Pearson Rank: A Head-Weighted Gap-Sensitive Score-Based Correlation Coefficient

Ning Gao,^{1,2} **Mossaab Bagdouri,**¹ and **Douglas W. Oard**^{1,2} {ninggao,mossaab,oard}@umd.edu ¹University of Maryland, College Park

² Human Language Technology Center of Excellence, The Johns Hopkins University



Motivation

- Participating systems are ranked in TREC, CLEF, NTCIR, FIRE evaluations
 Goal: reliable system comparisons despite incomplete judgments
- Key idea: compare system rankings using complete or incomplete judgments
 Which differences matter most? Large gaps? Those between good systems?
- Pearson Rank: <u>head-weighted</u> correlation coefficient for an <u>interval</u> scale.



Pearson Rank Definition



score difference in ground-truth ranking score difference in approximated ranking

Example

$$\rho_{r} = \frac{\rho_{r,2} + \rho_{r,3}}{x_{2} + x_{3}}$$

$$\mu_{r,2} = x_{2} \cdot \frac{(x_{1} - x_{2})(y_{1} - y_{2})}{\sqrt{(x_{1} - x_{2})^{2}(y_{1} - y_{2})^{2}}}$$

$$\rho_{r,3} = x_{3} \cdot \frac{(x_{1} - x_{3})(y_{1} - y_{3}) + (x_{2} - x_{3})(y_{2} - y_{3})}{\sqrt{(x_{1} - x_{3})^{2} + (x_{2} - x_{2})^{2}}\sqrt{(y_{1} - y_{3})^{2} + (y_{2} - y_{3})^{2}}}$$

Simulations

Perturb scores in ways that maintain ranking
 τ = τ_{AP} = τ_{GAP} = 1 (by construction)



Reference scores: uniform |||||||



 Quartile
 0th
 1st
 median
 3rd
 4th

 ρ_c
 0.51
 0.80
 0.87
 0.91
 1.00



Properties

• $-1 \le \rho_r \le +1$

- Early swaps yield greater reduction in ρ_r
- Swaps with larger gaps yield greater reduction in ρ_r
- Gap errors without swaps yield reduction in ρ_r

	Ordinal	Interval	Head-Weighted	Symmetric
Pearson ρ	\checkmark	\checkmark	×	\checkmark
Kendall's $ au$	\checkmark	×	×	\checkmark
Yilmaz's $ au_{AP}$	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	×
Gao's $ au_{\text{GAP}}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	×
Pearson Rank $ ho_r$	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	×