# CMSC 330: Organization of Programming Languages 

## OCaml Imperative Programming

## So Far, Only Functional Programming

- We haven't given you any way so far to change something in memory
- All you can do is create new values from old
- This makes programming easier since it supports mathematical (i.e., functional) reasoning
- Don't care whether data is shared in memory
> Aliasing is irrelevant
- Calling a function $f$ with argument $x$ always produces the same result
> For all $x$ and $y$ : $f x=f y$ when $x=y$


## Imperative OCaml

- Sometimes it is useful for values to change
- Call a function that returns an incremented counter
- Store aggregations in efficient hash tables
- OCaml variables are immutable, but
- OCaml has references, fields, and arrays that are actually mutable
- I.e., they can change


## References

- 'a ref: Pointer to a mutable value of type 'a
- There are three basic operations on references:
ref : 'a -> 'a ref
> Allocate a reference
! : 'a ref -> 'a
> Read the value stored in reference
:= : 'a ref -> 'a -> unit
$>$ Change the value stored in reference
- Binding variable $\mathbf{x}$ to a reference is immutable
- The contents of the reference $\mathbf{x}$ points to may change


## References Usage

## Example:



## References Usage

## Example:

\# let $z=3 ;$;
val $z$ : int $=3$
\# let $x=$ ref $z ;$
val $\mathbf{x}$ : int ref $=\{$ contents $=3\} \mathbf{x}$
\# let $y=x ;$;
val $y$ : int ref $=$ \{contents $=3\}$

\# x := 4; ;

- : unit $=()$


## References Usage

Example:
\# let $z=3 ;$;
val $z$ : int $=3$
\# let $x=$ ref $z ;$
val $\mathbf{x}$ : int ref $=\{$ contents $=3\} \mathbf{x}$
\# let $y=x ;$;
val $y$ : int ref $=\{$ contents $=3\} \quad y$
\# x := 4; ;


- : unit $=()$
\# !y; ;
- : int $=4$


## Aliasing

- Reconsider our example
let $z=3$; ;
let $x=$ ref $z ;$
let $y=x ;$
x := 4; ;
!y; ;
- Here, variables $y$ and $x$ are aliases:
- In let $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}$, variable $\mathbf{x}$ evaluates to a location, and $y$ is bound to the same location
- So, changing the contents of that location will cause both ! $x$ and ! $y$ to change


## Quiz 1: What is the value w?

let $x=$ ref 12 in
let $y=r e f 13$ in
let $z=y$ in
let _ = y := 4 in
let w = !y + ! z in

| A. 25 |
| :--- |
| B. 8 |
| C. 17 |
| D. 16 |

w

## Quiz 1: What is the value w?

let $\mathrm{x}=$ ref 12 in
let $y=r e f 13$ in
let $z=y$ in
let _ = y := 4 in
let w = !y + ! z in

| A. 25 |
| :--- |
| B. 8 |
| C. 17 |
| D. 16 |

w

## Quiz 1a: What is the value w?

let $x=$ ref 12 in
let $y=r e f 13$ in
let $z=1 y$ in
let _ = y := 4 in
let $w=!y+z$ in

| A. 25 |
| :--- |
| B. 8 |
| C. 17 |
| D. 16 |

w

## Quiz 1a: What is the value w?

let $x=$ ref 12 in
let $y=r e f 13$ in
let $z=1 y$ in
let _ = y := 4 in
let $w=!y+z$ in

| A. 25 |
| :--- |
| B. 8 |
| C. 17 |
| D. 16 |

w

## References: Syntax and Semantics

- Syntax: ref e
- Evaluation
- Evaluate e to a value $v$
- Allocate a new location loc in memory to hold $v$
- Store $v$ in contents of memory at loc
- Return loc
- Note: locations are first-class values
- Type checking
- (ref e) : t ref
- if $e$ : $t$


## References: Syntax and Semantics

- Syntax: e1 := e2
- Evaluation
- Evaluate e2 to a value v2
- Evaluate e1 to a location loc
- Store v2 in contents of memory at loc
- Return ()
- Type checking
- (e1 := e2) : unit
- if e1 : $t$ ref and e2 : t


## References: Syntax and Semantics

- Syntax: !e
- This is not negation. Operator ! is like operator * in C
- Evaluation
- Evaluate e to a location loc
- Return contents vof memory at loc
- Type checking
- !e : t
- if e : t ref


## Sequences: Syntax and Semantics

- Syntax: e1; e2
- e1; e2 is the same as let () = e1 in e2
- Evaluation
- Evaluate e1 to a value v1
- Evaluate e2 to a value v2
- Return v2
- We throw away v1 - so e1 is useful only if it has effects, e.g., if it changes a reference's contents or accesses a file
- Type checking
- e1;e2 : t
- if e1 : unit and e2 : t


## ;; versus;

- ;; ends an expression in the top-level of OCaml
- Use it to say: "Give me the value of this expression"
- Not used in the body of a function
- Not needed after each function definition
> Though for now it won't hurt if used there
- e1; e2 evaluates e1 and then e2, and returns e2
let print_both (s, t) = print_string s; print_string t; "Printed s and t"
- notice no ; at end - it's a separator, not a terminator print_both ("Colorless green ", "ideas sleep")
Prints "Colorless green ideas sleep", and returns "Printed s and t"


## Grouping Sequences

- If you're not sure about the scoping rules, use begin...end, or parentheses, to group together statements with semicolons

```
let x = ref 0
let f() =
    begin
        print_string "hello";
        x := !x + 1
    end
```

```
let x = ref 0
let f () =
    (
    print_string "hello";
    x := !x + 1
    )
```


## Implement a Counter

\# let counter $=$ ref 0 ; ;
val counter : int ref $=$ \{ contents=0 \}
\# let next =
fun () ->
counter := !counter + 1; !counter ; ;
val next : unit -> int $=$ <fun>
\# next (); ;

- : int = 1
\# next (); ;
- : int = 2


## Hide the Reference

\# let counter $=$ ref 0 ; ;
\# let next =
fun () ->
counter := !counter + 1; !counter ; ;
val next : unit -> int $=$ <fun>
\# next (); ;

- : int = 1
\# next (); ;
- : int = 2


## Hide the Reference, Visualized



## Quiz 2: What is wrong with the counter?

```
let next =
    fun () ->
    let counter = ref 0 in
        counter := !counter + 1;
        !counter
```

A. Nothing is wrong
B. It returns a boolean, not an integer
C. It returns a reference to an integer instead of an integer
D. It returns the same integer every time

## Quiz 2: What is wrong with the counter?

```
let next =
    fun () ->
    let counter = ref 0 in
        counter := !counter + 1;
        !counter
```

A. Nothing is wrong
B. It returns a boolean, not an integer
C. It returns a reference to an integer instead of an integer
D. It returns the same integer every time

## The Trade-Off Of Side Effects

- Side effects are absolutely necessary
- That's usually why we run software! We want something to happen that we can observe
- They also make reasoning harder
- Order of evaluation now matters
- No referential transparency
> Calling the same function with the same arguments may produce different results
- Aliasing may result in hard-to-understand bugs
> If we call a function with refs r1 and r2, it might do strange things if $r 1$ and $r 2$ are aliased


## Order of Evaluation

- Consider this example
let $y=r e f 1 ;$
let $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z+1} ;$; (* ignores first arg *)
let $w=f(y:=2) \quad$ ! $y$; ;
w; ;
- The first argument to the call to f is the result of the assignment expression $\mathrm{y}:=2$, which is unit ()
- The second argument is the current contents of reference $y$
- What is wif $f$ 's arguments are evaluated left to right?
- 3
- What if they are evaluated right to left?
- 2


## OCaml Order of Evaluation

- In OCaml, the order of evaluation is unspecified
- This means that the language doesn't take a stand, and different implementations may do different things
- On my Mac, OCaml evaluates right to left
- True for the bytecode interpreter and x86 native code
- Run the previous example and see for yourself!
- Strive to make your programs produce the same answer regardless of evaluation order


## Quiz 3: Will w's value differ

If evaluation order is left to right, rather than right to left?

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { let } y & =\text { ref } 1 \text { in } \\
\text { let } f & =z:=!z+1 ;!z \text { in } \\
\text { let } w & =(f y)+!y \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

w

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A. True } \\
& \text { B. False }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quiz 3: Will w's value differ

If evaluation order is left to right, rather than right to left?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { let } y=\text { ref } 1 \text { in } \\
& \text { let } f=z:=!z+1 ;!z \text { in } \\
& \text { let } w=(f y)+!y \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

w

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A. True } \\
& \text { B. False }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quiz 4: Will w's value differ

If evaluation order is left to right, rather than right to left?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { let } y=\text { ref } 1 \text { in } \\
& \text { let } f=z:=!z+1 ;!z \text { in } \\
& \text { let } w=(f y)+(f y) \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

w

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A. True } \\
& \text { B. False }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quiz 4: Will w's value differ

If evaluation order is left to right, rather than right to left?

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { let } y & =\text { ref } 1 \text { in } \\
\text { let } f & =z:=!z+1 ;!z \text { in } \\
\text { let } w & =(f y)+(f y) \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

w

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A. True } \\
& \text { B. False }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quiz 5: Which f is not referentially transparent?

I.e., not the case that $f \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{f}$ for all $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}$
A. let $\mathrm{f} \mathbf{z}=$
let $y=$ ref $z$ in
$y:=!y+z ;$
!y
B. let $\mathrm{f}=$
let $y=r e f 0$ in
fun $z$->

$$
y:=!y+z ;!y
$$

C. let $\mathrm{f} \mathrm{z}=$ let $y=z$ in y+z
D. let $f \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}+1$

## Quiz 5: Which f is not referentially transparent?

l.e., not the case that $\mathbf{f} \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{f}$ for all $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A. let } f z= \\
& \text { let } y=\text { ref } z \text { in } \\
& y:=!y+z ; \\
& !y
\end{aligned}
$$

B. let $\mathrm{f}=$
let $y=r e f 0$ in
fun $z$->

$$
y:=!y+z ;!y
$$

This is basically the counter function

## Structural vs. Physical Equality

- The = operator compares objects structurally
- $[1 ; 2 ; 3]=[1 ; 2 ; 3] \quad$ (* true *)
- $(1,2)=(1,2) \quad(*$ true *)
- The = operator is used for pattern matching
- The <> operator is the negation of structural equality
- The == operator compares objects physically
- [1;2;3] == [1;2;3] (* false *)
- The != operator is the negation of physical equality
- Mostly you want to use structural equality
- But it's a problem with cyclic data structures


## Cyclic Data Structures Possible With Ref

type 'a rlist =
Nil | Cons of 'a * ('a rlist ref); ;
let newcell $x y=$ Cons (x,ref $y$ ) ; ;
let updnext (Cons (_r)) y =r :=y; ;
\# let $\mathrm{x}=$ newcell 1 Nil; ;
val x : int reflist $=$ Cons ( 1 , \{contents $=$ Nil\})


## Cyclic Data Structures Possible With Ref

```
type 'a rlist =
    Nil | Cons of 'a * ('a rlist ref);;
let newcell x y = Cons(x,ref y); ;
let updnext (Cons (_,r)) y = r := y;;
```

\# let $x$ = newcell 1 Nil; ;
val $x$ : int reflist $=$ Cons (1, \{contents $=$ Nil\})
\# updnext $x$ x; ;
- : unit $=()$
\# $\mathbf{x ~ = = ~} \mathbf{x}$; ;
- : bool = true
\# $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x} ;$; (* hangs *)


## Equality of refs themselves

- Refs are compared structurally by their contents, physically by their addresses
- ref 1 = ref 1
(* true *)
- ref 1 <> ref 2
(* true *)
- ref 1 != ref 1
(* true *)
- let $x=$ ref 1 in $x==x \quad$ (* true *)


## Mutable fields

- Fields of a record type can be declared as mutable:
\# type point $=$ \{x:int; $y: i n t ; ~ m u t a b l e ~ c: s t r i n g\} ; ~ ; ~$ type point $=$ \{ x : int; y : int; mutable c : string; \}
\# let $\mathrm{p}=\{\mathrm{x}=0$; $\mathrm{y}=0$; $\mathrm{c}=$ "red" $\}$; ;
val p : point $=$ \{x = 0; $\mathrm{y}=0$; $\mathrm{c}=$ "red" $\}$
\# p.c <- "white";
- : unit = ()
\# p;
val $p$ : point $=\{x=0 ; y=0 ; c=$ white" $\}$
\# p.x <- 3; ;
Error: The record field $x$ is not mutable


## Implementing Refs

- Ref cells are essentially syntactic sugar:
type 'a ref $=\{$ mutable contents: 'a \}
let ref $x=\{$ contents $=x\}$
let (!) r = r.contents
let (:=) $r$ newval $=r$.contents <- newval
- ref type is declared in Pervasives
- ref functions are compiled to equivalents of above


## Arrays

- Arrays generalize ref cells from a single mutable value to a sequence of mutable values

```
# let v = [|0.; 1.|];;
val v : float array = [|O.; 1.|]
# v.(0) <- 5.;;
- : unit = ()
# v;;
- : float array = [|5.; 1.|]
```


## Arrays

- Syntax: [le1; ...; enl]
- Evaluation
- Evaluates to an n-element array, whose elements are initialized to v1 ... vn, where e1 evaluates to v1,..., en evaluates to vn
> Evaluates them right to left
- Type checking
- [le1; ...; enl] : t array
> If for all $i$, each ei : $t$


## Arrays

- Syntax: e1. (e2)
- Evaluation
- Evaluate e2 to integer value v2
- Evaluate e1 to array value v1
- If $0 \leq \mathrm{v} 2<n$, where $n$ is the length of array $v 1$, then return element at offset v 2 of v 1
- Else raise Invalid_argument exception
- Type checking: e1.(e2) : t
- if e1 : t array and e2 : int


## Arrays

- Syntax: e1.(e2) <- e3
- Evaluation
- Evaluate e3 to v3
- Evaluate e2 to integer value v2
- Evaluate e1 to array value v1
- If $0 \leq v 2<n$, where $n$ is the length of array $v 1$, then update element at offset v2 of v1 to v3
> Else raise Invalid_argument exception
- Return ()
- Type checking: e1.(e2) <- e3 : unit
- if e1: tarray and e2 : int and e3: t


## Quiz 6: What is the value w?

let $x=[\mid 0 ; 1$ |] in
let $w=x$ in
$\mathbf{x . ( 0 )}<-1$;
w

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A. } 1 \\
& \text { B. }[|0 ; 1|] \\
& \text { C. Type Error } \\
& \text { D. }[|1 ; 1|] \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quiz 6: What is the value w?

let $x=[\mid 0 ; 1$ |] in
let $w=x$ in
$\mathbf{x . ( 0 )}<-1$;
w

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A. } 1 \\
& \text { B. }[|0 ; 1|] \\
& \text { C. Type Error } \\
& \text { D. }[|1 ; 1|] \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

## Control structures

- Traditional loop structures are useful with imperative features:

while e1 do e2 done<br>for $x=e 1$ to e2 do e3 done<br>for $x=e 1$ downto e2 do e3 done

## Comparison To OCaml

int $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{C}$
int $\mathrm{y} ;$
$\mathrm{x}=3 ;$
$\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x} ;$
$3=\mathrm{x} ;$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { let } x=\text { ref } 0 ; \text {; } \\
& \text { let } y=\text { ref } 0 ; \text {; } \\
& \mathbf{x}:=3 ; \text {; (* x : int ref *) } \\
& y:=(!x) ; \text {; } \\
& 3 \text { := x; ; (* } 3 \text { : int; error *) }
\end{aligned}
$$

- In OCaml, an updatable location and the contents of the location have different types
- The location has a ref type


## OCaml Language Choices

- Implicit or explicit declarations?
- Explicit - variables must be introduced with let before use
- But you don't need to specify types
- Static or dynamic types?
- Static - but you don't need to write down types
- OCaml uses type inference to figure out types for you
- Good: less work to write programs
- Bad: easier to make mistakes, harder to find errors


## OCaml Programming Tips

- Compile your program often, after small changes
- The OCaml parser often produces inscrutable error messages
- It's easier to figure out what's wrong if you've only changed a few things since the last compile
- If you're getting strange type error messages, add in type declarations
- Try writing down types of arguments
- For any expression e, can write (e:t) to assert e has type t


## OCaml Programming Tips (cont.)

- Watch out for precedence and function application

```
let mult x y = x*y
mult 2 2+3 (* returns 7 *)
    (* parsed as (mult 2 2) +3 *)
mult 2 (2+3) (* returns 10 *)
```


## OCaml Programming Tips (cont.)

- All branches of a pattern match must return the same type

```
match x with
... -> -1 (* branch returns int *)
| ... -> () (* uh-oh, branch returns unit *)
| ... -> print_string "foo"
    (* also returns unit *)
```


## OCaml Programming Tips (cont.)

- You cannot assign to ordinary variables!

```
# let x = 42;;
val x : int = 42
# x = x + 1;; (* this is a comparison *)
-: bool = false
# x := 3;;
Error: This expression has type int but is here
used with type 'a ref
```


## OCaml Programming Tips (cont.)

- Again: You cannot assign to ordinary variables!

```
# let x = 42;;
val x : int = 42
# let f y = y + x; ; (* captures x = 42*)
val f : int -> int = <fun>
# let x = 0;; (* shadows binding of x *)
val x : int = 0
# f 10;;
    (* but f still refers to x=42 *)
- : int = 52
```

