

CMSC 330: Organization of Programming Languages

OCaml 3 Data Types & Modules

CMSC 330 - Fall 2013

1

User Defined Types

- ▶ **type** can be used to create new names for types
 - Useful for combinations of lists and tuples

- ▶ Examples

- `type my_type = int * (int list)`
`((3, [1; 2]) : my_type)`
- `type my_type2 = int * char * (int * float)`
`((3, 'a', (5, 3.0)) : my_type2)`

CMSC 330 - Fall 2013

3

OCaml Data

- ▶ So far, we've seen the following kinds of data
 - Basic types (int, float, char, string)
 - Lists
 - ▶ One kind of data structure
 - ▶ A list is either `[]` or `h::t`, deconstructed with pattern matching
 - Tuples
 - ▶ Let you collect data together in fixed-size pieces
 - Functions
- ▶ How can we build other data structures?
 - Building everything from lists and tuples is awkward

CMSC 330 - Fall 2013

2

Data Types

- ▶ **type** can also be used to create **variant types**
 - Equivalent to C-style unions

```
type shape =  
  Rect of float * float (* width * length *)  
  | Circle of float      (* radius *)
```

- ▶ **Rect** and **Circle** are **value constructors**
 - Here a **shape** is either a **Rect** or a **Circle**
- ▶ Constructors must begin with uppercase letter

CMSC 330 - Fall 2013

4

Data Types (cont.)

```
let area s =
  match s with
  | Rect (w, l) -> w *. l
  | Circle r -> r *. r *. 3.14

area (Rect (3.0, 4.0))
area (Circle 3.0)
```

- ▶ Use pattern matching to **deconstruct** values
 - `s` is a **shape**
 - Do different things for `s` depending on its constructor

Data Types (cont.)

```
type shape =
  Rect of float * float (* width * length *)
  | Circle of float      (* radius *)

let lst = [Rect (3.0, 4.0) ; Circle 3.0]
```

- ▶ What's the type of `lst`?
shape list
- ▶ What's the type of `lst`'s first element?
shape

Option Type

```
type optional_int =
  None
  | Some of int
let add_with_default a x = match x with
  | None -> a + 42
  | Some n -> a + n
add_with_default 3 None      (* 45 *)
add_with_default 3 (Some 4) (* 7  *)
```

- ▶ This option type can work with any kind of data
 - In fact, this **option** type is built into Ocaml
 - Specify as: **int option**, **char option**, etc...

Recursive Data Types

- ▶ We can build up lists with variant types

```
type 'a list =
  Nil
  | Cons of 'a * 'a list

let rec len = function
  Nil -> 0
  | Cons (_, t) -> 1 + (len t)


len (Cons (10, Cons (20, Cons (30, Nil))))
```

- Won't have nice `[1; 2; 3]` syntax for this kind of list

Data Type Representations

- ▶ Values in a data type are stored
 1. Directly as integers
 2. As pointers to blocks in the heap

```
type t =  
  A of int  
| B  
| C of int * int  
| D
```



Exercise: A Binary Tree Data Type

- ▶ Write type `bin_tree` for binary trees over `int`
 - Trees should be ordered (binary search tree)
- ▶ Implement the following

```
empty : bin_tree  
is_empty : bin_tree -> bool  
member : int -> bin_tree -> bool  
insert : int -> bin_tree -> bin_tree  
remove : int -> bin_tree -> bin_tree  
equal : bin_tree -> bin_tree -> bool  
fold : (int -> 'a -> 'a) -> bin_tree  
      -> 'a -> 'a
```

Modules

- ▶ So far, most everything we've defined has been at the "top-level" of OCaml
 - This is not good software engineering practice
- ▶ A better idea: Use **modules** to group associated types, functions, and data together
 - Avoid polluting the top-level with unnecessary stuff
- ▶ For lots of sample modules, see the OCaml standard library

Creating A Module In OCaml

```
module Shapes =  
  struct  
    type shape =  
      Rect of float * float (* wid*len *)  
    | Circle of float (* radius *)  
  
    let area = function  
      Rect (w, l) -> w *. l  
    | Circle r -> r *. r *. 3.14  
  
    let unit_circle = Circle 1.0  
  end;;
```

Creating A Module In OCaml (cont.)

```
module Shapes =
  struct
    type shape = ...
    let area = ...
    let unit_circle = ...
  end;;
unit_circle;; (* not defined *)
Shapes.unit_circle;;
Shapes.area (Shapes.Rect (3.0, 4.0));;
open Shapes;; (* import names
              into curr scope *)
unit_circle;; (* now defined *)
```

Modularity And Abstraction

- Another reason for creating a module is so we can **hide** details
 - Ex: Binary tree module
 - May not want to expose exact representation of binary trees
 - This is also good software engineering practice
 - Prevents clients from relying on details that may change
 - Hides unimportant information
 - Promotes local understanding (clients can't inject arbitrary data structures, only ones our functions create)

Module Signatures

Entry in signature

Supply function types

```
module type FOO =
  sig
    val add : int -> int -> int
  end;;
module Foo : FOO =
  struct
    let add x y = x + y
    let mult x y = x * y
  end;;
Foo.add 3 4;; (* OK *)
Foo.mult 3 4;; (* not accessible *)
```

Give type to module

Module Signatures (cont.)

- ▶ Convention: Signature names in all-caps
 - This isn't a strict requirement, though
- ▶ Items can be omitted from a module signature
 - This provides the ability to hide values
- ▶ The default signature for a module hides nothing
 - You'll notice this is what OCaml gives you if you just type in a module with no signature at the top-level

Abstract Types In Signatures

```
module type SHAPES =
  sig
    type shape
    val area : shape -> float
    val unit_circle : shape
    val make_circle : float -> shape
    val make_rect : float -> float -> shape
  end;;

module Shapes : SHAPES =
  struct
    ...
    let make_circle r = Circle r
    let make_rect x y = Rect (x, y)
  end
```

- ▶ Now definition of **shape** is hidden

Abstract Types In Signatures

```
# Shapes.unit_circle
- : Shapes.shape = <abstr> (* OCaml won't show impl *)
# Shapes.Circle 1.0
Unbound Constructor Shapes.Circle
# Shapes.area (Shapes.make_circle 3.0)
- : float = 29.5788
# open Shapes;;
# (* doesn't make anything abstract accessible *)
```

- ▶ How does this compare to modularity in...
 - C?
 - C++?
 - Java?

Modules In Java

- ▶ Java **classes** are like modules
 - Provides implementations for a group of functions
 - But classes can also
 - Instantiate objects
 - Inherit attributes from other classes
- ▶ Java **interfaces** are like module signatures
 - Defines a group of functions that may be used
 - Implementation is hidden

Modules In C

- ▶ **.c** files are like modules
 - Provides implementations for a group of functions
- ▶ **.h** files are like module signatures
 - Defines a group of functions that may be used
 - Implementation is hidden
- ▶ Usage is not enforced by C language
 - Can put C code in .h file



Module In Ruby

► Ruby explicitly supports modules

- Modules defined by `module ... end`
- Modules cannot
 - Instantiate objects
 - Derive subclasses

```
puts Math.sqrt(4) # 2
puts Math::PI    # 3.1416

include Math     # open Math
puts Sqrt(4)    # 2
puts PI         # 3.1416
```

OCaml Exceptions

```
exception My_exception of int
let f n =
  if n > 0 then
    raise (My_exception n)
  else
    raise (Failure "foo")
let bar n =
  try
    f n
  with My_exception n ->
    Printf.printf "Caught %d\n" n
  | Failure s ->
    Printf.printf "Caught %s\n" s
```

Exceptions (cont.)

► Exceptions are declared with `exception`

- They may appear in the signature as well

► Exceptions may take arguments

- Just like type constructors
- May also have no arguments

► Catch exceptions with `try...with...`

- Pattern-matching can be used in `with`
- If an exception is uncaught
 - Current function exits immediately
 - Control transfers up the call chain
 - Until the exception is caught, or until it reaches the top level

OCaml Exceptions (cont.)

► Exceptions may be thrown by I/O statements

- Common way to detect end of file
- Need to decide how to handle exception

► Example

```
try
  (input_char stdin) (* reads 1 char *)
with End_of_file -> 0 (* return 0? *)

try
  read_line () (* reads 1 line *)
with End_of_file -> "" (* return ""? *)
```

So Far, Only Functional Programming

- ▶ We haven't given you **any** way so far to change something in memory
 - All you can do is create new values from old
- ▶ This actually makes programming easier in some ways
 - Don't care whether data is shared in memory
 - Aliasing is irrelevant
 - Provides strong support for compositional reasoning and abstraction
 - Ex: Calling a function *f* with argument *x* always produces the same result


Imperative OCaml

- ▶ There are three basic operations on memory:
 - `ref : 'a -> 'a ref`
 - Allocate an updatable reference
 - `! : 'a ref -> 'a`
 - Read the value stored in reference
 - `:= : 'a ref -> 'a -> unit`
 - Write to a reference


```
let x = ref 3 (* x : int ref *)
let y = !x
x := 4
```

Comparison To L- and R-values

- ▶ Recall that in C/C++/Java, there's a strong distinction between l- and r-values
 - An **r-value** refers to just a value, like an integer
 - An **l-value** refers to a location that can be written
- ▶ A variable's meaning depends on where it appears
 - On the right-hand side, it's an r-value, and it refers to the contents of the variable
 - On the left-hand side of an assignment, it's an l-value, and it refers to the location the variable is stored in



L-Values and R-Values In C



- ▶ Notice that `x`, `y`, and `3` all have type `int`

Comparison To OCaml

<pre>int x; C Int y; x = 3; y = x; 3 = x;</pre>	<pre>let x = ref 0;; let y = ref 0;; x := 3;; (* x : int ref *) y := (!x);; 3 := x;; (* 3 : int; error *)</pre>	OCaml
--	--	-------

- ▶ In OCaml, an updatable location and the contents of the location have **different** types
 - The location has a **ref** type

Capturing A Ref In A Closure

- ▶ We can use **refs** to make things like counters that produce a fresh number “everywhere”

```
let next =
  let count = ref 0 in
  function () ->
    let temp = !count in
    count := (!count) + 1;
    temp;;

# next ();;
- : int = 0
# next ();;
- : int = 1
```

Semicolon Needed For Side Effects

- ▶ Now that we can update memory, we have a use for `;` and `() : unit`
 - `e1; e2` means evaluate `e1`, throw away the result, and then evaluate `e2`, and return the value of `e2`
 - `()` means “no interesting result here”
 - It’s only interesting to throw away values or use `()` if computation does something besides return a result
- ▶ A **side effect** is a visible state change
 - Modifying memory
 - Printing to output
 - Writing to disk

Examples – Semicolon

- ▶ Definition
 - `e1 ; e2` (* evaluate e1, evaluate e2, return e2)
- ▶ `1 ; 2 ;;`
 - (* 2 – value of 2nd expression is returned *)
- ▶ `(1 + 2) ; 4 ;;`
 - (* 4 – value of 2nd expression is returned *)
- ▶ `1 + (2 ; 4) ;;`
 - (* 5 – value of 2nd expression is returned to 1 + *)
- ▶ `1 + 2 ; 4 ;;`
 - (* 4 – because + has higher precedence than ; *)

::; versus ;

- ▶ ::; ends an expression in the top-level of OCaml
 - Use it to say: “Give me the value of this expression”
 - Not used in the body of a function
 - Not needed after each function definition
 - Though for now it won't hurt if used there

- ▶ e1; e2 evaluates e1 and then e2, and returns e2

```
let print_both (s, t) = print_string s; print_string t;  
                        "Printed s and t"
```

- notice no ; at end – it's a **separator**, not a **terminator**

```
print_both ("Colorless green ", "ideas sleep")
```

Prints "Colorless green ideas sleep", and returns

```
"Printed s and t"
```

Grouping With Begin...End

- ▶ If you're not sure about the scoping rules, use **begin...end** to group together statements with semicolons

```
let x = ref 0  
  
let f () =  
  begin  
    print_string "hello";  
    x := (!x) + 1  
  end
```

The Trade-Off Of Side Effects

- ▶ Side effects are absolutely necessary
 - That's usually why we run software! We want something to happen that we can observe
- ▶ They also make reasoning harder
 - Order of evaluation now matters
 - Calling the same function in different places may produce different results
 - **Aliasing** (two references to same object) is an issue
 - If we call a function with refs **r1** and **r2**, it might do strange things if **r1** and **r2** are aliased

Structural Vs. Physical Equality

- ▶ In OCaml, the = operator compares objects structurally
 - [1;2;3] = [1;2;3] (* true *)
 - (1,2) = (1,2) (* true *)
 - The = operator is used for pattern matching
- ▶ The == operator compares objects physically
 - [1;2;3] == [1;2;3] (* false *)
- ▶ Mostly you want to use the first one
 - But it's a problem with cyclic data structures

Cyclic Data Structures Possible With Ref

- ▶ `type 'a rellist = Nil | Cons of 'a * ('a rellist ref)`
- ▶ `let newcell x y = Cons(x,ref y);;`
- ▶ `let updnext (Cons (_,r)) y = r := y;;`
- ▶ `let x = newcell 1 Nil;;`
- ▶ `updnext x x;;` (* makes cycle *)
- ▶ `x == x;;` (* true *)
- ▶ `x = x;;` (* hangs *)

OCaml Language Choices

- ▶ Implicit or explicit declarations?
 - Explicit – variables must be introduced with `let` before use
 - But you don't need to specify types
- ▶ Static or dynamic types?
 - Static – but you don't need to state types
 - OCaml does **type inference** to figure out types for you
 - Good: less work to write programs
 - Bad: easier to make mistakes, harder to find errors

OCaml Programming Tips

- ▶ Compile your program often, after small changes
 - The OCaml parser often produces inscrutable error messages
 - It's easier to figure out what's wrong if you've only changed a few things since the last compile
- ▶ If you're getting strange type error messages, add in type declarations
 - Try writing down types of arguments
 - For any expression `e`, can write `(e:t)` to assert `e` has type `t`

OCaml Programming Tips (cont.)

- ▶ Watch out for precedence and function application

```
let mult x y = x*y

mult 2 2+3    (* returns 7 *)
              (* parsed as (mult 2 2)+3 *)

mult 2 (2+3) (* returns 10 *)
```

OCaml Programming Tips (cont.)

- ▶ All branches of a pattern match must return the same type

```
match x with
... -> -1    (* branch returns int *)
| ... -> ()  (* uh-oh, branch returns unit *)
| ... -> print_string "foo"
              (* also returns unit *)
```

OCaml Programming Tips (cont.)

- ▶ You cannot assign to ordinary variables!

```
# let x = 42;;
val x : int = 42
# x = x + 1;;      (* this is a comparison *)
-: bool = false
# x := 3;;
Error: This expression has type int but is here
used with type 'a ref
```

OCaml Programming Tips (cont.)

- ▶ Again: You cannot assign to ordinary variables!

```
# let x = 42;;
val x : int = 42
# let f y = y + x;;      (* captures x = 42 *)
val f : int -> int = <fun>
# let x = 0;;          (* shadows binding of x *)
val x : int = 0
# f 10;;              (* but f still refers to x=42 *)
- : int = 52
```