CMSC 250 Discrete Structures

Predicate Logic

Establishing Truth and Falsity

• To show \exists statement is true. Find an example in the domain where it is true. ■ To show ∃ statement is false: Show false for every member of the domain. • To show \forall statement is true: Show true for every member of the domain. • To show \forall statement is false: Find an example in the domain where it is false.

Definition

Is the following true:

 $\forall x \exists y : y < x$

Definition

Is the following true:

 $\forall x \exists y : y < x$

If Domain is \mathbb{N} ? (Naturals)

Definition

Is the following true:

 $\forall x \exists y : y < x$

If Domain is \mathbb{N} ? (Naturals) If Domain is \mathbb{Z} ? (Integers)

Definition

Is the following true:

 $\forall x \exists y : y < x$

If Domain is \mathbb{N} ? (Naturals) If Domain is \mathbb{Z} ? (Integers) If Domain is \mathbb{Q} ? (Rationals)

Definition

Is the following true:

 $\forall x \exists y : y < x$

If Domain is \mathbb{N} ? (Naturals) If Domain is \mathbb{Z} ? (Integers) If Domain is \mathbb{Q} ? (Rationals) If Domain is $\mathbb{Q}^{>0}$? (Rationals that are > 0)

Definition

Is the following true:

 $\forall x \exists y : y < x$

If Domain is \mathbb{N} ? (Naturals) If Domain is \mathbb{Z} ? (Integers) If Domain is \mathbb{Q} ? (Rationals) If Domain is $\mathbb{Q}^{>0}$? (Rationals that are > 0) If Domain is $\mathbb{Q}^{>=0}$? (Rationals that are >= 0)

Definition

Is the following true:

 $\forall x \exists y : y < x$

If Domain is \mathbb{N} ? (Naturals)

- If Domain is \mathbb{Z} ? (Integers)
- If Domain is \mathbb{Q} ? (Rationals)
- If Domain is $\mathbb{Q}^{>0}$? (Rationals that are > 0)
- If Domain is $\mathbb{Q}^{>=0}$? (Rationals that are >= 0)

If Domain is \mathbb{R} ? (Reals)

Definition

Is the following true:

 $\forall x \exists y : y < x$

If Domain is \mathbb{N} ? (Naturals)

- If Domain is \mathbb{Z} ? (Integers)
- If Domain is \mathbb{Q} ? (Rationals)
- If Domain is $\mathbb{Q}^{>0}$? (Rationals that are > 0)
- If Domain is $\mathbb{Q}^{>=0}$? (Rationals that are >= 0)
- If Domain is \mathbb{R} ? (Reals)
- If Domain is \mathbb{C} ? (Complex)

Example

Statement: All cats are furry

Example

Statement: All cats are furry Negation: There is at least one cat that is not furry

Definition

The negation of a universal statement ("all are") is logically equivalent to an existential statement ("some are not" or "there is at least one that is not").

Example

Statement: Some snowflakes are the same

Example

Statement: Some snowflakes are the same Negation: No snowflakes are the same

Definition

The negation of an existential statement ("some are") is logically equivalent to a universal statement ("none are" or "all are not").

Example

• A bowl sits on a table and next to it is a pile of five blue and five gray balls.

- A bowl sits on a table and next to it is a pile of five blue and five gray balls.
- Place three blue balls and one gray ball in the bowl.

Example

- A bowl sits on a table and next to it is a pile of five blue and five gray balls.
- Place three blue balls and one gray ball in the bowl.
- Is the following statement true or false?

All the balls in the bowl are blue

Example

- A bowl sits on a table and next to it is a pile of five blue and five gray balls.
- Place three blue balls and one gray ball in the bowl.
- Is the following statement true or false?

All the balls in the bowl are blue

 Empty the bowl and consider the following: All the balls in the bowl are blue.
 Is it true or false?

Example

- All the balls in the bowl are blue
- Is it true or false?
- This statement is false, if and only of, its negative is true.

And its negation is

- All the balls in the bowl are blue
- Is it true or false?
- This statement is false, if and only of, its negative is true.
 - And its negation is
- There exists a ball in the bowl that is not blue

- All the balls in the bowl are blue
- Is it true or false?
- This statement is false, if and only of, its negative is true.
 - And its negation is
- There exists a ball in the bowl that is not blue
- But the bowl is empty and therefore the negation is false

- All the balls in the bowl are blue
- Is it true or false?
- This statement is false, if and only of, its negative is true.
 - And its negation is
- There exists a ball in the bowl that is not blue
- But the bowl is empty and therefore the negation is false
- that makes the original statement true by "default"

- If domain, D, is empty, then (∃x∈D)[P(x)] is vacuously falls.
- If domain, D, is empty, then (∀x∈D)[P(x)] is vacuously true.

Does order matter

Order

```
Let P(x,y) be a statement, x + y = y + x if x,y \epsilon \mathbb{R}
Are,
```

```
\forall x \forall y P(x,y), and 
 \forall y \forall x P(x,y)
```

equivalent?

Does order matter

Order

Let Q(x,y) be a statement, x + y = 0 if x,y $\epsilon \mathbb{R}$ Are,

equivalent?

Does order matter

Example

Q(x,y,z): x + y = z, for x,y,z $\in \mathbb{R}$ Are the statements,

> $\forall x \forall y \exists z \ Q(x,y,z)$ $\exists z \forall x \forall y \ Q(x,y,z)$

equivalent?

Nested Quantifiers

Example

Translate the statement

```
\forall x (C(x) \lor \exists y (C(y) \land F(x,y)))
```

where, C(x): "x has a computer" F(x,y): "x and y are friends" and the domain for x and y consists of all students in your school.

Example

If a person is a female and is a parent, then this person is a mother

Example

If a person is a female and is a parent, then this person is a mother

For every person, x, if person x is female, and person x is a parent, then there exists a person y such that person x is the mother of person y. x,y ϵ all the people

Example

If a person is a female and is a parent, then this person is a mother

For every person, x, if person x is female, and person x is a parent, then there exists a person y such that person x is the mother of person y. x,y ϵ all the people

F(x): x is a female P(x): x is a parent M(x,y): x is the mother of y

Example

If a person is a female and is a parent, then this person is a mother

For every person, x, if person x is female, and person x is a parent, then there exists a person y such that person x is the mother of person y. x,y ϵ all the people

F(x): x is a female P(x): x is a parent M(x,y): x is the mother of y

 $\forall x \exists y (F(x) \land P(x) \to M(x,y)))$

Example

Everyone has exactly one best friend

Example

Everyone has exactly one best friend

For every person, x, person x has exactly one best friend

Example

Everyone has exactly one best friend

For every person, x, person x has exactly one best friend

B(y,x): y is the best friend of x

Example

Everyone has exactly one best friend

For every person, x, person x has exactly one best friend B(y,x): y is the best friend of x

 $\forall x \exists y (B(y,x) \land \forall z ((z \neq y) \rightarrow \neg B(z,x)))$

x,y,z ϵ all the people

Example

There is a man who has taken a flight on every airline in the world.

Example

There is a man who has taken a flight on every airline in the world.

P(m,f): m has taken f Q(f.a): f is a flight on a m ϵ all women in the world, f ϵ all airplane flights, and, a ϵ all airplanes.

Example

There is a man who has taken a flight on every airline in the world.

P(m,f): m has taken f Q(f.a): f is a flight on a m ϵ all women in the world, f ϵ all airplane flights, and, a ϵ all airplanes.

 $\exists m \forall a \exists f(P(m,f) \land Q(f,a))$

Negating Nested Quantifiers

Definition

Express negation of the statement $\forall x \exists y(xy=1)$

Example

Recall

The following equivalencies hold:

$$\neg \forall x: P(x) \equiv \exists x: \neg P(x) \\ \neg \exists x: P(x) \equiv \forall x: \neg P(x)$$

Quantifier version of De Morgan's laws

Negating Nested Quantifiers

Definition

Express negation of the statement $\forall x \exists y(xy=1)$

Solution

$$\neg \forall x \exists y(xy=1) \equiv \exists x \neg \exists y(xy=1) \\ \equiv \exists x \forall y \neg (xy=1) \\ \equiv \exists x \forall y(xy\neq 1) \end{cases}$$