Name:

## Midterm 2

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm CMSC} \ 430 \\ {\rm Introduction \ to \ Compilers} \\ {\rm Fall \ 2016} \end{array}$ 

November 21, 2016

## Instructions

This exam contains 7 pages, including this one. Make sure you have all the pages. Write your name on the top of this page before starting the exam.

Write your answers on the exam sheets. If you finish at least 15 minutes early, bring your exam to the front when you are finished; otherwise, wait until the end of the exam to turn it in. Please be as quiet as possible.

If you have a question, raise your hand. If you feel an exam question assumes something that is not written, write it down on your exam sheet. Barring some unforeseen error on the exam, however, you shouldn't need to do this at all, so be careful when making assumptions.

Question	Score	Max
1		20
2		20
3		30
4		20
5		10
Total		100

## Question 1. Short Answer (20 points).

a. (5 points) Briefly describe what an *intermediate representation* is.

b. (5 points) List three things that might be stored in an activation record or stack frame.

c. (5 points) Write down the data-flow equations for a *backward may* analysis, i.e., define in(s) and out(s) for a statement s in terms of gen(s) and kill(s). Write pred(s) for the predecessor of s and succ(s) for the successor of s.

in(s) =

 $\mathsf{out}(s) =$ 

**d.** (5 points) Briefly explain what it means for a type system to be *sound*. (Do not simply refer to progress and preservation—define soundness in more direct terms.)

**Question 2.** Code Generation (20 points). Below is part of the RubeVM instruction set, followed by a type representing expression ASTs for a small language.

<pre>type reg = [ 'Reg of int ] type value = [ 'Int of int   'Str of string  </pre>	<pre>  l_div of reg * reg * reg (* dst, src1, src2 *)   l_eq of reg * reg * reg (* dst, src1, src2 *)   l_lt of reg * reg * reg (* dst, src1, src2 *)</pre>
type id = [ 'Id of string ]	l_leq of reg * reg * reg (* <i>dst</i> , <i>src1</i> , <i>src2</i> *)   l_jmp of int (* <i>offset</i> *)
type instr = $    const of reg * value (* dst src *)$	l_if_zero of reg * int (* src, offset *)
<pre>  I_const of reg * reg (* dst, src *)   I_mov of reg * reg (* dst, src *)   I_add of reg * reg * reg (* dst, src1, src2 *)   I_sub of reg * reg * reg (* dst, src1, src2 *)   I_mul of reg * reg * reg (* dst, src1, src2 *)</pre>	<pre>type expr =       Elnt of int       EAdd of expr * expr       ElfPos of expr * expr * expr</pre>

The EInt and EAdd forms are standard. The form EIfPos(e1, e2, e3) evaluates e1. Then if e1 is positive, it evaluates to e2; otherwise it evaluates to e3. For example, EIfPos(5, 1, 2) evaluates to 1, and EIfPos(0, 1, 2) and EIfPos(-5, 1, 2) both evaluate to 2.

Write a function  $comp\_expr : expr -> reg * (instr list )$  that returns a list of instructions that, when run on RubeVM, will compute the expression's value and store it in the returned reg. Note you may not evaluate the expression as part of code generation, i.e., you have to write a compiler, not an interpreter. You may write as many helper functions as you need. Your code should be self-contained, e.g., if you need next\\_reg you need to implement it, though you may use OCaml standard library functions.

## Question 3. Data flow analysis (30 points).

In the following table, show each iteration of *available expressions* for the control-flow graph on the right. For each iteration, list the statement taken from the worklist in that step, the value of *out* computed for that statement, and the new worklist at the end of the iteration. You may or may not need all the iterations; you may also add more iterations if needed. Do not add the entry node to the worklist. Note that we branch directly from assignment statements in this CFG to keep the problem shorter.

Use  $\emptyset$  for the set of no expressions, and  $\top$  for the set of all expressions. What is  $\top$ ?

⊤ =



$\mathbf{Stmt}$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Initial									
out									

Iteration	0	1	2	3	4
Stmt taken from worklist	N/A	1			
out of taken stmt	N/A	a+b			
New worklist	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	2,3,4,5,6,7,8			
Iteration	5	6	7	8	9
Stmt taken from worklist					
out of taken stmt					
New worklist					
Iteration	10	11	12	13	14
Stmt taken from worklist					
out of taken stmt					
New worklist					

Question 4. Optimization (20 points). Fill in the following table so that, from left to right, each row shows a sequence of two optimizations applied to a program written in RubeVM bytecode.

Original code	After copy propagation	After dead code elimination
const r0, 10 const r1, 20 add r2, r0, r1 mov r3, r2 add r4, r2, r3 (only r4 accessed below here)		

x ) r0 ) r0	
	x ) r0 ) r0

Original code	After algebraic simplification	After loop inv. code motion
rd_glob r0, y const r1, 0 rd_glob r2, z if_zero r2, 3 add r3, r0, r1 sub r2, r2, r3 jmp -4		

Question 5. Type Systems (10 points). Here is the simply typed lambda calculus with integers.

$$e ::= v \mid x \mid e \ e \ v ::= n \mid \lambda x: t.e \qquad t ::= int \mid t \to t \qquad A ::= \cdot \mid x: t, A$$

$$\underbrace{\operatorname{INT}}_{A \vdash n : int} \qquad \underbrace{\operatorname{VaR}}_{A \vdash x : A(x)} \qquad \underbrace{\operatorname{LAM}}_{A \vdash \lambda x: t.e : t \to t'} \qquad \underbrace{\operatorname{APP}}_{A \vdash e_1 : t \to t'} \qquad A \vdash e_2 : t \\ A \vdash e_1 \ e_2 : t'$$

a. (8 points) Draw a derivation showing that the following term is well-typed in the empty type environment, where we use i instead of *int* to save writing. You need not label the uses of the rules with their names.

 $(\lambda f: i \to i \to i.f \ 3) \ (\lambda x: i.\lambda y: i.x)$ 

**b.** (2 points) Write down an expression that, according to the type rules above, has type  $(i \rightarrow i) \rightarrow i$ .