CMSC 430, March 3rd 2020

Hustle

• Let's review stacks a little bit

- Let's review stacks a little bit
- One thing I was trying to get across, but may have failed:

- Let's review stacks a little bit
- One thing I was trying to get across, but may have failed:
 - There are many ways to use stacks to store temporaries!

- Let's review stacks a little bit
- One thing I was trying to get across, but may have failed:
 - There are many ways to use stacks to store temporaries!
 - Only thing that matters: that it works.

• In AMD64, there are two registers normally used for the stack:

• In AMD64, there are two registers normally used for the stack:

• **rsp** and **rbp**

• In AMD64, there are two registers normally used for the stack:

• **rsp** and **rbp**

• Importantly, these registers are not special!

• In AMD64, there are two registers normally used for the stack:

• **rsp** and **rbp**

• Importantly, these registers are not special!

 In fact, in the architecture specification they are explicitly called out as *general purpose*

• The idea behind having two:

- The idea behind having two:
 - The stack pointer points to the "top" of the stack

- The idea behind having two:
 - The stack pointer points to the "top" of the stack
 - The base pointer points to the "bottom" of the stack

- The idea behind having two:
 - The stack pointer points to the "top" of the stack
 - The base pointer points to the "bottom" of the stack
- The 'distance' between the determines how many things are currently on the stack.

• Let's take a look:

• Let's take a look:



• Let's take a look:



 Even with both rsp and rbp we have to keep track of things

• Since we're keeping track of things, the following are all equivalent:

• Since we're keeping track of things, the following are all equivalent:



• Since we're keeping track of things, the following are all equivalent:



 But I also said there was nothing special about rsp and rbp

 But I also said there was nothing special about rsp and rbp



 But I also said there was nothing special about rsp and rbp



• We went with the last one:

• We went with the last one:



• We went with the last one:



• Why not use **rbp**?

• We went with the last one:



• Why not use **rbp**?

 $^\circ$ Because rbp is special to C

• :(

Our languages so far:

Our languages so far:

• Each lecture we're seeing the complexities of our language grow

Our languages so far:

- Each lecture we're seeing the complexities of our language grow
- Most of the time these new features change things in our interpreter/compiler but not in our RTS
Our languages so far:

- Each lecture we're seeing the complexities of our language grow
- Most of the time these new features change things in our interpreter/compiler but not in our RTS
- Today is an RTS day.

Our languages so far:

- Each lecture we're seeing the complexities of our language grow
- Most of the time these new features change things in our interpreter/compiler but not in our RTS
- Today is an RTS day.
 - Which is also a compiler day, to take advantage of our new RTS!

Hustle

Hustle

 Hustle is going to introduce a notion of a *heap* to our RTS

Hustle

- Hustle is going to introduce a notion of a *heap* to our RTS
- We will use the heap to implement *boxed values*

• A good short-hand:

- A good short-hand:
 - \circ Box = not on the stack

- A good short-hand:
 - \circ Box = not on the stack
- In general, boxed values are things you need to derefence a pointer to get.

- A good short-hand:
 - \circ Box = not on the stack
- In general, boxed values are things you need to derefence a pointer to get.
- But not all things that you need to dereference a pointer are 'boxed'

Boxing Day

racket> ; show box and unbox

• Boxes, without a notion of pointer equality, are uninteresting.

- Boxes, without a notion of pointer equality, are uninteresting.
- In our language, boxes are single-element vectors

- Boxes, without a notion of pointer equality, are uninteresting.
- In our language, boxes are single-element vectors
- For now, we can see boxes as an important stepping stone to something much more important:

- Boxes, without a notion of pointer equality, are uninteresting.
- In our language, boxes are single-element vectors
- For now, we can see boxes as an important stepping stone to something much more important:

 \circ cons

Getting Box/Car on track

Getting Box/Car on track

• Goal for today:

Getting Box/Car on track

- Goal for today:
- Understand how things like box and cons are implemented

• We're only showing the new stuff:

• We're only showing the new stuff:

° e = ...

• We're only showing the new stuff:

° e = ...

• Expressions are unchanged!

• We're only showing the new stuff:

° e = ...

• Expressions are unchanged!

o p1 = ... | box | unbox | car | cdr

• We're only showing the new stuff:

° e = ...

• Expressions are unchanged!

o p1 = ... | box | unbox | car | cdr
o p2 = ... | cons

• We're only showing the new stuff:

• **e** = ...

- Expressions are unchanged!
 - p1 = ... | box | unbox | car | cdr
 p2 = ... | cons
- Is this enough?

• We're only showing the new stuff:

° e = ...

- Expressions are unchanged!
 - p1 = ... | box | unbox | car | cdr
 p2 = ... | cons
- Is this enough?
 - Not if we want programs to have boxed results.

• We're only showing the new stuff:

° e = ...

- Expressions are unchanged!
 - p1 = ... | box | unbox | car | cdr
 p2 = ... | cons
- Is this enough?
 - Not if we want programs to have boxed results.

 \circ v = ... | (box v) | (cons v v) | '()

• We've got 3 new values, what do we do about representation?

- We've got 3 new values, what do we do about representation?
- Before: All values were 'flat'

- We've got 3 new values, what do we do about representation?
- Before: All values were 'flat'
- Now: values can be arbitrarily big

- We've got 3 new values, what do we do about representation?
- Before: All values were 'flat'
- Now: values can be arbitrarily big
 - So they won't all fit in a machine word!

- We've got 3 new values, what do we do about representation?
- Before: All values were 'flat'
- Now: values can be arbitrarily big
 - So they won't all fit in a machine word!
- Idea:

- We've got 3 new values, what do we do about representation?
- Before: All values were 'flat'
- Now: values can be arbitrarily big
 - So they won't all fit in a machine word!
- Idea:
 - Make distinction between flat and boxed values

- We've got 3 new values, what do we do about representation?
- Before: All values were 'flat'
- Now: values can be arbitrarily big
 - So they won't all fit in a machine word!
- Idea:
 - Make distinction between flat and boxed values
 - Then make distinctions between the flat (immediate) and boxed values
• Early I had mentioned that we'll get a heap, then I never addressed it

- Early I had mentioned that we'll get a heap, then I never addressed it
- I didn't forget, we just had to lay the groundwork

- Early I had mentioned that we'll get a heap, then I never addressed it
- I didn't forget, we just had to lay the groundwork
- For now, it's easy:

- Early I had mentioned that we'll get a heap, then I never addressed it
- I didn't forget, we just had to lay the groundwork
- For now, it's easy:
 - Just have the RTS allocate a big block of memory. That's it. That's the heap.

- Early I had mentioned that we'll get a heap, then I never addressed it
- I didn't forget, we just had to lay the groundwork
- For now, it's easy:

 Just have the RTS allocate a big block of memory. That's it. That's the heap.

• We gotta keep track of it too...

- Early I had mentioned that we'll get a heap, then I never addressed it
- I didn't forget, we just had to lay the groundwork
- For now, it's easy:

 Just have the RTS allocate a big block of memory. That's it. That's the heap.

• We gotta keep track of it too...

• Uhh... let's use **rdi**

- Early I had mentioned that we'll get a heap, then I never addressed it
- I didn't forget, we just had to lay the groundwork
- For now, it's easy:

 Just have the RTS allocate a big block of memory. That's it. That's the heap.

• We gotta keep track of it too...

Uhh... let's use rdi

• Moving on.

• Before we had the following:

• Before we had the following:

```
(define imm-shift 1)
(define imm-type-mask (sub1 (shift 1 imm-shift)))
(define imm-type-int 0)
(define imm-val-true 3)
(define imm-val-false 1)
```

• Which becomes:

```
(define result-shift 3)
(define result-type-mask (sub1 (shift 1 result-shift)
(define type-imm 0)
(define type-box 1)
(define type-pair 2)
```

We need more

We need more

• However, this only helps us determine the types

We need more

- However, this only helps us determine the types
- We need more in order to disambiguate the values

All the bits

All the bits

```
(define result-shift
                         3)
(define result-type-mask (sub1 (shift 1 result-shift)
(define type-imm
                         0)
(define type-box
                         1)
(define type-pair
                         2)
(define imm-shift
                          (+ 3 result-shift))
                          (sub1 (shift 1 imm-shift)))
(define imm-type-mask
(define imm-type-int
                          (shift 0 result-shift))
(define imm-val-true
                         (shift 1 result-shift))
(define imm-val-false
                         (shift 2 result-shift))
                         (shift 3 result-shift))
(define imm-val-empty
```

- Here is a quick overview of some useful facts
- rdi

- Here is a quick overview of some useful facts
- rdi

MOV RAX, [RDI]

- Here is a quick overview of some useful facts
- rdi

MOV RAX, [RDI] MOV RAX, [RDI + 8]

- Here is a quick overview of some useful facts
- rdi

MOV RAX, [RDI] MOV RAX, [RDI + 8] MOV [RDI + 8], RAX

- Here is a quick overview of some useful facts
- rdi

MOV RAX, [RDI] MOV RAX, [RDI + 8] MOV [RDI + 8], RAX

• we call this **offset**

- Here is a quick overview of some useful facts
- someone asked about how many 'lets' we can have:

- Here is a quick overview of some useful facts
- someone asked about how many 'lets' we can have:
- run the following at your terminal

○ ulimit -a

- Here is a quick overview of some useful facts
- someone asked about how many 'lets' we can have:
- run the following at your terminal

\circ ulimit -a

 If I did my math right (always questionable), we should be able to store ~1 million let-bound variables.

Let's write it!