CMSC 430, Feb 11th 2020

Con

First things first

First things first

• Reflection on what a compiler is

• Compilers translate a *source language* to some *target language*

• In this class we will have *many* source lanagues

- In this class we will have *many* source lanagues
- We will only have one target language

• The two languages so far are quite limited but still interesting

- The two languages so far are quite limited but still interesting
 - We could extend the runtime system to allow some sort of integer-IO

- The two languages so far are quite limited but still interesting
 - We could extend the runtime system to allow some sort of integer-IO
 - We could imagine `finishing up' a calculator-like language

- The two languages so far are quite limited but still interesting
 - We could extend the runtime system to allow some sort of integer-IO
 - We could imagine `finishing up' a calculator-like language
- However there are a few things that, without them, we'd be hamstrung in developing more sophisticated languages

- The two languages so far are quite limited but still interesting
 - We could extend the runtime system to allow some sort of integer-IO
 - We could imagine `finishing up' a calculator-like language
- However there are a few things that, without them, we'd be hamstrung in developing more sophisticated languages
 - We'd like to be able to name things: variables

- The two languages so far are quite limited but still interesting
 - We could extend the runtime system to allow some sort of integer-IO
 - We could imagine `finishing up' a calculator-like language
- However there are a few things that, without them, we'd be hamstrung in developing more sophisticated languages
 - We'd like to be able to name things: variables
 - We'd like to be able to make decisions, i.e. perform branching

• We will look at naming things next week

- We will look at naming things next week
- Today, we will look at branching via conditionals

- We will look at naming things next week
- Today, we will look at branching via conditionals
 - Because we want to focus on the branching aspect, we will not introduce booleans (yet!)

- We will look at naming things next week
- Today, we will look at branching via conditionals
 - Because we want to focus on the branching aspect, we will not introduce booleans (yet!)
 - Instead we will allow only a single predicate, that we define up-front

Con

Con

• Our language **Con** is going to extend **blackmail** with only one new syntactic feature

• We've got expressions

- We've got expressions
- o e ::= i | add1 e | sub1 e | if (zero? e) e e

- We've got expressions
- o e ::= i | add1 e | sub1 e | if (zero? e) e e
 - Everything works, as before...

• We've got expressions

o e ::= i | addl e | subl e | if (zero? e) e e

- Everything works, as before...
 - but now we can decide between two programs depending on whether some expression results in 0

• We've got expressions

o e ::= i | addl e | subl e | if (zero? e) e e

- Everything works, as before...
 - but now we can decide between two programs depending on whether some expression results in 0
- Important Point:

• We've got expressions

o e ::= i | addl e | subl e | if (zero? e) e e

• Everything works, as before...

 but now we can decide between two programs depending on whether some expression results in 0

- Important Point:
 - This does not mean we have booleans!

Part-n Parse-L

Part-n Parse-L

• Extending our parser/validator is not too difficult

Part-n Parse-L

Extending our parser/validator is not too difficult

```
(define (expr? x)
  (match x
   [(? integer? i) #t]
   [`(add1 ,x) (expr? x)]
   [`(sub1 ,x) (expr? x)]
   [`(if (zero? ,x) ,y ,z)
      (and (expr? x)
        (expr? y)
        (expr? z))]
   [ #f]))
```

What does it mean?

What does it mean?

• This is a job for semantics

Some Antics

Some Antics

• The meaning of integers is unchanged since **abscond**

• The meaning of integers is unchanged since **abscond**

 $\boldsymbol{C} \llbracket i, i \rrbracket$

• The meaning of **add1/sub1** is unchanged since **blackmail**

• The meaning of **add1/sub1** is unchanged since **blackmail**

 $C[[e_0, i_0]]$ $i_1 = i_0 + 1$

C[(add1 e_0), i_1]]

 The meaning of add1/sub1 is unchanged since blackmail

 $\frac{C[[e_0, i_0]] \quad i_1 = i_0 + 1}{C[[(add1 \ e_0), i_1]]}$ $\frac{C[[e_0, i_0]] \quad i_1 = i_0 - 1}{C[[(sub1 \ e_0), i_1]]}$

• The new stuff in **con**

• The new stuff in **con**

 $\boldsymbol{C}\llbracket \boldsymbol{e}_0,\,\boldsymbol{i}_0
bracket$ $i_0=0$ $\boldsymbol{C}\llbracket \boldsymbol{e}_1,\,\boldsymbol{i}_1
bracket$

C[[(if (zero? e_0) $e_1 e_2$), i_1]]

• The new stuff in **con**

 $\frac{\boldsymbol{C}[\![\boldsymbol{e}_0, \, i_0]\!] \quad i_0 = 0 \quad \boldsymbol{C}[\![\boldsymbol{e}_1, \, i_1]\!]}{\boldsymbol{C}[\![(\text{if (zero? } \boldsymbol{e}_0) \, \boldsymbol{e}_1 \, \boldsymbol{e}_2), \, i_1]\!]}$ $\frac{\boldsymbol{C}[\![\boldsymbol{e}_0, \, i_0]\!] \quad i_0 \neq 0 \quad \boldsymbol{C}[\![\boldsymbol{e}_2, \, i_2]\!]}{\boldsymbol{c}[\![\boldsymbol{e}_0, \, i_0]\!] \quad i_0 \neq 0 \quad \boldsymbol{C}[\![\boldsymbol{e}_2, \, i_2]\!]}$

C[[(if (zero? e_0) $e_1 e_2$), i_2]]

• The interpreter can still fit on a single slide

• The interpreter can still fit on a single slide

```
(define (interp e)
  (match e
    [(? integer? i) i]
    [`(add1 ,e0)
     (+ (interp e0) 1)]
    [`(sub1 ,e0)
     (- (interp e0) 1)]
    [`(if (zero? ,e0) ,e1 ,e2)
     (if (zero? (interp e0))
         (interp el)
         (interp e2))]))
```

• But let's just focus on the new bit:

```
(define (interp e)
  (match e
    (...)
  [`(if (zero? ,e0) ,e1 ,e2)
    (if (zero? (interp e0))
        (interp e1)
        (interp e2))]))
```

• But let's just focus on the new bit:

```
(define (interp e)
  (match e
    (...)
  [`(if (zero? ,e0) ,e1 ,e2)
    (if (zero? (interp e0))
        (interp e1)
        (interp e2))]))
```

• the zero? functions are not the same!

• But let's just focus on the new bit:

```
(define (interp e)
  (match e
    (...)
  [`(if (zero? ,e0) ,e1 ,e2)
    (if (zero? (interp e0))
        (interp e1)
        (interp e2))]))
```

• the zero? functions are not the same!

• con has no notion of booleans (yet!)

• Example 1

• Example 1

(if (zero? 8) 2 3)

• Example 2

• Example 2 (if (zero? (add1 -1)) (sub1 2) 3)

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- CMP

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- CMP

CMP RAX, imm32

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- CMP

CMP RAX, imm32

- imm32 sign-extended to 64-bits with RAX.
 - Iimit of 32 bit immediate not an issue for us (always 0)

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- JMP

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- JMP

JMP <label>

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- JMP

JMP <label>

• Jump to an absolute address

 we are going to let the assembler deal with whether it's direct of indirect

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- JNE

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- JNE

JNE <label>

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- JNE

JNE <label>

• IFF **ZF!=0** jump to absolute address

 we are going to let the assembler deal with whether it's direct of indirect

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- JE

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- JE

JE <label>

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- JE

JE <label>

• IFF **ZF==0** jump to absolute address

 we are going to let the assembler deal with whether it's direct of indirect

Let's write it!