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SHOP is a hierarchical task network planning algorithm that is provably sound and complete across a large class of planning domains. It plans for tasks in the same order that they will later be executed, and thus, it knows the current world state at each step of the planning process. SHOP takes advantage of this knowledge by allowing a high degree of expressive power in its knowledge bases. For example, SHOP's preconditions can include logical inferences, complex numeric computations, and calls to external programs. SHOP is powerful enough that an implementation is being used as an embedded planner in the Naval Research Laboratory's HICAP system.

SHOP (simple hierarchical ordered planner) is a domain-independent generalization of a planning technique that we originally developed for use in several domain-specific planning systems, including the EDAR system for manufacturing planning (Smith et al. 1997) and the BRIDGE BARON program for declarer play in the game of bridge (Smith, Nau, and Throop 1998). The SHOP algorithm is a hierarchical task network (HTN) planning algorithm, but it differs from other HTN planning algorithms in that SHOP plans for tasks in the same order that they will be executed. Thus, SHOP always knows the current state of the world at each step of the planning process, and SHOP takes advantage of this knowledge by incorporating a high degree of expressive power into its domain representations. For example, SHOP's preconditions can include Horn-clause inferencing, numeric computations, and calls to external programs.

SHOP's expressive power can be used to create domain representations for complex application domains. For example, an implementation of SHOP is being used as the generative planning module for HICAP (Muñoz-Avila et al. 2001a, 1999), a plan-authoring system for noncombatant evacuation operations (NEOs).

The SHOP Planning Algorithm

Here, we summarize the SHOP algorithm's primary features. For more details, see Nau et al. (1999).

Because SHOP is an HTN planning algorithm, it creates plans by recursively decomposing tasks (activities that need to be performed) into smaller and smaller subtasks, until primitive tasks are reached (tasks that can be accomplished directly). SHOP uses methods and operators as in HTN planning. An operator (similar to a status operator) specifies a way to perform a primitive task, and a method specifies a way to decompose a nonprimitive task into a set of subtasks.

Unlike most HTN planners, SHOP requires the decomposition produced by each method to be a totally ordered set of subtasks. Using this restriction, SHOP plans for the tasks in the same order that they will later be executed, which makes SHOP simpler than HTN planners such as NONLIN (Tate 1977), SIDE-2 (Wilkins 1990), O-PLAN (Currie and Tate 1991), and UMCP (Erol, Hendler, and Nau 1994) and makes it easier to prove soundness and completeness results for SHOP.

The SHOP algorithm is shown in figure 1. As an example of how it works, figure 2 shows two methods for traveling from one location to another: (1) traveling by airplane and (2) traveling by taxi. Note that each method produces a totally ordered list of subtasks. Suppose that all these subtasks are primitive except for the travel subtasks. If we asked SHOP to use these methods to find a plan for the task of traveling from the University of Maryland to...
procedure SHOP (S, T, D)
1. if T = nil then return nil endif
2. t = the first task in T
3. U = the remaining tasks in T
4. if t is primitive (i.e., there is an operator for t) then
5. nondeterministically choose an operator o for t
6. P = SHOP (o(S), U, D)
7. if P = FAIL then return FAIL endif
8. return cons(p, P)
9. else if there is a method applicable to t whose
   preconditions can all be inferred from S then
10. nondeterministically let m be such a method
11. return SHOP(S, append(m(t,S), U, D)
12. else
13. return FAIL.
14. endif
end SHOP

Figure 1. The SHOP Planning Algorithm.
S is a state, T is a list of tasks, and D is the knowledge base (methods, operators, and Horn-clause axioms).

travel(x,y)

Travel by air:
Preconditions:
- distance(x,y) > 100
- local-airport(x,a)
- local-airport(y,b)

Decomposition:
- buy-ticket(a,b)
- travel(x,a)
- fly(a,b)
- travel(b,y)

Travel by taxi:
Preconditions:
- distance(x,y) < 50

Decomposition:
- get-taxi(x)
- ride-taxi(x,y)
- pay-driver(x,y)

Figure 2. Two Different Methods for Traveling from One Location to Another.

In our experiments, SHOP generated plans several orders of magnitude more quickly than BLACKBOX (Kautz and Selman 1999), iFP (Koehler et al. 1997), and UNCF (Erol, Hendler, and Nau 1994). We believe the primary reason it outperformed these planners was because SHOP's higher level of expressivity made it possible to formulate highly expressive domain algorithms in SHOP.

In our experiments, SHOP also performed several times as fast as TLPLAN (Bacchus and Kabanza 2000). TLPLAN, which does a forward search guided by pruning rules written in modal logic, has expressivity similar to that of SHOP, and in fact, we believe that the big-O complexity of TLPLAN and SHOP was not too different.

In the Fifth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence Planning and Scheduling (AIPS'00) planning competition, SHOP was outperformed by TALPLANNER (Doherty and Kvanström 1999). TALPLANNER is based on TLPLAN but achieves substantial speedups compared to TLPLAN through the use of preprocessing techniques and fast data structures. We have started to make changes to SHOP's data structures to make them faster; for example, we found that a simple change to the data structure SHOP uses to represent its world states would speed SHOP up by about an order of magnitude on large problems. We intend to make more optimizations in the near future.

An Application of SHOP
One of our implementations of SHOP is used as an embedded planning module in the Naval Research Laboratory's HICAP plan-authoring system for noncombatant evacuation operations (NEOs) (Muñoz-Avila et al. 2001a, 1999). The architecture of HICAP is shown in figure 4. HICAP dynamically elaborates plans, derived from military doctrine on NEOs and represented as HTNs, using interactive case-based inferencing.
(Aha and Breslow 1997). HICAP assists users with dynamic plan elaboration by providing the following functions: (1) manual editing of plans represented using HTNs using a hierarchical task editor, (2) interactive plan expansion using a case-based reasoning module called NaCoDAE (Aha and Breslow 1997), (3) automated plan expansion using SHOP, and (4) a lessons delivery module that monitors HICAP's plan to notify the user when lessons become applicable and recommend corresponding plan-elaboration operations.

We are currently extending the capabilities of HICAP and SHOP as part of the Defense Advanced Research Project Agency's Active Templates Program.

Discussion and Conclusions

SHOP illustrates the synergy that can result from the interplay between planning applications and planning theory. The SHOP algorithm is a domain-independent formalization of our previous domain-specific work on domain-specific planners for applications in manufacturing planning and the game of bridge. Conversely, an implementation of the SHOP algorithm is being used as an embedded planning system in the HICAP application program.

Our ongoing and future work on SHOP is as follows:

We have developed an algorithm called Shor2 (Nau et al. 2001), which still generates the steps of a plan in the same order that they will later be executed but does not require the subtasks of a method to be partially ordered. In some cases, it is much easier to write knowledge bases for Shor2 than for SHOP.

We are integrating SHOP with the IMPACT (Eiter and Subrahmanian 1999; Eiter et al. 1999) multiagent architecture to provide planning in a multiagent environment. We have developed the theoretical foundations for this integration (Dix, Muñoz-Avila, and Nau 2001, 2000) and are developing an implementation.

We are making optimizations to SHOP's data structures, as mentioned earlier. We believe that these optimizations will speed up SHOP by several orders of magnitude.

We are extending SHOP to incorporate ways to reason about time and uncertainty, generate and evaluate contingency plans, and react to new information from external programs. We believe these extensions will be useful in several problem domains, such as the NEO domain mentioned earlier.

Because of the similarity between HTN planning and the work breakdown structures (WBSs) used in commercial project management packages (Muñoz-Avila et al. 2001b), we hope to develop HTN planning techniques to assist human project planners in creating WBSs.
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Note

1. Also see www.cs.umd.edu/projects/shop.
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