Methods of Motion Generation

- Automatic Discovery (High-Level Control)
- Modeling/Simulation (Physics, Behaviors)
- Performance Capture (Motion Capture)
- Traditional Principles (Keyframing)

Methods of Motion Generation

- Automatic Discovery (High-Level Control)
- Modeling/Simulation (Physics, Behaviors)
- Performance Capture (Motion Capture)
- Traditional Principles (Keyframing)

1

High-Level Control (I)

Task level description using AI techniques:

-Collision avoidance

-Motion planning

- -Rule-based reasoning
- -Genetic algorithms

... etc.

High-Level Control (II)

Advantages

- -Very easy to specify/generate motions
- -Can reproduce realistic motions

Problems

-Need to specify all possible "rules"

- -The intelligence of the system is limited by its input or training
- -May not be reusable across different applications/domains



Bad AI makes VR/AR users very uncomfortable...

- E.g. Virtual agents walking through you
 - "Evaluating Collision Avoidance Effects on Discomfort in Virtual Environments" Sohre 2017
 - We're naturally inclined to avoid an immersive virtual agent



7

Bad AI makes VR/AR users very uncomfortable...

- Eye Contact can freak users out
 - E.g. too much is creepy, too little is isolating (like IRL)
- Can also be a good thing
 - \circ $\,$ E.g. therapy for autistic kids usually involves eye contact with virtual characters





Another example (Social cues for Autism therapy)



Uncanny Valley

- Virtual Agents can be relatively realistic but something is very off...
 - Causing uncanny valley usually worse than just having unrealistic-looking characters
 - \circ $\;$ Often caused by face movements, body motion, eye contact, talking like robot, etc.
 - \circ $\;$ Motion generally makes things worse; we're really good at perceiving inaccurate human motion



10

Handling Uncanny Valley....

- Trends....
 - (Old) Hand-craft everything really well.... Hasn't really worked thus far & very tedious/unscalable
 - (Modern) Directly replicate real human motion (animation & tracking)
 - (Future) Learn what real human motion is (deep learning....especially GANs & RNNs) & extrapolate



11

Constructing Virtual Agents Using AI

- Factors to consider
 - **Collision avoidance** (how to stop them from walking through each other & the player)
 - \circ Social cues (what happens when they get close to another person.... How does it affect trajectory)
 - Walk pattern/gait (how do they walk naturally)
 - Behavior (what do they do when)
 - Animation (what else are they doing visually besides walking)
 - Constraints
 - Navigational (how to limit where they walk in VE)
 - Kinematic (body motion, interactions with other dynamic bodies)
 - Networking (how to handle multiple REAL users)
 - o Body Representation, Avatars, Anonymity (how to abstract bodies while keeping features like gait)

Compare the body representation:







What makes Boneworks, Asgard's Wrath, & Half-Life Alyx different from older VR games?

- Overall graphical quality is better, sure.... But there are fundamental differences
 Physical interaction with most objects in VE
 - IK necessary for good collision meshes (e.g. shot in arm with arrow)



15

14



Body is represented in Boneworks & Asgard's Wrath despite only having controllers
 Skeletal rigging + inverse kinematics (IK)



Recall: "Immersive VR Self" Schwartz 2018 (Oculus) Elements of the accurate virtual avatar Visual: perspective-correct visual representation Audio: spatialized sounds Generalize to accurate audio field, good HRTFs, traversal, etc. Movement: physical body gestures Gestures are not the only important factor Visual Visual Visual Movement https://research.fb.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/the-presentation-of-self-in-immersive-virtual-environments.pdf.

Methods of Motion Generation

- Automatic Discovery (High-Level Control)
- Modeling/Simulation (Physics, Behaviors)
- Performance Capture (Motion Capture)
- Traditional Principles (Keyframing)

Physically-based Simulation (I)

Use the laws of physics (or a good approximation) to generate motions

Primary vs. secondary actions

Active vs. passive systems

Dynamic vs. static simulation

20

Physically-based Simulation (II)

Advantages

-Relatively easy to generate a family of similar motions

-Can be used for describing realistic, complex animation, e.g. deformation

-Can generate reproducible motions

Problems

-Challenging to build a simulator, as it requires in-depth understanding of physics & mathematics

-Less low-level control by the user

Methods of Motion Generation

- Automatic Discovery (High-Level Control)
- Modeling/Simulation (Physics, Behaviors)
- Performance Capture (Motion Capture)
- Traditional Principles (Keyframing)

24

Motion Capture (I)

1.Use special sensors (trackers) to record the motion of a performer

2.Recorded data is then used to generate motion for an animated character (figure)

Motion Capture (II)

Advantages

-Ease of generating realistic motions

Problems

-Not easy to accurately measure motions

–Difficult to "scale" or "adjust" the recorded motions to fit the size of the animated characters

-Limited capturing technology & devices •Sensor noise due to magnetic/metal trackers •Restricted motion due to wires & cables •Limited working volume

25

26

Methods of Motion Generation

- Automatic Discovery (High-Level Control)
- Modeling/Simulation (Physics, Behaviors)
- Performance Capture (Motion Capture)
- Traditional Principles (Keyframing)

Keyframing (I)

1.Specify the key positions for the objects to be animated.

2.Interpolate to determines the position of in-between frames.

Keyframing (II)

Advantages

-Relatively easy to use

-Providing low-level control

Problems

-Tedious and slow

-Requiring the animator to understand the intimate details about the animated objects and the creativity to express their behavior in key-frames

29

Traditional Animation Methods

- Simple transformations (Introduction to CG)
- Higher-order transforms (splines)
- Vertex Animation/Morph Targets/Shapekeys/Blendshapes
- Skeletal Animation
- Inverse Kinematics (IK) & Biomechanical Constraints

What IS 3D Animation?

- Thus far we've been using static meshes; 3D models that always look the same
- Some things require motion (e.g human moving, talking, etc.)
- Some motions are predefined (always the same; e.g. this jump)
 Each loop usually called "cycle"
- Some motions are functions/graphs/FSMs
 E.g. crouch>walk>run>sprint





- Some motions are physics-based/constrained (e.g. ragdoll, IK)
 - Animation methods describe these motions

30

٠



Motion Interpolation

• Interpolate using mathematical functions:

-Linear

-Hermite

–Bezier

... and many others

(see Appendices of Richard Parent's online book)

- Forward & inverse kinematics for articulation
- Specifying & representing deformation

37

Higher-Order Trajectories: Spline Animation

- Higher-order version of simple transform animations
- Can be applied as some part of almost any other anim method
- Used in 3D games to have things move along pre-defined trajectory
- Gives impression of good navigation when real-time navigation unnecessary
- Often used for flying things and cameras (e.g. simulate camera dolly)
 Spline itself can be recomputed each frame to give more dynamic appearance



38

Skeletal Animation

• General idea:

- Create a skeleton/armature/rig that represents bones of mesh
- Position bones to be inside mesh for a reference pose (e.g. T-pose, A-pose)
- Define how much each bone affects each vertex of mesh (aka weights)... aka "skinning" the mesh
 E.g. shoulder vertices deform during both head & shoulder motion
- Define animations as transform of bones
- Like blendshapes; animations can be described as weights + deltaTransforms; except much fewer deltaTransforms required for skeletons b/c only transforms of bones, not vertices





Try to use only local deltaRotations

- Bone joint position typically doesn't change
 e.g. upper and lower leg always joined by knee... will not diverge at that point
- Translating bone can cause awful mesh distortions
- Thus, typically describe animations as only rotations, esp. For humans
 (very few bones in human body are translational/prismatic)
- Stick to local space b/c skeleton can be oriented many ways in game world
- How to limit how much bones can rotate?
 - Kinematic constraints!

Skeletal Animation Pros

- One of the least-CPU-intensive methods
- Bones are natural way of thinking of many anims like body motion
- Can describe very complex motions
- Natural option for tracking systems which only return a few points (more later)
- This covers the majority of consumer trackers.... E.g. Kinects, Leap Motion, etc.
- Widely supported, unlike blendshapes & geometry cache
- Allows for nice tree-based optimizations
- Allow for physics-based extensions like ragdolling
- Can share skeletons/anims (not possible w/ other methods) Can describe anims with **only** skeletons (no need for mesh)
- great for tracking/mocap & applying to other meshes



• Often combination of skeletal anim+physics engine

43

Ragdolling

42

Skeletal Animation Cons

- Rigging quality is inconsistent b/w 3D modelling programs
- Getting weights right is really hard
- Fortunately, tons of auto-riggers for human meshes (e.g. Mixamo)
- Can't easily describe things similar to muscle movement (e.g. face)
- Can be hard to separate rigid/non-rigid parts
- Parenting structure in game engines means skeleton should only describe individual animated objects (bad for large-scene reconstruction)







Forward Kinematics (FK)

- Given the transforms of parent joints, where is the end effector?
- Motion of all joints is explicitly specified
- Very similar to the method to get world transform of GO/Actor from local transform of this child & parents



49

Recall: From Local/Relative Space to Global/World Space • Put very simply, if 3D model is the node of a tree: o traverse upwards through tree, adding all relative location & rotation, multiply scales • Stop after reaching world origin (aka root) (transform of root relative to itself is [position=(0,0,0), rotation=(0,0,0), scale=(1,1,1)]....so you can keep iterating but the result won't change) <-Name of Level is Red is 5.1 m high globally, ▼ € TestScene World Origin 🔻 🕥 BluePlane 1m high locally Same convention 🔻 河 WhitePlane in UE4 RedCylinder White is 4.1 m high globally, 4m high locally is 0.1m hi & loo



IK: Working with only EE + constraints

- Same structure as prev example except now know where the red cylinder is & constraints on parents
- Which one is the end effector (EE)?
- Inverse Kinematics (IK): given the position of the end effector, find the position and orientation of all joints in a hierarchy of linkages; also called "goal-directed motion"
 - Know global and/or local transform of parents (and/or local transform of EE)
 - Which 1 we want specifically depends heavily on API... e.g. in game engines might convert from world space -> local space of camera but not necessarily skeletal space... more later



Rotation Example

- More practical for animation
- Given this parenting structure & set of constraints, what are angles at joints, where is the white joint?
- Similar to human arm kinematic chain
- Answer might not be intuitive yet.... But it will be. It's surprisingly simple geometry!



53

These questions only get more complex...

These AI are basically only given translation constraints b/w rigidbodies... they learn rotations needed for actions. They learn the rotational constraints over time (becomes clear how their body is oriented over time when it's originally random)



Inverse Kinematics (IK)

- Addresses limited knowledge of joint transforms
- Used to solve many problems in complex kinematic systems
- Similar to system of equations where variables are each component of transform
- Can have 0, 1, a range, or infinite solutions
 - Use constraints to adjust this



Kinematic Chain and a mechanism



Kinematic Chain and not a mechanism

Solving IK

- Can figure the parent transforms out analytically or iteratively
 - \circ $% \label{eq:constraint}$ Analytically: Find some solution by deriving it from valid relevant equations
 - E.g. compute derivatives/Jacobian & set to 0
 - Iteratively:
 - Define error (e.g. deltaRotation too high, breaks constraints)
 - Make a guess
 - Calculate error on the guess
 - Make another guess that results in less error (usually with gradient descent)
 - Rinse & repeat
- Can mix & match the methods; e.g. some parameters are easy to find analytically but might result in **free/bound variables** which require iterative methods
 - Free variable: completely unknown; can choose any value (infinite solutions)
 - \circ ~ Bound variable: Variable with a range of possible solutions (possibly including 0 solutions)
- In graphics, analytical calculations are usually geometric.... Way fewer parameters than in ML so it's more feasible to do it this way
 - \circ $\;$ Also have the benefit of parameters have a graphical definition & intuitive constraints

56

Long-time preferred iterative method: CCD

- Cyclic coordinate descent (CCD)
- Coordinate descent similar to gradient descent except it <u>updates each axis in sequence instead of</u> <u>simultaneously</u>
- Basically keeps rotating each joint by a bit until EE is as close to target EE position as possible (like reaching for a wall in pitch darkness)



57

Problem with many human-centric IK methods....

- Often do not consider constraints or continuity....
 - \circ $\,$ E.g. CCD known for sudden rotation jumps/strange poses
- Large range of proposed solutions is impossible anyway given degrees of freedom (dof) of a joint
- Our limbs can't move with rotational flexibility of most robot arms
- Important consideration as VR industry moves forward: biomechanical constraints



In game engines: FABRIK algorithm (2011)

- Forward-and-Backward Reaching Inverse Kinematics (FABRIK)
- Improvement on CCD which better considers continuity & constraints
- Treats rigid bodies like line segments instead of rotations
 - Knowing what you know about skeletal animation.... What limitations are there?
 We'll need to eventually calculate the bone rotations anyway....
- First make reasonable prediction about what the parent joint positions are based on end effector position (backwards step)
- Then, start from the parent and move towards the end effector, calculating corrected points
- Use previous frame joint positions as initial guess to ensure smoothness & continuity in motion



Rough FABRIK process 1.Make sure it's actually possible to reach that point given rigidbody lengths... otherwise fully extend limb a.e.g. wrist can't be farther from shoulder than upperArmLength+forearmLength b.e.g. ankle can't be farther from hip than thighLength+shinLength 2.Backwards step: a.Create normalized vectors from current joint to parent joint (let's call it dirB) b.Multiply dirB by length from current joint to parent (e.g. forearmLength: wrist to elbow) (call it deltaB) c.currentJointLocation+deltaB to get location of parent (call it locP) d.locP will be used for next iteration as the currentJointLocation e.Do this until reaching root of limb 3. Unless extremely lucky (or if the EE didn't move), the root location won't be in the right place from just the backwards step. So we go in reverse with forwards step: a.Create normalized vectors from current joint to child joint (let's call it dirF) b.Multiply dirF by length from current joint to child (e.g. upperArmLength: shoulder to elbow) (call it deltaF) c.currentJointLocation+deltaF to get location of parent (call it locC) d.locC will be used for next iteration as the currentJointLocation e.Do this until reaching EE 4.Repeat the process until backwards & forwards step converge (e.g. backwards step root position is very close to real root position or forwards step very close to actual EE)

60

Rough FABRIK process (pseudocode only)

- → if (EE-limbRoot).magnitude >= Σ(rigidBodyLengths)
 - Parent joints are placed along the line from limbRoot to EE based on rigidBodyLengths
- → else
 - def Backwards: For each joint starting at EE ending at joint right before limb root
 - dirB=(currentParent.location-currentJoint.location).normalized
 - $\bullet \quad delta B= dir B^* length Of Rigid body From Current Joint To Parent \\$
 - locP=currentJoint.location+deltaB
 - Place parent at locP
 - currentJoint becomes currentParent
 - def Forwards: For each joint starting at EE ending at joint right before limb root
 - dirF=(currentChild.location-currentJoint.location).normalized
 - deltaF=dirF*lengthOfRigidbodyFromCurrentJointToChild
 - locC=currentJoint.location+deltaF
 - Place childat locC
 - currentJoint becomes currentChild
 - ♦ while (EEAsDeterminedByForwardStep-EE.location).magnitude > ϵ
 - Backwards
 - Forwards

Great visual tutorial



FABRIK in VR

- Algorithm itself is fast, robust, & commonly used... problem in VR & games is that people rarely constrain predictions, causing unnatural arm rotations & body pose
 - \circ ~ The very recent trend in VR is addressing this problem w/ biomechanical constraints
- Bone rotations need to be computed to avoid mesh distortions

• What are the problems with rotations?

- Jump from 0 to 360 degrees (similar to in S2C, d calculation helps a lot with deltaTransforms)
- Jumps when doing vector subtraction in different quadrants
- As we'll see, you can actually tell when this happens b/c another rotational axis will flip
- 3D rotations are much harder.... Almost cyclic dependency on rotational constraints if not careful
 - Biomechanical constraints also help with this!
- Rotational constraints make FABRIK much harder, but biomechanical constraints allow nice analytical solving.... FABRIK more useful for motion smoothness
- See the original paper [Aristidou 2011] for more details
- IK used for finger tracking in devices like Valve Index (e.g. only detect fingertips)

64



Biomechanical constraints

- Think of your skeleton like tree as in skeletal animation
- Try holding the "parent" bone (edge) static and see how much you can move the child joint
- Assume bones can only rotate... simplifies constraints & calculation a lot and is a good enough approximation unless simulation must be perfectly accurate
 - E.g. tarsals technically translate.... But how often do you see character feet?
- Limiting **dof** allows for stronger, faster analytical & geometric methods
- These will motivate IK constraints



65

Solving Human Arm IK

- In VR, a simple task is figuring out elbow location from just HMD & controllers so the player can have a mesh.
- Any ideas how we can start? Simplify to 2D for now (looking at character profile, so 1dof rotations.... up/down rotations are all that matter for now)
 - Have a target mesh
 - · Calibrate rigidbody lengths
 - For the arm, need to know upperArmLength & forearmLength
 - Need neck height & shoulder width to predict shoulder position
 - Figure out biomechanical constraints of skeletal mesh
 - Make assumption that shoulder will rotate with head rotation by some amount or shoulder rotation determined by using both controllers somehow.... These would be very rough assumptions



68

Solving Human Arm IK in 2D Now... how to find the elbow transform manually?

- Estimate shoulder position, not rotation, for now 1.
- 2. Assume rotational of controller is actually the wrist rotation with some translational offset (pretty much always the case)
- 3. Use law of cosines to figure out all angles in world space
- a. 2 side lengths are the rigidbody lengths. 1 of the sides is distance from shoulder to wrist (SSS triangle)
- 4 Apply world rotations to mesh bones, being careful of problems like sudden rotational flips (practice in A9) a. Biomechanical constraints are useful for figuring out when these happen (e.g. if elbow suddenly bends other way). Also probably some 90 degree rotation offsets
- 5. 1 really useful & valid assumption in VR: the VR user cannot move the controller in orientations the
 - human body wouldn't allow. Thus, we don't need to try to constrain them..., We're not robots a. (assuming they're actually holding the controller)
 - Biomech constraints in 2D used to limit angles, but prediction not really necessary due to simplicity b.



69

Solving Human Arm IK in 3D

- 1. Biomechanical constraints vital for 3D due to prediction
- 2. In 3D....
 - a. Need biomechanical constraints for all dof
 - b. Often, each axis handled by projecting to local 2D planes & using law of cosines/other geometry
 - c. Usually, at least 1 dof/joint need to be predicted iteratively w/ something like FABRIK
 - i. E.g. Maybe I can get elbow location from profile.... But then how do I know left/right rotation? 1. Need to guess.... But fortunately arm lengths help restrict rotational range
 - a. Try keeping your hand in 1 orientation & moving elbow.... Range is not too wide d. Hardest part tends to be converting to rotational constraints that look ok in skeletal mesh



Game engines already have IK solvers

- Most calculations unnecessary to do manually
- Can specify constraints programmatically or in 3D modelling program during mesh creation (readable by game engines)
- Unity, UE4, & most other game engines have some function for skeletal/skinned meshes automatically handling all the bone rotations if EE is moved
- (lots of great tutorials... better than what I can probably show)



72

Vertex Animation/Morph Targets/Shapekeys/Blendshapes

- Better-optimized animation using only shape keys (usually extreme transforms)
- Most commonly used for facial animation & lipsync
- Basic idea:
 - You have a default/basis pose for a driven mesh
 - Each blendshape or target pose is an extreme (linearly-interpolated) transformation to vertices of basis pose
 - Have a scale of [0,100%] describing how close to blendshape face is
 - Combination of these scales allows for complex animations
- Blender example



73

Fun Example of Bad Facial Animations



They probably tried to make the faces with skeletal animation rather than blendshapes...

How to actually make the blendshapes?

- Usually done w/ facial skeletal bones
- Sometimes done manually (less feasible nowadays)
- Sometimes done with tracking (a topic for the AR lectures)
- Sometimes done w/ reconstruction w/ markers (topic for later)
- Recent trend is to use CV/GANs to figure them out





Blendshape Application: Eyes/Blinking

- Blendshapes for closed/open eyes
- Blendshapes for intermediate steps (e.g. try making blend less linear)
- Eye-tracking itself normally done with skeletons



77



For realtime performance....

- Especially for tracking real faces
- Often use ML to learn **blend weights** after learning some faces
 A detailed face pose is a linear combination of blend weights!
- Good, simple example is Olszewski 2016: "High-Fidelity Facial and Speech Animation for VR HMDs"



Blendshape Pros

- Can represent any animation step with linear movement of vertices
- Very efficient
 - \circ $\,$ Only requires mesh and deltaLocations of the vertices for blendshape
- For facial animation, arguably the best results and easiest to accomplish facial anims with. Blendshapes themselves typically natural poses
- Excel in situations where anim sequence not known, but range of motions is
 - E.g. voice chat: don't already know what someone will say, but we know what a syllable looks like
- Also describes very detailed animations (e.g. in movies)



80

Blendshape Cons

- Can be very hard to make blendshapes (often use trackers/reconstruction)
- Inconsistent support between platforms
- Can only represent linear motions between vertices (traditionally; this is changing)
- Can have bad performance for highly-detailed meshes
- Requires that order and # of vertices is the same
 - E.g. if you reconstruct a real person's extremities, reconstruction cannot guarantee vertex order, so blendshapes not possible here
- Usually don't perform well or are overkill for less-detailed anims



81

Face Skeletons

- Use bones for control points (usually **landmarks**)
- More advanced libraries (e.g. Facelt) categorize control points as primary/secondary/rigid
- Good for when the target pose is not known or we want unrestricted motion
- Usually hard to define constraints & ensure face shape maintained



Why we use blendshapes & skeletons simultaneously

- Skeletons are typically animated
- Animation often baked into FBX (e.g. jumping); blend/FSM in game engine
- Body motions rarely have dependency on face (e.g. expression while walking)
- So if face anims done with skeleton, there can be computationally-expensive or ugly conflicts when fighting with pre-defined anim
- Fighting with longer pre-defined anims also very hard, esp. For complex skeletons
- At high-level, we're decoupling face and body and using the method that works best for each one individually to avoid problems/get best overall result
- Physiological they're fundamentally different: facial anims controlled by muscles, body controlled by bones controlled by muscles
- Skin of rest of body usually only deforms heavily at joints.... So blendshapes overkill for most of the body anyway
- Can use skeleton to create blendshapes!
 - E.g. check out my video on this: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l2xtPWbrc10</u>



Human-Making Library For Research: SMPL

Similar to MakeHuman but easier to get working outside SMPL (e.g. Unity, Blender, Maya)
Human rigging/weights much more realistic than MakeHuman, handles different body shapes better
Works better w/ blendshapes than MakeHuman

•Can be a bit hard to get working... but tons of parameters



85

84

Motion Capture (Mocap)

- Transfer video of a person/creature moving and convert to animation
 Body motions->skeletal animations
 - Face motions->usually blendshapes
- A couple of methods to do this (next few slides)
- Transferring of markers to skeletal joints?
 - Pretty poorly-documented.... Usually the tracker API itself does it internally & data sent to 3D engine
 - Often some kind of solver that fits skeleton to points reconstruction-style
 - Example: https://support-thepixelfarm.co.uk/documentation/docs/pftrack_node_mocap_solver.html





Rotor



More on VR Tracking: LaValle '14: "Head Tracking for the Oculus Rift" Gyroscope Spin axis

- Great read if you're interested in tracking
- Key points:
 - VR tracking is not done with 1 tracking method
 - Gyroscope gives rough 3dof rotation
 - Tilt correction is done with accelerometer which detects gravity direction Gimbal
 - Drift correction is doing with filters
 - Yaw correction is done with magnetometer, sort of like a compass
 - o Predictions are done for further corrections









Rough markerless tracking method



- Know features of face
- Feature matching with CV
- Feature locations on mesh usually defined as control points of mesh/rig
- Movement of features projected from 2D image space to 3D
- Movement along depth axis usually handled w/ monocular cues, ML (e.g. which depth movements are natural), or depth sensor
 - Fortunately, face control points don't move that much in depth... mostly move along basis pose surface definition (e.g. muscles pull along skull... not INTO skull)

Importance of Markerless Tracking in XR

- Can work with much less equipment (usually only need 1 camera + lighting)
- Can work with weaker devices (e.g. face reconstruction since iPhone X)
- Tracking environment
 - Finding correspondence between physical & virtual environments (PE & VE)
 - VR: mostly used for inside-out tracking (like Oculus Quest's)
 - AR: mostly used for alignment of worlds
- Tracking people

96

- Bodies & skeletons: e.g. Kinect, PSEye
- Faces: e.g. single-view cameras, embedded HMD cams
- Reconstruction Recreating person as 3D model (prescan)
 - Recreating person's complex motions (e.g. blinking, interacting with something else)
 - Recreating PE to be used in VE

95



(also note that these generally don't track the skeleton Just some cool examples w/ similar methods)



Human Reconstruction

Markerless/Reconstruction Examples: "High-Fidelity Facial and Speech Animation for VR HMDs"



100





Markerless/Reconstruction Examples: "High-Quality Streamable Free-Viewpoint Video"



103



104

106

Markerless/Reconstruction Examples: Holoportation



Markerless/Reconstruction Examples: "Towards Fully Mobile 3D Face, Body, and Environment Capture Using Only Head-worn Cameras"



Room Reconstruction

Markerless/Reconstruction Examples: BundleFusion







Physically-Based Animation

Procedural & Physically-Based Animation

• Animation that changes in response to runtime constraints (collisions, space constraints, gravity, etc.)



112

Different Bone Representations

Allows for more complex physically-based trajectories





114

Affective/Emotive Animation

- Distort base animation/trajectory to give impression of emotion
- Character gestures based on emotional content

