

In this note we review some of the properties of depth first search (DFS) and describe a linear-time DFS-based algorithm for computing strongly connected components.

The high idea behind the DFS traversal is very simple: explore edges that lead to unvisited vertices; if a “dead end” is reached, backtrack. Below is the pseudo-code for the DFS routines.

DFS(G)

```
counter = 0
For  $u \in V[G]$ 
  Mark  $u$  as not visited
For  $u \in V[G]$ 
  If  $u$  was not visited
    parent[ $u$ ] = nil
    DFS-visit( $u$ )
```

DFS-visit(u)

```
Mark  $u$  as visited
counter = counter + 1
d[ $u$ ] = counter
For  $v$  adjacent to  $u$ 
  If  $v$  was not visited
    parent[ $v$ ] =  $u$ 
    DFS-visit( $v$ )
counter = counter + 1
f[ $u$ ] = counter
```

Define the DFS forest as $\{(\text{parent}[u], u) \mid \forall u \in V \text{ parent}[u] \neq \text{nil}\}$. In class we proved many interesting properties about $f[u]$ and $d[u]$, the *finishing and discovery time* of a vertex u . The following lemmas hold for both directed and undirected graphs.

Lemma 1 (nesting property). *Let u be a vertex in the graph, and $v_1, \dots, v_k$ the children of u in the DFS forest. The intervals $[d[v_i], f[v_i]]$ are pair-wise disjoint and span $(d[u], f[u])$.*

Lemma 2 (descendant property). *Let u and v be vertices in the graph. Vertex v is a descendant of u in the DFS forest if and only if $d[u] < d[v] < f[v] < f[u]$.*

Lemma 3 (unvisited path property). *Suppose that right before making the call $\text{DFS-visit}(u)$ there was a path $u \rightsquigarrow v$ using unvisited vertices. Then v will become a descendant of u in the DFS forest.*

Let us use the above properties to argue the correctness of the following elegant algorithm for computing the strongly connected components (SCC) of a directed graph.

Find strongly connected components(G)

- i) call DFS(G) to compute finishing times $f[u]$ for every vertex u .
- ii) call DFS(G^{rev}) processing vertices in the main loop in decreasing order of $f[u]$.
- iii) output each tree in the second DFS forest as a separate component.

Because of Lemma 3 we know that each SCC is contained within a single tree in the DFS forest. This is good, because we do not want a component to be split across different trees.

But note that a given tree may, in principle, contain more than one SCC; we must show that at most one is contained.

Lemma 4. *Every tree in the second DFS forest corresponds to exactly one SCC.*

Proof. Let T be a tree in the DFS forest. Suppose that r , the root of T , belongs to the strongly connected component C . Thus $C \subseteq V[T]$, we need to argue that $C = V[T]$.

How can DFS exit component C ? By taking an edge $(u, v) \in E[G^{rev}]$ such that $u \in C$ and v belongs to some other component C' . However, the edge will not be used if component C' had already been visited before the the call `DFS-visit( $r$ )` was made. One way this could happen is if

$$\max_{w \in C'} \mathbf{f}[w] > \max_{w \in C} \mathbf{f}[w]. \quad (1)$$

Let us see what happens in the first DFS execution. Let $x \in C'$ and $y \in C$ be the vertices in C' and C with smallest discovery time. By Lemmas 2 and 3 it follows that $\mathbf{f}[x] = \max_{w \in C'} \mathbf{f}[w]$ and $\mathbf{f}[y] = \max_{w \in C} \mathbf{f}[w]$. Therefore, condition (1) holds provided

$$\mathbf{f}[x] > \mathbf{f}[y]. \quad (2)$$

Consider the following two cases:

case 1: $\mathbf{d}[x] < \mathbf{d}[y]$. Because C' and C are strongly connected when the call `DFS-visit( $x$ )` was made there was a path $x \rightsquigarrow u \rightarrow v \rightsquigarrow y$ using unvisited nodes. Applying Lemmas 2 and 3 we get that $\mathbf{f}[y] < \mathbf{f}[x]$.

case 2: $\mathbf{d}[y] < \mathbf{d}[x]$. Suppose $\mathbf{f}[x] < \mathbf{f}[y]$, by Lemma 2 vertex x must be a descendant of y ; this means there is path $y \rightsquigarrow x$, but we just argued that there is a path $x \rightsquigarrow y$. This contradicts the fact that x and y are in different strongly connected components. It must be that $\mathbf{f}[y] < \mathbf{f}[x]$.

Hence DFS never leaves C and the Lemma follows. $\square$