

CMSC 330: Organization of Programming Languages

Operational Semantics Examples

CMSC 330

1

Practice Examples

- ▶ Give a derivation that proves the following (where $\bullet$ is the empty environment)
 - $\bullet; \text{let } x = 5 \text{ in let } y = 7 \text{ in } x+y \Rightarrow 12$
 - $\bullet; \text{let } x = \text{let } x = 5 \text{ in } x+2 \text{ in } x+2 \Rightarrow 9$
 - $\bullet; \text{let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow x+5 \text{ in } f \ 7 \Rightarrow 12$
 - $\bullet; \text{let } y = 5 \text{ in let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow x+y \text{ in let } y = 6 \text{ in } f \ 7 \Rightarrow 12$
- ▶ Using the dynamic scoping version of the function application rule, prove
 - $\bullet; \text{let } y = 5 \text{ in let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow x+y \text{ in let } y = 6 \text{ in } f \ 7 \Rightarrow 13$

CMSC 330

2

Notation, Operations on Environments

- ▶ $\bullet$ is the empty environment (undefined for all ids)
- ▶ $x:v$ is the environment that maps x to v and is undefined for all other ids
- ▶ If A and A' are environments then A, A' is the environment defined as follows

$$(A, A')(id) = \begin{cases} A'(id) & \text{if } A'(id) \text{ defined} \\ A(id) & \text{if } A'(id) \text{ undefined but } A(id) \text{ defined} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Idea: A' “overwrites” definitions in A
- ▶ For brevity, can write $\bullet, A$ as just A

CMSC 330

3

Rule for Identifiers

$A(x) = v$
$A; x \Rightarrow v$

- ▶ x is an identifier
- ▶ To determine its value v “look it up” in A !

CMSC 330

4

SOS Rules: Built-in Functions

- For arithmetic, comparison operations, etc.

$$\frac{A; E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad A; E_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{A; E_1 \text{ op } E_2 \Rightarrow v_1 \text{ op } v_2}$$

- For ::

$$\frac{A; E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad A; E_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{A; E_1 :: E_2 \Rightarrow \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle}$$

- Rules are recursive
- :: is implemented using pairing
 - Type system guarantees result is list

CMSC 330

5

Trees of Semantic Rules

- When we apply rules to an expression, we actually get a tree
 - Corresponds to the recursive evaluation procedure
 - For example: $(3 + 4) + 5$

$$\frac{\frac{\bullet; 3 \Rightarrow 3 \quad \bullet; 4 \Rightarrow 4}{\bullet; (3 + 4) \Rightarrow 7} \quad \bullet; 5 \Rightarrow 5}{\bullet; (3 + 4) + 5 \Rightarrow 12}$$

CMSC 330

6

Rule for Let Binding

- We evaluate the first expression, and then evaluate the second expression in an environment extended to include a binding for x

$ \begin{array}{l} A; E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \\ A, x:v_1; E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \end{array} $
$A; \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v_2$

CMSC 330

7

Example: let x = 1 in x+2

$A(x) = v$
$A; x \Rightarrow v$

$ \begin{array}{l} \bullet, x:1; x \Rightarrow 1 \quad \bullet, x:1; 2 \Rightarrow 2 \\ \hline \bullet; 1 \Rightarrow 1 \\ \bullet, x:1; x+2 \Rightarrow 3 \\ \hline \bullet; \text{let } x = 1 \text{ in } x+2 \Rightarrow 3 \end{array} $	<table border="1"> <tr> <td> $\begin{array}{l} A; E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad A; E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \\ A; E_1 \text{ op } E_2 \Rightarrow v_1 \text{ op } v_2 \end{array}$ </td> </tr> <tr> <td> <table border="1"> <tr> <td> $\begin{array}{l} A; E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \\ A, x:v_1; E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \\ A; \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \end{array}$ </td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> </table>	$ \begin{array}{l} A; E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad A; E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \\ A; E_1 \text{ op } E_2 \Rightarrow v_1 \text{ op } v_2 \end{array} $	<table border="1"> <tr> <td> $\begin{array}{l} A; E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \\ A, x:v_1; E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \\ A; \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \end{array}$ </td> </tr> </table>	$ \begin{array}{l} A; E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \\ A, x:v_1; E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \\ A; \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \end{array} $
$ \begin{array}{l} A; E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad A; E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \\ A; E_1 \text{ op } E_2 \Rightarrow v_1 \text{ op } v_2 \end{array} $				
<table border="1"> <tr> <td> $\begin{array}{l} A; E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \\ A, x:v_1; E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \\ A; \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \end{array}$ </td> </tr> </table>	$ \begin{array}{l} A; E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \\ A, x:v_1; E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \\ A; \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \end{array} $			
$ \begin{array}{l} A; E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \\ A, x:v_1; E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \\ A; \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \end{array} $				

CMSC 330

8

Example: let x = 5 in let y = 7 in x+y

$$\bullet, x:5, y:7; x \Rightarrow 5 \quad \bullet, x:5, y:7; y \Rightarrow 7$$

$$\bullet, x:5; 7 \Rightarrow 7 \quad \bullet, x:5, y:7; x+y \Rightarrow 12$$

$$\bullet; 5 \Rightarrow 5 \quad \bullet, x:5; \text{let } y = 7 \text{ in } x+y \Rightarrow 12$$

$$\bullet; \text{let } x = 5 \text{ in let } y = 7 \text{ in } x+y \Rightarrow 12$$

CMSC 330

9

Example: let x = let x = 5 in x+2 in x+2

$$\bullet, x:5; x \Rightarrow 5 \quad \bullet, x:5; 2 \Rightarrow 2$$

$$\bullet; 5 \Rightarrow 5 \quad \bullet, x:5; x+2 \Rightarrow 7 \quad \bullet, x:7; x \Rightarrow 7 \quad \bullet, x:7; 2 \Rightarrow 2$$

$$\bullet; \text{let } x = 5 \text{ in } x+2 \Rightarrow 7 \quad \bullet, x:7; x+2 \Rightarrow 9$$

$$\bullet; \text{let } x = \text{let } x = 5 \text{ in } x+2 \text{ in } x+2 \Rightarrow 9$$

CMSC 330

10

Rule for Function Definitions

—
$A; \text{fun } x \rightarrow E \Rightarrow (A, \lambda x.E)$

- ▶ The expression evaluates to a closure
 - The id and body in the closure come from the expression
 - The environment is the one in effect when the expression is evaluated
- ▶ This will be used to implement **static scope**

CMSC 330

11

Rule for Function Application

$A; E_1 \Rightarrow (A', \lambda x.E)$
$A; E_2 \Rightarrow v_2$
$A', x:v_2; E \Rightarrow v$
$A; E_1 E_2 \Rightarrow v$

- ▶ 1st hypothesis: E_1 evaluates to a closure
- ▶ 2nd hypothesis: E_2 produces a value (call by value!)
- ▶ 3rd hypothesis: Body E in modified closure environment produces a value
- ▶ This last value is the result of the application

CMSC 330

12

Example: (fun x → x + 3) 4

$$\frac{\bullet, x:4; x \Rightarrow 4 \quad \bullet, x:4; 3 \Rightarrow 3}{\bullet, x:4; x + 3 \Rightarrow 7}$$

$$\bullet; \text{fun } x \rightarrow x + 3 \Rightarrow (\bullet, \lambda x. x + 3)$$

$$\bullet; 4 \Rightarrow 4$$

$$\bullet, x:4; x + 3 \Rightarrow 7$$

$$\bullet; (\text{fun } x \rightarrow x + 3) 4 \Rightarrow 7$$

CMSC 330

13

Example: (fun x → (fun y → x + y)) 3 4

$$\bullet; (\text{fun } x \rightarrow (\text{fun } y \rightarrow x + y)) \Rightarrow (\bullet, \lambda x. (\text{fun } y \rightarrow x + y))$$

$$\bullet; 3 \Rightarrow 3$$

$$\frac{x:3; (\text{fun } y \rightarrow x + y) \Rightarrow (x:3, \lambda y. (x + y))}{\bullet; (\text{fun } x \rightarrow (\text{fun } y \rightarrow x + y)) 3 \Rightarrow (x:3, \lambda y. (x + y))}$$

$$\bullet; (\text{fun } x \rightarrow (\text{fun } y \rightarrow x + y)) 3 \Rightarrow (x:3, \lambda y. (x + y))$$

Let <previous> = (fun x → (fun y → x + y)) 3

CMSC 330

14

Example (cont.)

$\bullet, x:3, y:4; x \Rightarrow 3$ $\bullet, x:3, y:4; y \Rightarrow 4$

$\bullet; \text{<previous>} \Rightarrow (x:3, \lambda y.(x + y))$

$\bullet; 4 \Rightarrow 4$

$x:3, y:4; (x + y) \Rightarrow 7$

$\bullet; (\text{<previous>} 4) \Rightarrow 7$

CMSC 330

15

Example: let f = fun x → x+5 in f 7

$\bullet, x:7; x \Rightarrow 7$ $\bullet, x:7; 5 \Rightarrow 5$

$\bullet, f:(\bullet, \lambda x.x+5); f \Rightarrow (\bullet, \lambda x.x+5)$

$\bullet, f:(\bullet, \lambda x.x+5); 7 \Rightarrow 7$

$\bullet, x:7; x+5 \Rightarrow 12$

$\bullet; \text{fun } x \rightarrow x+5 \Rightarrow (\bullet, \lambda x.x+5)$ $\bullet, f:(\bullet, \lambda x.x+5); f 7 \Rightarrow 12$

$\bullet; \text{let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow x+5 \text{ in } f 7 \Rightarrow 12$

CMSC 330

16

Example: let $y = 5$ in let $f =$
 $\text{fun } x \rightarrow x+y$ in let $y = 6$ in $f \ 7$

Static $y:5, x:7; x \Rightarrow 7$
 scoping uses $y:5, x:7; y \Rightarrow 5$
 environment $y:5, f:(y:5, \lambda x.x+y), y:6; f \Rightarrow (y:5, \lambda x.x+y)$
 from closure $y:5, f:(y:5, \lambda x.x+y), y:6; 7 \Rightarrow 7$
 $y:5, x:7; x+y \Rightarrow 12$
 $y:5, f:(y:5, \lambda x.x+y), y:6; f \ 7 \Rightarrow 12$
 $y:5, f:(y:5, \lambda x.x+y); 6 \Rightarrow 6$
 $y:5, f:(y:5, \lambda x.x+y); \text{let } y = 6 \text{ in } f \ 7 \Rightarrow 12$
 $y:5; \text{fun } x \rightarrow x+y \Rightarrow (y:5, \lambda x.x+y)$
 • $5 \Rightarrow 5$ $y:5; \text{let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow x+y \text{ in } \dots \text{ in } f \ 7 \Rightarrow 12$
 • $\text{let } y = 5 \text{ in let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow x+y \text{ in let } y = 6 \text{ in } f \ 7 \Rightarrow 12$

CMSC 330

Dynamic Scoping

- ▶ The previous rule for functions implements static scoping, since it implements closures
- ▶ We could easily implement dynamic scoping

$A; E_1 \Rightarrow (A', \lambda x.E)$ $A; E_2 \Rightarrow v_2$ $A, x:v_2; E \Rightarrow v$
$A; E_1 E_2 \Rightarrow v$

- ▶ The only difference is to use the current environment A , not the environment A'
 - Easy to see the origins of the dynamic scoping bug!

CMSC 330

18

Example: let $y = 5$ in let $f =$
 fun $x \rightarrow x+y$ in let $y = 6$ in $f\ 7$

Dynamic	$y:5, f:(y:5, \lambda x.x+y), y:6, x:7; x \Rightarrow 7$
scoping	$y:5, f:(y:5, \lambda x.x+y), y:6, x:7; y \Rightarrow 6$
uses	$y:5, f:(y:5, \lambda x.x+y), y:6; f \Rightarrow (y:5, \lambda x.x+y)$
current	$y:5, f:(y:5, \lambda x.x+y), y:6; 7 \Rightarrow 7$
environment	$y:5, f:(y:5, \lambda x.x+y), y:6, x:7; x+y \Rightarrow 13$
	$y:5, f:(y:5, \lambda x.x+y), y:6; f\ 7 \Rightarrow 13$
	$y:5, f:(y:5, \lambda x.x+y); 6 \Rightarrow 6$
	$y:5, f:(y:5, \lambda x.x+y); \text{let } y = 6 \text{ in } f\ 7 \Rightarrow 13$
	$y:5; \text{fun } x \rightarrow x+y \Rightarrow (y:5, \lambda x.x+y)$
	$\bullet; 5 \Rightarrow 5 \quad y:5; \text{let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow x+y \text{ in } \dots \text{ in } f\ 7 \Rightarrow 13$
	$\bullet; \text{let } y = 5 \text{ in let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow x+y \text{ in let } y = 6 \text{ in } f\ 7 \Rightarrow 13$

CMSC 330