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Iterative Servers

Iterative servers process one request at a time.
Fundamental Flaw of Iterative Servers

Solution: use concurrent servers instead.

- Concurrent servers use multiple concurrent flows to serve multiple clients at the same time.
Concurrent Servers

Concurrent servers handle multiple requests concurrently.

Client 1

- Call connect
- Ret connect
- Call fgets

User goes out to lunch

Client 1 blocks waiting for user to type in data

Server

- Call accept
- Ret accept
- Fork
- Call accept
- Ret accept
- Call accept
- Ret accept
- Call fgets
- Write
- Call read
- Read
- Write
- Close

Child 1

- Call read

Child 2

- Call read

Client 2

- Call connect
- Ret connect
- Call fgets
- Write
- Call read
- End read
- Close
Three Basic Mechanisms for Creating Concurrent Flows

1. Processes
   - Kernel automatically interleaves multiple logical flows.
   - Each flow has its own private address space.

2. I/O multiplexing with `select()`
   - User manually interleaves multiple logical flows.
   - Each flow shares the same address space.
   - Popular for high-performance server designs.

3. Threads
   - Kernel automatically interleaves multiple logical flows.
   - Each flow shares the same address space.
   - Hybrid of processes and I/O multiplexing!
Process-Based Concurrent Server

`echoserverp.c` - A concurrent echo server based on processes
* Usage: echoserverp <port>* /

```c
#include <ics.h>
#define BUFSIZE 1024
void echo(int connfd);
void handler(int sig);

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
  int listenfd, connfd;
  int portno;
  struct sockaddr_in clientaddr;
  int clientlen = sizeof(struct sockaddr_in);

  if (argc != 2) {
    fprintf(stderr, "usage: %s <port>\n", argv[0]);
    exit(0);
  }
  portno = atoi(argv[1]);
  listenfd = open_listenfd(portno);
```
Process-Based Concurrent Server (cont)

```c
Signal(SIGCHLD, handler); /* parent must reap children! */

/* main server loop */
while (1) {
    connfd = Accept(listenfd, (struct sockaddr *) &clientaddr,
                    &clientlen);
    if (Fork() == 0) {
        Close(listenfd); /* child closes its listening socket */
        echo(connfd);   /* child reads and echoes input line */
        Close(connfd);  /* child is done with this client */
        exit(0);       /* child exits */
    }
    Close(connfd); /* parent must close connected socket! */
}
```
Process-Based Concurrent Server (cont)

```c
/* handler - reaps children as they terminate */
void handler(int sig) {
    pid_t pid;
    int stat;

    while ((pid = waitpid(-1, &stat, WNOHANG)) > 0) {
        ;
    return;
}
```
Implementation Issues With Process-Based Designs

Server should restart `accept` call if it is interrupted by a transfer of control to the SIGCHLD handler

- Not necessary for systems with POSIX signal handling.
  - Our `Signal` wrapper tells kernel to automatically restart `accept`
- Required for portability on some older Unix systems.

Server must reap zombie children

- to avoid fatal memory leak.

Server must close its copy of `connfd`.

- Kernel keeps reference for each socket.
- After fork, `refcnt(connfd) = 2`.
- Connection will not be closed until `refcnt(connfd)=0`.
Pros and Cons of Process-Based Designs

+ Handles multiple connections concurrently
+ Clean sharing model
  - descriptors (no)
  - file tables (yes)
  - global variables (no)
+ Simple and straightforward.

- Additional overhead for process control.
- Nontrivial to share data between processes.
  - Requires IPC (interprocess communication) mechanisms
    FIFO’s (named pipes), System V shared memory and semaphores

*I/O multiplexing provides more control with less overhead*...
Event-Based Concurrent Servers Using I/O Multiplexing

Maintain a pool of connected descriptors.

Repeat the following forever:

- Use the Unix `select` function to block until:
  - (a) New connection request arrives on the listening descriptor.
  - (b) New data arrives on an existing connected descriptor.
- If (a), add the new connection to the pool of connections.
- If (b), read any available data from the connection
  - Close connection on EOF and remove it from the pool.
The select Function

`select()` sleeps until one or more file descriptors in the set `readset` are ready for reading.

```c
#include <sys/select.h>

int select(int maxfdp1, fd_set *readset, NULL, NULL, NULL);
```

`readset`
- Opaque bit vector (max FD_SETSIZE bits) that indicates membership in a descriptor set.
- If bit k is 1, then descriptor k is a member of the descriptor set.

`maxfdp1`
- Maximum descriptor in descriptor set plus 1.
- Tests descriptors 0, 1, 2, ..., maxfdp1 - 1 for set membership.

`select()` returns the number of ready descriptors and sets each bit of `readset` to indicate the ready status of its corresponding descriptor.
Macros for Manipulating Set Descriptors

```c
void FD_ZERO(fd_set *fdset);
  ■ Turn off all bits in fdset.

void FD_SET(int fd, fd_set *fdset);
  ■ Turn on bit fd in fdset.

void FD_CLR(int fd, fd_set *fdset);
  ■ Turn off bit fd in fdset.

int FD_ISSET(int fd, *fdset);
  ■ Is bit fd in fdset turned on?
```
select Example

/*
 * main loop: wait for connection request or stdin command.
 * If connection request, then echo input line
 * and close connection. If stdin command, then process.
 */
printf("server> ");
fflush(stdout);

while (notdone) {
    /*
     * select: check if the user typed something to stdin or
     * if a connection request arrived.
     */
    FD_ZERO(&readfds);       /* initialize the fd set */
    FD_SET(listenfd, &readfds); /* add socket fd */
    FD_SET(0, &readfds);     /* add stdin fd (0) */
    Select(listenfd+1, &readfds, NULL, NULL, NULL);
/* if the user has typed a command, process it */
if (FD_ISSET(0, &readfds)) {
    fgets(buf, BUFSIZE, stdin);
    switch (buf[0]) {
    case 'c': /* print the connection count */
        printf("Received %d conn. requests so far.\n", connectcnt);
        printf("server> ");
        fflush(stdout);
        break;
    case 'q': /* terminate the server */
        notdone = 0;
        break;
    default: /* bad input */
        printf("ERROR: unknown command\n");
        printf("server> ");
        fflush(stdout);
    }
}
Next we check for a pending connection request.

```c
/* if a connection request has arrived, process it */
if (FD_ISSET(listenfd, &readfds)) {
    connfd = Accept(listenfd,
        (struct sockaddr *) &clientaddr, &clientlen);
    connectcnt++;

    bzero(buf, BUFSIZE);
    Rio_readn(connfd, buf, BUFSIZE);
    Rio_writen(connfd, buf, strlen(buf));
    Close(connfd);
}
} /* while */
```
Event-based Concurrent Echo Server

/*
 * echoservers.c - A concurrent echo server based on select
 */
#include "csapp.h"

typedef struct { /* represents a pool of connected descriptors */
    int maxfd;    /* largest descriptor in read_set */
    fd_set read_set; /* set of all active descriptors */
    fd_set ready_set; /* subset of descriptors ready for reading */
    int nready; /* number of ready descriptors from select */
    int maxi; /* highwater index into client array */
    int clientfd[FD_SETSIZE]; /* set of active descriptors */
    rio_t clientrio[FD_SETSIZE]; /* set of active read buffers */
} pool;

int byte_cnt = 0; /* counts total bytes received by server */
int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    int listenfd, connfd, clientlen = sizeof(struct sockaddr_in);
    struct sockaddr_in clientaddr;
    static pool pool;

    listenfd = Open_listenfd(argv[1]);
    init_pool(listenfd, &pool);

    while (1) {
        pool.ready_set = pool.read_set;
        pool.nready = Select(pool.maxfd+1, &pool.ready_set,
                              NULL, NULL, NULL);

        if (FD_ISSET(listenfd, &pool.ready_set)) {
            connfd = Accept(listenfd, (SA *)&clientaddr,&clientlen);
            add_client(connfd, &pool);
        }
        check_clients(&pool);
    }
}
/* initialize the descriptor pool */
void init_pool(int listenfd, pool *p)
{
    /* Initially, there are no connected descriptors */
    int i;
    p->maxi = -1;
    for (i=0; i< FD_SETSIZE; i++)
        p->clientfd[i] = -1;

    /* Initially, listenfd is only member of select read set */
    p->maxfd = listenfd;
    FD_ZERO(&p->read_set);
    FD_SET(listenfd, &p->read_set);
}
Event-based Concurrent Server (cont)

```c
void add_client(int connfd, pool *p) /* add connfd to pool p */
{
    int i;
    p->nready--;

    for (i = 0; i < FD_SETSIZE; i++) /* Find available slot */
        if (p->clientfd[i] < 0) {
            p->clientfd[i] = connfd;
            Rio_readinitb(&p->clientrio[i], connfd);

            FD_SET(connfd, &p->read_set); /* Add desc to read set */

            if (connfd > p->maxfd) /* Update max descriptor num */
                p->maxfd = connfd;
            if (i > p->maxi) /* Update pool high water mark */
                p->maxi = i;
            break;
        }

    if (i == FD_SETSIZE) /* Couldn't find an empty slot */
        app_error("add_client error: Too many clients");
}
```
void check_clients(pool *p) { /* echo line from ready desc in pool p */
    int i, connfd, n;
    char buf[MAXLINE];
    rio_t rio;

    for (i = 0; (i <= p->maxi) && (p->nready > 0); i++) {
        connfd = p->clientfd[i];
        rio = p->clientrio[i];

        /* If the descriptor is ready, echo a text line from it */
        if ((connfd > 0) && (FD_ISSET(connfd, &p->read_set))) {
            p->nready--;
            if ((n = Rio_readlineb(&rio, buf, MAXLINE)) != 0) {
                byte_cnt += n;
                Rio_writen(connfd, buf, n);
            }
            else { /* EOF detected, remove descriptor from pool */
                Close(connfd);
                FD_CLR(connfd, &p->read_set);
                p->clientfd[i] = -1;
            }
        }
    }
}
Pro and Cons of Event-Based Designs

+ One logical control flow.

+ Can single-step with a debugger.

+ No process or thread control overhead.
  - Design of choice for high-performance Web servers and search engines.

- Significantly more complex to code than process- or thread-based designs.

- Can be vulnerable to denial of service attack
  - How?

*Threads provide a middle ground between processes and I/O multiplexing...*
Traditional View of a Process

Process = process context + code, data, and stack

**Process context**

- **Program context:**
  - Data registers
  - Condition codes
  - Stack pointer (SP)
  - Program counter (PC)

- **Kernel context:**
  - VM structures
  - Descriptor table
  - brk pointer

**Code, data, and stack**

- Stack
- Shared libraries
- Run-time heap
- Read/write data
- Read-only code/data

- SP
- brk
- PC

0
Alternate View of a Process

Process = thread + code, data, and kernel context

Thread (main thread)

- Stack

Thread context:
- Data registers
- Condition codes
- Stack pointer (SP)
- Program counter (PC)

Code and Data

- Shared libraries
- Run-time heap
- Read/write data
- Read-only code/data

Kernel context:
- VM structures
- Descriptor table
- Brk pointer
A Process With Multiple Threads

**Multiple threads can be associated with a process**

- Each thread has its own logical control flow (sequence of PC values)
- Each thread shares the same code, data, and kernel context
- Each thread has its own thread id (TID)

Thread 1 (main thread)  
Thread 2 (peer thread)

### Thread 1 context:
- Data registers
- Condition codes
- SP1
- PC1

### Thread 2 context:
- Data registers
- Condition codes
- SP2
- PC2

### Shared code and data
- Shared libraries
- Run-time heap
- Read/write data
- Read-only code/data

### Kernel context:
- VM structures
- Descriptor table
- Brk pointer

### Stack 1

### Stack 2
Logical View of Threads

Threads associated with a process form a pool of peers.

- Unlike processes which form a tree hierarchy

Threads associated with process foo

Process hierarchy

shared code, data and kernel context
Concurrent Thread Execution

Two threads run concurrently (are concurrent) if their logical flows overlap in time.

Otherwise, they are sequential.

Examples:
- Concurrent: A & B, A&C
- Sequential: B & C

Diagram:

- Thread A
- Thread B
- Thread C

Time
Threads vs. Processes

How threads and processes are similar

- Each has its own logical control flow.
- Each can run concurrently.
- Each is context switched.

How threads and processes are different

- Threads share code and data, processes (typically) do not.
- Threads are somewhat less expensive than processes.
  - Process control (creating and reaping) is twice as expensive as thread control.
  - Linux/Pentium III numbers:
    » ~20K cycles to create and reap a process.
    » ~10K cycles to create and reap a thread.
Posix Threads (Pthreads) Interface

Pthreads: Standard interface for ~60 functions that manipulate threads from C programs.

- Creating and reaping threads.
  - `pthread_create`
  - `pthread_join`

- Determining your thread ID
  - `pthread_self`

- Terminating threads
  - ` pthread_cancel`
  - `pthread_exit`
  - `exit [terminates all threads]`, `ret [terminates current thread]`

- Synchronizing access to shared variables
  - `pthread_mutex_init`
  - `pthread_mutex_[un]lock`
  - `pthread_cond_init`
  - `pthread_cond_[timed]wait`
The Pthreads "hello, world" Program

/*
 * hello.c - Pthreads "hello, world" program
 */
#include "csapp.h"

void *thread(void *vargp);

int main() {
    pthread_t tid;
    Pthread_create(&tid, NULL, thread, NULL);
    Pthread_join(tid, NULL);
    exit(0);
}

/* thread routine */
void *thread(void *vargp) {
    printf("Hello, world!\n");
    return NULL;
}
Execution of Threaded “hello, world”

main thread

call Pthread_create()
Pthread_create() returns

call Pthread_join()

main thread waits for peer thread to terminate

Pthread_join() returns

exit()
terminates
main thread and any peer threads

peer thread

printf()
return NULL;
(peer thread terminates)
Thread-Based Concurrent Echo Server

```c
int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    int listenfd, *connfdp, port, clientlen;
    struct sockaddr_in clientaddr;
    pthread_t tid;

    if (argc != 2) {
        fprintf(stderr, "usage: %s <port>
", argv[0]);
        exit(0);
    }
    port = atoi(argv[1]);

    listenfd = open_listenfd(port);
    while (1) {
        clientlen = sizeof(clientaddr);
        connfdp = Malloc(sizeof(int));
        *connfdp = Accept(listenfd, (SA *) &clientaddr, &clientlen);
        Pthread_create(&tid, NULL, thread, connfdp);
    }
}
```
* thread routine */
void *thread(void *vargp)
{
    int connfd = *((int *)vargp);

    Pthread_detach(pthread_self());
    Free(vargp);

    echo_r(connfd); /* reentrant version of echo() */
    Close(connfd);
    return NULL;
}
Issues With Thread-Based Servers

Must run “detached” to avoid memory leak.

- At any point in time, a thread is either joinable or detached.
- **Joinable** thread can be reaped and killed by other threads.
  - must be reaped (with `pthread_join`) to free memory resources.
- **Detached** thread cannot be reaped or killed by other threads.
  - resources are automatically reaped on termination.
- Default state is joinable.
  - use `pthread_detach(pthread_self())` to make detached.

Must be careful to avoid unintended sharing.

- For example, what happens if we pass the address of `connfd` to the thread routine?
  - `pthread_create(&tid, NULL, thread, (void *) &connfd);

All functions called by a thread must be thread-safe

- *(next lecture)*
Pros and Cons of Thread-Based Designs

+ Easy to share data structures between threads
  - e.g., logging information, file cache.

+ Threads are more efficient than processes.

--- Unintentional sharing can introduce subtle and hard-to-reproduce errors!
  - The ease with which data can be shared is both the greatest strength and the greatest weakness of threads.
  - (next lecture)