BILL, RECORD LECTURE!!!!

BILL RECORD LECTURE!!!



Public Key Crypto: Math Needed and Diffie-Hellman

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

What do the following all have in common?

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

- 1. Shift Cipher
- 2. Affine Cipher
- 3. Vig Cipher
- 4. General Sub
- 5. General 2-char sub
- 6. Matrix Cipher
- 7. One-time Pad
- 8. Other ciphers we studied

What do the following all have in common?

- 1. Shift Cipher
- 2. Affine Cipher
- 3. Vig Cipher
- 4. General Sub
- 5. General 2-char sub
- 6. Matrix Cipher
- 7. One-time Pad
- 8. Other ciphers we studied

Alice and Bob need to meet! (Hence Private-Key.)

What do the following all have in common?

- 1. Shift Cipher
- 2. Affine Cipher
- 3. Vig Cipher
- 4. General Sub
- 5. General 2-char sub
- 6. Matrix Cipher
- 7. One-time Pad
- 8. Other ciphers we studied

Alice and Bob need to **meet!** (Hence **Private-Key.**) Can Alice and Bob establish a key without meeting?

What do the following all have in common?

- 1. Shift Cipher
- 2. Affine Cipher
- 3. Vig Cipher
- 4. General Sub
- 5. General 2-char sub
- 6. Matrix Cipher
- 7. One-time Pad
- 8. Other ciphers we studied

Alice and Bob need to **meet!** (Hence **Private-Key.**) Can Alice and Bob establish a key without meeting? **Yes!** And that is the **key** to public-**key** cryptography.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

A good crypto system is such that:

1. The computational task to encrypt and decrypt is easy.

2. The computational task to crack is hard.

A good crypto system is such that:

1. The computational task to encrypt and decrypt is easy.

2. The computational task to crack is hard.

Caveats

A good crypto system is such that:

- 1. The computational task to encrypt and decrypt is easy.
- 2. The computational task to crack is hard.

Caveats

1. Hard to achieve info-theoretic hardness (One-time pad).

A good crypto system is such that:

- 1. The computational task to encrypt and decrypt is easy.
- 2. The computational task to crack is hard.

Caveats

- 1. Hard to achieve info-theoretic hardness (One-time pad).
- 2. Hard to achieve comp-hardness. Few problems provably hard.

A good crypto system is such that:

- 1. The computational task to encrypt and decrypt is easy.
- 2. The computational task to crack is hard.

Caveats

- 1. Hard to achieve info-theoretic hardness (One-time pad).
- 2. Hard to achieve comp-hardness. Few problems provably hard.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

3. Can use hardness assumptions (e.g. factoring is hard).

Hardness of a problem is measured by time-to-solve as a function of **length of input**.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < ○ </p>

Hardness of a problem is measured by time-to-solve as a function of **length of input**. **Examples**

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < ○ </p>

Hardness of a problem is measured by time-to-solve as a function of **length of input**.

Examples

1. Given a Boolean formula $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, is there a satisfying assignment? Seems to require $2^{\Omega(n)}$ steps.

Hardness of a problem is measured by time-to-solve as a function of **length of input**.

Examples

1. Given a Boolean formula $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, is there a satisfying assignment? Seems to require $2^{\Omega(n)}$ steps.

2. Polynomial vs Exp time is our notion of easy vs hard.

Hardness of a problem is measured by time-to-solve as a function of **length of input**.

Examples

- 1. Given a Boolean formula $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, is there a satisfying assignment? Seems to require $2^{\Omega(n)}$ steps.
- 2. Polynomial vs Exp time is our notion of easy vs hard.
- 3. Factoring *n* can be done in $O(\sqrt{n})$ time: **Discuss**. Easy!

Hardness of a problem is measured by time-to-solve as a function of **length of input**.

Examples

- 1. Given a Boolean formula $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, is there a satisfying assignment? Seems to require $2^{\Omega(n)}$ steps.
- 2. Polynomial vs Exp time is our notion of easy vs hard.
- Factoring n can be done in O(√n) time: Discuss. Easy!
 NO!!: n is of length lg n + O(1) (henceforth just lg n).
 √n = 2^{(0.5) lg n}. Exponential. Better (but still exp) algs known.

Hardness of a problem is measured by time-to-solve as a function of **length of input**.

Examples

- 1. Given a Boolean formula $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, is there a satisfying assignment? Seems to require $2^{\Omega(n)}$ steps.
- 2. Polynomial vs Exp time is our notion of easy vs hard.
- Factoring n can be done in O(√n) time: Discuss. Easy!
 NO!!: n is of length lg n + O(1) (henceforth just lg n).
 √n = 2^{(0.5) lg n}. Exponential. Better (but still exp) algs known.

Upshot For numeric problems length is **lg** *n*. Encryption requires:

- Alice and Bob can Enc and Dec in time $\leq (\log n)^{O(1)}$.
- Eve needs time $\geq c^{O(\log n)}$ to crack.

Hardness of a problem is measured by time-to-solve as a function of **length of input**.

Examples

- 1. Given a Boolean formula $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, is there a satisfying assignment? Seems to require $2^{\Omega(n)}$ steps.
- 2. Polynomial vs Exp time is our notion of easy vs hard.
- Factoring n can be done in O(√n) time: Discuss. Easy!
 NO!!: n is of length lg n + O(1) (henceforth just lg n).
 √n = 2^{(0.5) lg n}. Exponential. Better (but still exp) algs known.

Upshot For numeric problems length is **lg** *n*. Encryption requires:

- Alice and Bob can Enc and Dec in time $\leq (\log n)^{O(1)}$.
- Eve needs time $\geq c^{O(\log n)}$ to crack.

What Counts We count math operations as taking 1 step. This could be an issue with enormous numbers. We will work with mods so not a problem.

Math Needed for Both Diffie-Hellman and RSA

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Notation

Let p be a prime.

- 1. \mathbb{Z}_p is the numbers $\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ with mod add and mult.
- 2. \mathbb{Z}_p^* is the numbers $\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ with mod mult.

Convention By **prime** we will always mean a large prime, so in particular, NOT 2. Hence we can assume $\frac{p-1}{2}$ is in \mathbb{N} .

Exponentiation Mod *p*

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

Exponentiation Mod *p*

Problem Given a, n, p find $a^n \pmod{p}$



Exponentiation Mod p

Problem Given a, n, p find $a^n \pmod{p}$

Even though we use p and p is always prime, our algorithm works for any natural p.

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

Problem Given a, n, p find $a^n \pmod{p}$ 1. $x_0 = a^0 = 1$ 2. For i = 1 to $n, x_i = ax_{i-1} \pmod{p}$ 3. Let $x = x_n$ 4. Output x

Is this a good idea?



Problem Given a, n, p find $a^n \pmod{p}$ 1. $x_0 = a^0 = 1$ 2. For i = 1 to $n, x_i = ax_{i-1} \pmod{p}$ 3. Let $x = x_n$ 4. Output x

Is this a good idea? I called it First Attempt, so no.



Problem Given a, n, p find $a^n \pmod{p}$ 1. $x_0 = a^0 = 1$ 2. For i = 1 to $n, x_i = ax_{i-1} \pmod{p}$ 3. Let $x = x_n$ 4. Output x

Is this a good idea? I called it **First Attempt**, so no. **Discuss** How many steps were used to compute $a^n \pmod{p}$?

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Problem Given a, n, p find $a^n \pmod{p}$ 1. $x_0 = a^0 = 1$ 2. For i = 1 to $n, x_i = ax_{i-1} \pmod{p}$ 3. Let $x = x_n$ 4. Output x

Is this a good idea? I called it **First Attempt**, so no. **Discuss** How many steps were used to compute $a^n \pmod{p}$? **Answer** $\sim n$.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Want 3^{64} (mod 101). All math is mod 101.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … 釣�?

Want $3^{64} \pmod{101}$. All math is mod 101.

*x*₀ = 3

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

$$x_0 = 3$$

 $x_1 = x_0^2 \equiv 9$. This is $3^2 \pmod{101}$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

$$\begin{array}{l} x_0=3 \ x_1=x_0^2\equiv 9. \end{array}$$
 This is $3^2 \pmod{101}. \ x_2=x_1^2\equiv 9^2\equiv 81. \end{array}$ This is $3^4 \pmod{101}. \end{array}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

$$\begin{array}{l} x_0 = 3 \\ x_1 = x_0^2 \equiv 9. \ \text{This is } 3^2 \ (\text{mod } 101). \\ x_2 = x_1^2 \equiv 9^2 \equiv 81. \ \text{This is } 3^4 \ (\text{mod } 101). \\ x_3 = x_2^2 \equiv 81^2 \equiv 97. \ \text{This is } 3^8 \ (\text{mod } 101). \end{array}$$

(ロト (個) (E) (E) (E) (E) のへの

$$\begin{array}{l} x_0 = 3 \\ x_1 = x_0^2 \equiv 9. \ \text{This is } 3^2 \ (\text{mod } 101). \\ x_2 = x_1^2 \equiv 9^2 \equiv 81. \ \text{This is } 3^4 \ (\text{mod } 101). \\ x_3 = x_2^2 \equiv 81^2 \equiv 97. \ \text{This is } 3^8 \ (\text{mod } 101). \\ x_4 = x_3^2 \equiv 97^2 \equiv 16. \ \text{This is } 3^{16} \ (\text{mod } 101). \end{array}$$

(ロト (個) (E) (E) (E) (E) のへの

$$\begin{array}{l} x_0 = 3 \\ x_1 = x_0^2 \equiv 9. \ \text{This is } 3^2 \ (\text{mod } 101). \\ x_2 = x_1^2 \equiv 9^2 \equiv 81. \ \text{This is } 3^4 \ (\text{mod } 101). \\ x_3 = x_2^2 \equiv 81^2 \equiv 97. \ \text{This is } 3^8 \ (\text{mod } 101). \\ x_4 = x_3^2 \equiv 97^2 \equiv 16. \ \text{This is } 3^{16} \ (\text{mod } 101). \\ x_5 = x_4^2 \equiv 16^2 \equiv 54. \ \text{This is } 3^{32} \ (\text{mod } 101). \end{array}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Want $3^{64} \pmod{101}$. All math is mod 101. $x_0 = 3$ $x_1 = x_0^2 \equiv 9$. This is $3^2 \pmod{101}$. $x_2 = x_1^2 \equiv 9^2 \equiv 81$. This is $3^4 \pmod{101}$. $x_3 = x_2^2 \equiv 81^2 \equiv 97$. This is $3^8 \pmod{101}$. $x_4 = x_3^2 \equiv 97^2 \equiv 16$. This is $3^{16} \pmod{101}$. $x_5 = x_4^2 \equiv 16^2 \equiv 54$. This is $3^{32} \pmod{101}$. $x_6 = x_5^2 \equiv 54^2 \equiv 88$. This is $3^{64} \pmod{101}$. So in 6 steps we got the answer!
Want $3^{64} \pmod{101}$. All math is mod 101. $x_0 = 3$ $x_1 = x_0^2 \equiv 9$. This is $3^2 \pmod{101}$. $x_2 = x_1^2 \equiv 9^2 \equiv 81$. This is $3^4 \pmod{101}$. $x_3 = x_2^2 \equiv 81^2 \equiv 97$. This is $3^8 \pmod{101}$. $x_4 = x_3^2 \equiv 97^2 \equiv 16$. This is $3^{16} \pmod{101}$. $x_5 = x_4^2 \equiv 16^2 \equiv 54$. This is $3^{32} \pmod{101}$. $x_6 = x_5^2 \equiv 54^2 \equiv 88$. This is $3^{64} \pmod{101}$. So in 6 steps we got the answer!

Discuss How many steps are used to compute $a^n \pmod{p}$?

ション (日本) (日本) (日本) (日本)

ション (日本) (日本) (日本) (日本)

Want 3⁶⁴ (mod 101). All math is mod 101. $x_0 = 3$ $x_1 = x_0^2 \equiv 9$. This is $3^2 \pmod{101}$. $x_2 = x_1^2 \equiv 9^2 \equiv 81$. This is $3^4 \pmod{101}$. $x_3 = x_2^2 \equiv 81^2 \equiv 97$. This is $3^8 \pmod{101}$. $x_4 = x_3^2 \equiv 97^2 \equiv 16$. This is $3^{16} \pmod{101}$. $x_5 = x_4^2 \equiv 16^2 \equiv 54$. This is $3^{32} \pmod{101}$. $x_6 = x_5^2 \equiv 54^2 \equiv 88$. This is $3^{64} \pmod{101}$. So in 6 steps we got the answer! **Discuss** How many steps are used to compute $a^n \pmod{p}$?

 $\sim \lg n$.

Want $3^{64} \pmod{101}$. All math is mod 101. $x_0 = 3$ $x_1 = x_0^2 \equiv 9$. This is $3^2 \pmod{101}$. $x_2 = x_1^2 \equiv 9^2 \equiv 81$. This is $3^4 \pmod{101}$. $x_3 = x_2^2 \equiv 81^2 \equiv 97$. This is $3^8 \pmod{101}$. $x_4 = x_3^2 \equiv 97^2 \equiv 16$. This is $3^{16} \pmod{101}$. $x_5 = x_4^2 \equiv 16^2 \equiv 54$. This is $3^{32} \pmod{101}$. $x_6 = x_5^2 \equiv 54^2 \equiv 88$. This is $3^{64} \pmod{101}$. So in 6 steps we got the answer!

Discuss How many steps are used to compute $a^n \pmod{p}$? $\sim \lg n$.

But the above algorithm only seems to work if n is a power of 2.

Want $3^{64} \pmod{101}$. All math is mod 101. $x_0 = 3$ $x_1 = x_0^2 \equiv 9$. This is $3^2 \pmod{101}$. $x_2 = x_1^2 \equiv 9^2 \equiv 81$. This is $3^4 \pmod{101}$. $x_3 = x_2^2 \equiv 81^2 \equiv 97$. This is $3^8 \pmod{101}$. $x_4 = x_3^2 \equiv 97^2 \equiv 16$. This is $3^{16} \pmod{101}$. $x_5 = x_4^2 \equiv 16^2 \equiv 54$. This is $3^{32} \pmod{101}$. $x_6 = x_5^2 \equiv 54^2 \equiv 88$. This is $3^{64} \pmod{101}$. So in 6 steps we got the answer!

Discuss How many steps are used to compute $a^n \pmod{p}$? $\sim \lg n$.

But the above algorithm only seems to work if n is a power of 2. **Discuss** What if n is **not a power of 2**?

Say we want to do $a^n \pmod{p}$.

Say we want to do $a^n \pmod{p}$. Express *n* in binary.



Say we want to do $a^n \pmod{p}$. Express *n* in binary. $7 = (111)_2 = 1 \times 2^2 + 1 \times 2^1 + 1 \times 2^0$. Note $2 = \lfloor \lg 7 \rfloor$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

Say we want to do $a^n \pmod{p}$. Express *n* in binary. $7 = (111)_2 = 1 \times 2^2 + 1 \times 2^1 + 1 \times 2^0$. Note $2 = \lfloor \lg 7 \rfloor$ $8 = (1000)_2 = 1 \times 2^3 + 0 \times 2^2 + 0 \times 2^1 + 0 \times 2^0$. Note $3 = \lfloor \lg 8 \rfloor$

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Say we want to do $a^n \pmod{p}$. Express *n* in binary. $7 = (111)_2 = 1 \times 2^2 + 1 \times 2^1 + 1 \times 2^0$. Note $2 = \lfloor \lg 7 \rfloor$ $8 = (1000)_2 = 1 \times 2^3 + 0 \times 2^2 + 0 \times 2^1 + 0 \times 2^0$. Note $3 = \lfloor \lg 8 \rfloor$ $9 = (1001)_2 = 1 \times 2^3 + 0 \times 2^2 + 0 \times 2^1 + 1 \times 2^0$. Note $3 = \lfloor \lg 9 \rfloor$

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Say we want to do $a^n \pmod{p}$. Express *n* in binary. $7 = (111)_2 = 1 \times 2^2 + 1 \times 2^1 + 1 \times 2^0$. Note $2 = \lfloor \lg 7 \rfloor$ $8 = (1000)_2 = 1 \times 2^3 + 0 \times 2^2 + 0 \times 2^1 + 0 \times 2^0$. Note $3 = \lfloor \lg 8 \rfloor$ $9 = (1001)_2 = 1 \times 2^3 + 0 \times 2^2 + 0 \times 2^1 + 1 \times 2^0$. Note $3 = \lfloor \lg 9 \rfloor$ Upshot If write *n* as a sum of powers of 2 with 0,1 coefficients then *n* is of the form

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくり

Say we want to do $a^n \pmod{p}$. Express *n* in binary. $7 = (111)_2 = 1 \times 2^2 + 1 \times 2^1 + 1 \times 2^0$. Note $2 = \lfloor \lg 7 \rfloor$ $8 = (1000)_2 = 1 \times 2^3 + 0 \times 2^2 + 0 \times 2^1 + 0 \times 2^0$. Note $3 = \lfloor \lg 8 \rfloor$ $9 = (1001)_2 = 1 \times 2^3 + 0 \times 2^2 + 0 \times 2^1 + 1 \times 2^0$. Note $3 = \lfloor \lg 9 \rfloor$ Upshot If write *n* as a sum of powers of 2 with 0,1 coefficients then *n* is of the form

$$n = n_L 2^L + \dots + n_1 2^1 + n_0 2^0 = \sum_{i=0}^L n_i 2^i$$

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくり

Where $L = \lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor$ and $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Say we want to do $a^n \pmod{p}$. Express *n* in binary. $7 = (111)_2 = 1 \times 2^2 + 1 \times 2^1 + 1 \times 2^0$. Note $2 = \lfloor \lg 7 \rfloor$ $8 = (1000)_2 = 1 \times 2^3 + 0 \times 2^2 + 0 \times 2^1 + 0 \times 2^0$. Note $3 = \lfloor \lg 8 \rfloor$ $9 = (1001)_2 = 1 \times 2^3 + 0 \times 2^2 + 0 \times 2^1 + 1 \times 2^0$. Note $3 = \lfloor \lg 9 \rfloor$ Upshot If write *n* as a sum of powers of 2 with 0,1 coefficients then *n* is of the form

$$n = n_L 2^L + \dots + n_1 2^1 + n_0 2^0 = \sum_{i=0}^L n_i 2^i$$

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくり

Where $L = \lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor$ and $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Note that L is one less than the number of bits needed for n.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

All math is mod p.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

All math is mod p.

1. Input (*a*, *n*, *p*).

All math is mod p.

- 1. Input (a, n, p).
- 2. Convert *n* to base 2: $n = \sum_{i=0}^{L} n_i 2^i$. (*L* is $\lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor$)

All math is mod p.

- 1. Input (a, n, p).
- 2. Convert *n* to base 2: $n = \sum_{i=0}^{L} n_i 2^i$. (*L* is $\lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor$)

3. $x_0 = a$.

All math is mod p.

- 1. Input (a, n, p).
- 2. Convert *n* to base 2: $n = \sum_{i=0}^{L} n_i 2^i$. (*L* is $\lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor$)

3.
$$x_0 = a$$

4. For
$$i = 1$$
 to L, $x_i = x_{i-1}^2$

All math is mod p.

- 1. Input (a, n, p).
- 2. Convert *n* to base 2: $n = \sum_{i=0}^{L} n_i 2^i$. (*L* is $\lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor$)

3.
$$x_0 = a$$
.

4. For
$$i = 1$$
 to L, $x_i = x_{i-}^2$

5. (Now have $a^{n_02^0}, \ldots, a^{n_L2^L}$) Answer is $a^{n_02^0} \times \cdots \times a^{n_L2^L}$

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくり

All math is mod p.

- **1**. Input (*a*, *n*, *p*).
- 2. Convert *n* to base 2: $n = \sum_{i=0}^{L} n_i 2^i$. (*L* is $\lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor$)

3.
$$x_0 = a$$
.

4. For
$$i = 1$$
 to L, $x_i = x_{i-1}^2$

5. (Now have
$$a^{n_02^0},\ldots,a^{n_L2^L}$$
) Answer is $a^{n_02^0}\times\cdots\times a^{n_L2^L}$

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくり

Number of operations:

All math is mod p.

- **1**. Input (*a*, *n*, *p*).
- 2. Convert *n* to base 2: $n = \sum_{i=0}^{L} n_i 2^i$. (*L* is $\lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor$)
- 3. $x_0 = a$.

4. For
$$i = 1$$
 to L, $x_i = x_{i-1}^2$

5. (Now have $a^{n_02^0}, \ldots, a^{n_L2^L}$) Answer is $a^{n_02^0} \times \cdots \times a^{n_L2^L}$

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくり

Number of operations:

Number of **MULTS** in step 4: $\leq \lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor \leq \lg(n)$

All math is mod p.

1. Input (a, n, p). 2. Convert *n* to base 2: $n = \sum_{i=0}^{L} n_i 2^i$. (*L* is $\lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor$) 3. $x_0 = a$. 4. For i = 1 to *L*, $x_i = x_{i-1}^2$ 5. (Now have $a^{n_0 2^0}, \ldots, a^{n_L 2^L}$) Answer is $a^{n_0 2^0} \times \cdots \times a^{n_L 2^L}$ Number of operations: Number of **MULTS** in step 4: $\leq \lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor \leq \lg(n)$

Number of **MULTS** in step 5: $\leq L = \lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor \leq \lg(n)$

All math is mod p.

1. Input (a, n, p). 2. Convert *n* to base 2: $n = \sum_{i=0}^{L} n_i 2^i$. $(L \text{ is } \lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor)$ 3. $x_0 = a$. 4. For i = 1 to L, $x_i = x_{i-1}^2$ 5. (Now have $a^{n_0 2^0}, \ldots, a^{n_L 2^L}$) Answer is $a^{n_0 2^0} \times \cdots \times a^{n_L 2^L}$ Number of operations: Number of MULTS in step 4: $\leq \lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor \leq \lg(n)$ Number of MULTS in step 5: $\leq L = \lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor \leq \lg(n)$ Total number of MULTS $\leq 2 \lg(n)$.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくり

All math is mod p.

1. Input (a, n, p). 2. Convert n to base 2: $n = \sum_{i=0}^{L} n_i 2^i$. (L is $|\lg(n)|$) 3. $x_0 = a$. 4. For i = 1 to L, $x_i = x_{i-1}^2$ 5. (Now have $a^{n_0 2^0}, \ldots, a^{n_L 2^L}$) Answer is $a^{n_0 2^0} \times \cdots \times a^{n_L 2^L}$ Number of operations: Number of **MULTS** in step 4: $\leq |\lg(n)| \leq \lg(n)$ Number of **MULTS** in step 5: $\leq L = |\lg(n)| \leq \lg(n)$ Total number of **MULTS** $< 2 \lg(n)$. More refined: lg(n) + (number of 1's in binary rep of n) - 1

All math is mod p.

1. Input (a, n, p). 2. Convert n to base 2: $n = \sum_{i=0}^{L} n_i 2^i$. (L is $|\lg(n)|$) 3. $x_0 = a$. 4. For i = 1 to L, $x_i = x_{i-1}^2$ 5. (Now have $a^{n_0 2^0}, \ldots, a^{n_L 2^L}$) Answer is $a^{n_0 2^0} \times \cdots \times a^{n_L 2^L}$ Number of operations: Number of **MULTS** in step 4: $\leq |\lg(n)| \leq \lg(n)$ Number of **MULTS** in step 5: $\leq L = |\lg(n)| \leq \lg(n)$ Total number of **MULTS** $< 2 \lg(n)$. More refined: lg(n) + (number of 1's in binary rep of n) - 1Example on next page

$$265 = 2^8 + 2^3 + 2^0 = (100001001)_2$$



$$265 = 2^8 + 2^3 + 2^0 = (100001001)_2$$

$$17^{2^0} \equiv 17 \text{ (0 steps)}$$

$$265 = 2^8 + 2^3 + 2^0 = (100001001)_2$$
$$17^{2^0} \equiv 17 \text{ (0 steps)}$$
$$17^{2^1} \equiv 17^2 \equiv 87 \text{ (1 step)}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

$$265 = 2^8 + 2^3 + 2^0 = (100001001)_2$$
$$17^{2^0} \equiv 17 \text{ (0 steps)}$$
$$17^{2^1} \equiv 17^2 \equiv 87 \text{ (1 step)}$$
$$17^{2^2} \equiv 87^2 \equiv 95 \text{ (1 step)}$$

$$265 = 2^8 + 2^3 + 2^0 = (100001001)_2$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

 $17^{2^{0}} \equiv 17 \text{ (0 steps)} \\ 17^{2^{1}} \equiv 17^{2} \equiv 87 \text{ (1 step)} \\ 17^{2^{2}} \equiv 87^{2} \equiv 95 \text{ (1 step)} \\ 17^{2^{3}} \equiv 95^{2} \equiv 36 \text{ (1 step)} \end{aligned}$

$$265 = 2^8 + 2^3 + 2^0 = (100001001)_2$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

 $17^{2^{0}} \equiv 17 \text{ (0 steps)} \\ 17^{2^{1}} \equiv 17^{2} \equiv 87 \text{ (1 step)} \\ 17^{2^{2}} \equiv 87^{2} \equiv 95 \text{ (1 step)} \\ 17^{2^{3}} \equiv 95^{2} \equiv 36 \text{ (1 step)} \\ 17^{2^{4}} \equiv 36^{2} \equiv 84 \text{ (1 step)} \end{aligned}$

$$265 = 2^8 + 2^3 + 2^0 = (100001001)_2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● □ ● ●

 $17^{2^0} \equiv 17 \text{ (0 steps)}$ $17^{2^1} \equiv 17^2 \equiv 87 \text{ (1 step)}$ $17^{2^2} \equiv 87^2 \equiv 95 \text{ (1 step)}$ $17^{2^3} \equiv 95^2 \equiv 36 \text{ (1 step)}$ $17^{2^4} \equiv 36^2 \equiv 84 \text{ (1 step)}$ $17^{2^5} \equiv 84^2 \equiv 87 \text{ (1 step)}$

$$265 = 2^8 + 2^3 + 2^0 = (100001001)_2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● □ ● ●

```
\begin{array}{l} 17^{2^{0}} \equiv 17 \ (0 \ \text{steps}) \\ 17^{2^{1}} \equiv 17^{2} \equiv 87 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^{2}} \equiv 87^{2} \equiv 95 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^{3}} \equiv 95^{2} \equiv 36 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^{4}} \equiv 36^{2} \equiv 84 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^{5}} \equiv 84^{2} \equiv 87 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^{6}} \equiv 87^{2} \equiv 95 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \end{array}
```

Example of Exponentiation: $17^{265} \pmod{101}$

$$265 = 2^8 + 2^3 + 2^0 = (100001001)_2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● □ ● ●

```
\begin{array}{l} 17^{2^{0}} \equiv 17 \ (0 \ \text{steps}) \\ 17^{2^{1}} \equiv 17^{2} \equiv 87 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^{2}} \equiv 87^{2} \equiv 95 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^{3}} \equiv 95^{2} \equiv 36 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^{4}} \equiv 36^{2} \equiv 84 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^{5}} \equiv 84^{2} \equiv 87 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^{6}} \equiv 87^{2} \equiv 95 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^{7}} \equiv 95^{2} \equiv 36 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \end{array}
```

$$265 = 2^8 + 2^3 + 2^0 = (100001001)_2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● □ ● ●

```
\begin{array}{l} 17^{2^0} \equiv 17 \ (0 \ \text{steps}) \\ 17^{2^1} \equiv 17^2 \equiv 87 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^2} \equiv 87^2 \equiv 95 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^3} \equiv 95^2 \equiv 36 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^4} \equiv 36^2 \equiv 84 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^5} \equiv 84^2 \equiv 87 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^6} \equiv 87^2 \equiv 95 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^7} \equiv 95^2 \equiv 36 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^8} \equiv 36^2 \equiv 84 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^8} \equiv 36^2 \equiv 84 \ (1 \ \text{step}) \end{array}
```

Example of Exponentiation: $17^{265} \pmod{101}$

$$265 = 2^8 + 2^3 + 2^0 = (100001001)_2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● □ ● ●

```
17^{2^{0}} \equiv 17 \text{ (0 steps)}
17^{2^{1}} \equiv 17^{2} \equiv 87 \text{ (1 step)}
17^{2^{2}} \equiv 87^{2} \equiv 95 \text{ (1 step)}
17^{2^{3}} \equiv 95^{2} \equiv 36 \text{ (1 step)}
17^{2^{4}} \equiv 36^{2} \equiv 84 \text{ (1 step)}
17^{2^{5}} \equiv 84^{2} \equiv 87 \text{ (1 step)}
17^{2^{6}} \equiv 87^{2} \equiv 95 \text{ (1 step)}
17^{2^{7}} \equiv 95^{2} \equiv 36 \text{ (1 step)}
17^{2^{8}} \equiv 36^{2} \equiv 84 \text{ (1 step)}
17^{2^{8}} \equiv 36^{2} \equiv 84 \text{ (1 step)}
```

This took 8 \sim lg(265) multiplications so far.

Example of Exponentiation: $17^{265} \pmod{101}$

$$265 = 2^8 + 2^3 + 2^0 = (100001001)_2$$

 $17^{2^{0}} \equiv 17 \text{ (0 steps)}$ $17^{2^{1}} \equiv 17^{2} \equiv 87 \text{ (1 step)}$ $17^{2^{2}} \equiv 87^{2} \equiv 95 \text{ (1 step)}$ $17^{2^{3}} \equiv 95^{2} \equiv 36 \text{ (1 step)}$ $17^{2^{4}} \equiv 36^{2} \equiv 84 \text{ (1 step)}$ $17^{2^{5}} \equiv 84^{2} \equiv 87 \text{ (1 step)}$ $17^{2^{6}} \equiv 87^{2} \equiv 95 \text{ (1 step)}$ $17^{2^{7}} \equiv 95^{2} \equiv 36 \text{ (1 step)}$ $17^{2^{8}} \equiv 36^{2} \equiv 84 \text{ (1 step)}$

This took $8 \sim lg(265)$ multiplications so far.

The next step takes only two multiplications:

$$17^{265} \equiv 17^{2^8} \times 17^{2^3} \times 17^{2^0} \equiv 84 \times 36 \times 17 \equiv 100$$

・ロト ・ 『 ・ モート ・ モー・ うんの
Example of Exponentiation: $17^{265} \pmod{101}$

$$265 = 2^8 + 2^3 + 2^0 = (100001001)_2$$

 $\begin{array}{l} 17^{2^0} \equiv 17 \; (0 \; \text{steps}) \\ 17^{2^1} \equiv 17^2 \equiv 87 \; (1 \; \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^2} \equiv 87^2 \equiv 95 \; (1 \; \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^3} \equiv 95^2 \equiv 36 \; (1 \; \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^4} \equiv 36^2 \equiv 84 \; (1 \; \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^5} \equiv 84^2 \equiv 87 \; (1 \; \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^6} \equiv 87^2 \equiv 95 \; (1 \; \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^7} \equiv 95^2 \equiv 36 \; (1 \; \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^8} \equiv 36^2 \equiv 84 \; (1 \; \text{step}) \\ 17^{2^8} \equiv 36^2 \equiv 84 \; (1 \; \text{step}) \end{array}$

This took $8 \sim lg(265)$ multiplications so far.

The next step takes only two multiplications:

$$17^{265} \equiv 17^{2^8} \times 17^{2^3} \times 17^{2^0} \equiv 84 \times 36 \times 17 \equiv 100$$

Point: Step 2 took < lg(265) steps since base-2 rep had few 1's.

ション ふゆ アメビアメロア しょうくしゃ

Generators and Discrete Logarithms

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

Let's take powers of 3 mod 7. All math is mod 7.

Let's take powers of 3 mod 7. All math is mod 7. $3^1\equiv 3$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 のへで

Let's take powers of 3 mod 7. All math is mod 7. $3^1\equiv 3$ $3^2\equiv 3\times 3^1\equiv 9\equiv 2$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

```
Let's take powers of 3 mod 7. All math is mod 7.

3^1 \equiv 3

3^2 \equiv 3 \times 3^1 \equiv 9 \equiv 2

3^3 \equiv 3 \times 3^2 \equiv 3 \times 2 \equiv 6
```

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへの

```
Let's take powers of 3 mod 7. All math is mod 7.

3^1 \equiv 3

3^2 \equiv 3 \times 3^1 \equiv 9 \equiv 2

3^3 \equiv 3 \times 3^2 \equiv 3 \times 2 \equiv 6

3^4 \equiv 3 \times 3^3 \equiv 3 \times 6 \equiv 18 \equiv 4
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ - つくぐ

```
Let's take powers of 3 mod 7. All math is mod 7.

3^1 \equiv 3

3^2 \equiv 3 \times 3^1 \equiv 9 \equiv 2

3^3 \equiv 3 \times 3^2 \equiv 3 \times 2 \equiv 6

3^4 \equiv 3 \times 3^3 \equiv 3 \times 6 \equiv 18 \equiv 4

3^5 \equiv 3 \times 3^4 \equiv 3 \times 4 \equiv 12 \equiv 5
```

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

```
Let's take powers of 3 mod 7. All math is mod 7.

3^1 \equiv 3

3^2 \equiv 3 \times 3^1 \equiv 9 \equiv 2

3^3 \equiv 3 \times 3^2 \equiv 3 \times 2 \equiv 6

3^4 \equiv 3 \times 3^3 \equiv 3 \times 6 \equiv 18 \equiv 4

3^5 \equiv 3 \times 3^4 \equiv 3 \times 4 \equiv 12 \equiv 5

3^6 \equiv 3 \times 3^5 \equiv 3 \times 5 \equiv 15 \equiv 1
```

```
Let's take powers of 3 mod 7. All math is mod 7.

3^1 \equiv 3

3^2 \equiv 3 \times 3^1 \equiv 9 \equiv 2

3^3 \equiv 3 \times 3^2 \equiv 3 \times 2 \equiv 6

3^4 \equiv 3 \times 3^3 \equiv 3 \times 6 \equiv 18 \equiv 4

3^5 \equiv 3 \times 3^4 \equiv 3 \times 4 \equiv 12 \equiv 5

3^6 \equiv 3 \times 3^5 \equiv 3 \times 5 \equiv 15 \equiv 1
```

 $\{3^1,3^2,3^3,3^4,3^5,3^6\}=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ Not in order.

```
Let's take powers of 3 mod 7. All math is mod 7.

3^1 \equiv 3

3^2 \equiv 3 \times 3^1 \equiv 9 \equiv 2

3^3 \equiv 3 \times 3^2 \equiv 3 \times 2 \equiv 6

3^4 \equiv 3 \times 3^3 \equiv 3 \times 6 \equiv 18 \equiv 4

3^5 \equiv 3 \times 3^4 \equiv 3 \times 4 \equiv 12 \equiv 5

3^6 \equiv 3 \times 3^5 \equiv 3 \times 5 \equiv 15 \equiv 1

\{3^1, 3^2, 3^3, 3^4, 3^5, 3^6\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} Not in order.
```

3 is a **generator** for \mathbb{Z}_7^* .

```
Let's take powers of 3 mod 7. All math is mod 7.
3^1 = 3
3^2 = 3 \times 3^1 = 9 = 2
3^3 \equiv 3 \times 3^2 \equiv 3 \times 2 \equiv 6
3^4 \equiv 3 \times 3^3 \equiv 3 \times 6 \equiv 18 \equiv 4
3^5 = 3 \times 3^4 = 3 \times 4 = 12 = 5
3^6 = 3 \times 3^5 = 3 \times 5 = 15 = 1
         \{3^1, 3^2, 3^3, 3^4, 3^5, 3^6\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} Not in order.
3 is a generator for \mathbb{Z}_{7}^{*}.
Definition: If p is a prime and \{g^1, ..., g^{p-1}\} = \{1, ..., p-1\}
then g is a generator for \mathbb{Z}_p^*.
```

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. **Discuss** the following with your neighbor:

1. Find x such that $3^{x} \equiv 81$.



Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. **Discuss** the following with your neighbor:

1. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 81$. x = 4 obv works.

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. **Discuss** the following with your neighbor:

- 1. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 81$. x = 4 obv works.
- 2. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 92$.

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. **Discuss** the following with your neighbor:

- 1. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 81$. x = 4 obv works.
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 92. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 92.

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. **Discuss** the following with your neighbor:

- 1. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 81$. x = 4 obv works.
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 92. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 92. Might take ~ 100 steps.

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. **Discuss** the following with your neighbor:

- 1. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 81$. x = 4 obv works.
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 92. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 92. Might take ~ 100 steps. Shortcut?

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. **Discuss** the following with your neighbor:

- 1. Find x such that $3^{x} \equiv 81$. x = 4 obv works.
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 92. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 92. Might take ~ 100 steps. Shortcut?
- **3**. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 93$.

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. **Discuss** the following with your neighbor:

- 1. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 81$. x = 4 obv works.
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 92. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 92. Might take ~ 100 steps. Shortcut?
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 93. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 93.

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. **Discuss** the following with your neighbor:

- 1. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 81$. x = 4 obv works.
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 92. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 92. Might take ~ 100 steps. Shortcut?
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 93. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 93. Might take ~ 100 steps.

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. **Discuss** the following with your neighbor:

- 1. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 81$. x = 4 obv works.
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 92. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 92. Might take ~ 100 steps. Shortcut?
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 93. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 93. Might take ~ 100 steps. Shortcut?

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. **Discuss** the following with your neighbor:

- 1. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 81$. x = 4 obv works.
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 92. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 92. Might take ~ 100 steps. Shortcut?
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 93. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 93. Might take ~ 100 steps. Shortcut?

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくり

2nd and 3th look hard. Are they?

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. **Discuss** the following with your neighbor:

- 1. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 81$. x = 4 obv works.
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 92. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 92. Might take ~ 100 steps. Shortcut?
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 93. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 93. Might take ~ 100 steps. Shortcut?

2nd and 3th look hard. Are they?

VOTE Both hard, both easy, one of each, unknown to science.

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. **Discuss** the following with your neighbor:

- 1. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 81$. x = 4 obv works.
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 92. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 92. Might take ~ 100 steps. Shortcut?
- Find x such that 3^x ≡ 93. Try computing 3¹, 3²,..., until you get 93. Might take ~ 100 steps. Shortcut?

2nd and 3th look hard. Are they?

VOTE Both hard, both easy, one of each, unknown to science.

 $3^{x} \equiv 92$ easy. $3^{x} \equiv 93$ Not known how hard.

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101.

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 92$. Easy!

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 92$. Easy!

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

1. $92 \equiv 101 - 9 \equiv (-1)(9) \equiv (-1)3^2$.

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 92$. Easy!

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

1.
$$92 \equiv 101 - 9 \equiv (-1)(9) \equiv (-1)3^2$$
.

2.
$$3^{50} \equiv -1$$
 (WHAT! Really?)

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 92$. Easy!

1. $92 \equiv 101 - 9 \equiv (-1)(9) \equiv (-1)3^2$. 2. $3^{50} \equiv -1$ (WHAT! Really?) 3. $92 = 3^{50} \times 3^2 = 3^{52}$ So x = 52 works

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 92$. Easy!

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

1.
$$92 \equiv 101 - 9 \equiv (-1)(9) \equiv (-1)3^2$$
.
2. $3^{50} \equiv -1$ (WHAT! Really?)
3. $92 \equiv 3^{50} \times 3^2 \equiv 3^{52}$. So $x = 52$ works.

Generalize:

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. Find x such that $3^{x} \equiv 92$. Easy!

1. $92 \equiv 101 - 9 \equiv (-1)(9) \equiv (-1)3^2$. 2. $3^{50} \equiv -1$ (WHAT! Really?)

3.
$$92 \equiv 3^{50} \times 3^2 \equiv 3^{52}$$
. So $x = 52$ works.

Generalize:

1. If g is a generator of \mathbb{Z}_p^* then $g^{(p-1)/2} \equiv p-1 \equiv -1$.

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. Find x such that $3^x \equiv 92$. Easy!

1. $92 \equiv 101 - 9 \equiv (-1)(9) \equiv (-1)3^2$. 2. $3^{50} \equiv -1$ (WHAT! Really?) 3. $92 \equiv 3^{50} \times 3^2 \equiv 3^{52}$. So x = 52 works.

Generalize:

- 1. If g is a generator of \mathbb{Z}_p^* then $g^{(p-1)/2} \equiv p-1 \equiv -1$.
- 2. So finding x such that $g^{x} \equiv p g^{a} \equiv -g^{a}$ is as easy as g^{a} .

$$x = \frac{p-1}{2} + a$$
: $g^{\frac{p-1}{2}+a} = g^{\frac{p-1}{2}}g^a \equiv -g^a$

Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101. Is there a trick for $g^x \equiv 93 \pmod{101}$? Not that I know of.

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

Formally Discrete Log is...

Def The Discrete Log (DL) problem is a follows:

(ロト (個) (E) (E) (E) (E) のへの

Formally Discrete Log is...

Def The Discrete Log (DL) problem is a follows:

1. Input g, a, p. With $1 \le g, a \le p - 1$. g is a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^* .

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や
Def The Discrete Log (DL) problem is a follows:

1. Input g, a, p. With $1 \le g, a \le p - 1$. g is a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^* .

2. Output x such that $g^x \equiv a \pmod{p}$.

Def The Discrete Log (DL) problem is a follows:

1. Input g, a, p. With $1 \le g, a \le p - 1$. g is a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^* .

2. Output x such that $g^x \equiv a \pmod{p}$.

Recall

Def The Discrete Log (DL) problem is a follows:

1. Input g, a, p. With $1 \le g, a \le p - 1$. g is a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^* .

2. Output x such that $g^x \equiv a \pmod{p}$.

Recall

• A good alg would be time $(\log p)^{O(1)}$.

Def The Discrete Log (DL) problem is a follows:

1. Input g, a, p. With $1 \le g, a \le p - 1$. g is a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^* .

2. Output x such that $g^x \equiv a \pmod{p}$.

Recall

- A good alg would be time $(\log p)^{O(1)}$.
- A bad alg would be time $p^{O(1)}$.

Def The Discrete Log (DL) problem is a follows:

- 1. Input g, a, p. With $1 \le g, a \le p 1$. g is a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^* .
- 2. Output x such that $g^x \equiv a \pmod{p}$.

Recall

- A good alg would be time $(\log p)^{O(1)}$.
- A bad alg would be time $p^{O(1)}$.
- If an algorithm is in time (say) p^{1/10} still not efficient but will force Alice and Bob to up their game.

Input is (g, a, p).



Input is (g, a, p).

1. Naive algorithm is O(p) time.

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

Input is (g, a, p).

- 1. Naive algorithm is O(p) time.
- 2. Exists an $O(\sqrt{p})$ time, $O(\sqrt{p})$ space alg. Time and Space makes it NOT practical.

Input is (g, a, p).

- 1. Naive algorithm is O(p) time.
- 2. Exists an $O(\sqrt{p})$ time, $O(\sqrt{p})$ space alg. Time and Space makes it NOT practical.
- 3. Exists an $O(\sqrt{p})$ time, $(\log p)^{O(1)}$ space alg. Space fine, but time still a problem.

Input is (g, a, p).

- 1. Naive algorithm is O(p) time.
- 2. Exists an $O(\sqrt{p})$ time, $O(\sqrt{p})$ space alg. Time and Space makes it NOT practical.
- 3. Exists an $O(\sqrt{p})$ time, $(\log p)^{O(1)}$ space alg. Space fine, but time still a problem.

4. Not much progress on theory front since 1985.

Input is (g, a, p).

- 1. Naive algorithm is O(p) time.
- 2. Exists an $O(\sqrt{p})$ time, $O(\sqrt{p})$ space alg. Time and Space makes it NOT practical.
- 3. Exists an $O(\sqrt{p})$ time, $(\log p)^{O(1)}$ space alg. Space fine, but time still a problem.

- 4. Not much progress on theory front since 1985.
- 5. Discrete Log is in QuantumP.

Input is (g, a, p).

- 1. Naive algorithm is O(p) time.
- 2. Exists an $O(\sqrt{p})$ time, $O(\sqrt{p})$ space alg. Time and Space makes it NOT practical.
- 3. Exists an $O(\sqrt{p})$ time, $(\log p)^{O(1)}$ space alg. Space fine, but time still a problem.

- 4. Not much progress on theory front since 1985.
- 5. Discrete Log is in QuantumP.

Good Candidate for a hard problem for Eve.

- 4 日 ト 4 園 ト 4 国 ト 4 国 ト 1 回 - の 9 ()

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

1. Fact: DL in in QuantumP.

- 1. Fact: DL in in QuantumP.
- 2. **BILL Opinion:** Quantum computers that can do DL **fast** won't happen

- 1. Fact: DL in in QuantumP.
- 2. **BILL Opinion:** Quantum computers that can do DL **fast** won't happen in my lifetime.

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

- 1. Fact: DL in in QuantumP.
- 2. **BILL Opinion:** Quantum computers that can do DL **fast** won't happen in my lifetime. In your lifetime.

- 1. Fact: DL in in QuantumP.
- 2. **BILL Opinion:** Quantum computers that can do DL **fast** won't happen in my lifetime. In your lifetime. Ever.

- 1. Fact: DL in in QuantumP.
- 2. **BILL Opinion:** Quantum computers that can do DL **fast** won't happen in my lifetime. In your lifetime. Ever.
- 3. Fact: Good classical algorithms using hard number theory exist and have been implemented. Still exponential but low constants.

- 1. Fact: DL in in QuantumP.
- 2. **BILL Opinion:** Quantum computers that can do DL **fast** won't happen in my lifetime. In your lifetime. Ever.
- 3. Fact: Good classical algorithms using hard number theory exist and have been implemented. Still exponential but low constants. Some are amenable to parallelism.

- 1. Fact: DL in in QuantumP.
- 2. **BILL Opinion:** Quantum computers that can do DL **fast** won't happen in my lifetime. In your lifetime. Ever.
- 3. Fact: Good classical algorithms using hard number theory exist and have been implemented. Still exponential but low constants. Some are amenable to parallelism.
- 4. **BILL Opinion:** The biggest threat to crypto is from hard math combined with special purpose parallel hardware.

- 1. Fact: DL in in QuantumP.
- 2. **BILL Opinion:** Quantum computers that can do DL **fast** won't happen in my lifetime. In your lifetime. Ever.
- 3. Fact: Good classical algorithms using hard number theory exist and have been implemented. Still exponential but low constants. Some are amenable to parallelism.
- 4. **BILL Opinion:** The biggest threat to crypto is from hard math combined with special purpose parallel hardware.
- 5. Fact: If computers do DL much better (e.g., $O(p^{1/10})$) then Alice and Bob can increase size of p and be fine. Still, Eve has made them work harder.

- 1. Fact: DL in in QuantumP.
- 2. **BILL Opinion:** Quantum computers that can do DL **fast** won't happen in my lifetime. In your lifetime. Ever.
- 3. Fact: Good classical algorithms using hard number theory exist and have been implemented. Still exponential but low constants. Some are amenable to parallelism.
- 4. **BILL Opinion:** The biggest threat to crypto is from hard math combined with special purpose parallel hardware.
- 5. Fact: If computers do DL much better (e.g., $O(p^{1/10})$) then Alice and Bob can increase size of p and be fine. Still, Eve has made them work harder.
- 6. **BILL Opinion:** When people really really need to up their parameters

- 1. Fact: DL in in QuantumP.
- 2. **BILL Opinion:** Quantum computers that can do DL **fast** won't happen in my lifetime. In your lifetime. Ever.
- 3. Fact: Good classical algorithms using hard number theory exist and have been implemented. Still exponential but low constants. Some are amenable to parallelism.
- 4. **BILL Opinion:** The biggest threat to crypto is from hard math combined with special purpose parallel hardware.
- 5. Fact: If computers do DL much better (e.g., $O(p^{1/10})$) then Alice and Bob can increase size of p and be fine. Still, Eve has made them work harder.
- 6. **BILL Opinion:** When people really really need to up their parameters they don't.

- 1. Fact: DL in in QuantumP.
- 2. **BILL Opinion:** Quantum computers that can do DL **fast** won't happen in my lifetime. In your lifetime. Ever.
- 3. Fact: Good classical algorithms using hard number theory exist and have been implemented. Still exponential but low constants. Some are amenable to parallelism.
- 4. **BILL Opinion:** The biggest threat to crypto is from hard math combined with special purpose parallel hardware.
- 5. Fact: If computers do DL much better (e.g., $O(p^{1/10})$) then Alice and Bob can increase size of p and be fine. Still, Eve has made them work harder.
- 6. **BILL Opinion:** When people really really need to up their parameters they don't. They say

- 1. Fact: DL in in QuantumP.
- 2. **BILL Opinion:** Quantum computers that can do DL **fast** won't happen in my lifetime. In your lifetime. Ever.
- 3. Fact: Good classical algorithms using hard number theory exist and have been implemented. Still exponential but low constants. Some are amenable to parallelism.
- 4. **BILL Opinion:** The biggest threat to crypto is from hard math combined with special purpose parallel hardware.
- 5. Fact: If computers do DL much better (e.g., $O(p^{1/10})$) then Alice and Bob can increase size of p and be fine. Still, Eve has made them work harder.
- 6. **BILL Opinion:** When people really really need to up their parameters they don't. They say

It won't happen to me

- 1. Fact: DL in in QuantumP.
- 2. **BILL Opinion:** Quantum computers that can do DL **fast** won't happen in my lifetime. In your lifetime. Ever.
- 3. Fact: Good classical algorithms using hard number theory exist and have been implemented. Still exponential but low constants. Some are amenable to parallelism.
- 4. **BILL Opinion:** The biggest threat to crypto is from hard math combined with special purpose parallel hardware.
- 5. Fact: If computers do DL much better (e.g., $O(p^{1/10})$) then Alice and Bob can increase size of p and be fine. Still, Eve has made them work harder.
- 6. **BILL Opinion:** When people really really need to up their parameters they don't. They say

It won't happen to me Until it does.

<ロト < 個 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の < @</p>

1. Quantum computing has the power to break modern crypto. But

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

- 1. Quantum computing has the power to break modern crypto. But
- Quantum computing is like graphene: quantum can do everything except leave the lab. See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Graphene for information on graphene which seems to always be 5 years away from applications.

- 1. Quantum computing has the power to break modern crypto. But
- Quantum computing is like graphene: quantum can do everything except leave the lab. See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Graphene for information on graphene which seems to always be 5 years away from applications.

So Kunal and Bill agree.

<ロト < 課 > < 注 > < 注 > 注 の < で</p>

1. **PRO** A lot of corporations and governments are putting a lot of money into Quantum, so (unlike other alt-computing ideas) this one really has a shot.

1. **PRO** A lot of corporations and governments are putting a lot of money into Quantum, so (unlike other alt-computing ideas) this one really has a shot.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

2. CON The error-correction problem still seems hard.

- 1. **PRO** A lot of corporations and governments are putting a lot of money into Quantum, so (unlike other alt-computing ideas) this one really has a shot.
- 2. **CON** The error-correction problem still seems hard.
- 3. **CONCLUSION** The question **When will quantum computers be able to really do DL fast** should be asked to physicists, not to CMSC/ENEE/MATH TAs.

- 1. **PRO** A lot of corporations and governments are putting a lot of money into Quantum, so (unlike other alt-computing ideas) this one really has a shot.
- 2. CON The error-correction problem still seems hard.
- CONCLUSION The question When will quantum computers be able to really do DL fast should be asked to physicists, not to CMSC/ENEE/MATH TAs.

Bill Since lots of money is being put into it, if it does not work they won't have the excuse that other technologies have of not having been tried.
Sajjad is working in Quantum Computing so



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

Sajjad is working in Quantum Computing so

1. He actually knows stuff.

Sajjad is working in Quantum Computing so

- 1. He actually knows stuff.
- 2. His funding depends on the answer being YES Quantum is practical!

Sajjad is working in Quantum Computing so

- 1. He actually knows stuff.
- 2. His funding depends on the answer being YES Quantum is practical!

His opinion is on the next slide.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへの

1. There has been **huge** progress in the last 10 years in error-correction.

▲ロト ▲周 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト 一 ヨ … の Q ()

- 1. There has been **huge** progress in the last 10 years in error-correction.
- There are now real problems (sampling) that can be done by a Quantum Computer much faster than a Classical Computer. Yeah!

- 1. There has been **huge** progress in the last 10 years in error-correction.
- There are now real problems (sampling) that can be done by a Quantum Computer much faster than a Classical Computer. Yeah!
- 3. The sampling problem is not related to problems in number theory like Discrete Log. Boo!

- 1. There has been **huge** progress in the last 10 years in error-correction.
- There are now real problems (sampling) that can be done by a Quantum Computer much faster than a Classical Computer. Yeah!
- 3. The sampling problem is not related to problems in number theory like Discrete Log. Boo!
- 4. **Prediction** in ~ 25 years we will have real quantum computers that can do DL and factoring quickly. This is based on what experimentalists say (see next slide).

- 1. There has been **huge** progress in the last 10 years in error-correction.
- There are now real problems (sampling) that can be done by a Quantum Computer much faster than a Classical Computer. Yeah!
- 3. The sampling problem is not related to problems in number theory like Discrete Log. Boo!
- 4. **Prediction** in ~ 25 years we will have real quantum computers that can do DL and factoring quickly. This is based on what experimentalists say (see next slide).

Bill Its very hard to predict things

- 1. There has been **huge** progress in the last 10 years in error-correction.
- There are now real problems (sampling) that can be done by a Quantum Computer much faster than a Classical Computer. Yeah!
- 3. The sampling problem is not related to problems in number theory like Discrete Log. Boo!
- 4. Prediction in ~ 25 years we will have real quantum computers that can do DL and factoring quickly. This is based on what experimentalists say (see next slide).

Bill Its very hard to predict things especially about the future.

Expert Opinion As a Chart



Expert Opinions on the Technical Realization of Quantum Computers

◆□▶ ◆問▶ ◆ヨ▶ ◆ヨ▶ 三国 - の久()

Expert Opinion As a Paper

See also this paper: qtime.pdf

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Definition Let p be a prime and g be a generator mod p. The **Discrete Log Problem:** Given $a \in \{1, ..., p\}$, find x such that $g^x \equiv a \pmod{p}$. We call this $DL_{p,g}(a)$.

Definition Let p be a prime and g be a generator mod p. The **Discrete Log Problem:** Given $a \in \{1, ..., p\}$, find x such that $g^x \equiv a \pmod{p}$. We call this $DL_{p,g}(a)$.

1. If g is small then $DL(g^a)$ might be easy: $DL_{1009,7}(49) = 2$ since $7^2 \equiv 49 \pmod{1009}$.

Definition Let p be a prime and g be a generator mod p. The **Discrete Log Problem:** Given $a \in \{1, ..., p\}$, find x such that $g^x \equiv a \pmod{p}$. We call this $DL_{p,g}(a)$.

- 1. If g is small then $DL(g^a)$ might be easy: $DL_{1009,7}(49) = 2$ since $7^2 \equiv 49 \pmod{1009}$.
- 2. If g is small then $DL(p g^a)$ might be easy: $DL_{1009,7}(1009 - 49) = 506$ since $7^{504}7^2 \equiv -7^2 \equiv 1009 - 49$ (mod 1009).

Definition Let p be a prime and g be a generator mod p. The **Discrete Log Problem:** Given $a \in \{1, ..., p\}$, find x such that $g^x \equiv a \pmod{p}$. We call this $DL_{p,g}(a)$.

- 1. If g is small then $DL(g^a)$ might be easy: $DL_{1009,7}(49) = 2$ since $7^2 \equiv 49 \pmod{1009}$.
- 2. If g is small then $DL(p g^a)$ might be easy: $DL_{1009,7}(1009 - 49) = 506$ since $7^{504}7^2 \equiv -7^2 \equiv 1009 - 49$ (mod 1009).

3. If $g, a \in \{\frac{p}{3}, \dots, \frac{2p}{3}\}$ then problem suspected hard.

Definition Let p be a prime and g be a generator mod p. The **Discrete Log Problem:** Given $a \in \{1, ..., p\}$, find x such that $g^x \equiv a \pmod{p}$. We call this $DL_{p,g}(a)$.

- 1. If g is small then $DL(g^a)$ might be easy: $DL_{1009,7}(49) = 2$ since $7^2 \equiv 49 \pmod{1009}$.
- 2. If g is small then $DL(p g^a)$ might be easy: $DL_{1009,7}(1009 - 49) = 506$ since $7^{504}7^2 \equiv -7^2 \equiv 1009 - 49$ (mod 1009).
- 3. If $g, a \in \{\frac{p}{3}, \dots, \frac{2p}{3}\}$ then problem suspected hard.
- 4. **Tradeoff:** By restricting *a* we are cutting down search space for Eve. Even so, in this case we need to since she REALLY can recognize when DL is easy.

<ロト < @ ト < 差 ト < 差 ト 差 の < @</p>

Exponentiation mod p is Easy.

- **Exponentiation mod p** is Easy.
- **Discrete Log** is thought to be Hard.

- **Exponentiation mod p** is Easy.
- **Discrete Log** is thought to be Hard.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

We want a crypto system where:

- **Exponentiation mod p** is Easy.
- **Discrete Log** is thought to be Hard.

We want a crypto system where:

Alice and Bob do Exponentiation mod p to encrypt and decrypt.

- **Exponentiation mod p** is Easy.
- **Discrete Log** is thought to be Hard.

We want a crypto system where:

Alice and Bob do Exponentiation mod p to encrypt and decrypt.

Eve has to do Discrete Log to crack it.

- **Exponentiation mod p** is Easy.
- **Discrete Log** is thought to be Hard.

We want a crypto system where:

Alice and Bob do Exponentiation mod p to encrypt and decrypt.

• Eve has to do **Discrete Log** to crack it.

Do we have this?

- **Exponentiation mod p** is Easy.
- **Discrete Log** is thought to be Hard.

We want a crypto system where:

Alice and Bob do Exponentiation mod p to encrypt and decrypt.

Eve has to do Discrete Log to crack it.

Do we have this?

No. But we'll come close.

Convention

For the rest of the slides on **Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange** there will always be a prime *p* that we are considering.

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

ALL math done from that point on is mod *p*.

ALL numbers are in $\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$.

Finding Generators

・ロト・母ト・ヨト・ヨト・ヨー つへぐ

Problem Given *p*, find *g* such that

- g generates \mathbb{Z}_p^* .
- $g \in \{\frac{p}{3}, \dots, \frac{2p}{3}\}$. (We ignore floors and ceilings for notational convenience.)

Problem Given *p*, find *g* such that

• g generates \mathbb{Z}_p^* .

• $g \in \{\frac{p}{3}, \dots, \frac{2p}{3}\}$. (We ignore floors and ceilings for notational convenience.)

We could test $\frac{p}{3}$, then $\frac{p}{3} + 1$, etc. Will we hit a generator soon?

Problem Given *p*, find *g* such that

- g generates \mathbb{Z}_p^* .
- $g \in \{\frac{p}{3}, \dots, \frac{2p}{3}\}$. (We ignore floors and ceilings for notational convenience.)

We could test $\frac{p}{3}$, then $\frac{p}{3} + 1$, etc. Will we hit a generator soon? How many elts of $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$ are gens?

Problem Given *p*, find *g* such that

- g generates \mathbb{Z}_p^* .
- $g \in \{\frac{p}{3}, \dots, \frac{2p}{3}\}$. (We ignore floors and ceilings for notational convenience.)

We could test $\frac{p}{3}$, then $\frac{p}{3} + 1$, etc. Will we hit a generator soon? How many elts of $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$ are gens? $\Theta(\frac{p}{\log \log p})$

Problem Given p, find g such that

- g generates \mathbb{Z}_p^* .
- $g \in \{\frac{p}{3}, \dots, \frac{2p}{3}\}$. (We ignore floors and ceilings for notational convenience.)

We could test $\frac{p}{3}$, then $\frac{p}{3} + 1$, etc. Will we hit a generator soon? **How many elts of {1,..., p - 1} are gens?** $\Theta(\frac{p}{\log \log p})$ Hence if you just look for a gen you will find one soon.

Finding Gens: First Attempt

Given prime p, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Finding Gens: First Attempt

Given prime p, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

1. Input *p*.



Finding Gens: First Attempt

Given prime p, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- 1. Input *p*.
- 2. For $g = \frac{p}{3}$ to $\frac{2p}{3}$: Compute $g^1, g^2, \ldots, g^{p-1}$ until either hit a repeat or finish. If repeats then g is NOT a generator, so go to the next g. If finishes then output g and stop.

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

PRO You will find a gen fairly soon.
Finding Gens: First Attempt

Given prime p, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- 1. Input *p*.
- 2. For $g = \frac{p}{3}$ to $\frac{2p}{3}$:

Compute $g^1, g^2, \ldots, g^{p-1}$ until either hit a repeat or finish. If repeats then g is NOT a generator, so goto the next g. If finishes then output g and stop.

PRO You will find a gen fairly soon. **CON** Computing g^1, \ldots, g^{p-1} is $O(p \log p)$ operations.

Finding Gens: First Attempt

Given prime p, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- 1. Input *p*.
- 2. For $g = \frac{p}{2}$ to $\frac{2p}{2}$:

Compute $g^1, g^2, \ldots, g^{p-1}$ until either hit a repeat or finish. If repeats then g is NOT a generator, so goto the next g. If finishes then output g and stop.

PRO You will find a gen fairly soon. **CON** Computing g^1, \ldots, g^{p-1} is $O(p \log p)$ operations. **Bad!** Recall $(\log p)^{O(1)}$ is fast, O(p) is slow.

Theorem: If g is **not** a generator then there exists x that (1) x divides p - 1, (2) $x \neq p - 1$, and (3) $g^x \equiv 1$.

Given prime p, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*



Theorem: If g is **not** a generator then there exists x that (1) x divides p - 1, (2) $x \neq p - 1$, and (3) $g^x \equiv 1$.

Given prime p, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

1. Input *p*.

Theorem: If g is **not** a generator then there exists x that (1) x divides p - 1, (2) $x \neq p - 1$, and (3) $g^x \equiv 1$.

Given prime p, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- 1. Input *p*.
- 2. Factor p-1. Let F be the set of its factors except p-1.

Theorem: If g is **not** a generator then there exists x that (1) x divides p - 1, (2) $x \neq p - 1$, and (3) $g^x \equiv 1$.

Given prime p, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- 1. Input *p*.
- 2. Factor p 1. Let F be the set of its factors except p 1.

3. For
$$g = \frac{p}{3}$$
 to $\frac{2p}{3}$:
Compute g^x for all $x \in F$. If any = 1 then g not generator.
If none are 1 then output g and stop.

Theorem: If g is **not** a generator then there exists x that (1) x divides p - 1, (2) $x \neq p - 1$, and (3) $g^x \equiv 1$.

Given prime p, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- 1. Input *p*.
- 2. Factor p 1. Let F be the set of its factors except p 1.

3. For
$$g = \frac{p}{3}$$
 to $\frac{2p}{3}$:
Compute g^{x} for all $x \in F$. If any = 1 then g not generator.
If none are 1 then output g and stop.

Is this a good algorithm?

Theorem: If g is **not** a generator then there exists x that (1) x divides p - 1, (2) $x \neq p - 1$, and (3) $g^x \equiv 1$.

Given prime p, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- 1. Input *p*.
- 2. Factor p-1. Let F be the set of its factors except p-1.

3. For $g = \frac{p}{3}$ to $\frac{2p}{3}$: Compute g^x for all $x \in F$. If any = 1 then g not generator. If none are 1 then output g and stop.

Is this a good algorithm?

Time Every iteration takes $O(|F|(\log p))$ ops. |F| might be huge! So no good. But wait for next slide....

Theorem: If g is **not** a generator then there exists x that (1) x divides p - 1, (2) $x \neq p - 1$, and (3) $g^x \equiv 1$.

Given prime p, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- 1. Input *p*.
- 2. Factor p-1. Let F be the set of its factors except p-1.

3. For $g = \frac{p}{3}$ to $\frac{2p}{3}$: Compute g^x for all $x \in F$. If any = 1 then g not generator. If none are 1 then output g and stop.

Is this a good algorithm?

Time Every iteration takes $O(|F|(\log p))$ ops. |F| might be huge! So no good. But wait for next slide.... **BIG CON:** Factoring p - 1?

Theorem: If g is **not** a generator then there exists x that (1) x divides p - 1, (2) $x \neq p - 1$, and (3) $g^x \equiv 1$.

Given prime p, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- 1. Input *p*.
- 2. Factor p-1. Let F be the set of its factors except p-1.

3. For $g = \frac{p}{3}$ to $\frac{2p}{3}$: Compute g^x for all $x \in F$. If any = 1 then g not generator. If none are 1 then output g and stop.

Is this a good algorithm?

Time Every iteration takes $O(|F|(\log p))$ ops. |F| might be huge! So no good. But wait for next slide.... **BIG CON:** Factoring p - 1? **Really**?

Theorem: If g is **not** a generator then there exists x that (1) x divides p - 1, (2) $x \neq p - 1$, and (3) $g^x \equiv 1$.

Given prime p, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- 1. Input *p*.
- 2. Factor p-1. Let F be the set of its factors except p-1.

3. For $g = \frac{p}{3}$ to $\frac{2p}{3}$: Compute g^x for all $x \in F$. If any = 1 then g not generator. If none are 1 then output g and stop.

Is this a good algorithm?

Time Every iteration takes $O(|F|(\log p))$ ops. |F| might be huge! So no good. But wait for next slide.... **BIG CON:** Factoring p - 1? **Really**? Borrow Sajjad's Quantum Computer?

Second Attempt had two problems:

Second Attempt had two problems:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

1. Factoring is hard.

Second Attempt had two problems:

- 1. Factoring is hard.
- 2. p-1 may have many factors.

Second Attempt had two problems:

- 1. Factoring is hard.
- 2. p-1 may have many factors.

We want p-1 to be easy to factor and have few factors.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Second Attempt had two problems:

- 1. Factoring is hard.
- 2. p-1 may have many factors.

We want p-1 to be easy to factor and have few factors.

There are three kinds of people in the world:

Second Attempt had two problems:

- 1. Factoring is hard.
- 2. p-1 may have many factors.

We want p-1 to be easy to factor and have few factors.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

There are three kinds of people in the world:

1. Those who make things happen.

Second Attempt had two problems:

- 1. Factoring is hard.
- 2. p-1 may have many factors.

We want p-1 to be easy to factor and have few factors.

There are three kinds of people in the world:

- 1. Those who make things happen.
- 2. Those who watch things happen.

Second Attempt had two problems:

- 1. Factoring is hard.
- 2. p-1 may have many factors.

We want p-1 to be easy to factor and have few factors.

There are three kinds of people in the world:

- 1. Those who make things happen.
- 2. Those who watch things happen.
- 3. Those who **wonder** what happened.

Second Attempt had two problems:

1. Factoring is hard.

2. p-1 may have many factors.

We want p-1 to be easy to factor and have few factors.

There are three kinds of people in the world:

- 1. Those who make things happen.
- 2. Those who watch things happen.
- 3. Those who **wonder** what happened.

We will make things happen.

Second Attempt had two problems:

1. Factoring is hard.

2. p-1 may have many factors.

We want p-1 to be easy to factor and have few factors.

There are three kinds of people in the world:

- 1. Those who make things happen.
- 2. Those who watch things happen.
- 3. Those who **wonder** what happened.

We will make things happen. We will make p - 1 easy to factor.

Second Attempt had two problems:

1. Factoring is hard.

2. p-1 may have many factors.

We want p-1 to be easy to factor and have few factors.

There are three kinds of people in the world:

- 1. Those who make things happen.
- 2. Those who watch things happen.
- 3. Those who **wonder** what happened.

We will make things happen. We will make p - 1 easy to factor. We will make p - 1 have few factors.

Idea: Pick *p* such that p - 1 = 2q where *q* is prime.



Idea: Pick *p* such that p - 1 = 2q where *q* is prime. **Given prime** *p*, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

Idea: Pick *p* such that p - 1 = 2q where *q* is prime. **Given prime** *p*, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

1. Input p a prime such that p - 1 = 2q where q is prime. (We later explore how we can find such a prime.)

Idea: Pick *p* such that p - 1 = 2q where *q* is prime. **Given prime** *p*, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

1. Input p a prime such that p - 1 = 2q where q is prime. (We later explore how we can find such a prime.)

2. Factor p - 1. Let F be the set of its factors except p - 1. That's EASY: $F = \{2, q\}$.

Idea: Pick *p* such that p - 1 = 2q where *q* is prime. **Given prime** *p*, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- 1. Input p a prime such that p 1 = 2q where q is prime. (We later explore how we can find such a prime.)
- 2. Factor p 1. Let F be the set of its factors except p 1. That's EASY: $F = \{2, q\}$.

3. For
$$g = \frac{p}{3}$$
 to $\frac{2p}{3}$:

Compute g^x for all $x \in F$. If any = 1 then g NOT generator. If none are 1 then output g and stop.

Idea: Pick *p* such that p - 1 = 2q where *q* is prime. **Given prime** *p*, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- 1. Input p a prime such that p 1 = 2q where q is prime. (We later explore how we can find such a prime.)
- 2. Factor p 1. Let F be the set of its factors except p 1. That's EASY: $F = \{2, q\}$.

3. For
$$g = \frac{p}{3}$$
 to $\frac{2p}{3}$:

Compute g^x for all $x \in F$. If any = 1 then g NOT generator. If none are 1 then output g and stop.

Is this a good algorithm? **PRO** Every iteration does $O(\log p)$ operations.

Idea: Pick *p* such that p - 1 = 2q where *q* is prime. **Given prime** *p*, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- 1. Input p a prime such that p 1 = 2q where q is prime. (We later explore how we can find such a prime.)
- 2. Factor p 1. Let F be the set of its factors except p 1. That's EASY: $F = \{2, q\}$.

3. For
$$g = \frac{p}{3}$$
 to $\frac{2p}{3}$:

Compute g^x for all $x \in F$. If any = 1 then g NOT generator. If none are 1 then output g and stop.

Is this a good algorithm? **PRO** Every iteration does $O(\log p)$ operations. **CON:** Need both p and $\frac{p-1}{2}$ are primes.

Idea: Pick *p* such that p - 1 = 2q where *q* is prime. **Given prime** *p*, find a gen for \mathbb{Z}_p^*

- 1. Input p a prime such that p 1 = 2q where q is prime. (We later explore how we can find such a prime.)
- 2. Factor p 1. Let F be the set of its factors except p 1. That's EASY: $F = \{2, q\}$.

3. For
$$g = \frac{p}{3}$$
 to $\frac{2p}{3}$:

Compute g^x for all $x \in F$. If any = 1 then g NOT generator. If none are 1 then output g and stop.

Is this a good algorithm? **PRO** Every iteration does $O(\log p)$ operations. **CON:** Need both p and $\frac{p-1}{2}$ are primes. **CAVEAT** We need to pick certain kinds of primes. **Can** do that!

BILL, STOP RECORDING LECTURE!!!!

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへで

BILL STOP RECORDING LECTURE!!!