2. Consider the following A : On input $x \in \{0, 1\}^{2n}$, enumerate (in exponential time) the set $S = \{G(s) \mid s \in \{0, 1\}^n\}$. Output 1 iff $x \in S$.

Clearly, if $x = G(s)$ for some s then A outputs 1 with probability 1. On the other hand, if x is chosen uniformly at random then

$$\Pr[A(x) = 1] = \Pr[x \in S] = \frac{|S|}{2^{2n}} \leq \frac{2^n}{2^{2n}} = 2^{-n}.$$

So, for n large enough, $|\Pr[A(G(s)) = 1] - \Pr[A(r) = 1]| = 1 - 2^{-n} > \frac{1}{2}$.

3. For any adversary A interacting with the given experiment, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[b' = b] &= \Pr[b' = 0 \mid b = 0] \cdot \Pr[b = 0] + \Pr[b' = 1 \mid b = 1] \cdot \Pr[b = 1] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Pr[A(G(s)) = 0] + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Pr[A(r) = 1] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(1 - \Pr[A(G(s)) = 1] \right) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Pr[A(r) = 1] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\Pr[A(r) = 1] - \Pr[A(G(s)) = 1] \right). \end{aligned}$$

So $|\Pr[b' = b] - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \text{negl}(n)$ iff $|\Pr[A(r) = 1] - \Pr[A(G(s)) = 1]| \leq \text{negl}(n)$.