4 problems. 80 points. Closed book. Closed notes. No electronic device. Write your name above.

**1. [20 points]** Jobs *A*, *B*, *C* have the following arrival times and service durations (in seconds):

- A: arrival time 0.0; service duration 3.5.
- *B*: arrival time 0.5; service duration 3.0.
- *C*: arrival time 3.5; service duration 2.5.
- a. **[4 points]** Assume fifo ready queue ("runnable queue" in GeekOS), no pre-emption, and zero context switch time. Complete the following table with a row for each successive service interval; each row indicates the interval and job being served.

## SOLUTION

| Interval  | Job served |
|-----------|------------|
| 0.0 – 3.5 | A          |
| 3.5 - 6.5 | В          |
| 6.5 – 9.0 | C          |

b. **[16 points]** Assume round robin with 1 second quantum, fifo ready queue, and zero context switch time. Complete the following table with a row for each service quantum. Indicate when a job departs.

# SOLUTION

| Interval  | Job served | [run; ready front;; ready back] at end of quantum.<br>Each job tagged with remaining service time. |       |
|-----------|------------|--|-------|
| 0.0 - 1.0 | A          | [A 2.5; B 3.0]   |       |
| 1.0 - 2.0 | В          | [B 2.0; A 2.5]   |       |
| 2.0 - 3.0 | A          | [A 1.5; B 2.0]   |       |
| 3.0 - 4.0 | В          | $[B \ 1.0; \ A \ 1.5; \ C \ 2.5]$  |       |
| 4.0 - 5.0 | A          | [A 0.5; C 2.5; B 1.0]  |       |
| 5.0 - 6.0 | <i>C</i>   | $[C \ 1.5; B \ 1.0; A \ 0.5]$  |       |
| 6.0 - 7.0 | В          | $[B \ 0.0; \ A \ 0.5; \ C \ 1.5] \qquad \qquad B \ dep$  | parts |
| 7.0 - 7.5 | A          | [A 0.0; C 1.5] A dep   | parts |
| 7.5 - 8.5 | C          | $[C \ 0.5]$  |       |
| 8.5 - 9.0 | C          | [C 0.0] C dep  | parts |

**Grading** -1 point for serving C instead of A in quantim 4.0–5.0

- **2. [30 points]** This question concerns GeekOS.
  - a. **[10 points]** At the end of GeekOS initialization (and before the user does anything), how many threads exist and what is each thread doing.

## SOLUTION

There are 9 threads: [1 point]

- Initial kernel thread (aka mainThread): waiting on initProcess. [1 point]
- Idle thread: paused at halt instruction ("current thread") [2 points]
- Reaper thread: waiting for input (from reap queue). [3 points]
- Shell (aka initProcess) thread: waiting for input (from keyboard) [2 points]
- IDE\_request thread: waiting to for input (from IDE request queue) [1 point]
- Floppy\_Request\_Thread [1 point]
- Alarm\_Handler\_Thread, Forwarding\_Thread, Net\_Device\_Receive\_Thread. [0 points]

**Grading** Points allocated as shown above.

Lose points for assigning threads to every IO device.

Lose points for assigning threads to memory management (?!), scheduler (?), syscalls, whatnot.

b. [5 points] During GeekOS initialization, Init\_Keyboard installs an interrupt handler, but Init\_Screen does not. Why not?

#### SOLUTION

There are two reasons:

First, the screen is an ouput-only device.

Second, the screen and CPU interact via video memory, so CPU does not have to wait between successive outputs to screen.

Grading 3 points for only one reason.

(Can keyboard inputs be done (perhaps inefficiently) without interrupts?)

c. [5 points] During GeekOS initialization, does Init\_IDE have to install an interrupt handler? Explain briefly.

#### SOLUTION

**Answer 1: [3 points]** There should be an interrupt handler (for efficiency) because the CPU can issue IDE requests (input or output) faster than the IDE can handle them.

**Answer 2:** [5 points] It's not necessary to have an interrupt handler. The CPU can simply busy wait for IDE IO to finish. Inefficient but doable.

(What does GeekOS do?)

#### d. [10 points]

In GeekOS, from an interrupt occurrence to the interrupt handler being executed, the CPU does an action and then executes code involving Handle\_Interrupt, g\_entryPointTable, s\_IDT, g\_interruptTable.

Write down the order in which these are done and briefly state happens in each.

## SOLUTION

First, CPU does the following action (in hardware):

| <ul> <li>if user level thread was interrupted, push user SS and SP on (kernel) stack.<br/>push EFLAGS, CS, EIP, and error code (if present) on stack</li> <li>get new CS, EIP, privilege level from s_IDT;</li> </ul> | [3 points]<br>[2 points] |
|---|--------------------------|
| Second, CPU executes code in g_entryPointTable:   |                          |
| <ul> <li>push an error code (if not already present) on stack<br/>push interrupt number on stack</li> </ul>   | [2 points]               |
| Third, CPU executes code in Handle_Interrupt:   |                          |
| <ul> <li>pushes rest of CPU regsiters, constructing "interrupt state"</li> <li>go to addresss pointed to be g_interruptTable enry.</li> </ul>   | [2 points]               |

**3. [20 points]** You are given buffer buff of max size N items and the following non-blocking functions: num(), returns the number of items in buff; add(x), adds item x to buff; and rmv(), removes and returns an item from buff. Initially buff is empty.

Obtain functions enQ(x) and deQ() that satisfy the following requirements.

- 1. They can be called by multiple threads simultaneously.
- 2. Semaphores are their *only* synchronization construct (no atomic read-modify-write, no disabling interrupts, no access to PCBs, no wait/wakeup, etc.). No busy waiting.
- 3. enQ(x) calls add(x) exactly once, waiting if num() = N holds.
- 4. deQ() calls rmv() exactly once, waiting if num() = 0 holds.
- 5. If a thread is in enQ and num() < N holds, then an enQ invocation returns.
- 6. If a thread is in deQ and num() > 0 holds, then an rmv invocation returns.

#### Be neat and clear. You lose points if I can't understand your code in a reasonable time.

#### SOLUTION 1 (from multi-threading note)

| Sha | red variables         | 5:     |         |         |        |            |            |      |
|-----|-----------------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------|------------|------|
|     | Semaphore(1)          | mutex  |         |         |        |            |            |      |
|     | Semaphore(0)          | gateE  | // enQ  | thread  | waits  | here if b  | ouff full  |      |
|     | int nE $\leftarrow$ 0 | // tra | cks nun | mber of | enQ tl | nreads wai | iting on g | ateE |
|     | Semaphore(0)          | gateD  | // deQ  | thread  | waits  | here if b  | ouff empty |      |
|     | int nD $\leftarrow$ 0 | // tra | cks nun | nber of | deQ tl | hreads wai | iting on g | ateD |

| $en \Omega(x)$                       | de0().                 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| eng(x).                              | ueu().                 |
| mutex.P()                            | mutex.P()              |
| if num() = $N$                       | if num() = 0           |
| $\text{nE} \leftarrow \text{nE} + 1$ | $nD \leftarrow nD + 1$ |
| <pre>mutex.V()</pre>                 | mutex.V()              |
| <pre>gateE.P()</pre>                 | gateD.P()              |
| $nE \leftarrow nE - 1$               | $nD \leftarrow nD - 1$ |
| add(x)                               | $x \leftarrow rmv()$   |
| if nD > 0                            | if nE > 0              |
| <pre>gateD.V()</pre>                 | gateE.V()              |
| else                                 | else                   |
| <pre>mutex.V()</pre>                 | mutex.V()              |
|                                      | return x               |

#### **SOLUTION 2** (from multi-threading note)

| Shared variables:     |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Semaphore(1) mutex    |  |
| Semaphore(N) spaces   | <pre>// enQ thread waits here if buff full</pre> |
| Semaphore(0) items    | // deQ thread waits here if buff empty           |
|                       |  |
| enQ(x):               | deQ():   |
| <pre>spaces.P()</pre> | items.P()  |
| <pre>mutex.P()</pre>  | mutex.P()  |
| add(x)                | $x \leftarrow rmv()$                             |

# Grading

mutex.V()
items.V()

- 15 points if you had the framework but details were wrong.
- 10 points if you had only some elements of the framework.
- 5 points if your solution did not satisfy requirement 2 but otherwise worked. (You've had ample warning about this, in class, in the notes, and in the practice exam.)

mutex.V()

spaces.V()
return x

## **4.** [10 points] *This extends problem 3.*

You are given buff, num(), add(x), and rmv() as in problem 3. Obtain functions enQ(x), deQ() and enQ2(x) that satisfy the following requirements.

- 1 6. Same as in problem 3.
  - 7. enQ2(x) calls add(x) exactly twice, waiting if num()  $\ge$  N-1 holds.
  - 8. If (a thread is in enQ2 or enQ) and (num() < N-1 holds continuously), then an invocation of enQ2 or enQ returns.

#### Be neat and clear. You lose points if I can't understand your code in a reasonable time.

# NOT A SOLUTION

It's not clear how to solve this along the lines of solution 2 in problem 3. A natural attempt is to define enQ and deQ as in problem 3 and define enQ2 as follows:

| enQ2(x):              |
|-----------------------|
| <pre>spaces.P()</pre> |
| <pre>spaces.P()</pre> |
| <pre>mutex.P()</pre>  |
| add(x)                |
| add(x)                |
| <pre>mutex.V()</pre>  |
| items.V()             |
| items.V()             |
|                       |

This does not satisfy requirement 5 as follows. Suppose there is only one space in buff, threads call enQ and enQ2, and the enQ2 thread completes the first spaces.P(). Then both threads are stuck, which violates requirement 5 (num() < N holds and the enQ thread is stuck).

# SOLUTION

Whereas it can be solved along the lines of solution 1 in problem 3.

```
Shared variables:

Semaphore(1) mutex

Semaphore(0) gateE // for enQ thread to wait

int nE \leftarrow 0 // number of enQ threads waiting

Semaphore(0) gateE2 // for enQ2 thread to wait

int nE2 \leftarrow 0 // number of enQ2 threads waiting

Semaphore(0) gateD // for deQ thread to wait

int nD \leftarrow 0 // number of deQ threads waiting
```

| enQ(x):                | enQ2(x):                |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| <pre>mutex.P()</pre>   | mutex.P()               |
| if num() = N           | if num() $\geq$ N $-$ 1 |
| $nE \leftarrow nE + 1$ | nE2 ← nE2 + 1           |
| <pre>mutex.V()</pre>   | mutex.V()               |
| gateE.P()              | gateE2.P()              |
| $nE \leftarrow nE - 1$ | nE2 ← nE2 - 1           |
| add(x)                 | add(x)                  |
| if nD > 0              | add(x)                  |
| gateD.V()              | if nD > 0               |
| else                   | gateD.V() // 1 V        |
| <pre>mutex.V()</pre>   | else                    |
|                        | mutex.V()               |

de0(): mutex.P() if buff.size = 0  $\texttt{nD} \leftarrow \texttt{nD} + 1$ mutex.V() gateD.P()  $n\text{D} \leftarrow n\text{D} - 1$  $x \leftarrow buff.remove$ if (nE > 0)gateE.V() else if (nE2 > 0 andnum() < N-1)qateE2.V()else if nD > 0gateD.V() // note else mutex.V() return x

## Grading

- 5 points if your solution works except for requirement 5. E.g., the one under "NOT A SOLUTION" gets 5 points.
- Zero points if you did not satisfy requirement 2.