

2 problems. 40 points. 30 minutes Closed book. Closed notes. No electronic device. Write your name above.

Program BB models a “bounded-buffer” of size N .

Awaits are weak (i.e., a thread passes await (B) S if B holds continuously).

Parameter j is an integer in $1..N$.

```

program BB():
  N: positive integer
  num ← 0

  function cAdd(j):
    await (num ≤ N - j)
    num ← num + j

  function cRmv(j):
    await (num ≥ j)
    num ← num - j

```

1. [25 points] Implement program BB (including its progress) using locks and condition variables as the *only* synchronization constructs. Your answer will consist of

- Definitions of additional variables (e.g., locks, condition variables).
- Pseudocode bodies of functions $cAdd(j)$ and $cRmv(j)$. *Each function must be less than 12 lines.*

Solution

Shared variables:

Lock lck [1 pt]
 Condition(lck) cvAdd, cvRmv [4 pt]

$cAdd(j)$:

```

lck.acq() [1 pt]
while (num > N - j) [4 pt]
  cvAdd.wait()
num ← num + j
cvRmv.signal() [2 pt]
if (num < N) ** [1 pt]
  cvAdd.signal() ** [1 pt]
lck.rel() [1 pt]

```

$cRmv(j)$:

```

lck.acq() [1 pt]
while (num < j) [4 pt]
  cvRmv.wait()
num ← num - j
cvAdd.signal() [2 pt]
if (num > 0) ** [1 pt]
  cvRmv.signal() ** [1 pt]
lck.rel() [1 pt]

```

Note: The ** lines are needed. Otherwise the following can happen, which violates BB’s progress:

initially	num is 0
thread u calls $cRmv(1)$	num is 0; u stuck at cvRmv
thread v calls $cRmv(1)$	num is 0; u, v stuck at cvRmv
thread w calls $cAdd(2)$, returns	num is 2; u unstuck, v stuck at cvRmv
thread u returns	num is 1; v stuck at cvRmv

End of solution

2. [15 points] Implement program BB using semaphores as the *only* synchronization constructs. *Your solution must ensure priority for awakened threads*, i.e., if a thread is awakened at a gate, it must not get blocked again.

Your answer will consist of

- Definitions of additional variables (e.g., semaphores).
- Brief description of function bodies. No need for pseudocode.

Solution

Suppose thread u is blocked in $cRmv(j)$. It should be awakened, say by thread v , only if $num \geq j$; otherwise, u would get blocked again. So v has to know the value of u 's parameter j . Here are two ways:

- v reads u 's j (requires new functions)
- u waits on a gate specific to j (requires new variables)

Let's do the second option here.

Shared variables:

```

Semaphore(1) mutex [1 pt]
Semaphore(0) gateAdd[1..N] // thread stuck in cvAdd(j) waits on gateAdd[j] [2 pt]
int nwAdd[1..N] // nwAdd[j] is # threads waiting on gateAdd[j]; initially 0 [2 pt]
Semaphore(0) gateRmv[1..N] // thread stuck in cvRmv(j) waits on gateRmv[j] [2 pt]
int nwRmv[1..N] // nwRmv[j] is # threads waiting on gateRmv[j]; initially 0 [2 pt]

```

Function $cAdd(j)$:

- do $mutex.P()$
if guard does not hold, do $nwAdd[j]++$, $mutex.V()$, $gateAdd[j].P()$, $nwAdd[j]--$ [3 pt]
- do action
if there is a k such that $nwAdd[k] > 0$ and $num \leq N - k$, do $gateAdd[k].V()$ and return
or if there is a k such that $nwRmv[k] > 0$ and $num \leq N - k$, do $gateRmv[k].V()$ and return
or if there is no such k do $mutex.V()$ and return [3 pt]

Function $cRmv(j)$ is symmetric (step 3 is exactly the same).

[6 pt] max for solution that uses one gate (instead of N gates) and works for the case $N=1$

[7 pt] max for solution that uses memory proportional to the max # of threads (= max # of ongoing calls).

[-1 pt] for not using gate counters

[-1 pt] for doing $mutex.P()$ after $gate.P()$ in step 1.

[-2 pt] for not doing the selection in step 2, e.g., waking up more than one thread and/or releasing $mutex$.

End of solution