

Problem Set 5

Due at the *beginning* of class on May 1
Please type your solutions, preferably using latex.

1. In class you saw a single database PIR scheme based on the hardness of deciding quadratic residuosity, with communication complexity $n^{1/2}$. Show how to extend this approach to obtain communication complexity n^ϵ for any constant $\epsilon > 0$. (*Hint*: use recursion.) No proof is needed; just describe the construction.
2. Recall the 8-database PIR scheme from class with communication complexity $\Theta(n^{1/3})$. Recall that the user's index I is mapped to (a, b, c) with $a, b, c \in \{1, \dots, n^{1/3}\}$. Let (S_i, T_i, U_i) be the query sent to database i . Say *index* $I = (a, b, c)$ *is in* (S, T, U) if the a th bit of S is equal to 1; the b th bit of T is equal to 1; and the c th bit of U is equal to 1.
 - (a) If the user queries the databases for index I , what is the probability that I is in (S_i, T_i, U_i) for *some* value of i ?
 - (b) Fix any $i \in \{1, \dots, 8\}$. If the user queries the databases for index I , what is the probability that I is in (S_i, T_i, U_i) ?
 - (c) Fix any $i \in \{1, \dots, 8\}$ and let $I \neq I'$. If the user queries the databases for index I , what is the probability that I' is in (S_i, T_i, U_i) ?
 - (d) In class the following algorithm was suggested for attacking the user's security: say database i knows that the user's index is either $I_0 = (a_0, b_0, c_0)$ or $I_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1)$, each with probability $1/2$. Then the database does the following: If I_0 is in (S_i, T_i, U_i) guess "0"; otherwise output a random guess. Compute the probability that the database correctly guesses the user's index.
3. Prove that the existence of a one-time signature scheme for 1-bit messages implies the existence of one-way functions.
4. In class we described *encoded RSA* where signing a message m is done by computing

$$\sigma := (\text{enc}(m))^d \bmod N,$$

for some appropriate encoding function enc . Show that encoded RSA is insecure when $\text{enc}(m) = 0 \parallel m \parallel 0^{\ell/10}$, where ℓ is the bit-length of the modulus N .

5. A *strong* one-time signature scheme satisfies the following (informally): given a signature σ on a message m , it is infeasible to output $(m', \sigma') \neq (m, \sigma)$ for which σ' is a valid signature on m' (note that $m = m'$ is now allowed, as long as $\sigma' \neq \sigma$).
 - (a) Assuming the existence of one-way functions, show a one-way function f for which Lamport's scheme is *not* a strong one-time signature scheme.
 - (b) Construct a strong one-time signature scheme using any assumption we have seen in class. (*Hint*: Use a particular one-way function in Lamport's scheme.)