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## Quadratic Sieve Factoring
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1) $\operatorname{GCD}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is the Greatest Common Divisor of $x, y$.
2) Sums and Products

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=a_{1}+a_{2}+\cdots+a_{n} \\
& \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=a_{1} \times a_{2} \times \cdots \times a_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

3) More Sums and Products We summed or producted over $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Can use other sets.
If $A=\{1,4,9\}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i \in A} a_{i}=a_{1}+a_{4}+a_{9} \\
& \prod_{i \in A} a_{i}=a_{1} \times a_{4} \times a_{9}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## More Notation Reminder

4) $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ could be vectors.

$$
\sum_{i \in A} \vec{a}_{i}=\vec{a}_{1}+\vec{a}_{4}+\vec{a}_{9}
$$

Addition is component-wise. We will not be using any notion of a product of vectors.
5) We extend mod notation to vectors of integers. Example:

$$
(8,1,0,9) \quad(\bmod 2)=(0,1,0,1)
$$
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## Quick: Factor 8051

Factor 8051. Looks Hard.
OH - note that

$$
8051=90^{2}-7^{2}=(90+7)(90-7)=97 \times 83
$$

Key Wrote 8051 as diff of two squares.
General If $N=x^{2}-y^{2}$ then get $N=(x-y)(x+y)$.
But Lucky: we happen to spot two squares that worked.
History Carl Pomerance was on the Math Team in High School and this was a problem he was given. He didn't solve it in time, but it inspired him to (much later) invent the Quadratic Sieve Factoring Algorithm.
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Does this help? $(81-16) \times(81+16)=5 \times 1261$

$$
65 \times 97=5 \times 1261
$$

(Could divide both sides by 5 , please ignore that.)
65 divides $5 \times 1261$, so 65 might share a factor with 1261 . Take $\operatorname{GCD}: \operatorname{GCD}(65,1261)=13$. So 13 divides 1261 .
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Want
$x^{2}-y^{2}=k N$.
$x^{2}-y^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod N)$.
$x^{2} \equiv y^{2}(\bmod N)$.
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Want $x^{2} \equiv y^{2}(\bmod 1649)$. Start at $\lceil\sqrt{1649}\rceil=41$.
$41^{2} \equiv 32=2^{5}(\bmod 1649)$
$42^{2} \equiv 115=5 \times 23(\bmod 1649)$
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## Quick: Factor 1649

Want $x^{2} \equiv y^{2}(\bmod 1649)$. Start at $\lceil\sqrt{1649}\rceil=41$.
$41^{2} \equiv 32=2^{5}(\bmod 1649)$
$42^{2} \equiv 115=5 \times 23(\bmod 1649)$
$43^{2} \equiv 200=2^{3} \times 5^{2}(\bmod 1649)$
Does any of this help?
$41^{2} \times 43^{2} \equiv 2^{5} \times 2^{3} \times 5^{2}=2^{8} \times 5^{2}=\left(2^{4} \times 5\right)^{2}=80^{2}$

$$
(41 \times 43)^{2}-80^{2} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 1649)
$$

$$
1763^{2}-80^{2} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 1649)
$$
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## Factoring 1649: 194 Also Works?

Recall:

$$
(114-80)(114+80) \equiv 34 \times 194 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 1649)
$$

$\operatorname{GCD}(34,1649)=17$ Found a Factor!
What if we used 194 instead of 34 ?
$\operatorname{GCD}(194,1649)=97$ Found a Factor!
So 194 also works.
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Idea Let $x=\lceil\sqrt{N}\rceil$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
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Is this a good idea? Discuss.
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$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(x+0)^{2} \equiv y_{0} & (\bmod N) . & \text { Factor } y_{0} \\
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In order to factor $N$ we needed to factor the $y_{i}$ 's. Really? Darn!

## Look at the First Step

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(x+0)^{2} \equiv y_{0} & (\bmod N) . & \text { Factor } y_{0} \\
(x+1)^{2} \equiv y_{1} & (\bmod N) . & \text { Factor } y_{1}
\end{array}
$$

In order to factor $N$ we needed to factor the $y_{i}$ 's. Really? Darn! Ideas?
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## $B$-Factoring

Idea $B$ be a parameter. $p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{B}$ are the first $B$ primes.
Def $A$ number is $B$-factorable if largest prime factor is $\leq p_{B}$.
Example $B=5$. Primes $2,3,5,7,11$.
$1000=2^{3} \times 5^{3}$. So $B$-factored.
$27378897=11 \times 31^{2} \times 37$. NOT $B$-factored.
Is $B$-factoring faster than factoring?
Lets try to $B$-factor 82203.

1. Divide 2 into it. 2 does not divide 82203.
2. Divide 3 into what's left. $82203=3 \times 27401$.
3. Divide 5 into what's left. 5 does not divide 27401.
4. Divide 7 into what's left. 7 does not divide 27401.
5. Divide 11 into what's left. $82203=3 \times 11 \times 2491$.
6. DONE. NOT $B$-factorable. Only did $B$ divisions.

## Abbreviation

We use $B$-fact for $B$-factorable.
Why?

## Abbreviation

We use $B$-fact for $B$-factorable.
Why?
Space on slides!

## Example of Algorithm that Uses $B$-Factoring

Want to factor 539873. $B=7$ so use $2,3,5,7,11,13,17$
$\lceil\sqrt{539873}\rceil=735$
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$736^{2}, \ldots, 749^{2}$ did not 7 -factor.
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## Example of Algorithm that Uses $B$-Factoring

Want to factor 539873. $B=7$ so use $2,3,5,7,11,13,17$
$\lceil\sqrt{539873}\rceil=735$
$735^{2} \equiv 352=2^{5} \times 11^{1}(\bmod 539873)$.
$736^{2}, \ldots, 749^{2}$ did not 7 -factor.
$750^{2} \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^{3} \times 17^{1}(\bmod 539873)$.
$751^{2}, \ldots, 782^{2}$ did not 7 -factor.
$783^{2} \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^{9} \times 11^{1} \times 13^{1}(\bmod 539873)$.

## Example of Algorithm that Uses $B$-Factoring

Want to factor 539873. $B=7$ so use $2,3,5,7,11,13,17$
$\lceil\sqrt{539873}\rceil=735$
$735^{2} \equiv 352=2^{5} \times 11^{1}(\bmod 539873)$.
$736^{2}, \ldots, 749^{2}$ did not 7 -factor.
$750^{2} \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^{3} \times 17^{1}(\bmod 539873)$.
$751^{2}, \ldots, 782^{2}$ did not 7-factor.
$783^{2} \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^{9} \times 11^{1} \times 13^{1}(\bmod 539873)$.
$784^{2}, \ldots, 800^{2}$ did not 7 -factor.
$801^{2} \equiv 101728 \equiv 2^{5} \times 11^{1} \times 17^{2}(\bmod 539873)$.
Can we use this? Next Slide I write it more nicely.

## Example Continued: Trying to factor 539873

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 735^{2} \equiv 352=2^{5} \times 11^{1}(\bmod 539873) . \\
& 750^{2} \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^{3} \times 17^{1}(\bmod 539873) \\
& 783^{2} \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^{9} \times 11^{1} \times 13^{1}(\bmod 539873) \\
& 801^{2} \equiv 101728 \equiv 2^{5} \times 11^{1} \times 17^{2}(\bmod 539873)
\end{aligned}
$$

Can you find a way to multiple some of these to get $X^{2} \equiv Y^{2}$ ?

## Example Continued: Trying to factor 539873

$735^{2} \equiv 352=2^{5} \times 11^{1}(\bmod 539873)$.
$750^{2} \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^{3} \times 17^{1}(\bmod 539873)$.
$783^{2} \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^{9} \times 11^{1} \times 13^{1}(\bmod 539873)$.
$801^{2} \equiv 101728 \equiv 2^{5} \times 11^{1} \times 17^{2}(\bmod 539873)$.
Can you find a way to multiple some of these to get $X^{2} \equiv Y^{2}$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (735 \times 801)^{2} \equiv 2^{10} \times 11^{2} \times 17^{2} \quad(\bmod 539873) \\
& (735 \times 801)^{2} \equiv\left(2^{5} \times 11 \times 17\right)^{2} \quad(\bmod 539873)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
588735^{2} \equiv 5984^{2} \quad(\bmod 539873)
$$

$$
48862^{2} \equiv 5984^{2} \quad(\bmod 539873)
$$

## Example Finished: Trying to factor 539873

We have found:

$$
48862^{2}-5984^{2} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 539873)
$$

Now we use it to find a factor:
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$$
48862^{2}-5984^{2} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 539873)
$$

Now we use it to find a factor:

$$
(48862-5984) \times(48862+5984) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 539873)
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## Example Finished: Trying to factor 539873

We have found:

$$
48862^{2}-5984^{2} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 539873)
$$

Now we use it to find a factor:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(48862-5984) \times(48862+5984) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 539873) \\
42878 \times 54846 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 539873)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example Finished: Trying to factor 539873

We have found:

$$
48862^{2}-5984^{2} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 539873)
$$

Now we use it to find a factor:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(48862-5984) \times(48862+5984) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 539873) \\
42878 \times 54846 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 539873) \\
G C D(42878,539873)=1949
\end{gathered}
$$

1949 divides 539873. Found a Factor!

## We Noticed That... Can a Program?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lceil\sqrt{539873}\rceil=735 \\
& 735^{2} \equiv 352=2^{5} \times 11^{1}(\bmod 539873) \\
& 750^{2} \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^{3} \times 17^{1}(\bmod 539873) \\
& 783^{2} \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^{9} \times 11^{1} \times 13^{1}(\bmod 539873) \\
& 801^{2} \equiv 101728 \equiv 2^{5} \times 11^{1} \times 17^{2}(\bmod 539873)
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that

$$
(735 \times 801)^{2} \equiv 2^{10} \times 11^{2} \times 17^{2}
$$

How can a program Notice That ?
What is a program supposed to notice? Discuss.

## We Noticed That... Can a Program? Cont

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lceil\sqrt{539873}\rceil=735 \\
& 735^{2} \equiv 352=2^{5} \times 11^{1}(\bmod 539873) \\
& 750^{2} \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^{3} \times 17^{1}(\bmod 539873) \\
& 783^{2} \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^{9} \times 11^{1} \times 13^{1}(\bmod 539873) \\
& 801^{2} \equiv 101728 \equiv 2^{5} \times 11^{1} \times 17^{2}(\bmod 539873)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
(735 \times 801)^{2} \equiv 2^{10} \times 11^{2} \times 17^{2}
$$

All of the exponents on the right-hand-side are even.

## We Noticed That... Can a Program? Cont

$\lceil\sqrt{539873}\rceil=735$
$735^{2} \equiv 352=2^{5} \times 11^{1}(\bmod 539873)$.
$750^{2} \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^{3} \times 17^{1}(\bmod 539873)$.
$783^{2} \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^{9} \times 11^{1} \times 13^{1}(\bmod 539873)$.
$801^{2} \equiv 101728 \equiv 2^{5} \times 11^{1} \times 17^{2}(\bmod 539873)$.

$$
(735 \times 801)^{2} \equiv 2^{10} \times 11^{2} \times 17^{2}
$$

All of the exponents on the right-hand-side are even.
We want to find a set of right-hand-sides so that when multiplied together all of the exponents are even.

## Idea One

Store exponents in vector. Power-of-2, Power-of-3,...,Power-of-17. $\lceil\sqrt{539873}\rceil=735$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 735^{2} \equiv 352 \equiv 2^{5} \times 11^{1} \quad(5,0,0,0,1,0,0) \\
& 750^{2} \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^{3} \times 17^{1} \\
& 783^{2} \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^{9} \times 11^{1} \times 13^{1} \quad(9,0,0,0,1,1,0) \\
& 801^{2} \equiv 101728 \equiv 2^{5} \times 11^{1} \times 17^{2} \quad(5,0,0,0,1,0,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Want some combination of the vectors to have all even numbers.
Can we use Linear Algebra? Discuss

## Idea One

Store exponents in vector. Power-of-2, Power-of-3,...,Power-of-17. $\lceil\sqrt{539873}\rceil=735$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 735^{2} \equiv 352 \equiv 2^{5} \times 11^{1} \quad(5,0,0,0,1,0,0) \\
& 750^{2} \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^{3} \times 17^{1} \quad(0,0,0,0,3,0,1) \\
& 783^{2} \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^{9} \times 11^{1} \times 13^{1} \quad(9,0,0,0,1,1,0) \\
& 801^{2} \equiv 101728 \equiv 2^{5} \times 11^{1} \times 17^{2} \quad(5,0,0,0,1,0,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Want some combination of the vectors to have all even numbers.
Can we use Linear Algebra? Discuss
We do not need the numbers. All we need are the parities!

## Idea Two

Store parities of exponents in vector.

$$
\lceil\sqrt{539873}\rceil=735
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 735^{2} \equiv 352 \equiv 2^{5} \times 11^{1} \quad(1,0,0,0,1,0,0) \\
& 750^{2} \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^{3} \times 17^{1} \\
& 783^{2} \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^{9} \times 11^{1} \times 13^{1} \\
& 801^{2} \equiv 101728 \equiv 2^{5} \times 11^{1} \times 17^{2} \quad(1,0,0,0,1,0,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Idea Two

Store parities of exponents in vector.

$$
\lceil\sqrt{539873}\rceil=735
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 735^{2} \equiv 352 \equiv 2^{5} \times 11^{1} \quad(1,0,0,0,1,0,0) \\
& 750^{2} \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^{3} \times 17^{1} \quad(0,0,0,0,1,0,1) \\
& 783^{2} \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^{9} \times 11^{1} \times 13^{1} \quad(1,0,0,0,1,1,0) \\
& 801^{2} \equiv 101728 \equiv 2^{5} \times 11^{1} \times 17^{2} \quad(1,0,0,0,1,0,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Well Defined Math Problem Given a set of 0-1 $B$-vectors over mod 2 does some subset of them sum to $\overrightarrow{0}$ ? Equivalent to asking if some subset is linearly dependent.

- Can solve using Gaussian Elimination.
- If there are $B+1$ vectors then there will be such a set.
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Given $N$ let $x=\lceil\sqrt{N}\rceil$. All $\equiv \operatorname{are} \bmod N . B, M$ are params.
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(x+0)^{2} \equiv y_{0} \quad \text { Try to } B \text {-Factor } y_{0} \text { to get parity } \vec{v}_{0} .
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$(x+M)^{2} \equiv y_{M} \quad$ Try to $B$-Factor $y_{M}$ to get parity $\vec{v}_{M}$.

## Quad Sieve Alg: First Attempt

Given $N$ let $x=\lceil\sqrt{N}\rceil$. All $\equiv \operatorname{are} \bmod N . B, M$ are params.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x+0)^{2} \equiv y_{0} & \text { Try to } B \text {-Factor } y_{0} \text { to get parity } \vec{v}_{0} . \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
(x+M)^{2} \equiv y_{M} & \text { Try to } B \text {-Factor } y_{M} \text { to get parity }{\overrightarrow{v_{M}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Some of the $y_{i}$ were $B$-factored, but some were not.
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## Quad Sieve Alg: First Attempt (Example)

Some of the $y_{i}$ were $B$-factored, but some were not:
$(x+a)^{2} \bmod N=y_{a}=2^{a_{1}} 3^{a_{2}} \cdots p_{B}^{a_{B}} \cdot \vec{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{B}\right)(\bmod 2)$.
引
$(x+z)^{2} \bmod N=y_{z}=2^{z_{1}} 3^{z_{2}} \cdots p_{B}^{z_{B}}, \vec{b}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{B}\right)(\bmod 2)$.
Try to find come combination of $\vec{a}, \ldots, \vec{z}$ that sums $\overrightarrow{0} \bmod 2$.
Lets say $\vec{a}+\vec{d}+\vec{q} \equiv \overrightarrow{0}(\bmod 2)$. Then

$$
(x+a)^{2}(x+d)^{2}(x+q)^{2} \equiv y_{a} y_{d} y_{q}=Y^{2}
$$

## Quad Sieve Alg: First Attempt (Example)

Some of the $y_{i}$ were $B$-factored, but some were not:
$(x+a)^{2} \bmod N=y_{a}=2^{a_{1}} 3^{a_{2}} \cdots p_{B}^{a_{B}} \cdot \vec{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{B}\right)(\bmod 2)$.
$(x+z)^{2} \bmod N=y_{z}=2^{z_{1}} 3^{z_{2}} \cdots p_{B}^{z_{B}}, \vec{b}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{B}\right)(\bmod 2)$.
Try to find come combination of $\vec{a}, \ldots, \vec{z}$ that sums $\overrightarrow{0} \bmod 2$. Lets say $\vec{a}+\vec{d}+\vec{q} \equiv \overrightarrow{0}(\bmod 2)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x+a)^{2}(x+d)^{2}(x+q)^{2} \equiv y_{a} y_{d} y_{q}=Y^{2} \\
& ((x+a)(x+d)(x+q))^{2} \equiv y_{a} y_{d} y_{q}=Y^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quad Sieve Alg: First Attempt (Example)

Some of the $y_{i}$ were $B$-factored, but some were not:
$(x+a)^{2} \bmod N=y_{a}=2^{a_{1}} 3^{a_{2}} \cdots p_{B}^{a_{B}} \cdot \vec{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{B}\right)(\bmod 2)$.
$(x+z)^{2} \bmod N=y_{z}=2^{z_{1}} 3^{z_{2}} \cdots p_{B}^{z_{B}}, \vec{b}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{B}\right)(\bmod 2)$.
Try to find come combination of $\vec{a}, \ldots, \vec{z}$ that sums $\overrightarrow{0} \bmod 2$.
Lets say $\vec{a}+\vec{d}+\vec{q} \equiv \overrightarrow{0}(\bmod 2)$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
(x+a)^{2}(x+d)^{2}(x+q)^{2} \equiv y_{a} y_{d} y_{q}=Y^{2} \\
((x+a)(x+d)(x+q))^{2} \equiv y_{a} y_{d} y_{q}=Y^{2} \\
X^{2} \equiv Y^{2} \quad(\bmod N)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Quad Sieve Alg: First Attempt (Example)

Some of the $y_{i}$ were $B$-factored, but some were not:
$(x+a)^{2} \bmod N=y_{a}=2^{a_{1}} 3^{a_{2}} \cdots p_{B}^{a_{B}} \cdot \vec{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{B}\right)(\bmod 2)$.
$(x+z)^{2} \bmod N=y_{z}=2^{z_{1}} 3^{z_{2}} \cdots p_{B}^{z_{B}}, \vec{b}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{B}\right)(\bmod 2)$.
Try to find come combination of $\vec{a}, \ldots, \vec{z}$ that sums $\overrightarrow{0} \bmod 2$.
Lets say $\vec{a}+\vec{d}+\vec{q} \equiv \overrightarrow{0}(\bmod 2)$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
(x+a)^{2}(x+d)^{2}(x+q)^{2} \equiv y_{a} y_{d} y_{q}=Y^{2} \\
((x+a)(x+d)(x+q))^{2} \equiv y_{a} y_{d} y_{q}=Y^{2} \\
X^{2} \equiv Y^{2} \quad(\bmod N) \\
(X-Y)(X+Y) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod N)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Quad Sieve Alg: First Attempt (Example)

Some of the $y_{i}$ were $B$-factored, but some were not:
$(x+a)^{2} \bmod N=y_{a}=2^{a_{1}} 3^{a_{2}} \cdots p_{B}^{a_{B}} \cdot \vec{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{B}\right)(\bmod 2)$.
$(x+z)^{2} \bmod N=y_{z}=2^{z_{1}} 3^{z_{2}} \cdots p_{B}^{z_{B}}, \vec{b}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{B}\right)(\bmod 2)$.
Try to find come combination of $\vec{a}, \ldots, \vec{z}$ that sums $\overrightarrow{0} \bmod 2$. Lets say $\vec{a}+\vec{d}+\vec{q} \equiv \overrightarrow{0}(\bmod 2)$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
(x+a)^{2}(x+d)^{2}(x+q)^{2} \equiv y_{a} y_{d} y_{q}=Y^{2} \\
((x+a)(x+d)(x+q))^{2} \equiv y_{a} y_{d} y_{q}=Y^{2} \\
X^{2} \equiv Y^{2} \quad(\bmod N) \\
(X-Y)(X+Y) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod N)
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathrm{GCD}(X-Y, N)$ probably a factor of $N$.

## Quad Sieve Alg: Back to First Attempt

Given $N$ let $x=\lceil\sqrt{N}\rceil$. All $\equiv$ are $\bmod N . B, M$ are params.

## Quad Sieve Alg: Back to First Attempt

Given $N$ let $x=\lceil\sqrt{N}\rceil$. All $\equiv$ are $\bmod N . B, M$ are params.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x+0)^{2} \equiv y_{0} & \text { Try to } B \text {-Factor } y_{0} \text { to get parity } \vec{v}_{0} . \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
(x+M)^{2} \equiv y_{M} & \text { Try to } B \text {-Factor } y_{M} \text { to get parity } \vec{v}_{M} .
\end{aligned}
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Let $I$ be the set of all $i$ such that $y_{i}$ was $B$-factored.

## Quad Sieve Alg: Back to First Attempt

Given $N$ let $x=\lceil\sqrt{N}\rceil$. All $\equiv$ are $\bmod N . B, M$ are params.

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
(x+0)^{2} \equiv y_{0} & \text { Try to } B \text {-Factor } y_{0} \text { to get parity } \vec{v}_{0} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
(x+M)^{2} \equiv y_{M} & \text { Try to } B \text {-Factor } y_{M} \text { to get parity } \vec{v}_{M} .
\end{array}
$$

Let $I$ be the set of all $i$ such that $y_{i}$ was $B$-factored.
Find $J \subseteq I$ such that $\sum_{i \in J} \vec{v}_{i}=\overrightarrow{0}$.

## Quad Sieve Alg: Back to First Attempt

Given $N$ let $x=\lceil\sqrt{N}\rceil$. All $\equiv$ are $\bmod N . B, M$ are params.

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
(x+0)^{2} \equiv y_{0} & \text { Try to } B \text {-Factor } y_{0} \text { to get parity } \vec{v}_{0} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
(x+M)^{2} \equiv y_{M} & \text { Try to } B \text {-Factor } y_{M} \text { to get parity } \vec{v}_{M} .
\end{array}
$$

Let $I$ be the set of all $i$ such that $y_{i}$ was $B$-factored.
Find $J \subseteq I$ such that $\sum_{i \in J} \vec{v}_{i}=\overrightarrow{0}$.
Hence $\prod_{i \in J} y_{i}$ has all even exponents.
Important! Since $\prod_{i \in J} y_{i}$ has all even exponents, there exists $Y$

$$
\prod_{i \in J} y_{i}=Y^{2}
$$

## Quad Sieve Alg: First Attempt, Cont

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\prod_{i \in J}(x+i)\right)^{2} \equiv \prod_{i \in J} y_{i}=Y^{2}(\bmod N) \\
\text { Let } X=\prod_{i \in J}(x+i)(\bmod N) \text { and } Y=\prod_{i \in J} y_{i}(\bmod N) . \\
x^{2}-Y^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod N)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
(X-Y)(X+Y)=k N \text { for some } k
$$

$\operatorname{GCD}(X-Y, N), \operatorname{GCD}(X+Y, N)$ should yield factors.

## A Tip for Learning This Material

We will revisit the above algorithm later when we get it to really work.

## A Tip for Learning This Material

We will revisit the above algorithm later when we get it to really work.

When we do we are not going to redo the $y_{a} y_{d} y_{q}$ example.

## A Tip for Learning This Material

We will revisit the above algorithm later when we get it to really work.

When we do we are not going to redo the $y_{a} y_{d} y_{q}$ example.
SO - Make sure you understand the algorithm before the next lecture (and the one after that).

What Could go Wrong

## What Could go Wrong

1. There is no set of rows that is linearly dependent.

## What Could go Wrong

1. There is no set of rows that is linearly dependent.
2. You find $X, Y$ such that $X^{2} \equiv Y^{2} \bmod N$ but then $\operatorname{GCD}(X-Y, N)=1$ and $\operatorname{GCD}(X+Y, N)=N$. This is very rare so we will not worry about it.
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1. Run time will depend on $B$ and $M$. Gaussian Elimination is $O\left(B^{3}\right)$ which will be the main time sink. So want $B$ small.

## Balancing Act

1. Run time will depend on $B$ and $M$. Gaussian Elimination is $O\left(B^{3}\right)$ which will be the main time sink. So want $B$ small.
2. If $B$ is large then more numbers are $B$-fact, so have to go through less numbers to get $B+1 B$-fact numbers (hence $B+1$ vectors of $\operatorname{dim} B$ ) so guaranteed to have a linear dependency. Hence want $B$ large.

## Balancing Act

1. Run time will depend on $B$ and $M$. Gaussian Elimination is $O\left(B^{3}\right)$ which will be the main time sink. So want $B$ small.
2. If $B$ is large then more numbers are $B$-fact, so have to go through less numbers to get $B+1 B$-fact numbers (hence $B+1$ vectors of $\operatorname{dim} B$ ) so guaranteed to have a linear dependency. Hence want $B$ large.
3. In practice $B$ is chosen carefully based on computation and conjectures in Number Theory.

## Most Important Step to Speed Up

An earlier slide said
Gaussian Elimination is $O\left(B^{3}\right)$ which will be the main time sink.

## Most Important Step to Speed Up

An earlier slide said
Gaussian Elimination is $O\left(B^{3}\right)$ which will be the main time sink.
What about $B$ factoring $M$ numbers. That would seem to also be a time sink.

## Most Important Step to Speed Up

An earlier slide said
Gaussian Elimination is $O\left(B^{3}\right)$ which will be the main time sink.
What about $B$ factoring $M$ numbers. That would seem to also be a time sink.

The key to making the algorithm practical is Carl Pomerance's insight which is the how to do all that $B$-factoring fast. To do this we need a LOOOOOONG aside on Sieving.
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