

CMSC 330: Organization of Programming Languages

Functional Programming with Lists

Lists in OCaml

- The basic data structure in OCaml
 - Lists can be of *arbitrary length*
 - Implemented as a linked data structure
 - Lists must be *homogeneous*
 - All elements have the same type
- Operations
 - Construct lists
 - Destruct them via pattern matching

Constructing Lists

Syntax

- `[]` is the empty list (pronounced “nil”)
- `e1 :: e2` prepends element `e1` to list `e2`
 - Operator `::` is pronounced “cons” (both from LISP)
 - `e1` is the head, `e2` is the tail
- `[e1; e2; ...; en]` is *syntactic sugar* for `e1 :: e2 :: ... :: en :: []`

Examples

<code>3 :: []</code>	<code>(* The list [3] *)</code>
<code>2 :: (3 :: [])</code>	<code>(* The list [2; 3] *)</code>
<code>[1; 2; 3]</code>	<code>(* The list 1 :: (2 :: (3 :: [])) *)</code>

Constructing Lists

Evaluation

- $[]$ is a value
- To evaluate $e1 :: e2$, evaluate $e1$ to a value $v1$, evaluate $e2$ to a (list) value $v2$, and return $v1 :: v2$

Consequence of the above rules:

- To evaluate $[e1 ; \dots ; en]$, evaluate $e1$ to a value $v1$,, evaluate en to a value vn , and return $[v1 ; \dots ; vn]$

Examples

```
# let y = [1; 1+1; 1+1+1] ;;
```

```
val y : int list = [1; 2; 3]
```

```
# let x = 4::y ;;
```

```
val x : int list = [4; 1; 2; 3]
```

```
# let z = 5::y ;;
```

```
val z : int list = [5; 1; 2; 3]
```

```
# let m = "hello"::"bob"::[];;
```

```
val z : string list = ["hello"; "bob"]
```

Typing List Construction

Nil:

$[]): 'a \text{ list}$

i.e., empty list has type $t \text{ list}$ for any type t

Polymorphic type:
like a generic type in Java

Cons:

If $e1 : t$ and $e2 : t \text{ list}$ then $e1 :: e2 : t \text{ list}$

With parens for clarity:

If $e1 : t$ and $e2 : (t \text{ list})$ then $(e1 :: e2) : (t \text{ list})$

Examples

```
# let x = [1; "world"] ;;
```

This expression has type string but an expression was expected of type int

```
# let m = [[1]; [2; 3]] ;;
```

```
val y : int list list = [[1]; [2; 3]]
```

```
# let y = 0 :: [1; 2; 3] ;;
```

```
val y : int list = [0; 1; 2; 3]
```

```
# let w = [1; 2] :: y ;;
```


This expression has type int list but is here used with type int list list

- The left argument of `::` is an element, the right is a list
- Can you construct a list `y` such that `[1; 2] :: y` makes sense?

Lists are Immutable

- No way to *mutate* (change) an element of a list
- Instead, build up new lists out of old, e.g., using `::`

```
let x = [1;2;3;4]  
let y = 5::x  
let z = 6::x
```



Quiz 1

What is the type of the following expression?

`[1.0; 2.0; 3.0; 4.0]`

A. `array`

B. `list`

C. `int list`

D. `float list`

Quiz 1

What is the type of the following expression?

`[1.0; 2.0; 3.0; 4.0]`

A. `array`

B. `list`

C. `int list`

D. `float list`

Quiz 2

What is the type of the following expression?

`31 :: [3]`

- A. `int`
- B. `int list`
- C. `int list list`
- D. `error`

Quiz 2

What is the type of the following expression?

`31 :: [3]`

A. `int`

B. `int list`

C. `int list list`

D. `error`

Quiz 3

What is the type of the following expression?

```
[[[]; []; [1.3;2.4]]]
```

A. `int list`

B. `float list list`

C. `float list list list`

D. `error`

Quiz 3

What is the type of the following expression?

```
[[[]; []; [1.3;2.4]]]
```

A. `int list`

B. `float list list`

C. `float list list list`

D. `error`

Quiz 4

What is the type of the following definition?

```
let f x = x :: (0 :: [ ])
```

A. `int -> int`

B. `int list`

C. `int list -> int list`

D. `int -> int list`

Quiz 4

What is the type of the following definition?

```
let f x = x :: (0 :: [ ])
```

A. `int -> int`

B. `int list`

C. `int list -> int list`

D. `int -> int list`

Pattern Matching

- To pull lists apart, use the **match** construct
- Syntax

```
match e with
| p1 -> e1
| ...
| pn -> en
```

- *p1...pn* are *patterns* made up of `[]`, `::`, constants, and *pattern variables* (which are normal OCaml variables)
- *e1...en* are *branch expressions* in which pattern variables in the corresponding pattern are bound

Pattern Matching Semantics

```
match e with
| p1 -> e1
| ...
| pn -> en
```

- Evaluate *e* to a value *v*
- If *p1* matches *v*, then evaluate *e1* to *v1* and return *v1*
- ...
- Else if *pn* matches *v*, then evaluate *en* to *vn* and return *vn*
- Else, no patterns match: raise **Match_failure** exception

- (When evaluating branch expression *ei*, any pattern variables in *pi* are bound in *ei*, i.e., they are in scope)

Pattern Matching Example

```
let is_empty l =  
  match l with  
  [] -> true  
  | (h::t) -> false
```

▶ Example runs

- `is_empty []` (* evaluates to **true** *)
- `is_empty [1]` (* evaluates to **false** *)
- `is_empty [1;2]` (* evaluates to **false** *)

Pattern Matching Example (cont.)

```
let hd l =  
  match l with  
  (h::t) -> h
```

- Example runs

- `hd [1;2;3]` (* evaluates to 1 *)
- `hd [2;3]` (* evaluates to 2 *)
- `hd [3]` (* evaluates to 3 *)
- `hd []` (* Exception: Match_failure *)

Quiz 5

To what does the following expression evaluate?

```
match ["zar"; "doz"] with
  [] -> "kitteh"
  | h::t -> h
```

- A. "zar"
- B. "doz"
- C. "kitteh"
- D. []

Quiz 5

To what does the following expression evaluate?

```
match ["zar"; "doz"] with
  [] -> "kitteh"
  | h::t -> h
```

- A. "zar"
- B. "doz"
- C. "kitteh"
- D. []

"Deep" pattern matching

- You can nest patterns for more precise matches
 - **`a :: b`** matches lists with **at least one** element
 - Matches `[1 ; 2 ; 3]`, binding **`a`** to `1` and **`b`** to `[2 ; 3]`
 - **`a :: []`** matches lists with **exactly one** element
 - Matches `[1]`, binding **`a`** to `1`
 - Could also write pattern **`a :: []`** as **`[a]`**
 - **`a :: b :: []`** matches lists with **exactly two** elements
 - Matches `[1 ; 2]`, binding **`a`** to `1` and **`b`** to `2`
 - Could also write pattern **`a :: b :: []`** as **`[a ; b]`**
 - **`a :: b :: c :: d`** matches lists with **at least three** elements
 - Matches `[1 ; 2 ; 3]`, binding **`a`** to `1`, **`b`** to `2`, **`c`** to `3`, and **`d`** to `[]`
 - *Cannot* write pattern as **`[a ; b ; c] :: d`** (why?)

Pattern Matching – Wildcards

- An underscore `_` is a wildcard pattern
 - Matches anything
 - But doesn't add any bindings
 - Useful to hold a place but discard the value
 - i.e., when the variable does not appear in the branch expression
- In previous examples
 - Many values of `h` or `t` ignored
 - Can replace with wildcard `_`

Pattern Matching – Wildcards (cont.)

- Code using `_`
 - `let is_empty l = match l with`
 - `[] -> true | (_ :: _) -> false`
 - `let hd l = match l with (h :: _) -> h`
 - `let tl l = match l with (_ :: t) -> t`
- Outputs
 - `is_empty [1] (* evaluates to false *)`
 - `is_empty [] (* evaluates to true *)`
 - `hd [1;2;3] (* evaluates to 1 *)`
 - `tl [1;2;3] (* evaluates to [2;3] *)`
 - `hd [1] (* evaluates to 1 *)`
 - `tl [1] (* evaluates to [] *)`

Pattern Matching – An Abbreviation

- `let f p = e`, where `p` is a pattern
 - is shorthand for `let f x = match x with p -> e`
- Examples
 - `let hd (h::_) = h`
 - `let tl (_::t) = t`
 - `let f (x::y::_) = x + y`
 - `let g [x; y] = x + y`
- Useful if there's only one acceptable input

Pattern Matching Typing

```
match e with
| p1 -> e1
| ...
| pn -> en
```

- If *e* and *p1*, ..., *pn* each have type *ta*
- and *e1*, ..., *en* each have type *tb*
- Then entire `match` expression has type *tb*

Examples

type: 'a list -> 'a
let hd l =
 match l with
 (h :: _) -> h
ta = 'a list
tb = 'a

type: int list -> int
let rec sum l =
 match l with
 [] -> 0
 (h :: t) -> h + sum t
ta = int list
tb = int

Polymorphic Types

- The `sum` function works only for `int lists`
- But the `hd` function works for *any type of list*
 - `hd [1; 2; 3]` (* returns 1 *)
 - `hd ["a"; "b"; "c"]` (* returns "a" *)
- OCaml gives such functions **polymorphic** types
 - `hd : 'a list -> 'a`
 - this says the function takes a list of *any* element type `'a`, and returns something of that same type
- These are basically generic types in Java
 - `'a list` is like `List<T>`

Examples Of Polymorphic Types

- ```
let t1 (_::t) = t
t1 [1; 2; 3];;
- : int list = [2; 3]
t1 [1.0; 2.0];;
- : float list = [2.0]
(* t1 : 'a list -> 'a list *)
```
- ```
let fst x y = x
# fst 1 "hello";;
- : int = 1
# fst [1; 2] 1;;
- : int list = [1; 2]
(* fst : 'a -> 'b -> 'a *)
```

Examples Of Polymorphic Types

- ```
let hds (x::_) (y::_) = x::y::[]
hds [1; 2] [3; 4];;
- : int list = [1; 3]
hds ["kitty"] ["cat"];;
- : string list = ["kitty"; "cat"]
hds ["kitty"] [3; 4] -- type error
(* hds: 'a list -> 'a list -> 'a list *)
```
- ```
let eq x y = x = y      (* let eq x y = (x = y) *)  
# eq 1 2;;  
- : bool = false  
# eq "hello" "there";;  
- : bool = false  
# eq "hello" 1 -- type error  
(* eq : 'a -> 'a -> bool *)
```

Quiz 6

What is the type of the following function?

```
let f x y =  
    if x = y then 1 else 0
```

- A. 'a -> 'b -> int
- B. 'a -> 'a -> int
- C. 'a -> 'a -> bool
- D. int

Quiz 6

What is the type of the following function?

```
let f x y =  
    if x = y then 1 else 0
```

- A. 'a -> 'b -> int
- B. 'a -> 'a -> int
- C. 'a -> 'a -> bool
- D. int

Pattern matching is *AWESOME*

1. You can't forget a case
 - Compiler issues inexhaustive pattern-match warning
2. You can't duplicate a case
 - Compiler issues unused match case warning
3. You can't get an exception
 - Can't do something like `List.hd []`
4. Pattern matching leads to elegant, concise, beautiful code

Lists and Recursion

- Lists have a recursive structure
 - And so most functions over lists will be recursive

```
let rec length l = match l with
  [] -> 0
  | (_::t) -> 1 + (length t)
```

- This is just like an inductive definition
 - *The length of the empty list is zero*
 - *The length of a nonempty list is 1 plus the length of the tail*
- Type of `length`?
 - `'a list -> int`

More Examples

- `sum l (* sum of elts in l *)`
`let rec sum l = match l with`
 `[] -> 0`
 `| (x::xs) -> x + (sum xs)`
- `negate l (* negate elements in list *)`
`let rec negate l = match l with`
 `[] -> []`
 `| (x::xs) -> (-x) :: (negate xs)`
- `last l (* last element of l *)`
`let rec last l = match l with`
 `[x] -> x`
 `| (x::xs) -> last xs`

More Examples (cont.)

(* return a list containing all the elements in the list l followed by all the elements in list m *)

- `append l m`

```
let rec append l m = match l with
  [] -> m
  | (x::xs) -> x::(append xs m)
```

- `rev l` (* reverse list; hint: use append *)

```
let rec rev l = match l with
  [] -> []
  | (x::xs) -> append (rev xs) [x]
```

- `rev` takes $O(n^2)$ time. Can you do better?