CMSC 411
Computer Systems Architecture
Lecture 1
Computer Architecture at Crossroads

Instructor: Anwar Mamat

Slides from Alan Sussman, Pete Keleher, Chau-Wen Tseng

Administrivia

- **Class web page**
  - **http://www.cs.umd.edu/class/spring2015/cmsc411-0201/Linked in from CS dept class web pages**
- **Class accounts**
  - **CSIC Linux cluster**
- **Recommended textbook**
  - **Hennessy & Patterson, Computer Architecture: A Quantitative Approach, 5th Edition**

What can you expect to learn?

- What to look for in buying a PC
  - Can brag to parents and friends!
- How computer architecture affects programming style
- How programming style affect computer architecture
- How processors/disks/memory work
- How processors exploit instruction/thread parallelism
- A great deal of jargon

The Textbook – H&P

- Lectures provide main material
- Text provides detailed supplementary material



Calendar / Course Overview

- Tests
  - Quizzes and Homework 15%
  - 2 projects 20%
  - 2 midterms 30%
  - final exam 30%
  - Participation 5%

5

Project Grading

- Projects will be graded using the CS submit server
- Develop programs on your own machine
  - Generally results will be identical on dept machines
  - Your responsibility to ensure programs run correctly on the linuxlab cluster

6

Rules and Reminders

- Use lecture notes as your text
  - Supplement with textbook, Internet
  - You will be responsible for everything in the notes, even if it is directly covered in class!
- Keep ahead of your work
  - Get help as soon as you need it
    - » Office hours, Piazza (email as a last resort)
- Don't disturb other students in class
  - Keep cell phones quiet
  - No laptops in class

7

Academic Integrity

- All written work (including projects) must be done on your own
  - Do not copy code from other students
  - Do not copy code from the web
  - We're using Moss; cheaters will be caught
- Work together on high-level project questions
  - Do not look at/describe another student's code
  - If unsure, ask an instructor!
- Work together on practice exam questions

8

Computer Architecture

- **Historical Perspective**
  - **Computers as we know them are roughly 60 years old**
  - **The *von Neumann machine* model that underlies computer design is only partially von Neumann's**
  - **Konrad Zuse say he had ``the bad luck of being too early"**
    - » **Optional: Read his own recollections in TR 180 of ETH, Zürich, http://www.inf.ethz.ch/research/disstechreps/techreports/show?serial=180&lang=en (contains both German and English)**
  - **No one was able to successfully patent the idea of a stored-program computer, much to the dismay of Eckert and Mauchly**

Abstraction if Modern Computer Systems



What we lean in this class



Early development steps

- Make input and output easier than wiring circuit boards and reading lights
- Make programming easier by developing higher level programming languages, so that users did not need to use binary machine code instructions
  - First compilers in late 1950's, for Fortran and Cobol
- Develop storage devices

Later development steps

- Faster
- More storage
- Cheaper
- Networking and parallel computing
- Better user interfaces
- Ubiquitous applications
- Development of standards

Perspective: An example

- Most powerful computer in 1988: CRAY Y-MP
  - 8 vector processors (~0.3 GFlops each)
  - 32 bit registers, 512 MB SRAM
- 1993: a desktop workstation (IBM Power-2) matched its power at less than 10% of the cost
- How did this happen?
  - hardware improvements, e.g., squeezing more circuits into a smaller area
  - improvements in instruction-set design, e.g., making the machine faster on a small number of frequently used instructions
  - improvements in compilation, e.g., optimizing code to reduce memory accesses and make use of faster machine instructions

Perspective: An example (cont.)

- Most powerful computer in 1988: CRAY Y-MP
  - 8 vector processors (~0.3 GFlops each)
  - 32 bit registers, 512 MB SRAM
- 2013: Intel Core i7
  - 4 cores (~45 GFlops each)
  - 64 bit registers, 8 MB L3 cache
- How did this happen?
  - Even more hardware improvements, e.g., squeezing more circuits into a smaller area
  - improvements in instruction-level parallelism, e.g., executing more instructions at the same time to improve performance

COMPUTER ARCHITECTURE AT A CROSSROADS

Crossroads: Conventional Wisdom in Comp. Arch

- Old Conventional Wisdom: Power is free, Transistors expensive
- New Conventional Wisdom: "Power wall" Power expensive, transistors free (Can put more on chip than can afford to turn on)
- Old CW: Sufficiently increasing Instruction Level Parallelism (ILP) via compilers, innovation (Out-of-order, speculation, VLIW, …)
- New CW: "ILP wall" law of diminishing returns on more HW for ILP
- Old CW: Multiplies are slow, Memory access is fast
- New CW: "Memory wall" Memory slow, multiplies fast (200 clock cycles to DRAM memory, 4 clocks for multiply)
- Old CW: Uniprocessor performance 2X / 1.5 yrs
- New CW: Power Wall + ILP Wall + Memory Wall = Brick Wall
  - Uniprocessor performance now 2X / 5(?) yrs

⇒ Sea change in chip design: multiple "cores" (2X processors per chip / ~ 2 years)

» More simpler processors are more power efficient

Crossroads: Uniprocessor Performance



Sea Change in Chip Design

- Intel 4004 (1971): 4-bit processor, 2312 transistors, 0.4 MHz, 10 micron PMOS, 11 mm² chip
- RISC II (1983): 32-bit, 5 stage pipeline, 40,760 transistors, 3 MHz, 3 micron NMOS, 60 mm² chip
- 125 mm² chip, 0.065 micron CMOS = 2312 RISC II+FPU+Icache+Dcache
  - RISC II shrinks to ~ 0.02 mm² at 65 nm
  - Caches via DRAM or 1 transistor SRAM (www.t-ram.com) ?
  - Proximity Communication via capacitive coupling at > 1 TB/s ? (Ivan Sutherland @ Sun / Berkeley)





- **Processor is the new transistor?**

Multiprocessors - Déjà vu all over again?

- Multiprocessors imminent in 1970s, ‘80s, ‘90s, …
- “… today’s processors … are nearing an impasse as technologies approach the speed of light..”

  David Mitchell, *The Transputer: The Time Is Now* (1989)
- Transputer was premature
  ⇒ Custom multiprocessors strove to lead uniprocessors
  ⇒ Procrastination rewarded: 2X seq. perf. / 1.5 years
- “We are dedicating all of our future product development to multicore designs. … This is a sea change in computing”

  Paul Otellini, President, Intel (2004)
- Difference is all microprocessor companies switch to multiprocessors (AMD, Intel, IBM, Sun; all new Apples 2 CPUs)
  ⇒ Procrastination penalized: 2X sequential perf. / 5 yrs
  ⇒ Biggest programming challenge: 1 to 2 CPUs

Problems with Sea Change

- Algorithms, Programming Languages, Compilers, Operating Systems, Architectures, Libraries, … not ready to supply Thread Level Parallelism or Data Level Parallelism for 1000 CPUs / chip,
- Architectures not ready for 1000 CPUs / chip
  - Unlike Instruction Level Parallelism, cannot be solved just by computer architects and compiler writers alone, but also cannot be solved *without* participation of computer architects
- This 5th Edition of textbook Computer Architecture: A Quantitative Approach explores shift from Instruction Level Parallelism to Thread Level Parallelism / Data Level Parallelism