

CMSC 132: Object-Oriented Programming II

Sorting

What Is Sorting?

- To arrange a collection of items in some specified order.
 - Numerical order
 - Lexicographical order
- **Input:** sequence $\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ of numbers.
- **Output:** permutation $\langle a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_n \rangle$ such that $a'_1 \leq a'_2 \leq \dots \leq a'_n$.
- **Example**
 - Start \rightarrow 1 23 2 56 9 8 10 100
 - End \rightarrow 1 2 8 9 10 23 56 100

Why Sort?

- A classic problem in computer science.
- Data requested in sorted order
 - e.g., list students in increasing GPA order
- Searching
 - To find an element in an array of a million elements
 - Linear search: average **500,000** comparisons
 - Binary search: worst case **20** comparisons
 - Database, Phone book
- Eliminating duplicate copies in a collection of records
- Finding a missing element, Max, Min

Sorting Algorithms

- **Selection Sort**
- **Insertion Sort**
- $T(n)=O(n^2)$ **Quadratic growth**
- In clock time

Bubble Sort
Shell Sort

10,000	3 sec	20,000	17 sec
50,000	77 sec	100,000	5 min

- Double input -> 4X time
 - Feasible for small inputs, quickly unmanagable
- Halve input -> 1/4 time
 - Hmm... can recursion save the day?
 - If have two sorted halves, how to produce sorted full result?


Divide and Conquer

1. **Base case**: the problem is small enough, solve **directly**
2. **Divide** the problem into two or more **similar and smaller** subproblems
3. **Recursively** solve the subproblems
4. **Combine** solutions to the subproblems


Merge Sort

- Divide and conquer algorithm
- Worst case: $O(n \log n)$
- Stable
 - maintain the relative order of records with equal values
 - Input: 12, 5, 8, 13, 8, 27
 - Stable: 5, 8, 8, 12, 13, 27
 - Not Stable: 5, 8, 8, 12, 13, 27

Stable Sort Example



Now, sort by section




Not Stable

Stable

Merge Sort: Idea

Divide into two halves




Recursively sort

Merge

A is sorted!



Merge-Sort: Merge



Merge Example

A:



L:



$i=0$

R:



$j=0$

Merge Example

A:



L:



$i=1$

R:



$j=0$


Merge Example

A:



L:




 $i=2$

R:




 $j=0$

Merge Example cont.

A:



↑
k=8

L:



↑
i=4

R:



↑
j=4


Merge sort algorithm

MERGE-SORT $A[1 \dots n]$


1. If $n = 1$, done.
2. Recursively sort $A[1 \dots \lceil n/2 \rceil]$ and $A[\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1 \dots n]$.
3. **“Merge”** the 2 sorted lists.

Key subroutine: **MERGE**


Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)




Merge sort (Example)



Merge sort (Example)



Another Example



Analysis of merge sort

$T(n)$

$\Theta(1)$

$2T(n/2)$

$\Theta(n)$

MERGE-SORT $A[1 \dots n]$

1. If $n = 1$, done.
2. Recursively sort $A[1 \dots \lceil n/2 \rceil]$ and $A[\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1 \dots n]$.
3. **“Merge”** the 2 sorted lists


Analyzing merge sort

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(1) & \text{if } n = 1; \\ 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

$$T(n) = \Theta(n \lg n) \quad (n > 1)$$

Recursion tree

Solve $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn$, where $c > 0$ is constant.



Memory Requirement

Needs additional n locations because it is difficult to merge two sorted sets in place



Merge Sort Conclusion


- Merge Sort: $O(n \log n)$
 - asymptotically beats insertion sort in the worst case
 - In practice, merge sort beats insertion sort for $n > 30$ or so
- Space requirement:
 - $O(n)$, not in-place

Heapsort


- Merge sort time is $O(n \log n)$ but still requires, temporarily, n extra storage locations
- *Heapsort* does not require any additional storage
- As its name implies, heapsort uses a heap to store the array

Heapsort Algorithm

1. Insert each value from the array to be sorted into a priority queue (min-heap).
2. Swap the first element of the list with the final element. Decrease the considered range of the list by one.
3. Call the sink() function on the list to sink the new first element to its appropriate index in the heap.
4. Go to step (2) unless the considered range of the list is one element.




Trace of Heapsort




[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]
89	76	74	37	32	39	66	20	26	18	28	29	6


Trace of Heapsort (cont.)




Trace of Heapsort (cont.)




Trace of Heapsort (cont.)




Trace of Heapsort (cont.)




Trace of Heapsort (cont.)




Trace of Heapsort (cont.)




Trace of Heapsort (cont.)




Trace of Heapsort (cont.)




Trace of Heapsort (cont.)




Trace of Heapsort (cont.)




Trace of Heapsort (cont.)




Trace of Heapsort (cont.)




Trace of Heapsort (cont.)




Trace of Heapsort (cont.)



Trace of Heapsort (cont.)




Trace of Heapsort (cont.)



Trace of Heapsort (cont.)

Continue until everything sorted



Revising the Heapsort Algorithm

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]
89	76	74	37	32	39	66	20	26	18	28	29	6

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]
76	37	74	26	32	39	66	20	6	18	28	29	89

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]
74	37	66	26	32	39	29	20	6	18	28	76	89

⋮

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]
6	18	20	26	28	29	32	37	39	66	74	76	89

- Each element removed will be placed at the end of the array.
- The heap part of the array decreases by one element

Analysis of Heapsort


- Because a heap is a complete binary tree, it has $\log n$ levels
- Building a heap of size n requires finding the correct location for an item in a heap with $\log n$ levels
- Each insert (or remove) is $O(\log n)$
- With n items, building a heap is $O(n \log n)$
- No extra storage is needed

Quicksort

- Developed in 1962
- Quicksort selects a specific value called a pivot and rearranges the array into two parts (called *partitioning*)
 - all the elements in the left subarray are less than or equal to the pivot
 - all the elements in the right subarray are larger than the pivot
 - The pivot is placed between the two subarrays
- The process is repeated until the array is sorted

Merge sort vs Quick Sort

Merge sort



Merge sort vs Quick Sort

13	89	46	22	57	76	98	34	66	83
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

Split (smart, extra work here)

			≤ 57			> 57			
13	46	22	57	34	89	76	98	66	83

sort recursively


13	22	34	46	57	66	76	83	89	98
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

Merge is not necessary

Trace of Quicksort


44	75	23	43	55	12	64	77	33
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

Trace of Quicksort (cont.)




```
move i if a[i] > pivot  
move j if a[j] < pivot
```


Trace of Quicksort (cont.)




Trace of Quicksort (cont.)




Trace of Quicksort (cont.)




Trace of Quicksort (cont.)



Trace of Quicksort (cont.)



Trace of Quicksort (cont.)



Swap(pivot, a[j])


One iteration is done

Trace of Quicksort (cont.)




Recursively sort first and second subarray

Trace of Quicksort (cont.)




Move i if $a[i] > \text{pivot}$
Move j if $a[j] < \text{pivot}$

Trace of Quicksort (cont.)




Break if $i \geq j$

Trace of Quicksort (cont.)




Second iteration for left half is done

Trace of Quicksort (cont.)




Recursively sort second subarray

Trace of Quicksort (cont.)




Move i if $a[i] > \text{pivot}$
Move j if $a[j] < \text{pivot}$

Trace of Quicksort (cont.)



Break if $i \geq j$

Trace of Quicksort (cont.)



Another iteration is done

Trace of Quicksort (cont.)



Subarray to sort

Trace of Quicksort (cont.)



Sorted

↑
Recursively sort the second subarray

Quick Sort Algorithm

```
/* quicksort the subarray from a[lo] to a[hi] */  
  
void sort(Comparable[] a, int lo, int hi) {  
    if (hi <= lo) return;  
    int j = partition(a, lo, hi);  
    sort(a, lo, j-1);  
    sort(a, j+1, hi);  
}
```

Partition

```
// partition the subarray a[lo..hi] so that a[lo..j-1] <= a[j] <=
a[j+1..hi]
// and return the index j.
int partition(Comparable[] a, int lo, int hi) {
    int i = lo;
    int j = hi + 1;
    Comparable v = a[lo];
    while (true) {
        // find item on lo to swap
        while (less(a[++i], v))
            if (i == hi) break;
        /* find item on hi to swap */
        while (less(v, a[--j]))
            if (j == lo) break;
        // check if pointers cross
        if (i >= j) break;
        exch(a, i, j);
    }
    // put partitioning item v at a[j]
    exch(a, lo, j);
    // now, a[lo .. j-1] <= a[j] <= a[j+1 .. hi]
    return j;
}
```



Analysis of Quicksort

- If the pivot value is a random value selected from the current subarray,
 - then statistically half of the items in the subarray will be less than the pivot and half will be greater
- If both subarrays have the same number of elements (best case), there will be $\log n$ levels of recursion
- At each recursion level, the partitioning process involves moving every element to its correct position— n moves
- Quicksort is $O(n \log n)$, just like merge sort


Analysis of Quicksort (cont.)

- A quicksort will give very poor behavior if, each time the array is partitioned, a subarray is empty.
- In that case, the sort will be $O(n^2)$
- Under these circumstances, the overhead of recursive calls and the extra run-time stack storage required by these calls makes this version of quicksort a poor performer relative to the quadratic sorts.

If `Pivot` is the largest or smallest value



After swap




Revised Partition Algorithm

- A better solution is to pick the pivot value in a way that is less likely to lead to a bad split
 - Use three references: `first`, `middle`, `last`
 - Select the median of these items as the pivot


Trace of Revised Partitioning

10	75	23	43	90	12	64	77	50
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

Trace of Revised Partitioning (cont.)



Trace of Revised Partitioning (cont.)



Make the middle number pivot

Sorting Algorithm Comparison

Name	Best	Average	Worst	Memory	Stable
Bubble Sort	n	n^2	n^2	1	yes
Selection Sort	n^2	n^2	n^2	1	no
Insertion Sort	n	n^2	n^2	1	yes
Merge Sort	$n \log n$	$n \log n$	$n \log n$	n	yes
Quick Sort	$n \log n$	$n \log n$	n^2	$\log n$	no
Heap Sort	$n \log n$	$n \log n$	$n \log n$	1	no