Homework 2 Morally Due Feb 12

1. (20 points) The alphabet is $\{0, \ldots, 9\}$. We interpret the input as a base 10 natural number, read *right to left*. So the number 29139 will be read 9-3-1-9-2.

Give the diagram for a finite automata classifier that determines, given w, what w is congruent to mod 6. How many states does it have?

SOLUTION TO PROBLEM 1

 $10^{0} \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ $10^{1} \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ $10^{2} \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ etc.

So we will keep a running sum of $d_0 + 4d_1 + 4d_2 + \cdots \pmod{6}$.

We OMIT the rest.

- 2. (30 points) We have seen some number m such that the DECIDER (of minimal number of states) for Mod m (is $x \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$) has LESS states than the CLASSIFIER (of minimal number of states) for mod m.
 - (a) (15 points) Give an infinite number of examples of m, with $m \ge 100$, where the Decider will have LESS states than the classifier. Explain why this is, but you do not need to draw the DFA's.
 - (b) (15 points) Give an infinite number of examples of m, with $m \ge 100$, where the Decider will have AS MANY states as the classifier. Explain why this is, but you do not need to draw the DFA's.
 - (c) (0 points) Think about: Fill in the following statement: The DECIDER for Mod m will have LESS states than the CLAS-SIFIER iff XXX.

SOLUTION TO PROBLEM 2

a) LESS: The key is that if the powers $10^i \pmod{m}$ are eventually all 0's then you no longer need to keep track of the weighted sum and can just go to a 0 or non-zero state.

Examples:

m = 100: $10^0 \equiv 1$, $10^1 \equiv 10$, $10^2 \equiv 0$, and for all $i \geq 2$, $10^i \equiv 0$. So the DFA will just check if the d_0 and d_1 digits are 0. If they are then goto a state ACCEPT. If not then goto REJECT. All later transitions are self loops.

m = 1000 and m = 10000 and $m = 10^i$ are similar.

b) THE SAME: m any prime > 100 will work. There are other values that work as well. Justification omitted.

c) XXX is

gcd(m, 10) > 1 and m > 2. Justification omitted.

3. (25 points) Prove using NFA's: If L is regular then L^* is regular. Begin with an NFA for L and then modify it to get an NFA for L^* . Formally write the new NFA in terms of the old one. Draw a picture also.

SOLUTION TO PROBLEM 3

The NFA for L is $(Q, \Sigma, \Delta, s, F)$. There are two things you need to do:

- We want the empty string accepted. Hence create a NEW start state, called NEW, that is also a final state. Add an e-transition from it to the original start state.
- Add an e-transition from all final states to the NEW start state. (The old one would also have been fine.)

Formally the new NFA is

 $(Q \cup \{NEW\}, \Sigma, \Delta', NEW, F \cup \{NEW\}).$ Where $\Delta'(q, \sigma) = \Delta(q, \sigma)$ for all $q \in Q$

 $\Delta'(q, e) = \Delta(q, e) \cup \{NEW\} \text{ for all } q \in F$ $\Delta'(NEW, e) = \{s\}.$

4. (25 points) A JUSTIN-NFA is an NFA that has no e-transitions. Give a procedure that takes an NFA and produces an equivalent JUSTIN-NFA. (Note- be careful. A sequence of e-transitions can go through many states.)

SOLUTION TO PROBLEM FOUR

Let *L* be accpeted by NFA $(Q, \Sigma, \Delta, s, F)$. The equivalent JUSTIN-NFA is $(2^Q, \Sigma, \Delta', \{s\}, F')$. where $\Delta'(A, \sigma)$ is the set of ALL states you can get to from any $q \in A$ using any string of the form $e^i \sigma e^j$ in the old NFA. F' is the set of all *A* such that $A \cup F \neq \emptyset$.

END OF SOLUTION TO PROBLEM FOUR