Kerberos, SSL, IPsec

Shankar

May 28, 2013

Authentication in network (Realm)

- Realm has KDC and users (principals)
- Users: human (log in to worsktations) apps: NFS, rsh, etc
- Authentication: based on Needham-Schroeder protocol
- Attacker: can read and write messages in transit.
- Assumes DES and IPv4
- Uses timestamps: nodes need synchronized clocks

KDC has

- Master key for each user
 - weak key for human; strong key for apps
- Secret-key K_{KDC} not shared with any user
 - to encrypt database (master keys), TGTs
- Database: changes only when user's master key changes
 - mitigates KDC from becoming bottleneck

When a human user logs in

- KDC authenticates user based on user's master key
- KDC gives user credentials encrypted with user's master key
 - Session key: for current login session
 // user's master key not used after login
 - Ticket Granting Ticket (TGT) encrypted by K_{KDC}:
 - for user to obtain further tickets from KDC

For human user to access an app

- User sends KDC enc([request,TGT,timestamp], session key)
- KDC returns credentials encrypted with session key
 - session key to talk to app
 - ticket encrypted with app's master key (app is not human)
- user sends app [request, ticket]

K4: Login handshake

user A (has pw) at workstation	KDC (has A: K _A)
start login	
send ["A needs TGT"]	receive msg, retrieve K_A
	generate session key S_A
	$tgt_A \leftarrow enc([A, S_A], K_{KDC})$
	$crd_A \leftarrow enc([S_A, tgt_A], K_A)$
	send [crd _A]
receive msg	
get K _A from pw	
extract S_A , tgt _A from crd _A	
forget pw;	
// use S _A henceforth	
finish login	

K4: Accessing remote app B (LATER IN THE SESSION)

user A at workstation	
rlogin B	
send [A,B, tgt _A , enc(ts,S _A)]	rcv msg, gen sess key k
$// S_{A}(ts)$: authenticator	get S _A from tgt _A
	get ts and verify
	find B's master key K _B
	$tkt_B \leftarrow enc([A,K], K_B)$
	$crd_{B} = enc([B,K,tkt_{B}], S_{A})$
	// credential
•	send [crd_B] to A
receive msg	B
extract K, tkt _B	
send [A,B,tkt _B , enc(ts,K)]	receive msg from A
	extract K, ts
receive msg	send [B,A, enc(ts+1, K)]
end	

K4: Replicated KDCs for performance

- One master KDC and several secondary KDCs
- Each secondary KDC has read-only copy of KDC database
- Additions/deletions/changes to master keys always done at master KDC
- Secondary KDCs can generate session keys, TGTs, etc.
- Master disseminates KDC database to secondary KDCs with integrity protection (master keys already encrypted with K_{KDC})

K4: Authentication across multiple realms

- Possible only if their KDCs share a key.
- Principal id = [name, instance, realm], each 40 chars max



K4:Key version number

- If A has tkt to B, and B changes its master key, then ticket no longer valid
- To handle this (w/o A asking KDC for a new ticket):
 - Apps remember old master keys up to expiry time (approx 21 hrs)
 - In tickets, the key is sent along with version number
 - Human users need not remember old passwords

K4: Network layer address in tickets

- Ticket has IPv4 address of the user given the ticket
- Received ticket is not accepted if ticket sender's IP address does not match
- So if B is to impersonate A, it must also spoof the IP address of A (easy to do)
- Prevents delegation
 - A cannot have B at another IP address do work on behalf of A (unless B spoofs IP address of A !)

K4: Encryption/Integrity of data

- After authentication, data exchange can be any of
 - clear
 - encrypted
 - integrity-protected
 - encrypted and integrity-protected
- Choice is up to the application (performance vs security)
- K4 uses adhoc integrity protection (not so safe)

- More general than Kerberos 4
- Message formats defined using ASN.1 and BER
 - So allows for addresses of different formats, etc.
 - Occupies more octets
- Names: [NAME, REALM]
 - Arbitrary content, length
 - Allows X.500 names

```
// allows ".", "@",...
// country/org/name/...
```

- Allows choice of crypto algorithms
 - Uses proper integrity protection

K5: Delegation of Rights

- User A can ask KDC for a TGT with
 - network addresses different from A's address
 (for use by a principal at another address on behalf of A)
 - no address (for use by any principal at any address)
- User A can give a tgt/tkt to B with specific constraints
 - TGT/tkt has "app" field copied by KDC to any derived tkt
- A's TGT can be **forwardable**:
 - A can use it to get a TGT (for B) with different address.
 - A also says whether derived TGT is itself forwardable
- A's TGT can be **proxiable**:
 - A can use it to get tkt (for B) with different address
- Ticket lifetime

5/29/2013 shankar

K5: TGT/TKT Lifetime

- Fields:
 - start-time: when ticket becomes valid
 - end-time: when ticket expires (but can be renewed)
 - auth-time: when A first logged in (from initial login TGT)
 - renew-till: latest time for ticket to be renewed.
- Allows unlimited duration subject to renewing (e.g., daily)
 - exchange tgt/tkt at KDC for a new (renewed) tgt/tkt
 - tgt/tkt has to be renewed before expiry
- Allows **postdated** tickets (e.g, for batch jobs).

K5: Keys

- KDC remembers old master keys of human users also
 - because tgts/tickets are renewable and can be postdated.
- For each principal B, KDC stores
 - key: B's master key encryped with K_{KDC} (current or past)
 - p_kvno: version number of B's master key
 - k_kvno: version number of K_{KDC} used to encrypt
 - max_life, max_renewable_life: for tickets issued to B
 - expiration: when this entry expires
 - mod_date/mod_name: when entry last modified, by who
 - flags: preauthentication?, forwardable?, proxiable?, ...
 - •••
- Human user master key derived from pw and realm name.
 - So weak protection from key exposure across realms

5/29/2013 shankar

authentication slide 16

K5: Authentication Chains

- Allows KDC chains of authentication (unlike V4)
- Example: KDCs A, B, C, where
 - A-B share key, B-C share key, but A,C do not.
 - K5 allows C to accept tkt sent by A and generated by B
- Each ticket inclues all the intermediate KDCs
 - receiving KDC can reject tkt if it has suspect intermediary

K5: Evading off-line password guessing

- K4 allows off-line password guessing:
 - KDC does not authenticate login requet before issuing TGT
 - So B can spoof A, get a TGT for A, do off-line dictionary attack on TGT
- In K5
 - Login req must contain K_A{timestamp}; so above attack not possible
 - KDC also does not honor requests for tickets to human users by others
 - Prevents logged-in B asking KDC for a tkt (to delegate) to A, on which it can do off-line password guessing.

K5: Key inside authenticator

- Suppose A and B share a session key K generated by KDC
- A and B can have another (simultaneous) session using a different key.
- This can be done without involving the KDC:
 - A makes up a key for this second session and gives that to B encryped by K

K5: Double TGT Authentication

- Allows A to access server B that has session key, say $S_{\text{B}},$ but not master key K_{B}
- Needed for X windows:
 - X server manages display on workstation screen
 - X clients (eg, xterm) run on local or remote workstations
 - X client (A) needs tkt to X server (B) to display on screen
- No good for A to get from KDC a (regular) tkt encrypted K_B
- Instead
 - A gets TGT_B from B sends ["A to B", TGT_A, TGT_B] to KDC
 - KDC
 - extracts S_B from TGT_B (encrypted with K_{KDC})
 - creates session key K_{AB},
 - generates tkt_B encrypted with S_B (ie, enc(['A', K_{AB}], S_B) and sends to A

K5: X windows

B at workstation/X server	C (may be B's workstation)	
 login to X server (B,pw) request TGT_B from KDC obtain [S_B, TGT_B] from KDC forget B's passwd start serving B (eg, keybd, m) 	nouse)	
request X client at C (eg, xterm)		
 X clier has in get TC ask KD preservand in 	nt starts fo to display at B's screen GT _B from X server DC for tkt encrypted by S _B nt tkt to X server fo to display	
• X server displays client's info		

Security with TCP/IP

TCP/IP + Security

• TCP/IP stack without security



- TCP provides apps with
 - connection establishment
 - reliable data transfer
- Want to extend this to handle attackers
 - network attackers: passive / active
 - endpoint attackers: send messages with arbitrary fields
 - authentication: extends connection establishment
 - confidentiality, integrity: extends reliable data transfer

Natural solution: Secure TCP



STCP (Secure TCP) like TCP except

- 3-way connection establishment includes
 - client id, server id, authentication secret
- data transfer is tcp-like except
 - IP header is in clear
 - secure-tcp header encrypted
 - secure-tcp payload encrypted

Reality

Implementors did not want

- modifications to TCP (which is in OS kernel)
- another protocol like TCP in OS kernel or over UDP
- another protocol like TCP in app space (eg, over UDP)

Instead we now have two partial solutions

- SSL (Secure Sockets Layer): above TCP
- IPsec: above IP and below transport layer (TCP, UDP)





- When A connects to B
 - TCP A and TCP B establish a connection
 - SSL A and SSL B authenticate over TCP
 - using A public key and B public key, or
 - using B public key and A password (typical)
- During data transfer:
 - SSL encrypts outgoing / decrypts incoming
- TCP messages have TCP header in clear
 - Easy DOS attack: Rogue packet attack



- SSL A: generate R_A send [B, ciphers supported, random R_A]
- SSL B: choose cipher, generates R_B send [B, cipher chosen, cert_B, random R_B]
- SSL A: generate S // pre-master secret $K \leftarrow f(S,R_A,R_B)$ // master secret send [enc_P(S, pub_B), hash_1(handshake,K)]
- SSL B: send [hash₂(handshake)]
- SSL A: if hash₂ verifies, B authenticated send [enc(pw, K-derived-key)]
- SSL B: if pw verifies, A authenticated

A can also use $cert_A$ for authenticating itself to B

- S: pre-master secret
- K: master secret
 - $K = f(S, R_A, R_B)$
- Keys for data encryption/integrity obtained from K, R_A , R_B
 - A's write (transmit) key = B's read (receive) key
 - B's write (transmit) key = A's read (receive) key
- A does two public-key crypto operations
 - verifying cert_B
 - calcluating {S}_B
- To minimize this, S can be reused across different sessions
 - motivated by http 1.0 (opens many A-B tcp sessions)
 - session id





IPsec



- IPsec sits above IP and below TCP, UDP, ...
- IP packet: [IP hdr, IPsec hdr, TP hdr, TP payload] \leftarrow ——- IP payload ———— \leftarrow — IPsec payload —
- TP is IP: "tunnel" mode // often used to tunnel IP traffic
- TP is not IP: "transport" mode

IPsec: generic header

- IP hdr
 - sender ip addr, rcvr ip addr
 - hop count // mutable
 - next protocol id: TCP, UDP, IP, IPsec (AH or ESP), ...
- IPsec header (generic):
 - SPI (security parameter index): identiifies IPsec connection (SA)
 - sequence number: of IPsec packet (for replay attacks)
 - IV (for encryption/integrity)
 - authentication data (integrity check)
 - next protocol id: (TCP, UDP, IP, ...)

IPsec: Security association

- IPsec SA (security association): IPsec connection
- An SA is one-way, so need two SAs for bi-directional flow
- IPsec entity in a node has
 - Security policy database (control path)
 - for <ip addr, port, etc>:
 - crypto or not? type, integrity/encryp, ...
 - SA (security association) database (data path)
 - outgoing: for remote ip addr:
 - SPI, crypto key/alg, sequence number
 - incoming: for SPI:
 - crypto key/algo, expected seq number, ...

IPsec: AH and ESP

- IPsec headers are in two flavors
- AH hdr:
 - SPI, sequence number, auth data, next protocol id
 - integrity only but on enclosing IP <payload + "immutable" header>
 - not compatible with NAT, firewalls
- ESP hdr:
 - SPI, seq number, IV, auth data, next protocol id
 - integrity and/or encryption on enclosing IP payload
 - compatible with NAT, firewalls

IPsec: IKE

- For an IPsec SA to operate, its parameters (integrity/encryp, key, ...) must be set in the (SA database of the) end-points of the SA
- Can be done manually by end-point administrators or dynamically using IKE
- IKE runs over UDP, has two phases, and is an UGLY MESS