## CMSC 430, Feb 11th 2020

## Con

## First things first

## First things first

- Reflection on what a compiler is
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- In this class we will have many source lanagues
- We will only have one target language
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## Our languages so far:

- The two languages so far are quite limited but still interesting
- We could extend the runtime system to allow some sort of integer-IO
- We could imagine `finishing up’ a calculator-like language
- However there are a few things that, without them, we'd be hamstrung in developing more sophisticated languages
- We'd like to be able to name things: variables
- We'd like to be able to make decisions, i.e. perform branching
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## Language du jour

- We will look at naming things next week
- Today, we will look at branching via conditionals
- Because we want to focus on the branching aspect, we will not introduce booleans (yet!)
- Instead we will allow only a single predicate, that we define up-front

Con

## Con

- Our language Con is going to extend blackmail with only one new syntactic feature
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## Con's AST

- We've got expressions
- e : : = i | add1 e | sub1 e | if (zero? e) e e
- Everything works, as before...
- but now we can decide between two programs depending on whether some expression results in 0
- Important Point:
- This does not mean we have booleans!
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## Part-n Parse-L

- Extending our parser/validator is not too difficult

```
(define (expr? x)
    (match x
    [(? integer? i) #t]
    [`(add1 ,x) (expr? x)]
    [`(sub1 ,x) (expr? x)]
    [`(if (zero? ,x) ,y ,z)
        (and (expr? x)
        (expr? y)
        (expr? z))]
    [_ #f]))
```
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## What does it mean?

- This is a job for semantics
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## Some Antics

- The meaning of add1/sub1 is unchanged since blackmail

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\boldsymbol{C} \llbracket e_{0}, i_{0} \rrbracket}{\boldsymbol{C} \llbracket\left(i_{1}=i_{0}+1\right.} \\
& \frac{\boldsymbol{C} \llbracket e_{0}, i_{0} \rrbracket \quad i_{1}=i_{0}-1}{\boldsymbol{C} \llbracket\left(\text { sub1 } e_{0}\right), i_{1} \rrbracket}
\end{aligned}
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## Some Antics

- The new stuff in con

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\boldsymbol{C} \llbracket e_{0}, i_{0} \rrbracket}{} \begin{array}{ll}
i_{0}=0 & \boldsymbol{C} \llbracket e_{1}, i_{1} \rrbracket \\
\boldsymbol{C} \llbracket\left(\text { if }\left(\text { zero? } e_{0}\right)\right. & e_{1} \\
\left.e_{2}\right), i_{1} \rrbracket \\
\boldsymbol{C} \llbracket e_{0}, i_{0} \rrbracket \quad i_{0} \neq 0 \quad \boldsymbol{C} \llbracket e_{2}, i_{2} \rrbracket \\
\left.\left.\boldsymbol{C} \llbracket \text { (if (zero? } e_{0}\right) e_{1} e_{2}\right), i_{2} \rrbracket
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$
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- The interpreter can still fit on a single slide


## (define (interp e)

(match e
[(? integer? i) i]
[`(add1 ,e0) (+ (interp e0) 1)] [`(sub1 ,e0)
(- (interp e0) 1)]
[`(if (zero? ,e0) ,e1 ,e2)
(if (zero? (interp e0))
(interp el)
(interp e2))]))
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## Semantics -> Interpreter

- But let's just focus on the new bit:

```
(define (interp e)
    (match e
    (...)
    [`(if (zero? ,e0) ,e1 ,e2)
    (if (zero? (interp e0))
    (interp el)
    (interp e2))]))
```

- the zero? functions are not the same!
- con has no notion of booleans (yet!)
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- Example 1
(if (zero? 8) 2 3)
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## Let's think through two examples

- Example 2
(if (zero? (add1 -1)) (sub1 2) 3)


## Follow these instructions

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- CMP


## Follow these instructions

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- CMP

CMP RAX, imm32

## Follow these instructions

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- CMP


## CMP RAX, imm32

- imm32 sign-extended to 64-bits with RAX.
- limit of 32 bit immediate not an issue for us (always 0)
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- IFF ZF !=0 jump to absolute address
- we are going to let the assembler deal with whether it's direct of indirect
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## Follow these instructions

- Here is a quick overview of some useful instructions:
- JE


## JE <label>

- IFF $Z F==0$ jump to absolute address
- we are going to let the assembler deal with whether it's direct of indirect


## Let's write it!

