

Solution to 1 [20 points]**Solution to part a [10 points]**

We prove it.

The predicates below are from homework 5A. G_1 is the conjunction of A_1 and A_2 (5A part 1). G_1 is the conjunction of B_1 and B_2 (5A part 2). C_2 – C_5 are as in 5A part 3.

- $$G_1: ((y \text{ in } \alpha.inpts(A.mKey)) \text{ or } (y \text{ in } \alpha.inpts(B.mKey))) \Rightarrow (y \text{ seu } A.mKey)$$
- $$G_2: ((y \text{ in } \alpha.inpts(B.mKey)) \text{ or } (y \text{ in } \alpha.inpts(A.mKey))) \Rightarrow (y \text{ seu } B.mKey)$$
- $$C_2: ((y \text{ in } \alpha.inpts(A.mKey) \text{ or } \text{chan}.inpts(A.mKey))$$
- $$\text{ and } y = \text{enc}(A.mKey,p) \text{ and } p.size = 4)$$
- $$\Rightarrow ((p[2] \text{ ncf } \alpha) \text{ and } (p[3] \text{ seu } Z.mKeyB) \text{ and } p[3] = \text{enc}(Z.mKeyB,[p[2],A]))$$
- $$C_3: ((y \text{ in } \alpha.inpts(B.mKey) \text{ or } \text{chan}.inpts(A.mKey))$$
- $$\text{ and } y = \text{enc}(B.mKey,q) \text{ and } q.size = 2)$$
- $$\Rightarrow (q[0] \text{ ncf } \alpha)$$
- $$C_4: ((A.kAB \text{ defined}) \text{ and } (y \text{ in } \alpha.inpts(A.kAB)))$$
- $$\Rightarrow ((y \text{ seu } A.kAB) \text{ or } (y \text{ seu } A.mKey) \text{ or } (y \text{ seu } B.mKey))$$
- $$C_5: ((B.kAB \text{ defined}) \text{ and } (y \text{ in } \alpha.inpts(B.kAB)))$$
- $$\Rightarrow ((y \text{ seu } B.kAB) \text{ or } (y \text{ seu } A.mKey) \text{ or } (y \text{ seu } B.mKey))$$

Solution to part b [10 points]

We prove it.

The predicates below are from homework 7A (with the same labels).

- $$D_1: (A \text{ at } 2) \Rightarrow ([.,A.kAB] \text{ not in hst})$$
- $$D_2: ((B \text{ at } 2) \text{ and } (\text{enc}(B.kAB,B.n3-1) \text{ in } \text{chan}/\alpha))$$
- $$\Rightarrow (([B,B.kAB] \text{ not in hst}) \text{ and } ([A,B.kAB] \text{ in hst}))$$
- $$D_3: ((A \text{ at } 1) \text{ and } (\text{enc}(A.mKey,[A.n1,B,k,tk]) \text{ in } \text{chan}/\alpha))$$
- $$\Rightarrow ([.,k] \text{ not in hst})$$
- $$D_5: (A.mKey \text{ ncf } \alpha) \text{ and } (B.mKey \text{ ncf } \alpha)$$
- $$\text{ and } ((A.kAB \text{ defined}) \Rightarrow (A.kAB \text{ ncf } \alpha))$$
- $$\text{ and } ((B.kAB \text{ defined}) \Rightarrow (B.kAB \text{ ncf } \alpha))$$
- $$F_0: ((i \text{ in hst.keys}, i \neq 0) \text{ and } \text{hst}[i] = [B,k]) \Rightarrow \text{hst}[i-1] = [A,k]$$
- $$F_1: ((B \text{ at } 2) \text{ and } (\text{enc}(B.kAB,B.n3-1) \text{ in } \text{chan}/\alpha))$$
- $$\Rightarrow \text{hst}.last = [A,B.kAB]$$
- $$F_2: ((A \text{ at } 2) \text{ and } (\text{enc}(A.kAB,[A.n2-1,.]) \text{ in } \text{chan}/\alpha))$$
- $$\Rightarrow (\text{enc}(.,B.n3-1) \text{ not in } \text{chan}/\alpha)$$

// G_1, G_2
 // implied by C_4
 // implied by C_5

Solution to 2 [20 points]

We disprove both assertions.

Counter-example evolution (from homework 7B)

1. Initial step: A send $[A, Z, B, n1]$.
2. Z.1 step: receive $[A, Z, B, n1]$, send $[Z, A, \text{enc}(k_{AZ}, [n1, B, k, \text{tkct}])]$ with $\text{tkct} = \text{enc}(k_{BZ}, [k, A])$.
3. A.1 step: receive message in step 2.
After: $A.k_{AB} = k$
4. Attacker getPwA: add k_{AZ} to α , set $A.mKey$ and $Z.mKeyA$ to random value.
After: k_{AZ} and $[Z, A, \text{enc}(k_{AZ}, [n1, B, k, \text{tkct}])]$ are in α . From these attacker gets $[n1, B, k, \text{tkct}]$, from which it gets k .

Part a predicate does not hold in this state

4. Attacker: send message $[A, B, \text{tkct}, \text{enc}(k, 9)]$. B.1: receive above message, send message $[B, A, \text{enc}(k, [9, n3])]$.
Attacker: receive above message, send message $[A, B, \text{enc}(k, n3-1)]$.
B.2: receive above message, add $[B, k]$ to hst.

Part b predicate does not hold in this state

Solution to 3 [10 points]**Solution to part a [2 points]**

Attacker cannot obtain W by offline-dictionary attack because the only quantities that are encrypted using W , i.e., $\text{enc}(W, c_B)$ and $\text{enc}(W, n_B+1)$, are themselves encrypted using the Diffie-Hellman key, which is a strong key.

Solution to part b [8 points]

Attacker can obtain W by offline-dictionary.

First, it does the classic man-in-the-middle attack, from which it obtains $\text{enc}(W, c_{B+1})$ and $\text{enc}(W, c_B)$. It can then do an offline-dictionary attack on these two quantities.

Details of the man-in-the-middle attack [4 points]:

- It intercepts A's initial message, say $[A, B, 1, t_A]$.
- It generates a DH random number n_Z and sets t_Z to $g^{n_Z} \bmod p$. It sends $[A, B, 1, t_Z]$. It constructs the DH key shared with A, i.e., $k_{AZ} \leftarrow t_A^{n_Z} \bmod p$.
- It intercepts B's response message, say $[B, A, t_B, \text{enc}(k_{BZ}, \text{enc}(W, c_B))]$.
- It constructs the DH key shared with B, i.e., $k_{BZ} \leftarrow t_B^{n_Z} \bmod p$. It decrypts the last field of the message using k_{BZ} and then encrypts it with k_{AZ} . It sends $[B, A, t_Z, \text{enc}(k_{AZ}, \text{enc}(W, c_B))]$. It now has $\text{enc}(W, c_B)$.
- It intercepts A's response message, say $[A, B, \text{enc}(k_{AZ}, \text{enc}(W, c_{B+1}))]$.
- It decrypts the last field of the message using k_{AZ} , thereby obtaining $\text{enc}(W, c_{B+1})$.
- It now has $\text{enc}(W, c_{B+1})$.

Details of the dictionary attack [4 points]:

- Let $p = \text{enc}(W, c_{B+1})$ and $q = \text{enc}(W, c_B)$.
For candidate password, obtain candidate key c_W and check for $\text{dec}(c_W, p) = \text{dec}(c_W, q)+1$ until match.

Solution to 4 [10 points]

Part a: A authenticates B when its ssl receives $\text{enc}(K, [\text{another keyed hash of handshake}])$.
B authenticates A at end of authentication handshake involving W.

Part b: No. Messages between client A and its ssl are not encrypted.

Part c: A tcp message in the data exchange phase would have:
ip/tcp header: not encrypted.
ssl header: encrypted.
ssl payload: encrypted.

Part c: No. Because the data between ssl and tcp are encrypted.