# BILL AND NATHAN START RECORDING

# Context Sensitive Languages

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

I am supposed to say Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

Our interest in CFL's is:

1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

Our interest in CFL's is:

1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL (Research Project—no results yet.)

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

- 1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL (Research Project—no results yet.)
- 2) Closure properties of CSLs.

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

- 1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL (Research Project—no results yet.)
- 2) Closure properties of CSLs.
- 3) How does CSL compare to P and other classes?

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

- 1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL (Research Project—no results yet.)
- 2) Closure properties of CSLs.
- 3) How does CSL compare to P and other classes? (Spoiler Alert: We don't know!)

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

- 1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL (Research Project—no results yet.)
- 2) Closure properties of CSLs.
- 3) How does CSL compare to P and other classes? (Spoiler Alert: We don't know!)
- 4) Which languages are **not** context sensitive?

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

- 1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL (Research Project—no results yet.)
- 2) Closure properties of CSLs.
- 3) How does CSL compare to P and other classes? (Spoiler Alert: We don't know!)
- 4) Which languages are **not** context sensitive? (Spoiler Alert: very few natural languages that are not CSL are known.)

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

- 1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL (Research Project—no results yet.)
- 2) Closure properties of CSLs.
- 3) How does CSL compare to P and other classes? (Spoiler Alert: We don't know!)
- 4) Which languages are **not** context sensitive? (Spoiler Alert: very few natural languages that are not CSL are known.)
- 5) Languages that are CSL but not CFL.

#### **Historical Note on Linguistics**

One of the motivations for CFL's and CSL's is an attempt to model human language.

This was a success and a success.

#### **Historical Note on Linguistics**

One of the motivations for CFL's and CSL's is an attempt to model human language.

This was a success and a success.

 While human language is far more complicated than CFL or CSL; the Mathematical tools these grammars supply were a helpful starting point.

#### **Historical Note on Linguistics**

One of the motivations for CFL's and CSL's is an attempt to model human language.

This was a success and a success.

- While human language is far more complicated than CFL or CSL; the Mathematical tools these grammars supply were a helpful starting point.
- 2. Computer languages are far easier to understand since we make them ourselves; hence, CFLs and (to a lesser extend) CSL's were useful within Computer Science.

```
S 	oup ABCS \mid e AB 	oup BA (Note- We allow two nonterminals on the LHS.) AC 	oup CA BC 	oup CB BA 	oup AB CA 	oup AC CB 	oup BC A 	oup AC CCB 	oup BC A 	oup AC CCB 	oup BC A 	oup AC CCB 	oup AC ACC ACC
```

```
S \rightarrow ABCS \mid e
AB \rightarrow BA (Note- We allow two nonterminals on the LHS.)
AC \rightarrow CA
BC \rightarrow CB
BA \rightarrow AB
CA \rightarrow AC
CB \rightarrow BC
A \rightarrow a
B \rightarrow b
C \rightarrow c
1) What lang does this generate?
```

```
S \rightarrow ABCS
AB \rightarrow BA (Note- We allow two nonterminals on the LHS.)
AC \rightarrow CA
BC \rightarrow CB
BA \rightarrow AB
CA \rightarrow AC
CB \rightarrow BC
A \rightarrow a
B \rightarrow b
C \rightarrow c
1) What lang does this generate?
\{w: \#_a(w) = \#_b(w) = \#_c(w)\}\ which is NOT a CFL.
```

```
S \rightarrow ABCS
AB \rightarrow BA (Note- We allow two nonterminals on the LHS.)
AC \rightarrow CA
BC \rightarrow CB
BA \rightarrow AB
CA \rightarrow AC
CB \rightarrow BC
A \rightarrow a
B \rightarrow b
C \rightarrow c
1) What lang does this generate?
\{w: \#_a(w) = \#_b(w) = \#_c(w)\}\ which is NOT a CFL.
2) Context-Free means can replace (say) A by (say) \alpha without
looking at the context of A.
```

```
S \rightarrow ABCS
AB \rightarrow BA (Note- We allow two nonterminals on the LHS.)
AC \rightarrow CA
BC \rightarrow CB
BA \rightarrow AB
CA \rightarrow AC
CB \rightarrow BC
A \rightarrow a
B \rightarrow b
C \rightarrow c
1) What lang does this generate?
```

- $\{w : \#_a(w) = \#_b(w) = \#_c(w)\}$  which is NOT a CFL.
- 2) Context-Free means can replace (say) A by (say)  $\alpha$  without looking at the **context** of A.
- 3) Context-Sensitive means can replace (say) A by (say)  $\alpha$  AND look at what is around A. We actually allow more than that.



 $S 
ightarrow abc \mid aXbc \mid e$  Xb 
ightarrow bX bY 
ightarrow Yb Xc 
ightarrow Ybcc $aY 
ightarrow aa \mid aaX$ 

```
S 	o abc \mid aXbc \mid e

Xb 	o bX

bY 	o Yb

Xc 	o Ybcc

aY 	o aa \mid aaX

The set of all strings Generated is
```

$$S o abc \mid aXbc \mid e$$
  
 $Xb o bX$   
 $bY o Yb$   
 $Xc o Ybcc$   
 $aY o aa \mid aaX$   
The set of all strings **Generated** is

$$S o abc \mid aXbc \mid e$$
  
 $Xb o bX$   
 $bY o Yb$   
 $Xc o Ybcc$   
 $aY o aa \mid aaX$   
The set of all strings **Generated** is

$$L = \{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Note It's a real mess to prove, and not that intuitive.

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later).

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later). I searched through books and the web to find a CSG for it since students are our future! and you deserve to know this grammar! (I sincerely meant this as a positive thing.)

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later). I searched through books and the web to find a CSG for it since **students are our future!** and you **deserve** to know this grammar! (I sincerely meant this as a positive thing.) All I could find was a paper that gave a CSL for  $\{a^{f_n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$   $\{f_n \text{ is } n\text{th fib number}\}$ .

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later). I searched through books and the web to find a CSG for it since students are our future! and you deserve to know this grammar! (I sincerely meant this as a positive thing.)

All I could find was a paper that gave a CSL for  $\{a^{f_n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$   $(f_n \text{ is } n\text{th fib number}).$ 

Here is the paper: cslfib.pdf.

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later).

I searched through books and the web to find a CSG for it since **students are our future!** and you **deserve** to know this grammar! (I sincerely meant this as a positive thing.)

All I could find was a paper that gave a CSL for  $\{a^{f_n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$   $(f_n \text{ is } n\text{th fib number}).$ 

Here is the paper: cslfib.pdf.

You do not **deserve** to know this grammar (I sincerly mean this as a positive thing.)

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later).

I searched through books and the web to find a CSG for it since students are our future! and you deserve to know this grammar! (I sincerely meant this as a positive thing.)

All I could find was a paper that gave a CSL for  $\{a^{f_n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$   $(f_n \text{ is } n\text{th fib number}).$ 

Here is the paper: cslfib.pdf.

You do not **deserve** to know this grammar (I sincerly mean this as a positive thing.)

Here is a deal:

I am **not obligated** to teach this gramamar.

You are not responsible to learn this grammar.

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later).

I searched through books and the web to find a CSG for it since students are our future! and you deserve to know this grammar! (I sincerely meant this as a positive thing.)

All I could find was a paper that gave a CSL for  $\{a^{f_n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$   $(f_n \text{ is } n\text{th fib number}).$ 

Here is the paper: cslfib.pdf.

You do not **deserve** to know this grammar (I sincerly mean this as a positive thing.)

Here is a deal:

I am not obligated to teach this gramamar.

You are not responsible to learn this grammar.

**Upshot** CSG's are messy and we are not going to deal with them much.

#### **Definition of Context Sensitive Grammars**

**Def** A **Context Sensitive Grammar** is a tuple  $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$ 

- N is a finite set of nonterminals.
- $ightharpoonup \Sigma$  is a finite **alphabet**. Note  $\Sigma \cap N = \emptyset$ .
- ▶  $R \subseteq (N \cup \Sigma)^* N(N \cup \Sigma)^* \times (N \cup \Sigma)^*$  and are called **Rules**.
- $ightharpoonup S \in N$ , the start symbol.

#### Note

#### **Definition of Context Sensitive Grammars**

**Def** A **Context Sensitive Grammar** is a tuple  $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$ 

- N is a finite set of nonterminals.
- $ightharpoonup \Sigma$  is a finite **alphabet**. Note  $\Sigma \cap N = \emptyset$ .
- ▶  $R \subseteq (N \cup \Sigma)^* N(N \cup \Sigma)^* \times (N \cup \Sigma)^*$  and are called **Rules**.
- ▶  $S \in N$ , the start symbol.

#### Note

The LHS must have at least one nonterminal.

#### **Definition of Context Sensitive Grammars**

**Def** A **Context Sensitive Grammar** is a tuple  $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$ 

- N is a finite set of **nonterminals**.
- $ightharpoonup \Sigma$  is a finite **alphabet**. Note  $\Sigma \cap N = \emptyset$ .
- ▶  $R \subseteq (N \cup \Sigma)^* N(N \cup \Sigma)^* \times (N \cup \Sigma)^*$  and are called **Rules**.
- ▶  $S \in N$ , the start symbol.

#### Note

- The LHS must have at least one nonterminal.
- 2) There are alternative definitions that are equivalent, which I won't get into here.

If A is non-terminal then the CSG gives us gives us rules like:

- ightharpoonup A 
  ightharpoonup AB
- ightharpoonup A 
  ightarrow a

If A is non-terminal then the CSG gives us gives us rules like:

- ightharpoonup A 
  ightharpoonup AB
- ightharpoonup A 
  ightharpoonup a

 $A \Rightarrow AAaASdD$  is valid when the RHS is a string of **terminals** and non-terminals that can be produced from A (LHS is a single non-terminal).

If A is non-terminal then the CSG gives us gives us rules like:

- ightharpoonup A 
  ightharpoonup AB
- ightharpoonup A 
  ightharpoonup a

 $A \Rightarrow AAaASdD$  is valid when the RHS is a string of **terminals** and non-terminals that can be produced from A (LHS is a single non-terminal).

Examples: If we have rules

 $A \rightarrow BCD$ 

 $BC \rightarrow BcA$ 

 $BcA \rightarrow Aa$ 

. . .

Then we have

So  $A \Rightarrow AaD$ 

If A is non-terminal then the CSG gives us gives us rules like:

- ightharpoonup A 
  ightharpoonup AB
- ightharpoonup A 
  ightharpoonup a

 $A \Rightarrow AAaASdD$  is valid when the RHS is a string of **terminals** and non-terminals that can be produced from A (LHS is a single non-terminal).

**Examples:** If we have rules

 $A \rightarrow BCD$ 

 $BC \rightarrow BcA$ 

 $BcA \rightarrow Aa$ 

. . .

Then we have

So  $A \Rightarrow AaD$ 

Then, if w is string of **non-terminals only**, we define L(G) by:

$$L(G) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid S \Rightarrow w \}$$

We'll come back to this later.

# **CLOSURE PROPERTIES**

If  $L_1, L_2$  are Context Sensitive Languages then

1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang?

- 1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
- 2. Is  $L_1 \cap L_2$  a context sensitive Lang?

- 1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
- 2. Is  $L_1 \cap L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.
- 3. Is  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  a context sensitive Lang?

- 1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
- 2. Is  $L_1 \cap L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.
- 3. Is  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
- 4. Is  $\overline{L_1}$  a context sensitive Lang?

- 1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
- 2. Is  $L_1 \cap L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.
- 3. Is  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
- 4. Is  $\overline{L_1}$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.
- 5. IS  $L_1^*$  is a context sensitive Lang?

- 1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
- 2. Is  $L_1 \cap L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.
- 3. Is  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
- 4. Is  $\overline{L_1}$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.
- 5. IS  $L_1^*$  is a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.

1) There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.

- 1) There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.
- 2) Recall:

- 1) There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.
- 2) Recall:

DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.

- 1) There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.
- 2) Recall:

DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.

PDA's are **Recognizers**, CFG's are **Generators**.

- 1) There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.
- 2) Recall:

DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.

PDA's are **Recognizers**, CFG's are **Generators**.

CSL's are **Generators**.

- 1) There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.
- 2) Recall:

DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.

PDA's are **Recognizers**, CFG's are **Generators**.

CSL's are **Generators**.

There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it:

LBA's

- 1) There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.
- 2) Recall:

DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.

PDA's are **Recognizers**, CFG's are **Generators**.

CSL's are **Generators**.

There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it:

LBA's

LBA stands for **Linear Bounded Automata**.

They are nondeterministic Turing machines with O(n) space.

- 1) There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.
- 2) Recall:

DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.

PDA's are **Recognizers**, CFG's are **Generators**.

CSL's are **Generators**.

There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it:

LBA's

LBA stands for Linear Bounded Automata.

They are nondeterministic Turing machines with O(n) space.

The proof that LBA-recognizers and CSG-generators are equivalent is messy so we won't be doing it. We won't deal with LBA's in this course at all.

#### Later is Now

I said earlier:

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later).

#### Later is Now

I said earlier:

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later).

It is easy to write an LBA for  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ 

#### Later is Now

I said earlier:

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later).

It is easy to write an LBA for  $\{a^{n^2}:n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ Hence it is easy to show that  $\{a^{n^2}:n\in\mathbb{N}\}$  and many other languages are CSL's without using CSG's.

In this slide we only refer to decidable languages.

1) Not known how CSL and NP compare. Likely incomparable.

- 1) Not known how CSL and NP compare. Likely incomparable.
- 2) Proving incompatibility seems hard.

- 1) Not known how CSL and NP compare. Likely incomparable.
- 2) Proving incompatibility seems hard.
- 3) Proving a langauge is NOT CSL seems hard.

- 1) Not known how CSL and NP compare. Likely incomparable.
- 2) Proving incompatibility seems hard.
- 3) Proving a langauge is NOT CSL seems hard.
- 4) One can construct a (contrived) language that is NOT CSL.

- 1) Not known how CSL and NP compare. Likely incomparable.
- 2) Proving incompatibility seems hard.
- 3) Proving a langauge is NOT CSL seems hard.
- 4) One can construct a (contrived) language that is NOT CSL.
- 5) I know of one natural language that is provably not CSL:

- 1) Not known how CSL and NP compare. Likely incomparable.
- 2) Proving incompatibility seems hard.
- 3) Proving a langauge is NOT CSL seems hard.
- 4) One can construct a (contrived) language that is NOT CSL.
- 5) I know of **one** natural language that is provably not CSL: Given two trex  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , is  $L(\alpha) = L(\beta)$ .

In this slide we only refer to decidable languages.

- 1) Not known how CSL and NP compare. Likely incomparable.
- 2) Proving incompatibility seems hard.
- 3) Proving a langauge is NOT CSL seems hard.
- 4) One can construct a (contrived) language that is NOT CSL.
- 5) I know of **one** natural language that is provably not CSL: Given two trex  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , is  $L(\alpha) = L(\beta)$ .

**Open question** Some variants of Chess and Go might be provably not CSL.



1) REG  $\subset$  CFL  $\subset$  CSL  $\subset$  DEC

- 1) REG  $\subset$  CFL  $\subset$  CSL  $\subset$  DEC
- 2) REG has both a recognizer and a generator: DFA and REGEX.

- 1) REG  $\subset$  CFL  $\subset$  CSL  $\subset$  DEC
- 2) REG has both a recognizer and a generator: DFA and REGEX.
- 3) CFL has both a recognizer and a generator: CFG and PDA.

- 1) REG  $\subset$  CFL  $\subset$  CSL  $\subset$  DEC
- 2) REG has both a recognizer and a generator: DFA and REGEX.
- 3) CFL has both a recognizer and a generator: CFG and PDA.
- 4) CSL has both a recognizer and a generator: CSG and LBA.

- 1) REG  $\subset$  CFL  $\subset$  CSL  $\subset$  DEC
- 2) REG has both a recognizer and a generator: DFA and REGEX.
- 3) CFL has both a recognizer and a generator: CFG and PDA.
- 4) CSL has both a recognizer and a generator: CSG and LBA.
- 5) DEC has both a recognizer and a generator: Later in this course.

- 1) REG  $\subset$  CFL  $\subset$  CSL  $\subset$  DEC
- 2) REG has both a recognizer and a generator: DFA and REGEX.
- 3) CFL has both a recognizer and a generator: CFG and PDA.
- 4) CSL has both a recognizer and a generator: CSG and LBA.
- 5) DEC has both a recognizer and a generator: Later in this course.
- 6) Over time CFLs and CSLs were taken out of the course and P and NP were put in. Also, less time on DEC.

- 1) REG  $\subset$  CFL  $\subset$  CSL  $\subset$  DEC
- 2) REG has both a recognizer and a generator: DFA and REGEX.
- 3) CFL has both a recognizer and a generator: CFG and PDA.
- 4) CSL has both a recognizer and a generator: CSG and LBA.
- 5) DEC has both a recognizer and a generator: Later in this course.
- 6) Over time CFLs and CSLs were taken out of the course and P and NP were put in. Also, less time on DEC.
- 7) I prefer the new version.