# CMSC 330: Organization of Programming Languages

### Closures (Implementing Higher Order Functions)

### **Returning Functions as Results**

- In OCaml you can pass functions as arguments
  - to map, fold, etc.
- and you can return functions as results

```
# let pick_fn n =
    let plus_three x = x + 3 in
    let plus_four x = x + 4 in
    if n > 0 then plus_three else plus_four
val pick_fn : int -> (int->int) = <fun>
```

### **Multi-argument Functions**

Consider a rewriting of the prior code (above)

```
let pick_fn n =
   if n > 0 then (fun x \rightarrow x+3) else (fun x \rightarrow x+4)
```

Here's another version

```
let pick_fn n =
  (fun x -> if n > 0 then x+3 else x+4)
```

... the shorthand for which is just

```
let pick_fn n x =
   if n > 0 then x+3 else x+4
```

*I.e., a multi-argument function!* 

# Currying

- We just saw a way for a function to take multiple arguments!
  - I.e., no separate concept of multi-argument functions can encode one as a function that takes a single argument and returns a function that takes the rest
- This encoding is called currying the function
  - Named after the logician Haskell B. Curry
  - But Schönfinkel and Frege discovered it
    - > So maybe it should be called Schönfinkelizing or Fregging

# **Curried Functions In OCaml**

OCaml syntax defaults to currying. E.g.,

let add x y = x + y

• is identical to all of the following:

let add = (fun x -> (fun y -> x + y))
let add = (fun x y -> x + y)
let add x = (fun y -> x+y)

Thus:

- add has type int -> (int -> int)
- add 3 has type int -> int

> add 3 is a function that adds 3 to its argument

• (add 3) 4 = 7

# This works for any number of arguments CMSC 330 - Fall 2021

# Syntax Conventions for Currying

- Because currying is so common, OCaml uses the following conventions:
  - -> associates from the right
    - > Thus int -> int -> int is the same as
    - > int -> (int -> int)
  - function application associates from the left
    - $\succ$  Thus add 3 4 is the same as
    - > (add 3) 4

Quiz 1: Which f definition is equivalent?

Quiz 1: Which f definition is equivalent?

let f a b = a 
$$/$$
 b;;

A. let f b = fun a -> a / b;; B. let f = fun a -> (fun b -> a / b);; C. let f = fun a | b -> a / b;; D. let f (a, b) = a / b;;

- A. Passing functions as arguments
- B. Passing only a portion of the expected arguments
- C. Naming arguments
- D. Recursive functions

# Quiz 2: What is enabled by currying?

- A. Passing functions as arguments
- B. Passing only a portion of the expected arguments
- C. Naming arguments
- D. Recursive functions

### **Multiple Arguments, Partial Application**

- Another way you could encode support for multiple arguments is using tuples
  - let f (a,b) = a / b (\* int\*int -> int \*)
  - let f a b = a / b (\* int-> int-> int \*)
- Is there a benefit to using currying instead?
  - Supports partial application useful when you want to provide some arguments now, the rest later
  - let add a b = a + b;;
  - let addthree = add 3;;
  - addthree 4;; (\* evaluates to 7 \*)

# **Currying is Standard In OCaml**

- Pretty much all functions are curried
  - Like the standard library map, fold, etc.
  - See /usr/local/ocaml/lib/ocaml on Grace
    - > In particular, look at the file list.ml for standard list functions
    - > Access these functions using List.<fn name>
    - > E.g., List.hd, List.length, List.map
- OCaml works hard to make currying efficient
  - Because otherwise it would do a lot of useless allocation and destruction of closures
  - What are those, you ask? Let's see ...

### Closure

### Java Example

```
public class Test{
  public void doSomething() {
    int a = 10; //must be final
    Runnable runnable = new Runnable() {
                                                            Needed later,
      public void run() {
                                                            makes copy of a
         int b = a + 1;
         System.out.println(b);
       }
    };
    (new Thread(runnable)).start(); //runs later
        //a = 100; //not allowed
  }
  public static void main(String[] args) {
    Test t = new Test();
    t.doSomething();
  }
// a=10 is removed from the stack here
```

### **OCaml Example**

```
let foo x =
   let bar = fun y -> x + y in
   bar
;;
```

```
foo 10 = ?
(fun y -> x + y) 10?
Where is x?
```

### **Another Example**

```
let x = 1 in
let f = fun y \rightarrow x in
let x = 2 in
f 0
```

#### What does this expression should evaluate to?

### Another Example

```
let x = 1 in
let f = fun y -> x in
let x = 2 in
f 0
```

#### What does this expression should evaluate to?

A. 1 B. 2

# Scope

#### Dynamic scope

• The body of a function is evaluated in the current dynamic environment at the time the function is **called**, not the old dynamic environment that existed at the time the function was defined.

#### Lexical scope

• The body of a function is evaluated in the old dynamic environment that existed at the time the function was **defined**, not the current environment when the function is called.

### Closure

let foo x =
 let bar y = x + y
in
bar ;;

foo 3 Closure





# **Closures Implement Static Scoping**

- An environment is a mapping from variable names to values
  - Just like a stack frame
- A closure is a pair (f, e) consisting of function code f and an environment e
- When you invoke a closure, f is evaluated using e to look up variable bindings

### Example – Closure 1

let add  $x = (fun y \rightarrow x + y)$ 



### Example – Closure 2



### Quiz 3: What is x?

**A**. 10

- B.1
- C.15
- D. Error variable name conflicts

.

### Quiz 3: What is x?

**A.** 10

- B.1
- C.15
- D. Error variable name conflicts

.

### Quiz 4: What is z?

- **A**. 7
- B. -2
- C. -1

D. Type Error – insufficient arguments

### Quiz 4: What is z?

- **A**. 7
- B. -2
- C. -1

D. Type Error – insufficient arguments

### Quiz 5: What does this evaluate to?

let f x = x+1 in  
let g = f in  
g (fun i 
$$\rightarrow$$
 i+1) 1

- A. Type Error
- B.1
- C.2
- D. 3

### Quiz 5: What does this evaluate to?

- **A. Type Error** Too many arguments passed to g (application is *left associative*)
- B. 1
- C.2
- D. 3

### Higher-Order Functions in C

C supports function pointers

```
typedef int (*int func)(int);
void app(int func f, int *a, int n) {
  for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
   a[i] = f(a[i]);
int add one(int x) { return x + 1; }
int main() {
  int a[] = \{5, 6, 7\};
  app(add one, a, 3);
```

# Higher-Order Functions in C (cont.)

- C does not support closures
  - Since no nested functions allowed
  - Unbound symbols always in global scope

```
int y = 1;
void app(int(*f)(int), n) {
  return f(n);
}
int add_y(int x) {
  return x + y;
}
int main() {
  app(add_y, 2);
}
```

# Higher-Order Functions in C (cont.)

- Cannot access non-local variables in C
- OCaml code

let add x y = x + y

Equivalent code in C is illegal

```
int (* add(int x))(int) {
   return add_y;
}
int add_y(int y) {
   return x + y; /* error: x undefined */
}
```

# Higher-Order Functions in C (cont.)

OCaml code

let add x y = x + y

- Works if C supports nested functions
  - Not in ISO C, but in gcc; but not allowed to return them

```
int (* add(int x))(int) {
    int add_y(int y) {
        return x + y;
    }
    return add_y; }
```

 Does not allocate closure, so x popped from stack and add\_y will get garbage (potentially) when called

### Java 8 Supports Lambda Expressions

Ocaml's

```
fun (a, b) \rightarrow a + b
```

Is like the following in Java 8

(a, b) -> a + b

Java 8 supports closures, and variations on this syntax

# Java 8 Example

```
public class Calculator {
  interface IntegerMath { int operation(int a, int b); }
  public int operateBinary(int a, int b, IntegerMath op) {
     return op.operation(a, b);
  public static void main(String... args) {
     Calculator myApp = new Calculator();
     IntegerMath addition = (a, b) \rightarrow a + b;
     IntegerMath subtraction = (a, b) \rightarrow a - b;
     System.out.println("40 + 2 = " + 
       myApp.operateBinary(40, 2, addition));
     System.out.println("20 - 10 = " + 
       myApp.operateBinary(20, 10, subtraction));
```

Lambda

expressions