## BILL, RECORD LECTURE!!!!

BILL RECORD LECTURE!!!

## Public Key Crypto: Math Needed and Diffie-Hellman

## Private-Key Ciphers

What do the following all have in common?

1. Shift Cipher
2. Affine Cipher
3. Vig Cipher
4. General Sub
5. General 2-char sub
6. Matrix Cipher
7. One-time Pad
8. Other ciphers we studied

## Private-Key Ciphers

What do the following all have in common?

1. Shift Cipher
2. Affine Cipher
3. Vig Cipher
4. General Sub
5. General 2-char sub
6. Matrix Cipher
7. One-time Pad
8. Other ciphers we studied

Alice and Bob need to meet! (Hence Private-Key.)

## Private-Key Ciphers

What do the following all have in common?

1. Shift Cipher
2. Affine Cipher
3. Vig Cipher
4. General Sub
5. General 2-char sub
6. Matrix Cipher
7. One-time Pad
8. Other ciphers we studied

Alice and Bob need to meet! (Hence Private-Key.)
Can Alice and Bob establish a key without meeting?

## Private-Key Ciphers

What do the following all have in common?

1. Shift Cipher
2. Affine Cipher
3. Vig Cipher
4. General Sub
5. General 2-char sub
6. Matrix Cipher
7. One-time Pad
8. Other ciphers we studied

Alice and Bob need to meet! (Hence Private-Key.)
Can Alice and Bob establish a key without meeting?
Yes! And that is the key to public-key cryptography.
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## General Philosophy

A good crypto system is such that:

1. The computational task to encrypt and decrypt is easy.
2. The computational task to crack is hard.

## Caveats

1. Hard to achieve info-theoretic hardness (One-time pad).
2. Hard to achieve comp-hardness. Few problems provably hard.
3. Can use hardness assumptions (e.g. factoring is hard).
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What Counts We count math operations as taking 1 step. This could be an issue with enormous numbers. We will work with mods so not a problem.

## Math Needed for Both Diffie-Hellman and RSA

## Notation

Let $p$ be a prime.

1. $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is the numbers $\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ with mod add and mult.
2. $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ is the numbers $\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ with mod mult.

Convention By prime we will always mean a large prime, so in particular, NOT 2. Hence we can assume $\frac{p-1}{2}$ is in $\mathbb{N}$.
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Want $3^{64}(\bmod 101)$. All math is $\bmod 101$.
$x_{0}=3$
$x_{1}=x_{0}^{2} \equiv 9$. This is $3^{2}(\bmod 101)$.
$x_{2}=x_{1}^{2} \equiv 9^{2} \equiv 81$. This is $3^{4}(\bmod 101)$.
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So in 6 steps we got the answer!
Discuss How many steps are used to compute $a^{n}(\bmod p)$ ?
$\sim \lg n$.
But the above algorithm only seems to work if $n$ is a power of 2 .
Discuss What if $n$ is not a power of 2?
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Note that $L$ is one less than the number of bits needed for $n$.
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Point: Step 2 took $<\lg (265)$ steps since base-2 rep had few 1's.
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3 is a generator for $\mathbb{Z}_{7}^{*}$.
Definition: If $p$ is a prime and $\left\{g^{1}, \ldots, g^{p-1}\right\}=\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ then $g$ is a generator for $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$.
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Fact: 3 is a generator mod 101. All math is mod 101.
Discuss the following with your neighbor:

1. Find $x$ such that $3^{x} \equiv 81$. $x=4$ obv works.
2. Find $x$ such that $3^{x} \equiv 92$.

Try computing $3^{1}, 3^{2}, \ldots$, until you get 92 .
Might take $\sim 100$ steps. Shortcut?
3. Find $x$ such that $3^{x} \equiv 93$.

Try computing $3^{1}, 3^{2}, \ldots$, until you get 93 .
Might take $\sim 100$ steps. Shortcut?
2nd and 3th look hard. Are they?
VOTE Both hard, both easy, one of each, unknown to science.
$3^{x} \equiv 92$ easy. $3^{x} \equiv 93$ Not known how hard.

## Discrete Log-Example: $3^{x} \equiv 92(\bmod 101)$

Fact: 3 is a generator $\bmod 101$. All math is $\bmod 101$.

## Discrete Log-Example: $3^{x} \equiv 92(\bmod 101)$

Fact: 3 is a generator $\bmod 101$. All math is mod 101 .
Find $x$ such that $3^{x} \equiv 92$. Easy!

## Discrete Log-Example: $3^{x} \equiv 92(\bmod 101)$

Fact: 3 is a generator $\bmod 101$. All math is mod 101 .
Find $x$ such that $3^{x} \equiv 92$. Easy!

$$
\text { 1. } 92 \equiv 101-9 \equiv(-1)(9) \equiv(-1) 3^{2} .
$$

## Discrete Log-Example: $3^{x} \equiv 92(\bmod 101)$

Fact: 3 is a generator $\bmod 101$. All math is mod 101.
Find $x$ such that $3^{x} \equiv 92$. Easy!

1. $92 \equiv 101-9 \equiv(-1)(9) \equiv(-1) 3^{2}$.
2. $3^{50} \equiv-1$ (WHAT! Really?)

## Discrete Log-Example: $3^{x} \equiv 92(\bmod 101)$

Fact: 3 is a generator $\bmod 101$. All math is mod 101.
Find $x$ such that $3^{x} \equiv 92$. Easy!

1. $92 \equiv 101-9 \equiv(-1)(9) \equiv(-1) 3^{2}$.
2. $3^{50} \equiv-1$ (WHAT! Really?)
3. $92 \equiv 3^{50} \times 3^{2} \equiv 3^{52}$. So $x=52$ works.

## Discrete Log-Example: $3^{x} \equiv 92(\bmod 101)$

Fact: 3 is a generator $\bmod 101$. All math is mod 101.
Find $x$ such that $3^{x} \equiv 92$. Easy!

1. $92 \equiv 101-9 \equiv(-1)(9) \equiv(-1) 3^{2}$.
2. $3^{50} \equiv-1$ (WHAT! Really?)
3. $92 \equiv 3^{50} \times 3^{2} \equiv 3^{52}$. So $x=52$ works.

Generalize:

## Discrete Log-Example: $3^{x} \equiv 92(\bmod 101)$

Fact: 3 is a generator $\bmod 101$. All math is mod 101.
Find $x$ such that $3^{x} \equiv 92$. Easy!
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2. $3^{50} \equiv-1$ (WHAT! Really?)
3. $92 \equiv 3^{50} \times 3^{2} \equiv 3^{52}$. So $x=52$ works.

Generalize:

1. If $g$ is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ then $g^{(p-1) / 2} \equiv p-1 \equiv-1$.

## Discrete Log-Example: $3^{x} \equiv 92(\bmod 101)$

Fact: 3 is a generator $\bmod 101$. All math is mod 101 .
Find $x$ such that $3^{x} \equiv 92$. Easy!

1. $92 \equiv 101-9 \equiv(-1)(9) \equiv(-1) 3^{2}$.
2. $3^{50} \equiv-1$ (WHAT! Really?)
3. $92 \equiv 3^{50} \times 3^{2} \equiv 3^{52}$. So $x=52$ works.

Generalize:

1. If $g$ is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ then $g^{(p-1) / 2} \equiv p-1 \equiv-1$.
2. So finding $x$ such that $g^{x} \equiv p-g^{a} \equiv-g^{a}$ is as easy as $g^{a}$.

$$
x=\frac{p-1}{2}+a: \quad g^{\frac{p-1}{2}+a}=g^{\frac{p-1}{2}} g^{a} \equiv-g^{a}
$$

## Discrete Log-Example: $3^{x} \equiv 93(\bmod 101)$

Fact: 3 is a generator $\bmod 101$. All math is mod 101.
Is there a trick for $g^{x} \equiv 93(\bmod 101)$ ? Not that I know of.
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Def The Discrete Log (DL) problem is a follows:

1. Input $g, a, p$. With $1 \leq g, a \leq p-1$. $g$ is a gen for $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$.
2. Output $x$ such that $g^{x} \equiv a(\bmod p)$.

Recall

- A good alg would be time $(\log p)^{O(1)}$.
- A bad alg would be time $p^{O(1)}$.
- If an algorithm is in time (say) $p^{1 / 10}$ still not efficient but will force Alice and Bob to up their game.
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3. Exists an $O(\sqrt{p})$ time, $(\log p)^{O(1)}$ space alg. Space fine, but time still a problem.
4. Not much progress on theory front since 1985.
5. Discrete Log is in QuantumP.

Good Candidate for a hard problem for Eve.
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6. BILL Opinion: When people really really need to up their parameters they don't. They say

It won't happen to me Until it does.
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1. Quantum computing has the power to break modern crypto. But
2. Quantum computing is like graphene: quantum can do everything except leave the lab. See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Graphene for information on graphene which seems to always be 5 years away from applications.
So Kunal and Bill agree.
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1. PRO A lot of corporations and governments are putting a lot of money into Quantum, so (unlike other alt-computing ideas) this one really has a shot.
2. CON The error-correction problem still seems hard.
3. CONCLUSION The question When will quantum computers be able to really do DL fast should be asked to physicists, not to CMSC/ENEE/MATH TAs.
Bill Since lots of money is being put into it, if it does not work they won't have the excuse that other technologies have of not having been tried.
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Sajjad is working in Quantum Computing so

1. He actually knows stuff.
2. His funding depends on the answer being YES Quantum is practical!
His opinion is on the next slide.
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1. There has been huge progress in the last 10 years in error-correction.
2. There are now real problems (sampling) that can be done by a Quantum Computer much faster than a Classical Computer. Yeah!
3. The sampling problem is not related to problems in number theory like Discrete Log. Boo!
4. Prediction in $\sim 25$ years we will have real quantum computers that can do DL and factoring quickly. This is based on what experimentalists say (see next slide).
Bill Its very hard to predict things especially about the future.

## Expert Opinion As a Chart



Numbers reflect how many experts (out of 44) assigned a certain probability range.

## Expert Opinions on the Technical Realization of Quantum Computers

## Expert Opinion As a Paper

See also this paper: qtime.pdf
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Given $a \in\{1, \ldots, p\}$, find $x$ such that $g^{x} \equiv a(\bmod p)$. We call this $D L_{p, g}(a)$.

1. If $g$ is small then $D L\left(g^{a}\right)$ might be easy: $D L_{1009,7}(49)=2$ since $7^{2} \equiv 49(\bmod 1009)$.
2. If $g$ is small then $D L\left(p-g^{a}\right)$ might be easy:
$D L_{1009,7}(1009-49)=506$ since $7^{504} 7^{2} \equiv-7^{2} \equiv 1009-49$ $(\bmod 1009)$.
3. If $g, a \in\left\{\frac{p}{3}, \ldots, \frac{2 p}{3}\right\}$ then problem suspected hard.
4. Tradeoff: By restricting a we are cutting down search space for Eve. Even so, in this case we need to since she REALLY can recognize when DL is easy.
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## Consider What We Already Have Here

- Exponentiation mod $\mathbf{p}$ is Easy.
- Discrete Log is thought to be Hard.

We want a crypto system where:

- Alice and Bob do Exponentiation mod p to encrypt and decrypt.
- Eve has to do Discrete Log to crack it.

Do we have this?

No. But we'll come close.

## Convention

For the rest of the slides on Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange there will always be a prime $p$ that we are considering.

ALL math done from that point on is mod $p$.
ALL numbers are in $\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$.
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We could test $\frac{p}{3}$, then $\frac{p}{3}+1$, etc. Will we hit a generator soon?
How many elts of $\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ are gens? $\Theta\left(\frac{p}{\log \log p}\right)$
Hence if you just look for a gen you will find one soon.
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Given prime $p$, find a gen for $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$

1. Input $p$.
2. For $g=\frac{p}{3}$ to $\frac{2 p}{3}$ :

Compute $g^{1}, g^{2}, \ldots, g^{p-1}$ until either hit a repeat or finish. If repeats then $g$ is NOT a generator, so goto the next $g$. If finishes then output $g$ and stop.

PRO You will find a gen fairly soon.
CON Computing $g^{1}, \ldots, g^{p-1}$ is $O(p \log p)$ operations.
Bad! Recall $(\log p)^{O(1)}$ is fast, $O(p)$ is slow.

## Finding Gens: Second Attempt

Theorem: If $g$ is not a generator then there exists $x$ that (1) $x$ divides $p-1,(2) x \neq p-1$, and (3) $g^{x} \equiv 1$.

Given prime $p$, find a gen for $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$

## Finding Gens: Second Attempt

Theorem: If $g$ is not a generator then there exists $x$ that (1) $x$ divides $p-1,(2) x \neq p-1$, and (3) $g^{x} \equiv 1$.

Given prime $p$, find a gen for $\mathbb{Z}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{*}$

1. Input $p$.

## Finding Gens: Second Attempt

Theorem: If $g$ is not a generator then there exists $x$ that (1) $x$ divides $p-1$, (2) $x \neq p-1$, and (3) $g^{x} \equiv 1$.

Given prime $p$, find a gen for $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$

1. Input $p$.
2. Factor $p-1$. Let $F$ be the set of its factors except $p-1$.

## Finding Gens: Second Attempt

Theorem: If $g$ is not a generator then there exists $x$ that (1) $x$ divides $p-1$, (2) $x \neq p-1$, and (3) $g^{x} \equiv 1$.

Given prime $p$, find a gen for $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$

1. Input $p$.
2. Factor $p-1$. Let $F$ be the set of its factors except $p-1$.
3. For $g=\frac{p}{3}$ to $\frac{2 p}{3}$ :

Compute $g^{x}$ for all $x \in F$. If any $=1$ then $g$ not generator. If none are 1 then output $g$ and stop.

## Finding Gens: Second Attempt

Theorem: If $g$ is not a generator then there exists $x$ that (1) $x$ divides $p-1$, (2) $x \neq p-1$, and (3) $g^{x} \equiv 1$.

Given prime $p$, find a gen for $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$

1. Input $p$.
2. Factor $p-1$. Let $F$ be the set of its factors except $p-1$.
3. For $g=\frac{p}{3}$ to $\frac{2 p}{3}$ :

Compute $g^{x}$ for all $x \in F$. If any $=1$ then $g$ not generator. If none are 1 then output $g$ and stop.

Is this a good algorithm?

## Finding Gens: Second Attempt

Theorem: If $g$ is not a generator then there exists $x$ that
(1) $x$ divides $p-1$, (2) $x \neq p-1$, and (3) $g^{x} \equiv 1$.

Given prime $p$, find a gen for $\mathbb{Z}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{*}$

1. Input $p$.
2. Factor $p-1$. Let $F$ be the set of its factors except $p-1$.
3. For $g=\frac{p}{3}$ to $\frac{2 p}{3}$ :

Compute $g^{x}$ for all $x \in F$. If any $=1$ then $g$ not generator.
If none are 1 then output $g$ and stop.
Is this a good algorithm?
Time Every iteration takes $O(|F|(\log p))$ ops. $|F|$ might be huge!
So no good. But wait for next slide....

## Finding Gens: Second Attempt

Theorem: If $g$ is not a generator then there exists $x$ that
(1) $x$ divides $p-1$, (2) $x \neq p-1$, and (3) $g^{x} \equiv 1$.

Given prime $p$, find a gen for $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$

1. Input $p$.
2. Factor $p-1$. Let $F$ be the set of its factors except $p-1$.
3. For $g=\frac{p}{3}$ to $\frac{2 p}{3}$ :

Compute $g^{x}$ for all $x \in F$. If any $=1$ then $g$ not generator.
If none are 1 then output $g$ and stop.
Is this a good algorithm?
Time Every iteration takes $O(|F|(\log p))$ ops. $|F|$ might be huge!
So no good. But wait for next slide....
BIG CON: Factoring $p-1$ ?

## Finding Gens: Second Attempt

Theorem: If $g$ is not a generator then there exists $x$ that
(1) $x$ divides $p-1$, (2) $x \neq p-1$, and (3) $g^{x} \equiv 1$.

Given prime $p$, find a gen for $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$

1. Input $p$.
2. Factor $p-1$. Let $F$ be the set of its factors except $p-1$.
3. For $g=\frac{p}{3}$ to $\frac{2 p}{3}$ :

Compute $g^{x}$ for all $x \in F$. If any $=1$ then $g$ not generator.
If none are 1 then output $g$ and stop.
Is this a good algorithm?
Time Every iteration takes $O(|F|(\log p))$ ops. $|F|$ might be huge!
So no good. But wait for next slide....
BIG CON: Factoring $p-1$ ? Really?

## Finding Gens: Second Attempt

Theorem: If $g$ is not a generator then there exists $x$ that
(1) $x$ divides $p-1$, (2) $x \neq p-1$, and (3) $g^{x} \equiv 1$.

Given prime $p$, find a gen for $\mathbb{Z}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{*}$

1. Input $p$.
2. Factor $p-1$. Let $F$ be the set of its factors except $p-1$.
3. For $g=\frac{p}{3}$ to $\frac{2 p}{3}$ :

Compute $g^{x}$ for all $x \in F$. If any $=1$ then $g$ not generator.
If none are 1 then output $g$ and stop.
Is this a good algorithm?
Time Every iteration takes $O(|F|(\log p))$ ops. $|F|$ might be huge!
So no good. But wait for next slide....
BIG CON: Factoring $p-1$ ? Really?
Borrow Sajjad's Quantum Computer?
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Second Attempt had two problems:

1. Factoring is hard.
2. $p-1$ may have many factors.

We want $p-1$ to be easy to factor and have few factors.
There are three kinds of people in the world:

1. Those who make things happen.
2. Those who watch things happen.
3. Those who wonder what happened.

We will make things happen.
We will make $\boldsymbol{p}-1$ easy to factor.
We will make $\boldsymbol{p}-1$ have few factors.
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## Finding Gens: Third Attempt

Idea: Pick $p$ such that $p-1=2 q$ where $q$ is prime.
Given prime $p$, find a gen for $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$

1. Input $p$ a prime such that $p-1=2 q$ where $q$ is prime. (We later explore how we can find such a prime.)
2. Factor $p-1$. Let $F$ be the set of its factors except $p-1$. That's EASY: $F=\{2, q\}$.
3. For $g=\frac{p}{3}$ to $\frac{2 p}{3}$ :

Compute $g^{x}$ for all $x \in F$. If any $=1$ then $g$ NOT generator. If none are 1 then output $g$ and stop.

Is this a good algorithm?
PRO Every iteration does $O(\log p)$ operations.
CON: Need both $p$ and $\frac{p-1}{2}$ are primes.
CAVEAT We need to pick certain kinds of primes. Can do that!

## BILL, STOP RECORDING LECTURE!!!!

BILL STOP RECORDING LECTURE!!!

