

CMSC412 Discussion

Wed. Sept 26

Overview

- Some background info
- High level p2 overview
- Misc. notes

Read the Source!
Start Early!

Adding signals (High level)

- Allow processes to "communicate" with one another via signalling
- Allow processes to register behavior upon receiving some signal
- Basically need:
 - A way for a process to "send" something
 - A way for a process to "receive" something
 - A way for processes to register what they want to do
 - A way for a process's execution to change based on whether it received something / what it received
 - A way for a process's execution to resume "normal execution" once the signal has been handled

Some background

- Process flow:
 - Threads get a small quantum to run
 - When time's up / blocks does context switch
 - changes program counter to some instruction
 - More detail in P2 spec
- Process memory
 - Each (user) process has kernel stack / user stack
 - Much more detail in P1 spec

A way for a process to "send" something

- Modify `Sys_Kill` to send signals
 - Process A can call `Sys_Kill` at some other process to send a signal
- (Confusing naming: `Sys_Kill` does not mean "Kill process" anymore)

A way for a process to "receive" something

- Information about what processes have received what signals needs to be stored somewhere.
- This information should be modified via `Sys_Kill`

A way for processes to register what they want to do

- **Sys_Signal**
 - Give it a function pointer indicating the user code to execute when it receives some signal
- Similarly, this needs to be stored somewhere as well

Read the Source!
Start Early!

Handle signals

- `Sys_RegDeliver` (see `_Entry.c`)
- `Check_Pending`, `Setup_Frame`
- Process resumes, if it has a signal (`Check_Pending`), it will enter `Setup_Frame`
- High level concept:
 - Either handle signal directly in the kernel (e.g. terminate)
 - Manipulate kernel stack (i.e. the `Interrupt_State`'s program counter) to control what code to execute next (handle signal)
 - Manipulate user stack - to control what code to execute after handling signal (trampoline function)

Recover from signals

- Because you have manipulated user stack...
- `Sys_ReturnSignal` -> `Complete_Handler`
- In `Complete_Handler`:
 - Want to return kernel stack to the way it was before signal handling...

(Actual) Handle signals

- High level concept:
 - Either handle signal directly in the kernel (e.g. terminate)
 - **SAVE current state of kernel stack somewhere**
 - convenient location: on the user stack
 - Manipulate kernel stack (i.e. the Interrupt_State's program counter) to control what code to execute next (handle signal)
 - Manipulate user stack - to control what code to execute after handling signal (trampoline function)

Recover from signals

- `Sys_ReturnSignal` -> `Complete_Handler`
- In `Complete_Handler`:
 - Want to return kernel stack to the way it was before signal handling
 - Take that snapshot of the kernel stack that you saved on user stack and put it back on top of the kernel stack

Misc. notes (more details in spec)

- Implement `Sys_WaitNoPid`
 - Wait without needing pid
- Various edge cases:
 - e.g. multiple signals
 - e.g. Getting a signal while handling a signal
 - e.g. Invalid input
 - e.g. Is the process going to execute in user space?
- Various changes from p1
 - e.g. `Sys_Kill`
 - e.g. detached children refcount

Read the Source!
Start Early!