CMSC 132: OBJECT-ORIENTED PROGRAMMING II



Problem Specification & Software Architecture

Department of Computer Science University of Maryland, College Park

Overview

Problem specification

Obstacles

Software Architecture

- How to divide work
- Interface & conditions


Problem Specification


Goal

 Create complete, accurate, and unambiguous statement of problem to be solved

Problem Specification

- Example
 - Specification of input & output for program





Problem Specification Problems

Description may not be accurate

- Problem not understood by customer
- Description may change over time
 - Customer changes their mind
- Difficult to specify behavior for all inputs
 - Usually only covers common cases
 - Hard to consider all inputs (may be impossible)
 - Most UNIX utilities used to crash with random inputs
 - An Empirical Study of the Reliability of UNIX Utilities, B.P. Miller, L. Fredriksen, and B. So, 1991

Problem Specification Problems

Description may be ambiguous

- Natural language description is imprecise
 - Why lawyers use legalese for contracts
- Formal specification languages are limited and may be difficult to understand
- Examples
 - Find sum of all values between 1 and 100 that occur in the set S
 - Sum { $x \mid x \in S \land 1 \le x \le 100$ }
- Difficult to write specifications that are both readable and precise

Specification Example

- int process(int x, int [] a)
- Precondition:
 - a is an array of n integers, index 0...n-1
 - x is an integer
- Postcondition:
 - if result == -1, there is no value i such that a[i] == x
 - else, a[result] == x
- Critique this specification
- What is the task the method is suppose to perform?

Implementation

- Precondition:
 - a is an array of n integers, index 0...n-1
 - x is an integer
- Postcondition:
 - if result == -1, there is no value i such that a[i] == x
 - else, a[result] == x

Multiple Occurrences

• What if there are multiple occurrences of the value x in a?


- Are we allowed to return the index of any of them?
- Or should we always return the first index?

Program Design

- Goal
 - Break software into integrated set of components that work together to solve problem specification
- Problems
 - Methods for decomposing problem
 - How to divide work
 - What work to divide
 - How components work together
- Software Architecture
 - · Big picture of the software
 - Components generally bigger than objects or classes
- API (Application Programming Interface)
 - Set of subroutine definitions, protocols and tools for building software and applications
 - Reference: Wikipedia

Architecture of ProMoT

Just an arbitrary example of a real-world software architecture



Different Architecture Styles

- The same system can be described using several different architecture styles
 - Pipes and filters
 - What is the data, and what components do they move through
 - Blackboard
 - Components communicate through a shared, updatable blackboard
- Let's see some examples

Key Words in Context

 KWIC Index → <u>http://www.cs.uleth.ca/~forsyth/seminar/problems/kwic.html</u>

- The KWIC index system accepts an ordered set of lines, each line is an ordered set of words, and each word is an ordered set of characters. Any line may be "circularly shifted" by repeatedly removing the first word and appending it at the end of the line. The KWIC index system outputs a listing of all circular shifts of all lines in alphabetical order.
 - On the Criteria To Be Used in Decomposing Systems into Modules, David Parnas, 1972

Software Productivity Improvements

- This is a small system. Except under extreme circumstances (huge data base, no supporting software), such a system could be produced by a good programmer within a week or two.
 - On the Criteria To Be Used in Decomposing Systems into Modules, David Parnes, 1972
- Today: 15 minutes

Modularization

- Module 1: Input
 - Reads input and stores the lines
- Module 2: Circular shift
 - Prepares index with one entry per shifted line
- Module 3: Alphabetizing
 - Produces a sorted index
- Module 4: Output
 - Produces a formatted output
- Module 5: Master Control

Kwic Architecture




Figure 6: KWIC – Shared Data Solution

Commentary

- Using this solution data can be represented efficiently, since computations can share the same storage. The solution also has a certain intuitive appeal, since distinct computational aspects are isolated in different modules. However, as Parnas argues, it has a number of serious drawbacks in terms of its ability to handle changes. In particular, a change in data storage format will affect almost all of the modules. Similarly changes in the overall processing algorithm and enhancements to system function are not easily accommodated. Finally, this decomposition is not particularly supportive of reuse.
 - An Introduction to Software Architecture, David Garlan and Mary Shaw

Kwic Architecture, Pipes and Filters




Figure 9: KWIC – Pipe and Filter Solution

Commentary

- This solution has several nice properties. First, it maintains the intuitive flow of processing. Second, it supports reuse, since each filter can function in isolation (provided upstream filters produce data in the form it expects). New functions are easily added to the system by inserting filters at the appropriate point in the processing sequence. Third, it supports ease of modification, since filters are logically independent of other filters.
- On the other hand it has a number of drawbacks. First, it is virtually impossible to modify the design to support an interactive system. For example, in order to delete a line, there would have to be some persistent shared storage, violating a basic tenet of this approach. Second, the solution is inefficient in terms of its use of space, since each filter must copy all of the data to its output ports.
 - An Introduction to Software Architecture, David Garlan and Mary Shaw

Compiler Architecture

Pipes and Filters (Passing Tree)




Figure 16: Traditional Compiler Model with Shared Symbol Table

Compiler Architecture, Revisited

Blackboard




Figure 18: Canonical Compiler, Revisited

<u>References</u>

- On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules, David Parnas, 1972
 - http://www.cs.cmu.edu/afs/cs/project/able/ftp/intro_softarc h/intro_softarch.pdf
- An Introduction to Software Architecture, David Garlan and Mary Shaw, January 1994
 - <u>http://www.cs.cmu.edu/afs/cs/project/able/ftp/intro_softarc</u> <u>h/intro_softarch.pdf</u>