



# CMSC 250

# Discrete Structures

Exam #1 Review

# Symbols & Definitions for Compound Statements

| p | q | $p \wedge q$ | $p \vee q$ | $p \oplus q$ | $p \rightarrow q$ | $p \leftrightarrow q$ |
|---|---|--------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 1 |              |            |              |                   |                       |
| 1 | 0 |              |            |              |                   |                       |
| 0 | 1 |              |            |              |                   |                       |
| 0 | 0 |              |            |              |                   |                       |

# Symbols & Definitions for Compound Statements

| $p$ | $q$ | $p \wedge q$ | $p \vee q$ | $p \oplus q$ | $p \rightarrow q$ | $p \leftrightarrow q$ |
|-----|-----|--------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| 1   | 1   | 1            | 1          | 0            | 1                 | 1                     |
| 1   | 0   | 0            | 1          | 1            | 0                 | 0                     |
| 0   | 1   | 0            | 1          | 1            | 1                 | 0                     |
| 0   | 0   | 0            | 0          | 0            | 1                 | 1                     |

# Prove the following ...

$$\mathbf{P1} \quad (p \wedge q) \rightarrow (\sim q \vee r)$$

$$\mathbf{P2} \quad ((p \vee \sim s) \wedge q \wedge \sim r \wedge s) \vee q$$

$$\mathbf{P3} \quad (r \vee \sim s) \rightarrow \sim q$$

$$\therefore \quad \sim p$$

$$\mathbf{P1} \wedge \mathbf{P2} \wedge \mathbf{P3} \rightarrow \sim p$$

$$(((P \wedge Q) \rightarrow (\sim Q \vee R)) \wedge (((P \vee \sim S) \wedge Q \wedge \sim R \wedge S) \vee Q) \wedge ((R \vee \sim S) \rightarrow \sim Q)) \rightarrow \sim P$$

# Answer to previous proof

|                                |                                                            |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>P1</b>                      | $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (\sim q \vee r)$                 |
| <b>P2</b>                      | $((p \vee \sim s) \wedge q \wedge \sim r \wedge s) \vee q$ |
| <b>P3</b>                      | $(r \vee \sim s) \rightarrow \sim q$                       |
| <b><math>\therefore</math></b> | $\sim p$                                                   |

| #                              | STATEMENT                                                  | JUSTIFICATION                   | LINES USED |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| 1                              | $((p \vee \sim s) \wedge \sim r \wedge s \wedge q) \vee q$ | Commutative, associative laws   | P2         |
| 2                              | $q$                                                        | Absorption law                  | 1          |
| 3                              | $\sim (r \vee \sim s)$                                     | Double negative, modus tolens   | P3         |
| 4                              | $\sim r \wedge s$                                          | DeMorgan's law                  | 3          |
| 5                              | $\sim r$                                                   | Conjunctive simplification      | 4          |
| 6                              | $q \wedge \sim r$                                          | Conjunctive addition            | 2 & 5      |
| 7                              | $\sim (\sim q \vee r) \rightarrow \sim (p \wedge q)$       | Contrapositive                  | P1         |
| 8                              | $(q \wedge \sim r) \rightarrow (\sim p \vee \sim q)$       | DeMorgan's law, double negative | 7          |
| 9                              | $\sim p \vee \sim q$                                       | Modus ponens                    | 6 & 8      |
| <b><math>\therefore</math></b> | $\sim p$                                                   | Disjunctive syllogism           | 2 & 9      |

Can you prove the following ...

$$\mathbf{P1} \quad (p \wedge q) \rightarrow (\sim q \vee r)$$

$$\mathbf{P2} \quad q$$

$$\therefore \quad \sim p$$

$$\mathbf{P1} \wedge \mathbf{P2} \rightarrow \sim p$$

# Chapter 1

- Statements, arguments (valid/invalid)
- Translation of statements
- Truth tables – special results
- Converse, inverse, contrapositive
- Logical Equivalences
- Inference rules
- Implication – biconditional
- DeMorgan's law
- Proofs (including conditional worlds)
- Circuits

# Informal to Formal

## ■ Domain

- $A$  = set of all food
- $P$  = set of all people

## ■ Predicates

- $E(x,y)$  = "x eats y";  $D(x)$  "x is a dessert"

## ■ Examples

- Someone eats beets
  - $\exists p \in P, \forall a \in A, (a = \text{"beet"}) \rightarrow E(p,x)$
- At least three people eat beets
  - $\exists p,q,r \in P, \forall a \in A, (a = \text{"beet"}) \rightarrow E(p,x) \wedge E(q,x) \wedge E(r,x) \wedge (p \neq q) \wedge (p \neq r) \wedge (q \neq r)$
- Not everyone eats every dessert.
  - $\exists p \in P, \exists a \in A, D(a) \wedge \sim E(p,a)$

# Formal to Informal

## ■ Domain

- $D$  = set of all students at UMD

## ■ Predicates

- $C(s) :=$  "s is a CS student"
- $E(s) :=$  "s is an engineering student"
- $P(s) :=$  "s eats pizza"
- $F(s) :=$  "s drinks caffeine"

## ■ Examples

- $\forall s \in D, [C(s) \rightarrow F(s)]$ 
  - Every CS student drinks caffeine.
- $\exists s \in D, [C(s) \wedge F(s) \wedge \sim P(s)]$ 
  - Some CS students drink caffeine but do not eat pizza.
- $\forall s \in D, [(C(s) \vee E(s)) \rightarrow (P(s) \wedge F(s))]$ 
  - If a student is in CS or Engineering, then they eat pizza and drink caffeine.

# Formal to Informal

7. (18 points) Let  $D$  be the set of all students at the University of Maryland. Let  $C(s)$  be “ $s$  is a computer science student”, let  $E(s)$  be “ $s$  is an engineering student”, let  $P(s)$  be “ $s$  eats pizza”, and  $F(s)$  be “ $s$  drinks caffeine”. Express each of the following statements using quantifiers, variables, and the predicates  $C(s)$ ,  $E(s)$ ,  $P(s)$ , and  $F(s)$ . Then write its negation formally and express it informally.

- (a) Every computer science student drinks caffeine.

$$\forall s \in D, [C(s) \rightarrow F(s)]$$

$$\text{Negation: } \exists s \in D, [C(s) \wedge \sim F(s)]$$

Informal negation: There is a student who is in computer science but does not drink caffeine.

- (b) Some computer science students drink caffeine but do not eat pizza.

$$\exists s \in D, [C(s) \wedge F(s) \wedge \sim P(s)]$$

$$\text{Negation: } \sim (\exists s \in D, [C(s) \wedge F(s) \wedge \sim P(s)])$$

There aren't any students in computer science who drink caffeine, but do not eat pizza.

$$\text{Or alternatively, } \forall s \in D, [\sim C(s) \vee \sim F(s) \vee P(s)]$$

All students eat pizza or are not in computer science or don't drink caffeine.

- (c) If a student is in computer science or engineering then they eat pizza and drink caffeine.

$$\forall s \in D, [(C(s) \vee E(s)) \rightarrow (P(s) \wedge F(s))]$$

$$\text{Negation: } \exists s \in D, [C(s) \vee E(s) \vee \sim P(s) \vee \sim F(s)]$$

Informal negation: There is a student who is not in computer science or does not drink caffeine.

# Quiz #2 Solution

|              |                                                                    |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>P1</b>    | $\forall x \in D, [A(x) \wedge B(x)] \rightarrow [M(x) \vee N(x)]$ |
| <b>P2</b>    | $\exists y \in D, A(y) \wedge \sim N(y)$                           |
| $\therefore$ | $\exists z \in D, M(z) \vee \sim B(z)$                             |

| #            | STATEMENT                                                 | JUSTIFICATION                    | LINES USED |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| 0            | Assume $p$ and $q$ are in $D$                             |                                  |            |
| 1            | $A(p) \wedge \sim N(p)$                                   | Existential instantiation        | P2         |
| 2            | $[A(p) \wedge B(p)] \rightarrow [M(p) \vee N(p)]$         | Universal instantiation          | P1         |
| 3            | $\sim [A(p) \wedge B(p)] \vee [M(p) \vee N(p)]$           | Definition of implication        | 2          |
| 4            | $[\sim A(p) \vee \sim B(p)] \vee [M(p) \vee N(p)]$        | DeMorgan's law                   | 3          |
| 5            | $[\sim A(p) \vee N(p)] \vee [M(p) \vee \sim B(p)]$        | Commutative and associative laws | 4          |
| 6            | $\sim [A(p) \wedge \sim N(p)] \vee [M(p) \vee \sim B(p)]$ | DeMorgan's law                   | 5          |
| 7            | $[M(p) \vee \sim B(p)]$                                   | Disjunctive syllogism            | 1 & 6      |
| $\therefore$ | $\exists z \in D, M(z) \vee \sim B(z)$                    | Existential generalization       | 7          |

# Chapter 2

- Predicates – free variable
- Translation of statements
- Multiple quantifiers
- Arguments with quantified statements
  - Universal instantiation
  - Existential instantiation
  - Existential generalization

$$\forall a, n \in \mathbf{Z}, 6 \mid 2n(3a + 9)$$

- Suppose  $b$  is an arbitrary, but particular integer that represents  $a$  above.
- Suppose  $m$  is an arbitrary, but particular integer that represents  $n$  above.
- $6 \mid 2m(3b + 9)$ , original
- $6 \mid 2m \cdot 3(b + 3)$ , by algebra (distributive law)
- $6 \mid 6m(b + 3)$ , by algebra (associative, commutative, multiplication)
- Let  $k = m(b + 3)$ ;  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  by closure of  $\mathbf{Z}$  under addition and multiplication
- $6 \mid 6k$  by definition of divisible
  - ( $d \mid n \leftrightarrow \exists q \in \mathbf{Z}, \text{ such that } n = dq$ ), where  $k$  is the integer quotient

$$\forall n \in \mathbf{Z}, (n + n^2 + n^3) \in \mathbf{Z}^{\text{even}} \rightarrow n \in \mathbf{Z}^{\text{even}}$$

- Contrapositive (which is equivalent to proposition)
  - $\forall n \in \mathbf{Z}, n \in \mathbf{Z}^{\text{odd}} \rightarrow (n + n^2 + n^3) \in \mathbf{Z}^{\text{odd}}$
- Suppose  $m$  is an arbitrary, but particular integer that represents  $n$  above.
- Let  $m = 2k + 1$ , by definition of odd, where  $m, k \in \mathbf{Z}$
- $(m + m^2 + m^3) = [(2k+1) + (2k+1)^2 + (2k+1)^3]$ , by substitution
- $[(2k+1) + (4k^2+4k+1) + (8k^3+8k^2+2k+4k^2+4k+1)]$ , by algebra (multiplication)
- $[(2k+1) + (4k^2+4k+1) + (8k^3+12k^2+6k+1)]$ , by algebra (addition)
- $[8k^3+16k^2+12k+3]$ , by algebra (associative, commutative, addition)
- $[8k^3+16k^2+12k+2+1]$ , by algebra (addition)
- $[2(4k^3+8k^2+6k+1)+1]$ , by algebra (distributive)
- Let  $b = 4k^3+8k^2+6k+1$ ;  $b \in \mathbf{Z}$  by closure of  $\mathbf{Z}$  under addition and multiplication
- $(n + n^2 + n^3) = 2b + 1$ , which is odd by definition of odd
- Therefore we have shown,  $\forall n \in \mathbf{Z}, n \in \mathbf{Z}^{\text{odd}} \rightarrow (n + n^2 + n^3) \in \mathbf{Z}^{\text{odd}}$ , which is equivalent to the original proposition because it is its contrapositive, therefore, the original proposition is true
  - $\forall n \in \mathbf{Z}, (n + n^2 + n^3) \in \mathbf{Z}^{\text{even}} \rightarrow n \in \mathbf{Z}^{\text{even}}$

# Chapter 3

## ■ Proof types

- Direct
- Counterexample
- Division into cases
- Contrapositive
- Contradiction

## ■ Number Theory

- Domains
- Rational numbers
- Divisibility – mod/div
- Quotient-Remainder Theorem
- Floor and ceiling
- $\sqrt{2}$  and infinitude of set of prime numbers

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall y \in \mathbf{Q}, (y/x) \in \mathbf{Q}$$

$$\forall x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z}^{\text{even}}, (x + y + z)/3 \in \mathbf{Z}^{\text{even}}$$

$$\forall a,b,c \in \mathbf{Z}, (a|b \wedge a|c) \rightarrow (b|c \wedge c|b)$$

$$\exists n \in \mathbf{Z}, (2n^2 - 5n + 2) \in \mathbf{Z}^{\text{prime}}$$

$$\forall n, x \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall p \in \mathbf{Z}^{\text{prime}}, p \mid n^x \rightarrow p \mid n$$