# BILL START RECORDING

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

# Quadratic Sieve Factoring

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

1) GCD(x, y) is the Greatest Common Divisor of x, y.



- 1) GCD(x, y) is the Greatest Common Divisor of x, y.
- 2) Sums and Products

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n.$$
$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i = a_1 \times a_2 \times \dots \times a_n.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

- 1) GCD(x, y) is the Greatest Common Divisor of x, y.
- 2) Sums and Products

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n.$$
$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i = a_1 \times a_2 \times \dots \times a_n.$$

3) More Sums and Products We summed or producted over  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Can use other sets.

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

- 1) GCD(x, y) is the Greatest Common Divisor of x, y.
- 2) Sums and Products

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n.$$
$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i = a_1 \times a_2 \times \dots \times a_n.$$

3) More Sums and Products We summed or producted over  $\{1, ..., n\}$ . Can use other sets. If  $A = \{1, 4, 9\}$  then

$$\sum_{i \in A} a_i = a_1 + a_4 + a_9.$$
$$\prod_{i \in A} a_i = a_1 \times a_4 \times a_9.$$

#### **More Notation Reminder**

4)  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  could be vectors.

$$\sum_{i\in A}\vec{a}_i=\vec{a}_1+\vec{a}_4+\vec{a}_9.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Addition is **component-wise**.

#### **More Notation Reminder**

4)  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  could be vectors.

$$\sum_{i\in A}\vec{a}_i=\vec{a}_1+\vec{a}_4+\vec{a}_9.$$

(ロト (個) (E) (E) (E) (E) のへの

Addition is **component-wise**.

We will not be using any notion of a product of vectors.

#### **More Notation Reminder**

4)  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  could be vectors.

$$\sum_{i\in A}\vec{a_i}=\vec{a_1}+\vec{a_4}+\vec{a_9}.$$

Addition is **component-wise**.

We will not be using any notion of a product of vectors.

5) We extend mod notation to vectors of integers. Example:

$$(8,1,0,9) \pmod{2} = (0,1,0,1).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Factor 8051. Looks Hard.



## Factor 8051. Looks Hard. **OH- note that**

$$8051 = 90^2 - 7^2 = (90 + 7)(90 - 7) = 97 \times 83$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

## Factor 8051. Looks Hard. **OH- note that**

$$8051 = 90^2 - 7^2 = (90 + 7)(90 - 7) = 97 \times 83$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Key Wrote 8051 as diff of two squares.

### Factor 8051. Looks Hard. **OH- note that**

$$8051 = 90^2 - 7^2 = (90 + 7)(90 - 7) = 97 \times 83$$

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

Key Wrote 8051 as diff of two squares. General If  $N = x^2 - y^2$  then get N = (x - y)(x + y).

### Factor 8051. Looks Hard. **OH- note that**

$$8051 = 90^2 - 7^2 = (90 + 7)(90 - 7) = 97 \times 83$$

Key Wrote 8051 as diff of two squares. General If  $N = x^2 - y^2$  then get N = (x - y)(x + y). But Lucky: we happen to spot two squares that worked.

### Factor 8051. Looks Hard. **OH- note that**

$$8051 = 90^2 - 7^2 = (90 + 7)(90 - 7) = 97 \times 83$$

Key Wrote 8051 as diff of two squares. General If  $N = x^2 - y^2$  then get N = (x - y)(x + y). But Lucky: we happen to spot two squares that worked. History Carl Pomerance was on the Math Team in High School and this was a problem he was given. He didn't solve it in time, but it inspired him to (much later) invent the Quadratic Sieve Factoring Algorithm.

$$81^2 - 16^2 = 6305 = 5 \times 1261$$

Does this help?



 $81^2-16^2=6305=5\times 1261$  Does this help?  $(81-16)\times(81+16)=5\times 1261$ 

65 imes 97 = 5 imes 1261

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

 $81^2 - 16^2 = 6305 = 5 \times 1261$  Does this help? (81 - 16)  $\times$  (81 + 16) = 5  $\times$  1261

 $65 \times 97 = 5 \times 1261$ 

(Could divide both sides by 5, please ignore that.)

 $81^2 - 16^2 = 6305 = 5 \times 1261$ 

Does this help?  $(81 - 16) \times (81 + 16) = 5 \times 1261$ 

 $65 \times 97 = 5 \times 1261$ 

(Could divide both sides by 5, please ignore that.) 65 divides  $5 \times 1261$ , so 65 might share a factor with 1261. Take GCD: GCD(65, 1261) = 13. So 13 divides 1261.

 $81^2 - 16^2 = 6305 = 5 \times 1261$ 

Does this help?  $(81 - 16) \times (81 + 16) = 5 \times 1261$ 

$$65 \times 97 = 5 \times 1261$$

(Could divide both sides by 5, please ignore that.) 65 divides  $5 \times 1261$ , so 65 might share a factor with 1261. Take GCD: GCD(65, 1261) = 13. So 13 divides 1261. General If  $(x^2 - y^2) = kN$  then

- GCD(x y, N) might be a nontrivial factor.
- GCD(x + y, N) might be a nontrivial factor.

 $81^2 - 16^2 = 6305 = 5 \times 1261$ 

Does this help?  $(81 - 16) \times (81 + 16) = 5 \times 1261$ 

$$65 \times 97 = 5 \times 1261$$

(Could divide both sides by 5, please ignore that.) 65 divides  $5 \times 1261$ , so 65 might share a factor with 1261. Take GCD: GCD(65, 1261) = 13. So 13 divides 1261. General If  $(x^2 - y^2) = kN$  then

- GCD(x y, N) might be a nontrivial factor.
- GCD(x + y, N) might be a nontrivial factor.

#### Want

$$x^{2} - y^{2} = kN.$$
  

$$x^{2} - y^{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{N}.$$
  

$$x^{2} \equiv y^{2} \pmod{N}.$$

Want  $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{1649}$ . Start at  $\lceil \sqrt{1649} \rceil = 41$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Want  $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{1649}$ . Start at  $\lceil \sqrt{1649} \rceil = 41$ .  $41^2 \equiv 32 = 2^5 \pmod{1649}$ 

Want  $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{1649}$ . Start at  $\left\lceil \sqrt{1649} \right\rceil = 41$ .

 $41^2 \equiv 32 = 2^5 \pmod{1649}$ 

 $42^2 \equiv 115 = 5 \times 23 \pmod{1649}$ 

Want  $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{1649}$ . Start at  $\left\lceil \sqrt{1649} \right\rceil = 41$ .

 $41^2 \equiv 32 = 2^5 \pmod{1649}$ 

$$42^2 \equiv 115 = 5 \times 23 \pmod{1649}$$

 $43^2 \equiv 200 = 2^3 \times 5^2 \pmod{1649}$ 

Want  $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{1649}$ . Start at  $\lceil \sqrt{1649} \rceil = 41$ .  $41^2 \equiv 32 = 2^5 \pmod{1649}$   $42^2 \equiv 115 = 5 \times 23 \pmod{1649}$   $43^2 \equiv 200 = 2^3 \times 5^2 \pmod{1649}$ Does any of this help?

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

Want  $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{1649}$ . Start at  $\lceil \sqrt{1649} \rceil = 41$ .  $41^2 \equiv 32 = 2^5 \pmod{1649}$   $42^2 \equiv 115 = 5 \times 23 \pmod{1649}$   $43^2 \equiv 200 = 2^3 \times 5^2 \pmod{1649}$ Does any of this help?

$$41^2 \times 43^2 \equiv 2^5 \times 2^3 \times 5^2 = 2^8 \times 5^2 = (2^4 \times 5)^2 = 80^2$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

Want  $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{1649}$ . Start at  $\lceil \sqrt{1649} \rceil = 41$ .  $41^2 \equiv 32 = 2^5 \pmod{1649}$   $42^2 \equiv 115 = 5 \times 23 \pmod{1649}$   $43^2 \equiv 200 = 2^3 \times 5^2 \pmod{1649}$ Does any of this help?

$$41^2 \times 43^2 \equiv 2^5 \times 2^3 \times 5^2 = 2^8 \times 5^2 = (2^4 \times 5)^2 = 80^2$$

$$(41 \times 43)^2 - 80^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

Want  $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{1649}$ . Start at  $\lceil \sqrt{1649} \rceil = 41$ .  $41^2 \equiv 32 = 2^5 \pmod{1649}$   $42^2 \equiv 115 = 5 \times 23 \pmod{1649}$   $43^2 \equiv 200 = 2^3 \times 5^2 \pmod{1649}$ Does any of this help?  $41^2 \times 43^2 \equiv 2^5 \times 2^3 \times 5^2 = 2^8 \times 5^2 = (2^4 \times 5)^2 = 80^2$ 

$$(41 imes 43)^2 - 80^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$$

 $1763^2 - 80^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$ 

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Want  $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{1649}$ . Start at  $\lceil \sqrt{1649} \rceil = 41$ .  $41^2 \equiv 32 = 2^5 \pmod{1649}$   $42^2 \equiv 115 = 5 \times 23 \pmod{1649}$   $43^2 \equiv 200 = 2^3 \times 5^2 \pmod{1649}$ Does any of this help?  $41^2 \times 43^2 \equiv 2^5 \times 2^3 \times 5^2 = 2^8 \times 5^2 = (2^4 \times 5)^2 = 80^2$ 

$$(41 \times 43)^2 - 80^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$$

$$1763^2 - 80^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$$

$$114^2 - 80^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$$

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

Want  $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{1649}$ . Start at  $\lceil \sqrt{1649} \rceil = 41$ .  $41^2 \equiv 32 = 2^5 \pmod{1649}$  $42^2 \equiv 115 = 5 \times 23 \pmod{1649}$  $43^2 \equiv 200 = 2^3 \times 5^2 \pmod{1649}$ Does any of this help?  $41^2 \times 43^2 \equiv 2^5 \times 2^3 \times 5^2 = 2^8 \times 5^2 = (2^4 \times 5)^2 = 80^2$  $(41 \times 43)^2 - 80^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$  $1763^2 - 80^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$ 

 $114^2 - 80^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$ 

 $(114 - 80)(114 + 80) \equiv 34 \times 194 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$ 

Want  $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{1649}$ . Start at  $\lceil \sqrt{1649} \rceil = 41$ .  $41^2 \equiv 32 = 2^5 \pmod{1649}$  $42^2 \equiv 115 = 5 \times 23 \pmod{1649}$  $43^2 \equiv 200 = 2^3 \times 5^2 \pmod{1649}$ Does any of this help?  $41^2 \times 43^2 \equiv 2^5 \times 2^3 \times 5^2 = 2^8 \times 5^2 = (2^4 \times 5)^2 = 80^2$  $(41 \times 43)^2 - 80^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$  $1763^2 - 80^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$  $114^2 - 80^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$ 

 $(114 - 80)(114 + 80) \equiv 34 \times 194 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$ GCD(34, 1649) = 17 Found a Factor!

Recall:

$$(114 - 80)(114 + 80) \equiv 34 \times 194 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○臣 ○ のへぐ

Recall:

 $(114 - 80)(114 + 80) \equiv 34 \times 194 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$ GCD(34, 1649) = 17 Found a Factor!

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

Recall:

 $(114 - 80)(114 + 80) \equiv 34 \times 194 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$ GCD(34, 1649) = 17 Found a Factor!

What if we used 194 instead of 34?

Recall:

 $(114 - 80)(114 + 80) \equiv 34 \times 194 \equiv 0 \pmod{1649}$ GCD(34, 1649) = 17 Found a Factor!

What if we used 194 instead of 34? GCD(194, 1649) = 97 Found a Factor! So 194 also works.
(ロト (個) (E) (E) (E) (E) のへの

Idea Let  $x = \left\lceil \sqrt{N} \right\rceil$ .

Idea Let 
$$x = \left\lceil \sqrt{N} \right\rceil$$
.  
 $(x+0)^2 \equiv y_0 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_0$   
 $(x+1)^2 \equiv y_1 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_1$   
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$ 

▲□▶▲□▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 の�?

Idea Let 
$$x = \left\lceil \sqrt{N} \right\rceil$$
.  
 $(x+0)^2 \equiv y_0 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_0$   
 $(x+1)^2 \equiv y_1 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_1$   
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$ 

Look for  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that:  $\prod_{i \in I} y_i = q_1^{2e_1} q_2^{2e_2} \cdots q_k^{2e_k}$ .

Idea Let 
$$x = \left\lceil \sqrt{N} \right\rceil$$
.  
 $(x+0)^2 \equiv y_0 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_0$   
 $(x+1)^2 \equiv y_1 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_1$   
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$   
Look for  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that:  $\prod_{i=1}^{k} y_i = a_1^{2e_1} a_2^{2e_2} \cdots a_i^{2e_k}$ 

Look for  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that:  $\prod_{i \in I} y_i = q_1^{2e_1} q_2^{2e_2} \cdots q_k^{2e_k}$ . Then we get:

$$\left(\prod_{i\in I} (x+i)\right)^2 \equiv \left(\prod_{i=1}^k q_i^{e_i}\right)^2 \pmod{N}$$

Idea Let 
$$x = \left\lceil \sqrt{N} \right\rceil$$
.  
 $(x+0)^2 \equiv y_0 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_0$   
 $(x+1)^2 \equiv y_1 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_1$   
 $\vdots$   
Look for  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that:  $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_n = q^{2e_1} q^{2e_2} \cdots q^{2e_k}$ 

Look for  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that:  $\prod_{i \in I} y_i = q_1^{2e_1} q_2^{2e_2} \cdots q_k^{2e_k}$ . Then we get:

$$\left(\prod_{i\in I} (x+i)\right)^2 \equiv \left(\prod_{i=1}^k q_i^{\mathbf{e}_i}\right)^2 \pmod{N}$$

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

Let  $X = \prod_{i \in I} (x+i) \pmod{N}$  and  $Y = \prod_{i=1}^{k} q_i^{e_i} \pmod{N}$ .

Idea Let 
$$x = \left\lceil \sqrt{N} \right\rceil$$
.  
 $(x+0)^2 \equiv y_0 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_0$   
 $(x+1)^2 \equiv y_1 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_1$   
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$   
Look for  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that:  $\prod_{i \in I} y_i = q_1^{2e_1} q_2^{2e_2} \cdots q_k^{2e_k}$ .

Look for  $i \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that:  $\prod_{i \in I} y_i = q_1 q_2 \cdots$ Then we get:

$$\left(\prod_{i\in I} (x+i)\right)^2 \equiv \left(\prod_{i=1}^k q_i^{\mathbf{e}_i}\right)^2 \pmod{N}$$
  
Let  $X = \prod_{i\in I} (x+i) \pmod{N}$  and  $Y = \prod_{i=1}^k q_i^{\mathbf{e}_i} \pmod{N}$ .  
 $X^2 - Y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ .

Idea Let 
$$x = \left\lceil \sqrt{N} \right\rceil$$
.  
 $(x+0)^2 \equiv y_0 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_0$   
 $(x+1)^2 \equiv y_1 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_1$   
 $\vdots$   
Look for  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that:  $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_n = q^{2e_1} q^{2e_2} \cdots q^{2e_k}$ 

Look for  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that:  $\prod_{i \in I} y_i = q_1^{2e_1} q_2^{2e_2} \cdots q_k^{2e_k}$ . Then we get:

$$\left(\prod_{i\in I} (x+i)\right)^2 \equiv \left(\prod_{i=1}^k q_i^{e_i}\right)^2 \pmod{N}$$
  
Let  $X = \prod_{i\in I} (x+i) \pmod{N}$  and  $Y = \prod_{i=1}^k q_i^{e_i} \pmod{N}$ .  
 $X^2 - Y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ .

Is this a good idea? Discuss.

$$(x+0)^2 \equiv y_0 \pmod{N}$$
. Factor  $y_0$   
 $(x+1)^2 \equiv y_1 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_1$   
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$ 

$$(x+0)^2 \equiv y_0 \pmod{N}$$
. Factor  $y_0$   
 $(x+1)^2 \equiv y_1 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_1$   
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$ 

In order to factor N we needed to factor the  $y_i$ 's.

$$(x+0)^2 \equiv y_0 \pmod{N}$$
. Factor  $y_0$   
 $(x+1)^2 \equiv y_1 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_1$   
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$ 

In order to factor N we needed to factor the  $y_i$ 's. Really?

$$(x+0)^2 \equiv y_0 \pmod{N}$$
. Factor  $y_0$   
 $(x+1)^2 \equiv y_1 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_1$   
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$ 

In order to factor N we needed to factor the  $y_i$ 's. Really? Darn!

$$(x+0)^2 \equiv y_0 \pmod{N}$$
. Factor  $y_0$   
 $(x+1)^2 \equiv y_1 \pmod{N}$ . Factor  $y_1$   
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$ 

In order to **factor** N we needed to **factor** the  $y_i$ 's. Really? Darn! Ideas?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○臣 ○ のへぐ

Idea *B* be a parameter.  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_B$  are the first *B* primes.

**Def** A number is *B*-factorable if largest prime factor is  $\leq p_B$ .

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

**Idea** *B* be a parameter.  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_B$  are the first *B* primes.

**Def** A number is *B*-factorable if largest prime factor is  $\leq p_B$ .

**Example** B = 5. Primes 2,3,5,7,11. 1000 =  $2^3 \times 5^3$ . So *B*-factored. 27378897 =  $11 \times 31^2 \times 37$ . NOT *B*-factored.

**Idea** *B* be a parameter.  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_B$  are the first *B* primes.

**Def** A number is *B*-factorable if largest prime factor is  $\leq p_B$ .

**Example** B = 5. Primes 2,3,5,7,11. 1000 =  $2^3 \times 5^3$ . So *B*-factored. 27378897 =  $11 \times 31^2 \times 37$ . NOT *B*-factored. Is *B*-factoring faster than factoring?

**Idea** *B* be a parameter.  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_B$  are the first *B* primes.

**Def** A number is *B*-factorable if largest prime factor is  $\leq p_B$ .

**Example** B = 5. Primes 2,3,5,7,11. 1000 =  $2^3 \times 5^3$ . So *B*-factored. 27378897 =  $11 \times 31^2 \times 37$ . NOT *B*-factored. Is *B*-factoring faster than factoring? Lets try to *B*-factor 82203.

**Idea** *B* be a parameter.  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_B$  are the first *B* primes.

**Def** A number is *B*-factorable if largest prime factor is  $\leq p_B$ .

**Example** B = 5. Primes 2,3,5,7,11. 1000 =  $2^3 \times 5^3$ . So *B*-factored. 27378897 =  $11 \times 31^2 \times 37$ . NOT *B*-factored. Is *B*-factoring faster than factoring? Lets try to *B*-factor 82203.

1. Divide 2 into it. 2 does not divide 82203.

**Idea** *B* be a parameter.  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_B$  are the first *B* primes.

**Def** A number is *B*-factorable if largest prime factor is  $\leq p_B$ .

**Example** B = 5. Primes 2,3,5,7,11. 1000 =  $2^3 \times 5^3$ . So *B*-factored. 27378897 =  $11 \times 31^2 \times 37$ . NOT *B*-factored. Is *B*-factoring faster than factoring? Lets try to *B*-factor 82203.

- 1. Divide 2 into it. 2 does not divide 82203.
- 2. Divide 3 into what's left.  $82203 = 3 \times 27401$ .

**Idea** *B* be a parameter.  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_B$  are the first *B* primes.

**Def** A number is *B*-factorable if largest prime factor is  $\leq p_B$ .

**Example** B = 5. Primes 2,3,5,7,11. 1000 =  $2^3 \times 5^3$ . So *B*-factored. 27378897 =  $11 \times 31^2 \times 37$ . NOT *B*-factored. Is *B*-factoring faster than factoring? Lets try to *B*-factor 82203.

- 1. Divide 2 into it. 2 does not divide 82203.
- 2. Divide 3 into what's left.  $82203 = 3 \times 27401$ .
- 3. Divide 5 into what's left. 5 does not divide 27401.

**Idea** *B* be a parameter.  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_B$  are the first *B* primes.

**Def** A number is *B*-factorable if largest prime factor is  $\leq p_B$ .

**Example** B = 5. Primes 2,3,5,7,11. 1000 =  $2^3 \times 5^3$ . So *B*-factored. 27378897 =  $11 \times 31^2 \times 37$ . NOT *B*-factored. Is *B*-factoring faster than factoring? Lets try to *B*-factor 82203.

- 1. Divide 2 into it. 2 does not divide 82203.
- 2. Divide 3 into what's left.  $82203 = 3 \times 27401$ .
- 3. Divide 5 into what's left. 5 does not divide 27401.
- 4. Divide 7 into what's left. 7 does not divide 27401.

**Idea** *B* be a parameter.  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_B$  are the first *B* primes.

**Def** A number is *B*-factorable if largest prime factor is  $\leq p_B$ .

**Example** B = 5. Primes 2,3,5,7,11. 1000 =  $2^3 \times 5^3$ . So *B*-factored. 27378897 =  $11 \times 31^2 \times 37$ . NOT *B*-factored. Is *B*-factoring faster than factoring? Lets try to *B*-factor 82203.

- 1. Divide 2 into it. 2 does not divide 82203.
- 2. Divide 3 into what's left.  $82203 = 3 \times 27401$ .
- 3. Divide 5 into what's left. 5 does not divide 27401.
- 4. Divide 7 into what's left. 7 does not divide 27401.
- 5. Divide 11 into what's left.  $82203 = 3 \times 11 \times 2491$ .

**Idea** *B* be a parameter.  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_B$  are the first *B* primes.

**Def** A number is *B*-factorable if largest prime factor is  $\leq p_B$ .

**Example** B = 5. Primes 2,3,5,7,11. 1000 =  $2^3 \times 5^3$ . So *B*-factored. 27378897 =  $11 \times 31^2 \times 37$ . NOT *B*-factored. Is *B*-factoring faster than factoring? Lets try to *B*-factor 82203.

- 1. Divide 2 into it. 2 does not divide 82203.
- 2. Divide 3 into what's left.  $82203 = 3 \times 27401$ .
- 3. Divide 5 into what's left. 5 does not divide 27401.
- 4. Divide 7 into what's left. 7 does not divide 27401.
- 5. Divide 11 into what's left.  $82203 = 3 \times 11 \times 2491$ .
- 6. DONE. NOT B-factorable. Only did B divisions.

# **Abbreviation**

We use *B*-fact for *B*-factorable.

\*ロト \*昼 \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ミ \* のへぐ

Why?

# **Abbreviation**

We use *B*-fact for *B*-factorable.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Why?

Space on slides!

Want to factor 539873. B = 7 so use 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17  $\left\lceil \sqrt{539873} \right\rceil = 735$ 

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

Want to factor 539873. B = 7 so use 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17  $\lceil \sqrt{539873} \rceil = 735$  $735^2 \equiv 352 = 2^5 \times 11^1 \pmod{539873}$ .  $736^2, \dots, 749^2 \text{ did not 7-factor.}$ 

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

Want to factor 539873. B = 7 so use 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17  $\lceil \sqrt{539873} \rceil = 735$   $735^2 \equiv 352 = 2^5 \times 11^1 \pmod{539873}$ .  $736^2, \dots, 749^2 \text{ did not 7-factor.}$  $750^2 \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^3 \times 17^1 \pmod{539873}$ .

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

Want to factor 539873. B = 7 so use 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17  $\lceil \sqrt{539873} \rceil = 735$   $735^2 \equiv 352 = 2^5 \times 11^1 \pmod{539873}$ .  $736^2, \dots, 749^2 \operatorname{did} \operatorname{not} 7\operatorname{-factor}$ .  $750^2 \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^3 \times 17^1 \pmod{539873}$ .  $751^2, \dots, 782^2 \operatorname{did} \operatorname{not} 7\operatorname{-factor}$ .

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

Want to factor 539873. B = 7 so use 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17  $\lceil \sqrt{539873} \rceil = 735$   $735^2 \equiv 352 = 2^5 \times 11^1 \pmod{539873}$ .  $736^2, \dots, 749^2 \operatorname{did} \operatorname{not} 7\operatorname{-factor}$ .  $750^2 \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^3 \times 17^1 \pmod{539873}$ .  $751^2, \dots, 782^2 \operatorname{did} \operatorname{not} 7\operatorname{-factor}$ .  $783^2 \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^9 \times 11^1 \times 13^1 \pmod{539873}$ .

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

Want to factor 539873. B = 7 so use 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17  $\left[\sqrt{539873}\right] = 735$  $735^2 \equiv 352 = 2^5 \times 11^1 \pmod{539873}$ . 736<sup>2</sup>....,749<sup>2</sup> did not 7-factor.  $750^2 \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^3 \times 17^1 \pmod{539873}$ . 751<sup>2</sup>,..., 782<sup>2</sup> did not 7-factor.  $783^2 \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^9 \times 11^1 \times 13^1 \pmod{539873}$ . 784<sup>2</sup>,...,800<sup>2</sup> did not 7-factor.  $801^2 \equiv 101728 \equiv 2^5 \times 11^1 \times 17^2 \pmod{539873}$ . Can we use this? Next Slide I write it more nicely.

### Example Continued: Trying to factor 539873

 $\begin{array}{l} 735^2\equiv 352=2^5\times 11^1 \ (\mbox{mod}\ 539873).\\ 750^2\equiv 22627\equiv 11^3\times 17^1 \ (\mbox{mod}\ 539873).\\ 783^2\equiv 73216\equiv 2^9\times 11^1\times 13^1 \ (\mbox{mod}\ 539873).\\ 801^2\equiv 101728\equiv 2^5\times 11^1\times 17^2 \ (\mbox{mod}\ 539873). \end{array}$ 

Can you find a way to multiple some of these to get  $X^2 \equiv Y^2$ ?

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

### Example Continued: Trying to factor 539873

 $\begin{array}{l} 735^2\equiv 352=2^5\times 11^1 \ (\mbox{mod}\ 539873).\\ 750^2\equiv 22627\equiv 11^3\times 17^1 \ (\mbox{mod}\ 539873).\\ 783^2\equiv 73216\equiv 2^9\times 11^1\times 13^1 \ (\mbox{mod}\ 539873).\\ 801^2\equiv 101728\equiv 2^5\times 11^1\times 17^2 \ (\mbox{mod}\ 539873). \end{array}$ 

Can you find a way to multiple some of these to get  $X^2 \equiv Y^2$ ?

$$(735 \times 801)^2 \equiv 2^{10} \times 11^2 \times 17^2 \pmod{539873}$$
  
 $(735 \times 801)^2 \equiv (2^5 \times 11 \times 17)^2 \pmod{539873}$ 

$$588735^2 \equiv 5984^2 \pmod{539873}$$

$$48862^2 \equiv 5984^2 \pmod{539873}$$

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

We have found:

$$48862^2 - 5984^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{539873}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへで

Now we use it to find a factor:

We have found:

$$48862^2 - 5984^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{539873}$$

Now we use it to find a factor:

 $(48862 - 5984) \times (48862 + 5984) \equiv 0 \pmod{539873}$ 

We have found:

$$48862^2 - 5984^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{539873}$$

Now we use it to find a factor:

 $(48862 - 5984) \times (48862 + 5984) \equiv 0 \pmod{539873}$ 

 $42878 \times 54846 \equiv 0 \pmod{539873}$ 

We have found:

$$48862^2 - 5984^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{539873}$$

Now we use it to find a factor:

 $(48862 - 5984) \times (48862 + 5984) \equiv 0 \pmod{539873}$ 

 $42878 \times 54846 \equiv 0 \pmod{539873}$ 

GCD(42878, 539873) = 1949

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

1949 divides 539873. Found a Factor!
#### We Noticed That... Can a Program?

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{539873} \end{bmatrix} = 735 735^2 \equiv 352 = 2^5 \times 11^1 \pmod{539873}. 750^2 \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^3 \times 17^1 \pmod{539873}. 783^2 \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^9 \times 11^1 \times 13^1 \pmod{539873}. 801^2 \equiv 101728 \equiv 2^5 \times 11^1 \times 17^2 \pmod{539873}.$$

Notice that

$$(735 \times 801)^2 \equiv 2^{10} \times 11^2 \times 17^2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへの

How can a program **Notice That** ? What is a program supposed to notice? Discuss.

#### We Noticed That... Can a Program? Cont

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{539873} \end{bmatrix} = 735 735^2 \equiv 352 = 2^5 \times 11^1 \pmod{539873}. 750^2 \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^3 \times 17^1 \pmod{539873}. 783^2 \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^9 \times 11^1 \times 13^1 \pmod{539873}. 801^2 \equiv 101728 \equiv 2^5 \times 11^1 \times 17^2 \pmod{539873}.$$

$$(735 \times 801)^2 \equiv 2^{10} \times 11^2 \times 17^2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

All of the exponents on the right-hand-side are even.

#### We Noticed That... Can a Program? Cont

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{539873} \end{bmatrix} = 735 735^2 \equiv 352 = 2^5 \times 11^1 \pmod{539873}. 750^2 \equiv 22627 \equiv 11^3 \times 17^1 \pmod{539873}. 783^2 \equiv 73216 \equiv 2^9 \times 11^1 \times 13^1 \pmod{539873}. 801^2 \equiv 101728 \equiv 2^5 \times 11^1 \times 17^2 \pmod{539873}$$

$$(735 \times 801)^2 \equiv 2^{10} \times 11^2 \times 17^2$$

All of the exponents on the right-hand-side are even.

We want to find a set of right-hand-sides so that when multiplied together all of the exponents are even.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

# Idea One

Store exponents in vector. Power-of-2, Power-of-3,...,Power-of-17.  $\left\lceil \sqrt{539873} \right\rceil = 735$ 

Want some combination of the vectors to have all even numbers. Can we use Linear Algebra? Discuss

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Idea One

Store exponents in vector. Power-of-2, Power-of-3,...,Power-of-17.  $\left\lceil \sqrt{539873} \right\rceil = 735$ 

Want some combination of the vectors to have all even numbers. Can we use Linear Algebra? Discuss We **do not need** the numbers. All we need are the parities!

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

# Idea Two

Store parities of exponents in vector.  $\left\lceil \sqrt{539873} \right\rceil = 735$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○臣 ○ のへぐ

## Idea Two

Store parities of exponents in vector.  $\lceil \sqrt{539873} \rceil = 735$ 

**Well Defined Math Problem** Given a set of 0-1 *B*-vectors over mod 2 does some subset of them sum to  $\vec{0}$ ? Equivalent to asking if some subset is linearly dependent.

- ► Can solve using Gaussian Elimination.
- If there are B + 1 vectors then there will be such a set.

# **Quad Sieve Alg: First Attempt**

Given N let 
$$x = \left\lceil \sqrt{N} \right\rceil$$
. All  $\equiv$  are mod N. B, M are params.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

# **Quad Sieve Alg: First Attempt**

Given 
$$N$$
 let  $x = \left\lceil \sqrt{N} \right\rceil$ . All  $\equiv$  are mod  $N$ .  $B, M$  are params.  
 $(x + 0)^2 \equiv y_0$  Try to  $B$ -Factor  $y_0$  to get parity  $\vec{v_0}$ .  
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$   
 $(x + M)^2 \equiv y_M$  Try to  $B$ -Factor  $y_M$  to get parity  $\vec{v_M}$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

#### **Quad Sieve Alg: First Attempt**

Given 
$$N$$
 let  $x = \lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor$ . All  $\equiv$  are mod  $N$ .  $B, M$  are params.  
 $(x + 0)^2 \equiv y_0$  Try to  $B$ -Factor  $y_0$  to get parity  $\vec{v_0}$ .  
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$   
 $(x + M)^2 \equiv y_M$  Try to  $B$ -Factor  $y_M$  to get parity  $\vec{v_M}$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへの

Some of the  $y_i$  were *B*-factored, but some were not.

Some of the  $y_i$  were *B*-factored, but some were not:

Some of the  $y_i$  were *B*-factored, but some were not:

 $(x+a)^2 \mod N = y_a = 2^{a_1} 3^{a_2} \cdots p_B^{a_B}$ .  $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_B) \pmod{2}$ .

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

Some of the  $y_i$  were *B*-factored, but some were not:

 $(x+a)^2 \mod N = y_a = 2^{a_1} 3^{a_2} \cdots p_B^{a_B}$ .  $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_B) \pmod{2}$ .

Some of the  $y_i$  were B-factored, but some were not:  $(x + a)^2 \mod N = y_a = 2^{a_1} 3^{a_2} \cdots p_B^{a_B}$ .  $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_B) \pmod{2}$ . :  $(x + z)^2 \mod N = y_z = 2^{z_1} 3^{z_2} \cdots p_B^{z_B}$ ,  $\vec{b} = (z_1, \dots, z_B) \pmod{2}$ .

Some of the  $y_i$  were *B*-factored, but some were not:  $(x + a)^2 \mod N = y_a = 2^{a_1}3^{a_2}\cdots p_B^{a_B}$ .  $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_B) \pmod{2}$ .  $\vdots$  $(x + z)^2 \mod N = y_z = 2^{z_1}3^{z_2}\cdots p_B^{z_B}$ ,  $\vec{b} = (z_1, \dots, z_B) \pmod{2}$ .

Try to find come combination of  $\vec{a}$ , ...,  $\vec{z}$  that sums  $\vec{0}$  mod 2.

Some of the  $y_i$  were *B*-factored, but some were not:  $(x + a)^2 \mod N = y_a = 2^{a_1} 3^{a_2} \cdots p_B^{a_B}$ .  $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_B) \pmod{2}$ .  $\vdots$  $(x + z)^2 \mod N = y_z = 2^{z_1} 3^{z_2} \cdots p_B^{z_B}$ ,  $\vec{b} = (z_1, \dots, z_B) \pmod{2}$ .

Try to find come combination of  $\vec{a}$ , ...,  $\vec{z}$  that sums  $\vec{0} \mod 2$ . Lets say  $\vec{a} + \vec{d} + \vec{q} \equiv \vec{0} \pmod{2}$ . Then

$$(x+a)^2(x+d)^2(x+q)^2 \equiv y_a y_d y_q = Y^2$$

Some of the  $y_i$  were *B*-factored, but some were not:  $(x + a)^2 \mod N = y_a = 2^{a_1}3^{a_2}\cdots p_B^{a_B}$ .  $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_B) \pmod{2}$ .  $\vdots$  $(x + z)^2 \mod N = y_z = 2^{z_1}3^{z_2}\cdots p_B^{z_B}$ ,  $\vec{b} = (z_1, \dots, z_B) \pmod{2}$ .

Try to find come combination of  $\vec{a}$ , ...,  $\vec{z}$  that sums  $\vec{0} \mod 2$ . Lets say  $\vec{a} + \vec{d} + \vec{q} \equiv \vec{0} \pmod{2}$ . Then

$$(x+a)^2(x+d)^2(x+q)^2 \equiv y_a y_d y_q = Y^2$$

$$((x+a)(x+d)(x+q))^2 \equiv y_a y_d y_q = Y^2$$

Some of the  $y_i$  were *B*-factored, but some were not:  $(x + a)^2 \mod N = y_a = 2^{a_1}3^{a_2}\cdots p_B^{a_B}$ .  $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_B) \pmod{2}$ .  $\vdots$  $(x + z)^2 \mod N = y_z = 2^{z_1}3^{z_2}\cdots p_B^{z_B}$ ,  $\vec{b} = (z_1, \dots, z_B) \pmod{2}$ .

Try to find come combination of  $\vec{a}$ , ...,  $\vec{z}$  that sums  $\vec{0} \mod 2$ . Lets say  $\vec{a} + \vec{d} + \vec{q} \equiv \vec{0} \pmod{2}$ . Then

$$(x + a)^{2}(x + d)^{2}(x + q)^{2} \equiv y_{a}y_{d}y_{q} = Y^{2}$$

$$((x+a)(x+d)(x+q))^2 \equiv y_a y_d y_q = Y^2$$

$$X^2 \equiv Y^2 \pmod{N}$$

Some of the  $y_i$  were *B*-factored, but some were not:  $(x + a)^2 \mod N = y_a = 2^{a_1}3^{a_2}\cdots p_B^{a_B}$ .  $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_B) \pmod{2}$ .  $\vdots$  $(x + z)^2 \mod N = y_z = 2^{z_1}3^{z_2}\cdots p_B^{z_B}$ ,  $\vec{b} = (z_1, \dots, z_B) \pmod{2}$ .

Try to find come combination of  $\vec{a}$ , ...,  $\vec{z}$  that sums  $\vec{0} \mod 2$ . Lets say  $\vec{a} + \vec{d} + \vec{q} \equiv \vec{0} \pmod{2}$ . Then

$$(x+a)^2(x+d)^2(x+q)^2 \equiv y_a y_d y_q = Y^2$$

$$((x+a)(x+d)(x+q))^2 \equiv y_a y_d y_q = Y^2$$

$$X^2 \equiv Y^2 \pmod{N}$$

$$(X-Y)(X+Y) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$$

Some of the  $y_i$  were *B*-factored, but some were not:  $(x + a)^2 \mod N = y_a = 2^{a_1}3^{a_2}\cdots p_B^{a_B}$ .  $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_B) \pmod{2}$ . :  $(x + z)^2 \mod N = y_z = 2^{z_1}3^{z_2}\cdots p_B^{z_B}$ ,  $\vec{b} = (z_1, \dots, z_B) \pmod{2}$ .

Try to find come combination of  $\vec{a}$ , ...,  $\vec{z}$  that sums  $\vec{0} \mod 2$ . Lets say  $\vec{a} + \vec{d} + \vec{q} \equiv \vec{0} \pmod{2}$ . Then

$$(x+a)^2(x+d)^2(x+q)^2 \equiv y_a y_d y_q = Y^2$$

$$((x+a)(x+d)(x+q))^2 \equiv y_a y_d y_q = Y^2$$

$$X^2 \equiv Y^2 \pmod{N}$$

$$(X-Y)(X+Y)\equiv 0\pmod{N}$$

GCD(X - Y, N) probably a factor of N.

Given N let  $x = \left\lceil \sqrt{N} \right\rceil$ . All  $\equiv$  are mod N. B, M are params.

Given 
$$N$$
 let  $x = \left\lceil \sqrt{N} \right\rceil$ . All  $\equiv$  are mod  $N$ .  $B, M$  are params.  
 $(x + 0)^2 \equiv y_0$  Try to  $B$ -Factor  $y_0$  to get parity  $\vec{v_0}$ .  
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$   
 $(x + M)^2 \equiv y_M$  Try to  $B$ -Factor  $y_M$  to get parity  $\vec{v_M}$ .  
Let  $I$  be the set of all  $i$  such that  $y_i$  was  $B$ -factored.

Given 
$$N$$
 let  $x = \left\lceil \sqrt{N} \right\rceil$ . All  $\equiv$  are mod  $N$ .  $B, M$  are params.  
 $(x+0)^2 \equiv y_0$  Try to  $B$ -Factor  $y_0$  to get parity  $\vec{v}_0$ .  
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$   
 $(x+M)^2 \equiv y_M$  Try to  $B$ -Factor  $y_M$  to get parity  $\vec{v}_M$ .  
Let  $I$  be the set of all  $i$  such that  $y_i$  was  $B$ -factored.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Find  $J \subseteq I$  such that  $\sum_{i \in J} \vec{v}_i = \vec{0}$ .

Given  $N \text{ let } x = \left\lceil \sqrt{N} \right\rceil$ . All  $\equiv$  are mod N. B, M are params.  $(x + 0)^2 \equiv y_0$  Try to B-Factor  $y_0$  to get parity  $\vec{v}_0$ .  $\vdots$   $\vdots$   $(x + M)^2 \equiv y_M$  Try to B-Factor  $y_M$  to get parity  $\vec{v}_M$ . Let I be the set of all i such that  $y_i$  was B-factored.

Find 
$$J \subseteq I$$
 such that  $\sum_{i \in J} \vec{v}_i = \vec{0}$ .

Hence  $\prod_{i \in J} y_i$  has all even exponents. **Important!** Since  $\prod_{i \in J} y_i$  has all even exponents, there exists Y

$$\prod_{i\in J} y_i = Y^2$$

# Quad Sieve Alg: First Attempt, Cont

$$\left(\prod_{i\in J} (x+i)\right)^2 \equiv \prod_{i\in J} y_i = Y^2 \pmod{N}$$
  
Let  $X = \prod_{i\in J} (x+i) \pmod{N}$  and  $Y = \prod_{i\in J} y_i \pmod{N}$ .  
 $X^2 - Y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ .

$$(X - Y)(X + Y) = kN$$
 for some  $k$   
 $\operatorname{GCD}(X - Y, N)$ ,  $\operatorname{GCD}(X + Y, N)$  should yield factors.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

# A Tip for Learning This Material

We will revisit the above algorithm later when we get it to really work.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

# A Tip for Learning This Material

We will revisit the above algorithm later when we get it to really work.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ ○○

When we do we are not going to redo the  $y_a y_d y_q$  example.

# A Tip for Learning This Material

We will revisit the above algorithm later when we get it to really work.

When we do we are not going to redo the  $y_a y_d y_q$  example.

SO – Make sure you understand the algorithm before the next lecture (and the one after that).

# What Could go Wrong

▲ロト ◆聞 ト ◆ 臣 ト ◆ 臣 ト ○臣 ○ の Q @

# What Could go Wrong

1. There is no set of rows that is linearly dependent.



# What Could go Wrong

- 1. There is no set of rows that is linearly dependent.
- 2. You find X, Y such that  $X^2 \equiv Y^2 \mod N$  but then GCD(X - Y, N) = 1 and GCD(X + Y, N) = N. This is very rare so we will not worry about it.

1. Run time will depend on B and M. Gaussian Elimination is  $O(B^3)$  which will be the main time sink. So want B small.

(ロト (個) (E) (E) (E) (E) のへの

- 1. Run time will depend on B and M. Gaussian Elimination is  $O(B^3)$  which will be the main time sink. So want B small.
- If B is large then more numbers are B-fact, so have to go through less numbers to get B + 1 B-fact numbers (hence B + 1 vectors of dim B) so guaranteed to have a linear dependency. Hence want B large.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

- 1. Run time will depend on B and M. Gaussian Elimination is  $O(B^3)$  which will be the main time sink. So want B small.
- If B is large then more numbers are B-fact, so have to go through less numbers to get B + 1 B-fact numbers (hence B + 1 vectors of dim B) so guaranteed to have a linear dependency. Hence want B large.
- 3. In practice *B* is chosen carefully based on computation and conjectures in Number Theory.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

## Most Important Step to Speed Up

An earlier slide said **Gaussian Elimination is**  $O(B^3)$  which will be the main time sink.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ ○○

# Most Important Step to Speed Up

An earlier slide said Gaussian Elimination is  $O(B^3)$  which will be the main time sink.

What about B factoring M numbers. That would seem to also be a time sink.

# Most Important Step to Speed Up

An earlier slide said Gaussian Elimination is  $O(B^3)$  which will be the main time sink.

What about B factoring M numbers. That would seem to also be a time sink.

The key to making the algorithm practical is Carl Pomerance's insight which is the how to do all that B-factoring fast. To do this we need a LOOOOONG aside on Sieving.