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## Homography



2D homography (projective transformation)


Theorem:

A mapping $h$ : $\mathrm{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}^{2}$ is a homography if and only if there exist a non-singular $3 \times 3$ matrix $\mathbf{H}$ such that for any point in $\mathrm{P}^{2}$ represented by a vector x it is true that $h(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{Hx}$

Definition: Homography

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{2} \\
y_{2} \\
z_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
h_{00} & h_{01} & h_{02} \\
h_{10} & h_{11} & h_{12} \\
h_{20} & h_{21} & h_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
y_{1} \\
z_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Homography=projective transformation=projectivity=collineation

## General homography

- Note: homographies are not restricted to P2
- General definition:

A homography is a non-singular, line preserving, projective mapping $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{Pn} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pn}$. It is represented by a square $(\mathrm{n}+1)$-dim matrix
with $(n+1)^{2-1}$ DOF

- Now back to the 2D case..
- Mapping between planes



## Homographies in Computer visior

Rotating/translating camera, planar world


$$
(x, y, 1)^{T}=x \propto P X=\boldsymbol{K}\left[\boldsymbol{r}_{1} \boldsymbol{r}_{2} \wedge_{\bullet} t\right]\left(\begin{array}{l}
X \\
Y \\
Q \\
1
\end{array}\right)=H\left(\begin{array}{l}
X \\
Y \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$



What happens to the $P$-matrix, if $Z$ is assumed

# Homographies in Computer vision 

Rotating camera, arbitrary
world

$(x, y, 1)^{T}=x \propto P X=K\left(n \_r \lambda\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}X \\ Y\end{array} \right\rvert\, \propto K R K^{-1} x^{\prime}=H x^{\prime}\right.\right.$
What happens to the P -matrix, if t is assumed zero?


## To unwarp (rectify) an image

- solve for homography $\mathbf{H}$ given $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ '
- solve equations of the form: wp' = Hp
- linear in unknowns: w and coefficients of $\mathbf{H}$
-H is defined up to an arbitrary scale factor
- how many points are necessary to solve for $\mathbf{H}$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solvin@ for homoonra@hies } \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{i}^{\prime} \\
y_{i}^{\prime} \\
1
\end{array}\right] } \cong\left[\begin{array}{lll}
h_{00} & h_{01} & h_{02} \\
h_{10} & h_{11} & h_{12} \\
h_{20} & h_{21} & h_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{i} \\
y_{i} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
& x_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{h_{00} x_{i}+h_{01} y_{i}+h_{02}}{h_{20} x_{i}+h_{21} y_{i}+h_{22}} \\
& y_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{h_{10} x_{i}+h_{11} y_{i}+h_{12}}{h_{20} x_{i}+h_{21} y_{i}+h_{22}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i}^{\prime}\left(h_{20} x_{i}+h_{21} y_{i}+h_{22}\right)=h_{00} x_{i}+h_{01} y_{i}+h_{02} \\
& y_{i}^{\prime}\left(h_{20} x_{i}+h_{21} y_{i}+h_{22}\right)=h_{10} x_{i}+h_{11} y_{i}+h_{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
x_{2} & y_{i} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_{3}^{\prime} x_{i} & -x_{i}^{\prime} y_{i} & -x_{3}^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{i} & y_{i} & 1 & -i_{2}^{\prime} x_{i} & -y_{i}^{\prime} y_{i} & -y_{i}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
h_{00} \\
h_{01} \\
h_{02} \\
h_{10} \\
h_{11} \\
h_{12} \\
h_{20} \\
h_{21} \\
h_{22}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Solvina for homoaraphies <br> $\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc}x_{1} & y_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_{1}^{\prime} x_{1} & -x_{1}^{\prime} y_{1} & -x_{1}^{\prime} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_{1} & y_{1} & 1 & y_{1}^{\prime} x_{1} & y_{1}^{\prime} y_{1} & y_{1}^{\prime} \\ x_{n} & y_{n} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_{n}^{\prime} x_{n} & -x_{n}^{\prime} y_{n} & -x_{n}^{\prime} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_{n} & y_{n} & 1 & -y_{n}^{\prime} x_{n} & -y_{n}^{\prime} y_{n} & -y_{n}^{\prime}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}h_{01} \\ h_{02} \\ i_{10} \\ h_{11} \\ h_{12} \\ h_{20} \\ h_{21} \\ h_{22}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$

Linear least squares

- Since $\mathbf{h}$ is only defined up to scale, solve for unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$
- Minimize $\|A \hat{h}\|^{2}$

$$
\|\mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{h}}\|^{2}=(\mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{h}})^{T} \mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{h}}=\hat{\mathbf{h}}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{h}}
$$

- Solution: $\hat{h}=$ eigenvector of $\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}$ with smallest eigenvalue
- Works with 4 or more points


## Inhomogeneous solution

Since $h$ can only be computed up to scale, impose constraint pick $h_{j}=1$, e.g. $h_{9}=1$, and solve for 8 -vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\begin{array}{llllllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & -x_{i} w_{i}{ }^{\prime} & -y_{i} w_{i}{ }^{\prime} & -w_{i} w_{i}{ }^{\prime} & x_{i} y_{i}{ }^{\prime} & y_{i} y_{i}{ }^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] \quad\left(-w_{i} y_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Can be solved using linear leastsquares

However, if $\mathrm{h}_{9}=0$ this approach fails Also poor results if $h_{9}$ close to zero Therefore, not recommended

## Feature matching


descriptors for left image feature points
descriptors for right image feature points


## SIFT features

## - Example


(a) $233 \times 189$ image
(b) 832 DOG extrema
(c) 729 left after peak value threshold
(d) 536 left after testing ratio of principle curvatures

## Strategies to match images robustly

(a)Working with individual features: For each feature point, find most similar point in other image (SIFT distance)
Reject ambiguous matches where there are too many similar points
(b)Working with all the features: Given some good feature matches, look for possible homographies relating the two images
Reject homographies that don't have many featurematches.

## (a) Feature-space outlier rejection

- Let's not match all features, but only these that have "similar enough" matches?
- How can we do it?
$-\operatorname{SSD}($ patch1,patch2) $<$ threshold
- How to set threshold? Not so easy.



## Feature-space outlier rejection

- A better way [Lowe, 1999]:
$-1-\mathrm{NN}$ : SSD of the closest match
$-2-N N: ~ S S D$ of the second-closest match
- Look at how much better $1-\mathrm{NN}$ is than $2-\mathrm{NN}$, e.g. $1-\mathrm{NN} / 2-\mathrm{NN}$
- That is, is our best match so much better than the rest?



## RAndom SAmple Consensus



# RANSAC for estimating homography 

RANSAC loop:
Select four feature pairs (at random)
Compute homography H (exact)
Compute inliers where $\left\|\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\|<\varepsilon$
Keep largest set of inliers
Re-compute least-squares H estimate using all of the inliers

