CMSC 330: Organization of Programming Languages

Context Free Grammars

Architecture of Compilers, Interpreters



Front End – Scanner and Parser



- Scanner / lexer converts program source into tokens (keywords, variable names, operators, numbers, etc.) using regular expressions
- Parser converts tokens into an AST (abstract syntax tree) using context free grammars

Context-Free Grammar (CFG)

- A way of describing sets of strings (= languages)
  - The notation $L(G)$ denotes the language of strings defined by grammar G
- Example grammar G is $S \to 0S \mid 1S \mid \varepsilon$

  which says that string $s' \in L(G)$ iff
  - $s' = \varepsilon$, or $\exists s \in L(G)$ such that $s' = 0s$, or $s' = 1s$
- Grammar is same as regular expression $(0|1)^*$
  - Generates / accepts the same set of strings

CFGs Are Expressive

- CFGs subsume REs, DFAs, NFAs
  - There is a CFG that generates any regular language
  - But: REs are often better notation for those languages
- And CFGs can define languages regexps cannot
  - $S \rightarrow (S) \mid \varepsilon$ // represents balanced pairs of ( )'s
- As a result, CFGs often used as the basis of parsers for programming languages

Parsing with CFGs

- CFGs formally define languages, but they do not define an *algorithm* for accepting strings
- Several styles of algorithm; each works only for less expressive forms of CFG
  - LL(k) parsing ← We will discuss this next lecture
  - LR(k) parsing
  - LALR(k) parsing
  - SLR(k) parsing
- Tools exist for building parsers from grammars
  - JavaCC, Yacc, etc.

Formal Definition: Context-Free Grammar

- A CFG G is a 4-tuple (Σ, N, P, S)
  - Σ – alphabet (finite set of symbols, or terminals)
    - Often written in lowercase
  - N – a finite, nonempty set of nonterminal symbols
    - Often written in UPPERCASE
    - It must be that $N \cap \Sigma = \varnothing$
  - P – a set of productions of the form $N \rightarrow (\Sigma|N)^*$
    - Informally: the nonterminal can be replaced by the string of zero or more terminals / nonterminals to the right of the →
    - Can think of productions as rewriting rules (more later)
  - $S \in N$ – the start symbol

Notational Shortcuts

```
S → aBc  // S is start symbol
A → aA
  |  b          // A → b
  |             // A → ε
```

- A production is of the form
  - left-hand side (LHS) → right hand side (RHS)
- If not specified
  - Assume LHS of first production is the start symbol
- Productions with the same LHS
  - Are usually combined with |
- If a production has an empty RHS
  - It means the RHS is ε

Backus-Naur Form

- Context-free grammar production rules are also called Backus-Naur Form or BNF
  - Designed by John Backus and Peter Naur
    - Chair and Secretary of the Algol committee in the early 1960s. Used this notation to describe Algol in 1962

- A production A → B c D is written in BNF as <A> ::= <B> c <D>
  - Non-terminals written with angle brackets and uses ::= instead of →
  - Often see hybrids that use ::= instead of → but drop the angle brackets on non-terminals

Generating Strings

- We can think of a grammar as generating strings by rewriting
- Example grammar G

  $S \to 0S \mid 1S \mid \varepsilon$

- Generate string 011 from G as follows:

  $S \Rightarrow 0S$ // using $S \to 0S$

  $\Rightarrow 01S$ // using $S \to 1S$

  $\Rightarrow 011S$ // using $S \to 1S$

  $\Rightarrow 011$ // using $S \to \varepsilon$

Accepting Strings (Informally)

- Checking if s ∈ L(G) is called acceptance
  - Algorithm: Find a rewriting starting from G's start symbol that yields s
  - A rewriting is some sequence of productions (rewrites) applied starting at the start symbol
    - 011 ∈ L(G) according to the previous rewriting
- Terminology
  - Such a sequence of rewrites is a derivation or parse
  - Discovering the derivation is called parsing

Derivations

- Notation
  - $\Rightarrow$ indicates a derivation of one step
  - $\Rightarrow^{+}$ indicates a derivation of one or more steps
  - $\Rightarrow^{*}$ indicates a derivation of zero or more steps
- Example
  - $S \rightarrow 0S \mid 1S \mid \varepsilon$
- For the string 010
  - $S \Rightarrow 0S \Rightarrow 01S \Rightarrow 010S \Rightarrow 010$
  - $S \Rightarrow^{+} 010$
  - $010 \Rightarrow^{*} 010$

Language Generated by Grammar

- L(G) the language defined by G is

$$L(G) = \{ s \in \Sigma^* \mid S \Rightarrow^+ s \}$$

  - S is the start symbol of the grammar
  - Σ is the alphabet for that grammar

- In other words
  - All strings over Σ that can be derived from the start symbol via one or more productions

Quiz #1

- Consider the grammar

  $S \rightarrow aS \mid T$

  $T \rightarrow bT \mid U$

  $U \rightarrow cU \mid \varepsilon$

- Which of the following strings is generated by this grammar?

  A. ccc

  B. aba

  C. bab

  D. ca

Quiz #1

- Consider the grammar

  $S \rightarrow aS \mid T$

  $T \rightarrow bT \mid U$

  $U \rightarrow cU \mid \varepsilon$

- Which of the following strings is generated by this grammar?

  A. ccc

  B. aba

  C. bab

  D. ca

Quiz #2

- Consider the grammar

  $S \rightarrow aS \mid T$

  $T \rightarrow bT \mid U$

  $U \rightarrow cU \mid \varepsilon$

- Which of the following is a derivation of the string bbc?

  A. $S \Rightarrow T \Rightarrow U \Rightarrow bU \Rightarrow bbU \Rightarrow bbcU \Rightarrow bbc$

  B. $S \Rightarrow bT \Rightarrow bbT \Rightarrow bbU \Rightarrow bbcU \Rightarrow bbc$

  C. $S \Rightarrow T \Rightarrow bT \Rightarrow bbT \Rightarrow bbU \Rightarrow bbcU \Rightarrow bbc$

  D. $S \Rightarrow T \Rightarrow bT \Rightarrow bTbT \Rightarrow bbT \Rightarrow bbcU \Rightarrow bbc$

Quiz #2

- Consider the grammar

  $S \rightarrow aS \mid T$

  $T \rightarrow bT \mid U$

  $U \rightarrow cU \mid \varepsilon$

- Which of the following is a derivation of the string bbc?

  A. $S \Rightarrow T \Rightarrow U \Rightarrow bU \Rightarrow bbU \Rightarrow bbcU \Rightarrow bbc$

  B. $S \Rightarrow bT \Rightarrow bbT \Rightarrow bbU \Rightarrow bbcU \Rightarrow bbc$

  C. $S \Rightarrow T \Rightarrow bT \Rightarrow bbT \Rightarrow bbU \Rightarrow bbcU \Rightarrow bbc$

  D. $S \Rightarrow T \Rightarrow bT \Rightarrow bTbT \Rightarrow bbT \Rightarrow bbcU \Rightarrow bbc$

Quiz #3

- Consider the grammar

  $S \rightarrow aS \mid T$

  $T \rightarrow bT \mid U$

  $U \rightarrow cU \mid \varepsilon$

- Which of the following regular expressions accepts the same language as this grammar?

  A. (a|b|c)*

  B. abc*

  C. a*b*c*

  D. (a|ab|abc)*

Quiz #3

- Consider the grammar

  $S \rightarrow aS \mid T$

  $T \rightarrow bT \mid U$

  $U \rightarrow cU \mid \varepsilon$

- Which of the following regular expressions accepts the same language as this grammar?

  A. (a|b|c)*

  B. abc*

  C. a*b*c*

  D. (a|ab|abc)*

Designing Grammars

1. Use recursive productions to generate an arbitrary number of symbols

   A → xA | ε // Zero or more x's

   A → yA | y // One or more y's

2. Use separate non-terminals to generate disjoint parts of a language, and then combine in a production

   a*b* // a's followed by b's

   S → AB

   A → aA | ε // Zero or more a's

   B → bB | ε // Zero or more b's

Designing Grammars

3. To generate languages with matching, balanced, or related numbers of symbols, write productions which generate strings from the middle

   $\{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ // N a's followed by N b's

   $S \rightarrow aSb \mid \varepsilon$

   Example derivation: $S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aaSbb \Rightarrow aabb$

   $\{a^n b^{2n} \mid n \geq 0\}$ // N a's followed by 2N b's

   $S \rightarrow aSbb \mid \varepsilon$

   Example derivation: $S \Rightarrow aSbb \Rightarrow aaSbbbb \Rightarrow aabbbb$

Designing Grammars

4. For a language that is the union of other languages, use separate nonterminals for each part of the union and then combine

   $\{ a^n(b^m|c^m) \mid m > n \geq 0\}$

   Can be rewritten as

   $\{ a^nb^m \mid m > n \geq 0\} \cup \{ a^nc^m \mid m > n \geq 0\}$

   $S \rightarrow T \mid V$

   $T \rightarrow aTb \mid U$

   $U \rightarrow Ub \mid b$

   $V \rightarrow aVc \mid W$

   $W \rightarrow Wc \mid c$

Practice

- Try to make a grammar which accepts
  - $0^*|1^*$
  - 0^n1^n where $n \geq 0$

$S \rightarrow A \mid B$
$A \rightarrow 0A \mid \varepsilon$
$B \rightarrow 1B \mid \varepsilon$

$S \rightarrow 0S1 \mid \varepsilon$

- Give some example strings from this language
  - $S \rightarrow 0 \mid 1S$
    - 0, 10, 110, 1110, 11110, …
  - What language is it, as a regexp?
    - 1*0

Quiz #4

Which of the following grammars describes the same language as 0^n1^m where $m \leq n$?

A. $S \rightarrow 0S1 \mid \varepsilon$

B. $S \rightarrow 0S1 \mid S1 \mid \varepsilon$

C. $S \rightarrow 0S1 \mid 0S \mid \varepsilon$

D. $S \rightarrow SS \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid \varepsilon$

Quiz #4

Which of the following grammars describes the same language as 0^n1^m where $m \leq n$?

A. $S \rightarrow 0S1 \mid \varepsilon$

B. $S \rightarrow 0S1 \mid S1 \mid \varepsilon$

C. $S \rightarrow 0S1 \mid 0S \mid \varepsilon$

D. $S \rightarrow SS \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid \varepsilon$

CFGs for Language Syntax

- When discussing operational semantics, we used BNF-style grammars to define ASTs

  e ::= **x** | **n** | **e** + **e** | `let` **x** `=` **e** `in` **e**

  - This grammar defined an AST for expressions synonymous with an OCaml datatype

- We can *also* use this grammar to define a language parser
  - However, while it is fine for defining ASTs, this grammar, if used directly for parsing, is ambiguous

Arithmetic Expressions

- $E \rightarrow a \mid b \mid c \mid E+E \mid E-E \mid E*E \mid (E)$
  - An expression E is either a letter a, b, or c
  - Or an E followed by + followed by an E
  - etc…
- This describes (or generates) a set of strings
  - {a, b, c, a+b, a+a, a*c, a-(b*a), c*(b + a), …}
- Example strings not in the language
  - d, c(a), a+, b**c, etc.

Parse Trees

- Parse tree shows how a string is produced by a grammar
  - Root node is the start symbol
  - Every internal node is a nonterminal
  - Children of an internal node
    - Are symbols on RHS of production applied to nonterminal
  - Every leaf node is a terminal or ε
- Reading the leaves left to right
  - Shows the string corresponding to the tree

Parse Tree Example

S

S

$S \rightarrow aS \mid T$

$T \rightarrow bT \mid U$

$U \rightarrow cU \mid \varepsilon$

Parse Tree Example

$S \Rightarrow aS$

$S \rightarrow aS \mid T$
$T \rightarrow bT \mid U$
$U \rightarrow cU \mid \varepsilon$



Parse Tree Example

$S \Rightarrow aS \Rightarrow aT$

$S \rightarrow aS \mid T$
$T \rightarrow bT \mid U$
$U \rightarrow cU \mid \varepsilon$



Parse Tree Example

$S \Rightarrow aS \Rightarrow aT \Rightarrow aU$

$S \rightarrow aS \mid T$
$T \rightarrow bT \mid U$
$U \rightarrow cU \mid \varepsilon$



Parse Tree Example

$S \Rightarrow aS \Rightarrow aT \Rightarrow aU \Rightarrow acU$

$S \rightarrow aS \mid T$
$T \rightarrow bT \mid U$
$U \rightarrow cU \mid \varepsilon$



Parse Tree Example

$$S \Rightarrow aS \Rightarrow aT \Rightarrow aU \Rightarrow acU \Rightarrow ac$$

$S \rightarrow aS \mid T$
$T \rightarrow bT \mid U$
$U \rightarrow cU \mid \varepsilon$



Parse Trees for Expressions

- A parse tree shows the structure of an expression as it corresponds to a grammar

  E → a | b | c | d | E+E | E-E | E*E | (E)



Abstract Syntax Trees

- A parse tree and an AST are not the same thing
  - The latter is a data structure produced by parsing

a*c



c*(b+d)

Parse trees



ASTs



`Mult(Var("a"),Var("c"))`



`Mult(Var("c"),Plus(Var("b"),Var("d")))`

Practice

$E \rightarrow a \mid b \mid c \mid d \mid E+E \mid E-E \mid E*E \mid (E)$

Make a parse tree for…

- a*b
- a+(b-c)
- d*(d+b)-a
- (a+b)*(c-d)
- a+(b-c)*d

Leftmost and Rightmost Derivation

- Leftmost derivation
  - Leftmost nonterminal is replaced in each step
- Rightmost derivation
  - Rightmost nonterminal is replaced in each step
- Example
  - Grammar
    - $S \rightarrow AB$, $A \rightarrow a$, $B \rightarrow b$
  - Leftmost derivation for "ab"
    - $S \Rightarrow AB \Rightarrow aB \Rightarrow ab$
  - Rightmost derivation for "ab"
    - $S \Rightarrow AB \Rightarrow Ab \Rightarrow ab$

Parse Tree For Derivations

- Parse tree may be same for both leftmost & rightmost derivations
  - Example Grammar: S → a | SbS   String: aba

    Leftmost Derivation

    S ⇒ SbS ⇒ abS ⇒ aba

    Rightmost Derivation

    S ⇒ SbS ⇒ Sba ⇒ aba



  - Parse trees don't show order productions are applied
- Every parse tree has a unique leftmost and a unique rightmost derivation

Parse Tree For Derivations (cont.)

- Not every string has a unique parse tree
  - Example Grammar: S → a | SbS   String: ababa

    Leftmost Derivation

    S ⇒ SbS ⇒ abS ⇒ abSbS ⇒ ababS ⇒ ababa

    Another Leftmost Derivation

    S ⇒ SbS ⇒ SbSbS ⇒ abSbS ⇒ ababS ⇒ ababa





Ambiguity

- A grammar is ambiguous if a string may have multiple leftmost derivations
  - Equivalent to multiple parse trees
  - Can be hard to determine

1. S → aS | T

   T → bT | U

   U → cU | ε

   No

2. S → T | T

   T → Tx | Tx | x | x

   Yes

3. S → SS | () | (S)

   ?

Ambiguity (cont.)

- Example
  - Grammar: S → SS | () | (S) String: ()()()
  - 2 distinct (leftmost) derivations (and parse trees)
    - S ⇒ SS ⇒ SSS ⇒()SS ⇒()()S ⇒()()()
    - S ⇒ SS ⇒ ()S ⇒()SS ⇒()()S ⇒()()()



CFGs for Programming Languages

- Recall that our goal is to describe programming languages with CFGs
- We had the following example which describes limited arithmetic expressions

  $E \rightarrow a \mid b \mid c \mid E+E \mid E-E \mid E*E \mid (E)$

- What's wrong with using this grammar?
  - It's ambiguous!

Example: a-b-c

E ⇒ E-E ⇒ a-E ⇒ a-E-E ⇒
a-b-E ⇒ a-b-c



Corresponds to a-(b-c)

E ⇒ E-E ⇒ E-E-E ⇒
a-E-E ⇒ a-b-E ⇒ a-b-c



Corresponds to (a-b)-c

Another Example: If-Then-Else

Aka the dangling else problem

<stmt> → <assignment> | <if-stmt> | ...

<if-stmt> → if (<expr>) <stmt> |

if (<expr>) <stmt> else <stmt>

(Note < >' s are used to denote nonterminals)

- Consider the following program fragment

```
if (x > y)
  if (x < z)
    a = 1;
  else a = 2;
```

(Note: Ignore newlines)

Two Parse Trees

```
if (x > y)
    if (x < z)
        a = 1;
    else a = 2;
```





Quiz #5

Which of the following grammars is ambiguous?

A. $S \rightarrow 0SS1 \mid 0S1 \mid \varepsilon$

B. $S \rightarrow A1S1A \mid \varepsilon$

   $A \rightarrow 0$

C. $S \rightarrow (S, S, S) \mid 1$

D. None of the above.

Quiz #5

Which of the following grammars is ambiguous?

A. $S \rightarrow 0SS1 \mid 0S1 \mid \varepsilon$

B. $S \rightarrow A1S1A \mid \varepsilon$

$A \rightarrow 0$

C. $S \rightarrow (S, S, S) \mid 1$

D. None of the above.

Dealing With Ambiguous Grammars

- Ambiguity is bad
  - Syntax is correct
  - But semantics differ depending on choice
    - Different associativity (a-b)-c vs. a-(b-c)
    - Different precedence (a-b)*c vs. a-(b*c)
    - Different control flow if (if else) vs. if (if) else
- Two approaches
  - Rewrite grammar
    - **Grammars are not unique** – can have multiple grammars for the same language. But result in different parses.
  - Use special parsing rules
    - Depending on parsing tool