Qualitative Evaluation Techniques

How to quickly evaluate prototypes by observing people’s use of them

How specific methods can help you discover what a person is thinking about as they are using your system

Qualitative methods for usability evaluation

Qualitative:
- produces a description, usually in non-numeric terms
- may be subjective

Methods
- Introspection
- Direct observation
  - simple observation
  - think-aloud
  - constructive interaction
- Query via interviews and questionnaires
The Introspection Method (Walkthrough)
designer tries the system (or prototype) out
• does the system “feel right”?  
• most common evaluation method

Problems
- not reliable as completely subjective
- not valid as introspector is a non-typical user

Also - Intuitions and introspection are often wrong!

Direct observation
Evaluator observes and records users interacting with design/system
• in lab:
  - user asked to complete a set of pre-determined tasks
  - a specially built and fully instrumented usability lab may be available
• in field:
  - user goes through normal duties

Excellent at identifying gross design/interface problems
Validity/reliability depends on how controlled/contrived the situation is...

Three general approaches:
• simple observation
• think-aloud
• constructive interaction
**Simple Observation Method**

User is given the task, and evaluator just watches the user

**Problem**
- does not give insight into the user’s decision process or attitude

**The Think Aloud Method**

Subjects are asked to say what they are thinking/doing
- what they believe is happening
- what they are trying to do
- why they took an action
- Gives insight into what the user is thinking

**Problems**
- awkward/uncomfortable for subject (thinking aloud is not normal!)
- “thinking” about it may alter the way people perform their task
- hard to talk when they are concentrating on problem

**Most widely used evaluation method in industry**
The Constructive Interaction Method

Two people work together on a task

- normal conversation between the two users is monitored
  - removes awkwardness of think-aloud
- Variant: Co-discovery learning
  - use semi-knowledgeable “coach” and naive subject together
  - make naive subject use the interface
- results in
  - naive subject asking questions
  - semi-knowledgeable coach responding
  - provides insights into thinking process of both beginner and intermediate users

Recording observations (make sure you ask permission)

How do we record user actions during observation for later analysis?

- if no record is kept, evaluator may forget, miss, or mis-interpret events

- paper and pencil
  - primitive but cheap
  - evaluators record events, interpretations, and extraneous observations
  - hard to get detail (writing is slow)
  - coding schemes help…

- audio recording
  - good for recording talk produced by thinking aloud/constructive interaction
  - hard to tie into user actions (ie what they are doing on the screen)
  - hard to search through later

- video recording
  - can see and hear what a user is doing
  - one camera for screen, another for subject (picture in picture)
  - can be intrusive during initial period of use
  - generates too much data
## Coding scheme example...

**tracking a person’s activity in the office**

s = start of activity  
e = end of activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Desktop activities</th>
<th>Absences</th>
<th>Interruptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>working on computer</td>
<td>away from desk</td>
<td>person enters room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>working on desk</td>
<td>but in room</td>
<td>answers telephone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>initiates telephone</td>
<td>away from room</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:02</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:05</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:10</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:13</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Querying Users via Interviews

**Excellent for pursuing specific issues**

- vary questions to suit the context  
- probe more deeply on interesting issues as they arise  
- good for exploratory studies via open-ended questioning  
- often leads to specific constructive suggestions

**Problems:**

- accounts are subjective  
- time consuming  
- evaluator can easily bias the interview  
- prone to rationalization of events/thoughts by user  
  - user’s reconstruction may be wrong
Structured Interviews

Plan a set of central questions
- could be based on results of user observations
- gets things started
- focuses the interview
- ensures a base of consistency

Try not to ask leading questions!
“Now that was easy, wasn’t it?”
“How hard would you say this task was?”

Start with individual discussions to discover different perspectives, and continue with group discussions
- the larger the group, the more the universality of comments can be ascertained
- also encourages discussion between users

Retrospective Testing

Post-observation interview to clarify events that occurred during system use
- perform an observational test
- create a video record of it
- have users view the video and comment on what they did
  - excellent for grounding a post-test interview
  - avoids erroneous reconstruction
  - users often offer concrete suggestions
Evaluation through query continued: Methods

Questionnaires / Surveys
- preparation “expensive,” but administration cheap
  - can reach a wide subject group (e.g. mail)
- does not require presence of evaluator
- results can be quantified
- only as good as the questions asked
- often has low return rate - what’s in it for them?

- QUIS - Questionnaire for User Interface Satisfaction
  - Shneiderman text has a version of it

Querying Users via Questionnaires / Surveys

How
- establish the purpose of the questionnaire
  - what information is sought?
  - how would you analyze the results?
  - what would you do with your analysis?
- typically will not ask questions whose answers you will not use
  - this is unlike many other types of surveys you may have discussed in your psychology class
- determine the audience you want to reach
  - typical survey: random sample of between 50 and 1000 users of the product
- determine how would you will deliver and collect the questionnaire
  - on-line for computer users
  - web site with forms
  - surface mail (including a pre-addressed reply envelope gives far better response)
- determine the demographics
  - e.g. computer experience
**Styles of Questions (I)**

**Open-ended questions**
- asks for unprompted opinions
- good for general subjective information
  - but difficult to analyze rigorously

› eg: *Can you suggest any improvements to the interfaces?*

---

**Styles of Questions (II)**

**Closed questions**
- restricts the respondent’s responses by supplying alternative answers
- makes questionnaires a chore for respondent to fill in
- can be easily analyzed
- but watch out for hard to interpret responses!
  - alternative answers should be very specific

› **Do you use computers at work:**
  - O often
  - O sometimes
  - O rarely

› **In your typical work day, do you use computers:**
  - O over 4 hrs a day
  - O between 2 and 4 hrs daily
  - O between 1 and 2 hrs daily
  - O less than 1 hr a day
**Styles of Questions (III)**

**Scalar**
- ask user to judge a specific statement on a numeric scale
- scale usually corresponds with agreement or disagreement with a statement

Characters on the computer screen are:

```
hard to read       easy to read
  1  3  4  5
```

**Styles of Questions (IV)**

**Multi-choice**
- respondent offered a choice of explicit responses

How do you most often get help with the system? (tick one)
- O on-line manual
- O paper manual
- O ask a colleague

Which types of software have you used? (tick all that apply)
- O word processor
- O data base
- O spreadsheet
- O compiler
Styles of Questions (V)

Ranked
• respondent places an ordering on items in a list
• useful to indicate a user’s preferences
• forced choice

Rank the usefulness of these methods of issuing a command
(1 most useful, 2 next most useful..., 0 if not used

__2__ command line
__1__ menu selection
__3__ control key accelerator

Styles of Questions (VI)

Combining open-ended and closed questions
• gets specific response, but allows room for user’s opinion

It is easy to recover from mistakes:

disagree 1 2 3 ○ agree 5

comment: the undo facility is really helpful
**What you now know**

Observing a range of users use your system for specific tasks reveals successes and problems.

Qualitative observational tests are quick and easy to do.

Several methods reveal what is in a person’s head as they are doing the test.

**Particular methods include**
- Conceptual model extraction
- Direct observation
  - simple observation
  - think-aloud
  - constructive interaction
- Query via interviews, retrospective testing and questionnaires
- Continuous evaluation via user feedback and field studies