$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 10 \\ 4 & 3 & 11 \end{bmatrix}$$

species	petal_width	petal_length	sepal_width	sepal_length	
setosa	0.2	1.4	2.9	4.4	8
versicolor	1.5	4.9	3.1	6.9	52
setosa	0.2	1.2	3.2	5.0	35
virginica	1.8	4.9	3.0	6.1	127
versicolor	1.3	4.2	2.9	5.7	96





- A technique to find the directions along which the points (set of tuples) in high-dimensional data line up best.
- Treat a set of tuples as a matrix M and find the eigenvectors for MM^T or M^TM.
- The matrix of these eigenvectors can be thought of as a rigid rotation in a high-dimensional space.
- When this transformation is applied to the original data the axis corresponding to the principal eigenvector is the one along which the points are most "spread out".

- When this transformation is applied to the original data the axis corresponding to the principal eigenvector is the one along which the points are most "spread out".
- This axis is the one along which variance of the data is maximized.
- Points can best be viewed as lying along this axis with small deviations from this axis.
- Likewise, the axis corresponding the second eigenvector is the axis along which the variance of distances from the first axis is greatest, and so on.

- Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is a dimensionality reduction method.
- The goal is to embed data in high dimensional space, onto a small number of dimensions.
- It most frequent use is in exploratory data analysis and visualization.
- It can also be helpful in regression (linear or logistic) where we can transform input variables into a smaller number of predictors for modeling.

• Mathematically,

Given: Data set $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$

where, χ_i is the vector of p variable values for the i-th observation.

Return:

Matrix $[\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_p]$

of linear transformations that retain maximal variance.

- You can think of the first vector ϕ_1 as a linear transformation that embeds observations into 1 dimension

$$Z_1 = \phi_{11}X_1 + \phi_{21}X_2 + \dots + \phi_{p1}X_p$$

- You can think of the first vector ϕ_1 as a linear transformation that embeds observations into 1 dimension

$$Z_1 = \phi_{11}X_1 + \phi_{21}X_2 + \dots + \phi_{p1}X_p$$

where ϕ_1 is selected so that the resulting dataset $\{z_i, ..., z_n\}$ has maximum variance.

- In order for this to make sense, mathematically, data has to be centered
 - Each X_i has zero mean
 - Transformation vector ϕ_1 has to be normalized, i.e., $\sum \phi_j^2$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_{j1}^{2} = 1$$

- In order for this to make sense, mathematically, data has to be centered
 - Each X_i has zero mean
 - Transformation vector ϕ_1 has to be normalized, i.e., $\sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_{j1}^2 = 1$

• We can find ϕ_1 by solving an optimization problem:

$$\max_{\phi_{11},\phi_{21},\ldots,\phi_{p1}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_{j1} x_{ij} \right)^2 \text{ s.t. } \sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_{j1}^2 = 1$$

Maximize variance but subject to normalization constraint.

• We can find ϕ_1 by solving an optimization problem:

$$\max_{\phi_{11},\phi_{21},\ldots,\phi_{p1}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_{j1} x_{ij} \right)^2 \text{ s.t. } \sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_{j1}^2 = 1$$

Maximize variance but subject to normalization constraint.

- The second transformation, ϕ_2 is obtained similarly with the added constraint that ϕ_2 is orthogonal to ϕ_1
- Taken together $[\phi_1, \phi_2]$ define a pair of linear transformations of the data into 2 dimensional space

$$Z_{n\times 2} = X_{n\times p}[\phi_1, \phi_2]_{p\times 2}$$

• Taken together $[\phi_1,\phi_2]~$ define a pair of linear transformations of the data into 2 dimensional space

 $Z_{n\times 2} = X_{n\times p}[\phi_1, \phi_2]_{p\times 2}$

- Each of the columns of the Z matrix are called Principal components.
- The units of the PCs are meaningless.
- In practice we may also scale X_i to have unit variance.
- In general if variables X_j are measured in different units(e.g., miles vs. liters vs. dollars), variables should be scaled to have unit variance.

SPECTRAL THEOREM

Using Spectral theorem

$$(X^T X)\phi = \lambda\phi$$

$$XX^T(X\phi) = \lambda(X\phi)$$

Conclusion:

The matrices XX^T and X^TX share the same nonzero eigenvalues

To get an eigenvector of XX^T from X^TX multiply ϕ on the left by X

Very powerful, particularly if number of observations, m, and the number of predictors, n, are drastically different in size.

For PCA:
$$Cov(X, X) = XX^T$$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Eigen Values and Eigen Vectors?

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

From spectral theorem:

$$(X^{T}X)\phi = \lambda\phi$$
$$X^{T}X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 30 & 28 \\ 28 & 30 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

From spectral theorem:

$$(X^{T}X)\phi = \lambda\phi \implies (X^{T}X)\phi - \lambda I\phi = 0$$
$$((X^{T}X) - \lambda I)\phi = 0$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 30 - \lambda & 28\\ 28 & 30 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = 0 \implies \lambda = 58 \text{ and } \lambda = 2$$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

From spectral theorem:

$$(X^T X)\phi = \lambda\phi$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 30 & 28 \\ 28 & 30 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{11} \\ \phi_{12} \end{bmatrix} = 58 \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{11} \\ \phi_{12} \end{bmatrix} \implies \phi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

From spectral theorem: $(X^T X)\phi = \lambda \phi$
 $\begin{bmatrix} 30 & 28 \\ 28 & 30 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{11} \\ \phi_{12} \end{bmatrix} = 58 \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{11} \\ \phi_{12} \end{bmatrix} \implies \phi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$
 $\begin{bmatrix} 30 & 28 \\ 28 & 30 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{21} \\ \phi_{22} \end{bmatrix} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{21} \\ \phi_{22} \end{bmatrix} \implies \phi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

From spectral theorem: $(X^T X)\phi = \lambda\phi$
 $\phi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$ $\lambda_1 = 58$ $\phi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$ $\lambda_2 = 2$
 $\phi = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \phi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \lambda_1 = 58 \qquad \phi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \lambda_2 = 2$$

$$Z = X\phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\\ 2 & 1\\ 3 & 4\\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ \frac{7}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ \frac{7}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ \frac{7}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$



HOW MANY PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS ?

- How many PCs should we consider in post-hoc analysis?
- One result of PCA is a measure of the variance to each PC relative to the total variance of the dataset.
- We can calculate the percentage of variance explained for the m-th PC:

$$PVE_{m} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{im}^{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ij}^{2}}$$

HOW MANY PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS ?

• We can calculate the percentage of variance explained for the m-th PC:

