

# CMSC 330: Organization of Programming Languages

---

Objects and Functional Programming

# OOP vs. FP

---

- ✗ Object-oriented programming (OOP)
  - Computation as interactions between objects
  - Objects encapsulate state, which is usually mutable
    - Accessed / modified via object's public methods
- ✗ Functional programming (FP)
  - Computation as evaluation of functions
    - Mutable data used to improve efficiency
  - Higher-order functions implemented as closures
    - Closure = function + environment


# Relating Objects to Closures

---

- ✗ An object...
  - Is a collection of fields (data)
  - ...and methods (code)
  - When a method is invoked
    - Method has implicit **this** parameter that can be used to access fields of object
- ✗ A closure...
  - Is a pointer to an environment (data)
  - ...and a function body (code)
  - When a closure is invoked
    - Function has implicit environment that can be used to access variables


# Relating Objects to Closures

```
class C {  
    int x = 0;  
    void set_x(int y) { x = y; }  
    int get_x() { return x; }  
}
```



```
C c = new C();  
c.set_x(3);  
int y = c.get_x();
```

```
let make () =  
    let x = ref 0 in  
    ( (fun y -> x := y) ,  
      (fun () -> !x) )
```



```
fun y -> x := y
```

```
fun () -> !x
```

```
let (set, get) = make ();  
set 3;;  
let y = get ();
```

# Encoding Objects with Closures

- ✗ We can apply this transformation in general

```
class C { f1 ... fn; m1 ... mn; }
```

- becomes

```
let make () =
  let f1 = ...
  ...
  and fn = ... in
  ( fun ... , (* body of m1 *)
  ...
  fun ... , (* body of mn *)
)
```

} **Tuple  
containing  
closures  
(could use  
record instead)**

- `make ()` is like the constructor
- The closure environment contains the fields

# Quiz 1: Is Circle Encoded Correctly?

```
class Circle {  
    float r = 0;  
    void set_r (float t) { r = t; }  
    float get_r () { return r; }  
    float area(){  
        return 3.14 * r * r;}  
}
```

```
C c = new Circle();  
c.set_r(1.0);  
float y = c.get_r();  
c.area();
```

- A. True  
B. False

```
let make () =  
  let r = 0.0 in  
  ((fun y -> let r = y in (),,  
   (fun () -> r),  
   fun ()-> r *. r *. 3.14  
)
```

```
let (set_r, get_r, area) =  
  make ();;  
set_r 1.0;;  
let y = get_r();;  
area();;
```

# Quiz 1: Is Circle Encoded Correctly?

```
class Circle {  
    float r = 0;  
    void set_r (float t) { r = t; }  
    float get_r () { return r; }  
    float area(){  
        return 3.14 * r * r;}  
}
```

```
C c = new Circle();  
c.set_r(1.0);  
float y = c.get_r();  
c.area();
```

- A. True  
B. False

```
let make () =  
  let r = ref 0.0 in  
  ((fun y -> let r := y in ()),  
   (fun () -> !r),  
   fun ()-> !r *. !r *. 3.14  
)
```

```
let (set_r, get_r, area) =  
  make ();;  
set_r 1.0;;  
let y = get_r();;  
Area();;
```

# Relating Closures to Objects

---

- ✗ A closure is like an object with a designated `eval()` method
  - The type of `eval` corresponds to the type of the closure's function, `T -> U`

```
interface Func<T,U> {
    U eval(T x);
}
class G implements Func<T,U> {
    U eval(T x) { /* body of fn */ }
}
```

- ✗ Environment is stored as field(s) of G

# Relating Closures to Objects

```
let add1 x = x + 1
```

```
interface IntIntFun {  
    Integer eval(Integer x);  
}  
class Add1 implements IntIntFun {  
    Integer eval(Integer x) {  
        return x + 1;  
    }  
}
```

```
add1 2;;  
add1 3;;
```

```
new Add1().eval(2);  
new Add1().eval(3)
```

# Quiz 2: What does this evaluate to?

---

```
interface IntIntFun {  
    Integer eval(Integer x);  
}  
class Foo implements IntIntFun {  
    Integer eval(Integer x) {  
        return x * 2;  
    }  
}  
  
new Foo(5);
```

- A. 5
- B. 10
- C. 6
- D. None of the above

# Quiz 2: What does this evaluate to?

---

```
interface IntIntFun {  
    Integer eval(Integer x);  
}  
class Foo implements IntIntFun {  
    Integer eval(Integer x) {  
        return x * 2;  
    }  
}  
  
new Foo(5);
```

- A. 5
- B. 10
- C. 6
- D. None of the above (should be called `new Foo().eval(5)`)

# Relating Closures to Objects

```
let app_to_1 f = f 1
```

```
interface IntIntFunFun {  
    Integer eval(IntIntFun x);  
}  
class AppToOne  
    implements IntIntFunFun {  
    Integer eval(IntIntFun f) {  
        return f.eval(1);  
    }  
}
```

```
app_to_1 add1;;
```

```
new AppToOne().eval(new Add1());
```

# Quiz 3: What does this evaluate to?

```
interface IntIntFun {  
    Integer eval(Integer x);  
}  
class Foo implements IntIntFun {  
    Integer eval(Integer x) {  
        return x * 2;  
    }  
}  
interface IntIntFunFun {  
    Integer eval(IntIntFun x);  
}  
class AppToFive  
    implements IntIntFunFun {  
    Integer eval(IntIntFun f) {  
        return f.eval(5);  
    }  
}
```

```
new AppToFive().eval  
(new Foo());
```

- A. 5
- B. 10
- C. 6
- D. Error

# Quiz 3: What does this evaluate to?

```
interface IntIntFun {  
    Integer eval(Integer x);  
}  
class Foo implements IntIntFun {  
    Integer eval(Integer x) {  
        return x * 2;  
    }  
}  
interface IntIntFunFun {  
    Integer eval(IntIntFun x);  
}  
class AppToFive  
    implements IntIntFunFun {  
    Integer eval(IntIntFun f) {  
        return f.eval(5);  
    }  
}
```

```
new AppToFive().eval  
(new Foo());
```

- A. 5
- B. 10
- C. 6
- D. Error


# Relating Closures to Objects

```
interface Func<T,U> {
    U eval(T x);
}
class Add1 implements Func<Integer,Integer> {
    public Integer eval(Integer x) {
        return x + 1;
    }
}
class AppToOne
    implements Func<Func<Integer,Integer>,Integer> {
    public Integer eval(Func<Integer,Integer> f) {
        return f.eval(1);
    }
}
```

```
app_to_1 add1;;           new AppToOne().eval(new Add1());
```

# Relating Closures to Objects

```
let add a b = a + b;;
```



```
let add1 = add 1;;
add1 4;;
```

```
class Add
  implements Func<Int,Func<Int,Int>> {
  private static class AddClosure
    implements Func<Int,Int> {
    private final Int a;
    AddClosure(Int a) {
      this.a = a;
    }
    Integer eval(Int b) {
      return a + b;
    }
  }
  Func<Int,Int> eval(Int x) {
    return new AddClosure(x);
  }
}
```

`a = 1`

```
Func<Int,Int> add1 = new Add().eval(1);
add1.eval(4);
```

# Encoding Closures with Objects

- ✗ We can apply this transformation in general

```
... (fun x -> (* body of fn *)) ...  
let h f ... = ...f y...
```

- becomes

```
interface F<T,U> { U eval(T x); }  
class G implements F<T,U> {  
    U eval(T x) { /* body of fn */ }  
}  
class C {  
    Typ1 h(F<Typ2,Typ3> f, ...) {  
        ...f.eval(y)...  
    }  
}
```

- **F** is the interface of a closure's function
- **G** represents the particular function

## Quiz 4: Are these two versions equivalent?

---

```
let mult x y = x * y
let f = mult 2 in
f 3;;
```

- A. True
- B. False

```
interface IntIntFun {
    Integer eval(Integer x);
}
class Mult implements IntIntFun {
    private int x;
    Mult(int x) { this.x = x }
    Integer eval(Integer y) {
        return x * y;
    }
}
Mult f = new Mult(2);
f.eval(3);
```

## Quiz 4: Are these two versions equivalent?

---

```
let mult x y = x * y
let f = mult 2 in
f 3;;
```

- A. True
- B. False

```
interface IntIntFun {
    Integer eval(Integer x);
}
class Mult implements IntIntFun {
    private int x;
    Mult(int x) { this.x = x }
    Integer eval(Integer y) {
        return x * y;
    }
}
Mult f = new Mult(2);
f.eval(3);
```

# Recall a Useful Higher-Order Function

---

```
let rec map f = function
  [] -> []
  | (h :: t) -> (f h) :: (map f t)
```

- ✗ Map applies an arbitrary function **f**
  - To each **element of a list**
  - And returns the results collected in a list
- ✗ Can we encode this in Java?
  - Using **object-oriented programming**

# An Integer List Abstraction in Java

```
public class MyList {  
    private class ConsNode {  
        int head;  
        MyList tail;  
        ConsNode(int h, MyList l){  
            head = h; tail = l;  
        }  
    }  
  
    private ConsNode contents;  
  
    public MyList () {  
        contents = null;  
    }  
  
    public MyList(int h, MyList l){  
        contents =  
            new ConsNode (h, l);  
    }  
}
```

```
public MyList cons (int h) {  
    return new MyList(h, this);  
}  
  
public int hd () {  
    return contents.head;  
}  
  
public MyList tl () {  
    return contents.tail;  
}  
  
public boolean isNull () {  
    return (contents == null);  
}  
}
```

# A Map Method for Lists in Java

---

- ✗ Problem – Write a `map` method in Java
  - Must pass a function into another function
- ✗ Solution
  - Can be done using an object with a `known` method
  - Use `interface` to specify what method must be present

```
public interface IntFunction {  
    int eval(int arg);  
}
```

(can make this polymorphic but will keep it simpler for now)

# A Map Method for Lists (cont.)

---

## ExX Examples

- Two classes which both implement Function interface

```
class AddOne implements IntFunction {  
    int eval (int arg) {  
        return (arg + 1);  
    }  
}
```

```
class MultTwo implements IntFunction {  
    int eval(int arg) {  
        return (arg * 2);  
    }  
}
```

# The New List Class

---

```
class MyList {  
    ...  
    public MyList map (IntFunction f) {  
        if (this.isNull()) return this;  
        else return (this.tl()).map(f).cons (f.eval (this.hd()));  
    }  
}
```

# Applying Map To Lists

---

- Then to apply the function, we just do

```
MyList l = ...;  
MyList l1 = l.map(new AddOne());  
MyList l2 = l.map(new MultTwo());
```

- We make a new object
  - That has a method that performs the function we want
- This is sometimes called a **callback**
  - Because map “calls back” to the object passed into it
- But it’s really just a higher-order function
  - Written more awkwardly

# We Can Do This for Fold Also!

---

- Recall

```
let rec fold f a = function
  [] -> a
  | (h::t) -> fold f (f a h) t
```

- **Fold** accumulates a value (in **a**) as it traverses a list
- **f** is used to determine how to “fold” the head of a list into **a**
- This can be done in Java using an approach similar to map!

# A Fold Method for Lists in Java

---

- ✗ Problem – Write a `fold` method in Java
  - Must pass a function into another function
- ✗ Solution
  - Can be done using an object with a `known` method
  - Use `interface` to specify what method must be present

```
public interface IntBinFunction {  
    Integer eval(Integer arg1, Integer arg2);  
}
```

# A Fold Method for Lists (cont.)

---

## ✖ Examples

- A classes which implements IntBinFunction interface

```
class Sum implements IntBinFunction {  
    Integer eval(Integer arg1, Integer arg2) {  
        return new Integer(arg1 + arg2);  
    }  
}
```

## ✖ Note: this is not curried

- How might you make it so?

# The New List Class

---

```
class MyList {  
    ...  
    public MyList map (IntFunction f) {  
        if (this.isNull()) return this;  
        else return (this.tl()).map(f).cons (f.eval (this.hd()));  
    }  
  
    public int fold (IntBinFunction f, int a) {  
        if (this.isNull()) return a;  
        else return (this.tl()).fold(f, f.eval(a, this.hd()));  
    }  
}
```

# Applying Fold to Lists

---

- ✗ To apply the fold function, we just do this:

```
MyList l = ...;  
int s = l.fold (new Sum(), 0);
```

- ✗ The result is that **s** contains the sum of the elements in **l**

# Java 8 eases the syntax

---

- ✗ Java 8 allows you to make objects that act as functions, more easily

- Instead of this

```
MyList l = ...;  
MyList l1 = l.map(new AddOne());  
MyList l2 = l.map(new MultTwo());
```

- Write this

```
MyList l = ...;  
MyList l1 = l.map((x) -> x + 1);  
MyList l2 = l.map((y) -> y * 2);
```

# Code as Data

---

- ✗ Closures and objects are related
  - Both of them allow
    - Data to be associated with higher-order code
    - Passing code around the program
- ✗ The key insight in all of these examples
  - Treat code as if it were data
    - Allowing code to be passed around the program
    - And invoked where it is needed (as callback)
- ✗ Approach depends on programming language
  - Higher-order functions (OCaml, Ruby, Lisp)
  - Function pointers (C, C++)
  - Objects with known methods (Java)

# Code as Data

---

- ✖ This is a powerful programming technique
  - Solves a number of problems quite elegantly
    - Create new control structures (e.g., Ruby iterators)
    - Add operations to data structures (e.g., visitor pattern)
    - Event-driven programming (e.g., observer pattern)
  - Keeps code separate
    - Clean division between higher & lower-level code
  - Promotes code reuse
    - Lower-level code supports different callbacks