

Topics Not Covered in Grad Ramsey 2020

Exposition by William Gasarch

May 18, 2020

We Didn't Cover X Because...

What topics in Ramsey theory didn't we cover?

We Didn't Cover X Because...

What topics in Ramsey theory didn't we cover?

Why didn't we cover them?

We Didn't Cover X Because...

What topics in Ramsey theory didn't we cover?

Why didn't we cover them?

- ▶ Could cover if I had more time. Might cover it next time.

We Didn't Cover X Because...

What topics in Ramsey theory didn't we cover?

Why didn't we cover them?

- ▶ Could cover if I had more time. Might cover it next time.
- ▶ Bill just not that interested.

We Didn't Cover X Because...

What topics in Ramsey theory didn't we cover?

Why didn't we cover them?

- ▶ Could cover if I had more time. Might cover it next time.
- ▶ Bill just not that interested.
- ▶ Too hard for Students.

We Didn't Cover X Because...

What topics in Ramsey theory didn't we cover?

Why didn't we cover them?

- ▶ Could cover if I had more time. Might cover it next time.
- ▶ Bill just not that interested.
- ▶ Too hard for Students.
- ▶ Too hard for Bill.

We Didn't Cover X Because...

What topics in Ramsey theory didn't we cover?

Why didn't we cover them?

- ▶ Could cover if I had more time. Might cover it next time.
- ▶ Bill just not that interested.
- ▶ Too hard for Students.
- ▶ Too hard for Bill.
- ▶ Some combination of the above.

Could Have Covered: VDW

Exposition by William Gasarch

May 18, 2020

Poly VDW Thm

Poly VDW Thm

Recall **VDW Thm** For all k, c there exists $W = W(k, c)$ such that for all COL: $[W] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists a, d

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$ all the same color

Poly VDW Thm

Recall **VDW Thm** For all k, c there exists $W = W(k, c)$ such that for all COL: $[W] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists a, d

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$ all the same color

Why $d, 2d, 3d, \dots, (k - 1)d$?

Poly VDW Thm

Recall **VDW Thm** For all k, c there exists $W = W(k, c)$ such that for all COL: $[W] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists a, d

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$ all the same color

Why $d, 2d, 3d, \dots, (k - 1)d$?

Poly VDW Thm For all $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $p_i(0) = 0$ for all $i \in [k]$, and $c \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $W = W(p_1, \dots, p_k; c)$ such that for all COL: $[W] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists a, d

$a, a + p_1(d), \dots, a + p_k(d)$ all the same color

Poly VDW Thm

Recall **VDW Thm** For all k, c there exists $W = W(k, c)$ such that for all COL: $[W] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists a, d

$$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d \text{ all the same color}$$

Why $d, 2d, 3d, \dots, (k - 1)d$?

Poly VDW Thm For all $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $p_i(0) = 0$ for all $i \in [k]$, and $c \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $W = W(p_1, \dots, p_k; c)$ such that for all COL: $[W] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists a, d

$$a, a + p_1(d), \dots, a + p_k(d) \text{ all the same color}$$

First proven by Bergelson and Liebman using hard techniques.

Poly VDW Thm

Recall **VDW Thm** For all k, c there exists $W = W(k, c)$ such that for all COL: $[W] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists a, d

$$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d \text{ all the same color}$$

Why $d, 2d, 3d, \dots, (k - 1)d$?

Poly VDW Thm For all $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $p_i(0) = 0$ for all $i \in [k]$, and $c \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $W = W(p_1, \dots, p_k; c)$ such that for all COL: $[W] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists a, d

$$a, a + p_1(d), \dots, a + p_k(d) \text{ all the same color}$$

First proven by Bergelson and Liebman using hard techniques.

Walters gave elementary proof which I would have presented.

Poly VDW

Poly VDW

1. Have covered in the past and even did a recording for it.

Poly VDW

1. Have covered in the past and even did a recording for it.
2. The pandemic and adding How-many-Triangles squeezed it out.

Poly VDW

1. Have covered in the past and even did a recording for it.
2. The pandemic and adding How-many-Triangles squeezed it out.
3. See the recording or read the chapter on it in my book:
<https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/TOPICS/vdw/GKPbook.pdf>

Poly VDW

1. Have covered in the past and even did a recording for it.
2. The pandemic and adding How-many-Triangles squeezed it out.
3. See the recording or read the chapter on it in my book:
<https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/TOPICS/vdw/GKPbook.pdf>
4. **Research** Get better bounds for poly VDW numbers, perhaps by programming. Maybe use a SAT solvers. Or write one geared to this purpose.

Canonical VDW

Can VDW For all k there exists $W = W(k)$ such that for any $\text{COL}: [W] \rightarrow [\omega]$ there exists a, d such that either

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$ are all the same color

or

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$ are all different colors

Canonical VDW

Can VDW For all k there exists $W = W(k)$ such that for any $\text{COL}: [W] \rightarrow [\omega]$ there exists a, d such that either

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$ are all the same color

or

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$ are all different colors

- ▶ Nice proof, but it relies on 2-dim VDW thm.

Canonical VDW

Can VDW For all k there exists $W = W(k)$ such that for any $\text{COL}: [W] \rightarrow [\omega]$ there exists a, d such that either

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$ are all the same color

or

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$ are all different colors

- ▶ Nice proof, but it relies on 2-dim VDW thm.
- ▶ There is a version for multidim VDW, but its messy.

Canonical VDW

Can VDW For all k there exists $W = W(k)$ such that for any COL: $[W] \rightarrow [\omega]$ there exists a, d such that either

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$ are all the same color

or

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$ are all different colors

- ▶ Nice proof, but it relies on 2-dim VDW thm.
- ▶ There is a version for multidim VDW, but its messy.
- ▶ Certainly could have taught this semester.

Canonical VDW

Can VDW For all k there exists $W = W(k)$ such that for any $\text{COL}: [W] \rightarrow [\omega]$ there exists a, d such that either

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$ are all the same color

or

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$ are all different colors

- ▶ Nice proof, but it relies on 2-dim VDW thm.
- ▶ There is a version for multidim VDW, but its messy.
- ▶ Certainly could have taught this semester.

Research Better bounds on Can VDW Numbers.

Interesting App of VDWs Thm to Number Theory

Can use Extended VDW's thm to prove the following

Interesting App of VDWs Thm to Number Theory

Can use Extended VDW's thm to prove the following

- ▶ For all k there exists p_0 such that for all primes $p \geq p_0$ there are k consecutive squares mod p .

Interesting App of VDWs Thm to Number Theory

Can use Extended VDW's thm to prove the following

- ▶ For all k there exists p_0 such that for all primes $p \geq p_0$ there are k consecutive squares mod p .
- ▶ For all k there exists p_0 such that for all primes $p \geq p_0$ there are k consecutive non-squares mod p .
- ▶ <https://blog.computationalcomplexity.org/2009/08/application-of-vdw-theorem-to-number.html>

Interesting App of VDWs Thm to Number Theory

Can use Extended VDW's thm to prove the following

- ▶ For all k there exists p_0 such that for all primes $p \geq p_0$ there are k consecutive squares mod p .
- ▶ For all k there exists p_0 such that for all primes $p \geq p_0$ there are k consecutive non-squares mod p .
- ▶ <https://blog.computationalcomplexity.org/2009/08/application-of-vdw-theorem-to-number.html>

I could have proven this in class and might next time I teach it.

Interesting App of VDWs Thm to Number Theory

Can use Extended VDW's thm to prove the following

- ▶ For all k there exists p_0 such that for all primes $p \geq p_0$ there are k consecutive squares mod p .
- ▶ For all k there exists p_0 such that for all primes $p \geq p_0$ there are k consecutive non-squares mod p .
- ▶ <https://blog.computationalcomplexity.org/2009/08/application-of-vdw-theorem-to-number.html>

I could have proven this in class and might next time I teach it.

Research The proof gives VDW-like bounds. Hard NT gives better bounds. Get better bounds in elementary way.

Folkman's Thm

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ▶ Some subset of the a_i 's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c , for all COL: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_k = 0.$$

Folkman's Thm

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ▶ Some subset of the a_i 's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c , for all COL: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_k = 0.$$

There is a version for a particular systems of linear equations.

Folkman's Thm

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ▶ Some subset of the a_i 's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c , for all COL: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_k = 0.$$

There is a version for a particular systems of linear equations.

Folkman's Thm For all k, c there exists $N = N(k, c)$ such that for all COL: $[N] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_1, \dots, x_k such that ALL non-empty sums of the x_i 's are the same color.

Folkman's Thm

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ▶ Some subset of the a_i 's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c , for all COL: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_k = 0.$$

There is a version for a particular systems of linear equations.

Folkman's Thm For all k, c there exists $N = N(k, c)$ such that for all COL: $[N] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_1, \dots, x_k such that ALL non-empty sums of the x_i 's are the same color.

Great thm, nice proof. Might cover it in the future.

Research Questions

Research Questions

- ▶ Better Bounds on Rado and Folkman Numbers.

Research Questions

- ▶ Better Bounds on Rado and Folkman Numbers.
- ▶ Given a coloring actually FIND mono solution.

Research Questions

- ▶ Better Bounds on Rado and Folkman Numbers.
- ▶ Given a coloring actually FIND mono solution.
- ▶ **Example** $4x + 5y = 10z$:

Research Questions

- ▶ Better Bounds on Rado and Folkman Numbers.
- ▶ Given a coloring actually FIND mono solution.
- ▶ **Example** $4x + 5y = 10z$:
 - \exists COL: $[\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow [6]$ with no mono sol.
 - \forall COL: $[\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow [1]$ is mono sol.

Research Questions

- ▶ Better Bounds on Rado and Folkman Numbers.
- ▶ Given a coloring actually FIND mono solution.
- ▶ **Example** $4x + 5y = 10z$:
 - $\exists \text{ COL: } [\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow [6] \text{ with no mono sol.}$
 - $\forall \text{ COL: } [\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow [1] \text{ is mono sol.}$

Find c such that

- $\exists \text{ COL: } [\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow [c] \text{ with no mono sol.}$
- $\forall \text{ COL: } [\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow [c - 1] \text{ is mono sol.}$

Can ask this question for many equations.

Research Questions

- ▶ Better Bounds on Rado and Folkman Numbers.
- ▶ Given a coloring actually FIND mono solution.
- ▶ **Example** $4x + 5y = 10z$:
 - $\exists \text{ COL: } [\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow [6] \text{ with no mono sol.}$
 - $\forall \text{ COL: } [\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow [1] \text{ is mono sol.}$

Find c such that

- $\exists \text{ COL: } [\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow [c] \text{ with no mono sol.}$
- $\forall \text{ COL: } [\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow [c - 1] \text{ is mono sol.}$

Can ask this question for many equations.

- ▶ Canonical Version of Rado or Folkman's Thm.

Research Questions

- ▶ Better Bounds on Rado and Folkman Numbers.
- ▶ Given a coloring actually FIND mono solution.
- ▶ **Example** $4x + 5y = 10z$:
 - \exists COL: $[\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow [6]$ with no mono sol.
 - \forall COL: $[\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow [1]$ is mono sol.

Find c such that

- \exists COL: $[\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow [c]$ with no mono sol.
- \forall COL: $[\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow [c - 1]$ is mono sol.

Can ask this question for many equations.

- ▶ Canonical Version of Rado or Folkman's Thm.
- ▶ Caution: Some of this may be known.

Hilbert's Cube Lemma

Hilbert's Cube Lemma For all k, c there exists $H = H(k, c)$ such that for all COL: $[H] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$$\left\{x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i : b_i \in \{0, 1\}\right\}$$

is monochromatic.

Hilbert's Cube Lemma

Hilbert's Cube Lemma For all k, c there exists $H = H(k, c)$ such that for all COL: $[H] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$$\left\{x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i : b_i \in \{0, 1\}\right\}$$

is monochromatic. Hilbert saw it as a Lemma to prove:

Hilbert's Cube Lemma

Hilbert's Cube Lemma For all k, c there exists $H = H(k, c)$ such that for all COL: $[H] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$$\left\{ x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i : b_i \in \{0, 1\} \right\}$$

is monochromatic. Hilbert saw it as a Lemma to prove:

H Irreducibility Thm (2 var case). If $p(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ is irred then there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p(x, a) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is irred.

Hilbert's Cube Lemma

Hilbert's Cube Lemma For all k, c there exists $H = H(k, c)$ such that for all COL: $[H] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$$\left\{ x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i : b_i \in \{0, 1\} \right\}$$

is monochromatic. Hilbert saw it as a Lemma to prove:

H Irreducibility Thm (2 var case). If $p(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ is irred then there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p(x, a) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is irred.

- ▶ HIT is an important thm and a legit app (to math).

Hilbert's Cube Lemma

Hilbert's Cube Lemma For all k, c there exists $H = H(k, c)$ such that for all $\text{COL}: [H] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$$\left\{ x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i : b_i \in \{0, 1\} \right\}$$

is monochromatic. Hilbert saw it as a Lemma to prove:

H Irreducibility Thm (2 var case). If $p(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ is irred then there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p(x, a) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is irred.

- ▶ HIT is an important thm and a legit app (to math).
- ▶ As the FIRST Ramseyian Thm it is important historically.

Hilbert's Cube Lemma

Hilbert's Cube Lemma For all k, c there exists $H = H(k, c)$ such that for all COL: $[H] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$$\{x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i : b_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

is monochromatic. Hilbert saw it as a Lemma to prove:

H Irreducibility Thm (2 var case). If $p(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ is irred then there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p(x, a) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is irred.

- ▶ HIT is an important thm and a legit app (to math).
- ▶ As the FIRST Ramseyian Thm it is important historically.
- ▶ Only presentation in English with modern notation Villarino, Gasarch, Regan: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.06303>

Hilbert's Cube Lemma

Hilbert's Cube Lemma For all k, c there exists $H = H(k, c)$ such that for all COL: $[H] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$$\left\{ x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i : b_i \in \{0, 1\} \right\}$$

is monochromatic. Hilbert saw it as a Lemma to prove:

H Irreducibility Thm (2 var case). If $p(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ is irred then there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p(x, a) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is irred.

- ▶ HIT is an important thm and a legit app (to math).
- ▶ As the FIRST Ramseyian Thm it is important historically.
- ▶ Only presentation in English with modern notation Villarino, Gasarch, Regan: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.06303>
- ▶ I've taught before and could teach again.

Roth's Thm

Roth's Theorem was prove in 1954 and is a special case of Szemerédi's Theorem which was proven in 1974.

Roth's Thm Every set of upper positive density has a 3-AP.

Roth's Thm

Roth's Theorem was proved in 1954 and is a special case of Szemerédi's Theorem which was proven in 1974.

Roth's Thm Every set of upper positive density has a 3-AP.

- ▶ There is a combinatorial proof that I have taught in the past.

Roth's Thm

Roth's Theorem was prove in 1954 and is a special case of Szemerédi's Theorem which was proven in 1974.

Roth's Thm Every set of upper positive density has a 3-AP.

- ▶ There is a combinatorial proof that I have taught in the past.
- ▶ There is an analytic proof which is the easiest analytic proof of results of this type, so perhaps could and should be taught.

Roth's Thm

Roth's Theorem was prove in 1954 and is a special case of Szemerédi's Theorem which was proven in 1974.

Roth's Thm Every set of upper positive density has a 3-AP.

- ▶ There is a combinatorial proof that I have taught in the past.
- ▶ There is an analytic proof which is the easiest analytic proof of results of this type, so perhaps could and should be taught.
- ▶ There is a computer-assisted proof
<https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/akherim/DavidWilsonMasterThesis.pdf>
This is Roth's proof done with the ideas showing and the computation rightly put into the background.

Roth's Thm

Roth's Theorem was proved in 1954 and is a special case of Szemerédi's Theorem which was proven in 1974.

Roth's Thm Every set of upper positive density has a 3-AP.

- ▶ There is a combinatorial proof that I have taught in the past.
- ▶ There is an analytic proof which is the easiest analytic proof of results of this type, so perhaps could and should be taught.
- ▶ There is a computer-assisted proof
<https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/akherim/DavidWilsonMasterThesis.pdf>
This is Roth's proof done with the ideas showing and the computation rightly put into the background.
- ▶ **Research** Get better bounds: How big a subset of $\{1, \dots, 1000\}$ before guaranteed a 3-AP? 4-AP? etc.

A Stupid App of Schur's Thm to Number Theory

Schur's Theorem is a special case or Rado's Theorem.

Schur's Thm For all c there exists $S = S(c)$ such that for all
COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x, y, z same color such that $x + y = z$.

A Stupid App of Schur's Thm to Number Theory

Schur's Theorem is a special case or Rado's Theorem.

Schur's Thm For all c there exists $S = S(c)$ such that for all
COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x, y, z same color such that $x + y = z$.

FLT For all $n \geq 3$ there does not exist $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
 $x^n + y^n = z^n$. (The $n = 4$ case was done by Fermat.)

A Stupid App of Schur's Thm to Number Theory

Schur's Theorem is a special case or Rado's Theorem.

Schur's Thm For all c there exists $S = S(c)$ such that for all
COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x, y, z same color such that $x + y = z$.

FLT For all $n \geq 3$ there does not exist $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
 $x^n + y^n = z^n$. (The $n = 4$ case was done by Fermat.)

Gasarch proved:

Thm (Schur's Thm + FLT(4)) implies there are an infinite number
of primes. [https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/
COURSES/858/S20/notes/schurflt.pdf](https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/schurflt.pdf)

Smart Research Question Inspired by Stupid Thm

Smart Research Question Inspired by Stupid Thm

- ▶ My proof legit: I USE Schur & FLT(4) to prove primes ∞ .

Smart Research Question Inspired by Stupid Thm

- ▶ My proof legit: I USE Schur & FLT(4) to prove primes ∞ .
- ▶ Can I prove it is legit?

Smart Research Question Inspired by Stupid Thm

- ▶ My proof legit: I USE Schur & FLT(4) to prove primes ∞ .
- ▶ Can I prove it is legit?
- ▶ I want a proof system T such that

Smart Research Question Inspired by Stupid Thm

- ▶ My proof legit: I USE Schur & FLT(4) to prove primes ∞ .
- ▶ Can I prove it is legit?
- ▶ I want a proof system T such that
 - ▶ T cannot prove primes infinite

Smart Research Question Inspired by Stupid Thm

- ▶ My proof legit: I USE Schur & FLT(4) to prove primes ∞ .
- ▶ Can I prove it is legit?
- ▶ I want a proof system T such that
 - ▶ T cannot prove primes infinite
 - ▶ T can prove Schur + FLT(4) implies primes infinite.

Smart Research Question Inspired by Stupid Thm

- ▶ My proof legit: I USE Schur & FLT(4) to prove primes ∞ .
- ▶ Can I prove it is legit?
- ▶ I want a proof system T such that
 - ▶ T cannot prove primes infinite
 - ▶ T can prove Schur + FLT(4) implies primes infinite.
- ▶ *Rec/Rev Math Prog* do this on the level of was AC used? is the proof constructive? I want weaker systems.

Smart Research Question Inspired by Stupid Thm

- ▶ My proof legit: I USE Schur & FLT(4) to prove primes ∞ .
- ▶ Can I prove it is legit?
- ▶ I want a proof system T such that
 - ▶ T cannot prove primes infinite
 - ▶ T can prove Schur + FLT(4) implies primes infinite.
- ▶ *Rec/Rev Math Prog* do this on the level of was AC used? is the proof constructive? I want weaker systems.
- ▶ The example I want I have been told is prob not possible. Any proof system that could prove the implication can almost surely also prove that primes are infinite.

Smart Research Question Inspired by Stupid Thm

- ▶ My proof legit: I USE Schur & FLT(4) to prove primes ∞ .
- ▶ Can I prove it is legit?
- ▶ I want a proof system T such that
 - ▶ T cannot prove primes infinite
 - ▶ T can prove Schur + FLT(4) implies primes infinite.
- ▶ *Rec/Rev Math Prog* do this on the level of was AC used? is the proof constructive? I want weaker systems.
- ▶ The example I want I have been told is prob not possible. Any proof system that could prove the implication can almost surely also prove that primes are infinite.
- ▶ The general idea of the Rec or Rev math program on a lower level I have been told is intriguing.

Rado's Theorem over the Reals

Vote

For all $COL: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there exists w, x, y, z all the same color:

$$w + x = y + z$$

- ▶ TRUE
- ▶ FALSE
- ▶ OTHER

Rado's Theorem over the Reals

Vote

For all $COL: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there exists w, x, y, z all the same color:

$$w + x = y + z$$

- ▶ TRUE
- ▶ FALSE
- ▶ OTHER

OTHER: Statement is equiv to $\neg CH$ and hence is Ind of ZFC.

Rado's Theorem over the Reals

Vote

For all $COL: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there exists w, x, y, z all the same color:

$$w + x = y + z$$

- ▶ TRUE
- ▶ FALSE
- ▶ OTHER

OTHER: Statement is equiv to $\neg CH$ and hence is Ind of ZFC.

Proven by Erdos. Write up by Fenner and Gasarch is here:

<http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/BLOGPAPERS/radozfc.pdf>

Could have Covered: Ramsey

Exposition by William Gasarch

May 18, 2020

Other Ramsey Numbers

Other Ramsey Numbers

$R(C_k)$ is least n such that for all 2-coloring of $\binom{[n]}{2}$ there exists monochromatic k -cycle.

Other Ramsey Numbers

$R(C_k)$ is least n such that for all 2-coloring of $\binom{[n]}{2}$ there exists monochromatic k -cycle.

Sample Thm

$$R(C_k) = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } k = 3 \text{ or } k = 4 \\ 2k - 1 & \text{if } k \geq 5 \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3k}{2} - 1 & \text{if } k \geq 4 \text{ and } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Other Ramsey Numbers

$R(C_k)$ is least n such that for all 2-coloring of $\binom{[n]}{2}$ there exists monochromatic k -cycle.

Sample Thm

$$R(C_k) = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } k = 3 \text{ or } k = 4 \\ 2k - 1 & \text{if } k \geq 5 \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3k}{2} - 1 & \text{if } k \geq 4 \text{ and } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Their are many results and the proofs are elementary.

Other Ramsey Numbers

$R(C_k)$ is least n such that for all 2-coloring of $\binom{[n]}{2}$ there exists monochromatic k -cycle.

Sample Thm

$$R(C_k) = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } k = 3 \text{ or } k = 4 \\ 2k - 1 & \text{if } k \geq 5 \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3k}{2} - 1 & \text{if } k \geq 4 \text{ and } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Their are many results and the proofs are elementary.
- ▶ I would need to learn it (this is a PRO). I may have a student writeup the proofs for a project. Then I'll see if its interesting.

Other Ramsey Numbers

$R(C_k)$ is least n such that for all 2-coloring of $\binom{[n]}{2}$ there exists monochromatic k -cycle.

Sample Thm

$$R(C_k) = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } k = 3 \text{ or } k = 4 \\ 2k - 1 & \text{if } k \geq 5 \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3k}{2} - 1 & \text{if } k \geq 4 \text{ and } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Their are many results and the proofs are elementary.
- ▶ I would need to learn it (this is a PRO). I may have a student writeup the proofs for a project. Then I'll see if its interesting.
- ▶ For every result of this type see <https://www.combinatorics.org/files/Surveys/ds1/ds1v15-2017.pdf>

Research Projects

- ▶ Actually FIND the colorings.
- ▶ Simplify or unify the proofs
- ▶ **Ramsey Games** Example: Parameter k, n . Players RED and BLUE alternate coloring the edges of K_n . RED goes first. The first player to get a C_k in their color wins.
 1. For which n does RED have a winning strategy?
 2. Design an ML to play this well (my REU project)
 3. EVERY thm in Ramsey Thm (and the VDW part) can be made into a game and lead to research projects.

Better Bounds on 3-Hypergraph Ramsey

Better Bounds on 3-Hypergraph Ramsey

We did:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{4k}}$.

Better Bounds on 3-Hypergraph Ramsey

We did:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{4k}}$.

Better is known:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{2k}}$.

Better Bounds on 3-Hypergraph Ramsey

We did:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{4k}}$.

Better is known:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{2k}}$.

The proof is nice but long.

Better Bounds on 3-Hypergraph Ramsey

We did:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{4k}}$.

Better is known:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{2k}}$.

The proof is nice but long.

I would need to refresh on it to see if appropriate.

Better Bounds on 3-Hypergraph Ramsey

We did:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{4k}}$.

Better is known:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{2k}}$.

The proof is nice but long.

I would need to refresh on it to see if appropriate.

Research Use their technique on other Ramsey problems.

Better Bounds on Can Ramsey

Lefmann and Rodl proved

Thm $CR(k) \leq 2^{O(k^2 \log k)}$.

Better Bounds on Can Ramsey

Lefmann and Rodl proved

Thm $CR(k) \leq 2^{O(k^2 \log k)}$.

- ▶ Their paper: <https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/TOPICS/vdw/leftmannrod1.pdf>

Better Bounds on Can Ramsey

Lefmann and Rodl proved

Thm $CR(k) \leq 2^{O(k^2 \log k)}$.

- ▶ Their paper: <https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/TOPICS/vdw/leftmannrod1.pdf>
- ▶ Proof is Miletic-style.

Better Bounds on Can Ramsey

Lefmann and Rodl proved

Thm $CR(k) \leq 2^{O(k^2 \log k)}$.

- ▶ Their paper: [https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/ TOPICS/vdw/leftmannrod1.pdf](https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/TOPICS/vdw/leftmannrod1.pdf)
- ▶ Proof is Miletic-style.
- ▶ I have written up the construction. It's in my treatise on Can Ramsey: [https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/ COURSES/858/S20/notes/canramsey.pdf](https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/canramsey.pdf)

Better Bounds on Can Ramsey

Lefmann and Rodl proved

Thm $CR(k) \leq 2^{O(k^2 \log k)}$.

- ▶ Their paper: [https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/ TOPICS/vdw/leftmannrod1.pdf](https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/TOPICS/vdw/leftmannrod1.pdf)
- ▶ Proof is Miletic-style.
- ▶ I have written up the construction. It's in my treatise on Can Ramsey: [https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/ COURSES/858/S20/notes/canramsey.pdf](https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/canramsey.pdf)
- ▶ Do we really need more Can Ramsey in the course?

Large Can Ramsey

The following is well known; however, I may be the first person to write down the proof.

<http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/canlarge.pdf>

Thm For all k there exists $n = n(k)$ such that for all $\text{COL}: \binom{\{k, \dots, n\}}{2} \rightarrow [\omega]$ there is a large set that is either homog, min-homog, max-homog, rainbow.

Large Can Ramsey

The following is well known; however, I may be the first person to write down the proof.

<http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/canlarge.pdf>

Thm For all k there exists $n = n(k)$ such that for all $\text{COL}: \binom{\{k, \dots, n\}}{2} \rightarrow [\omega]$ there is a large set that is either homog, min-homog, max-homog, rainbow.

- ▶ Main Thm has inf-can, fin-can, large-can as corollaries.

Large Can Ramsey

The following is well known; however, I may be the first person to write down the proof.

<http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/canlarge.pdf>

Thm For all k there exists $n = n(k)$ such that for all $\text{COL}: \binom{\{k, \dots, n\}}{2} \rightarrow [\omega]$ there is a large set that is either homog, min-homog, max-homog, rainbow.

- ▶ Main Thm has inf-can, fin-can, large-can as corollaries.
- ▶ Thm is mostly the proof of can from 4-hypergraph Ramsey.

Large Can Ramsey

The following is well known; however, I may be the first person to write down the proof.

<http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/canlarge.pdf>

Thm For all k there exists $n = n(k)$ such that for all $\text{COL}: \binom{\{k, \dots, n\}}{2} \rightarrow [\omega]$ there is a large set that is either homog, min-homog, max-homog, rainbow.

- ▶ Main Thm has inf-can, fin-can, large-can as corollaries.
- ▶ Thm is mostly the proof of can from 4-hypergraph Ramsey.
- ▶ Bounds on $n(k)$ are in terms of the LR_4 .

Large Can Ramsey

The following is well known; however, I may be the first person to write down the proof.

<http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/canlarge.pdf>

Thm For all k there exists $n = n(k)$ such that for all $\text{COL}: \binom{\{k, \dots, n\}}{2} \rightarrow [\omega]$ there is a large set that is either homog, min-homog, max-homog, rainbow.

- ▶ Main Thm has inf-can, fin-can, large-can as corollaries.
- ▶ Thm is mostly the proof of can from 4-hypergraph Ramsey.
- ▶ Bounds on $n(k)$ are in terms of the LR_4 .

Research Get the bound in terms of LR_3 or lower.

a -ary Can Ramsey

Thm For all $a, k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $C = C(a, k)$ such that for all $\text{COL}: \left[\binom{[C]}{a} \right] \rightarrow [\omega]$ there exists a set H , $|H| = k$ and $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_L \leq a$ such that for all $p_1 < \dots < p_a \in H$ and $q_1 < \dots < q_a \in H$

$\text{COL}(p_1, \dots, p_a) = \text{COL}(q_1, \dots, q_a)$ iff $(p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_L}) = (q_{i_1}, \dots, q_{i_L})$

- ▶ Similar to the proof on graphs, but messier.
- ▶ *On canonical Ramsey numbers for coloring three-element sets* by Lefmann and Rodl behind paywalls, lost to humanity.
- ▶ Optimal results due to Shelah:
<https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9509229> A hard read.

Research Give easier proofs of bounds.

Could have Covered: Euclidean Ramsey Theory

Exposition by William Gasarch

May 18, 2020

Euclidean Ramsey Theory

Sample Thm Let T be a triangle with a 30, 90, or 150 degree angle. For every 2-coloring of \mathbb{R}^2 there exists three points that form triangle T (note- actually form T , not just similar to T) that are monochromatic.

Euclidean Ramsey Theory

Sample Thm Let T be a triangle with a 30, 90, or 150 degree angle. For every 2-coloring of \mathbb{R}^2 there exists three points that form triangle T (note- actually form T , not just similar to T) that are monochromatic.

- ▶ Just getting to interesting results would a take while.

Euclidean Ramsey Theory

Sample Thm Let T be a triangle with a 30, 90, or 150 degree angle. For every 2-coloring of \mathbb{R}^2 there exists three points that form triangle T (note- actually form T , not just similar to T) that are monochromatic.

- ▶ Just getting to interesting results would a take while.
- ▶ Would need to dump some other topic.

Euclidean Ramsey Theory

Sample Thm Let T be a triangle with a 30, 90, or 150 degree angle. For every 2-coloring of \mathbb{R}^2 there exists three points that form triangle T (note- actually form T , not just similar to T) that are monochromatic.

- ▶ Just getting to interesting results would a take while.
- ▶ Would need to dump some other topic.
- ▶ Bill would need to relearn the material (this is a PRO).

Euclidean Ramsey Theory

Sample Thm Let T be a triangle with a 30, 90, or 150 degree angle. For every 2-coloring of \mathbb{R}^2 there exists three points that form triangle T (note- actually form T , not just similar to T) that are monochromatic.

- ▶ Just getting to interesting results would a take while.
- ▶ Would need to dump some other topic.
- ▶ Bill would need to relearn the material (this is a PRO).
- ▶ For more:
<https://www.csun.edu/~ctoth/Handbook/chap11.pdf>

Results Bill Likes But Would be Hard to Teach:VDW

Exposition by William Gasarch

May 18, 2020

App of 3-Free Sets to Complexity Theory

Def L is a language. Game:

App of 3-Free Sets to Complexity Theory

Def L is a language. Game:

- ▶ Alice is Poly time and she has x , $|x| = n$.

App of 3-Free Sets to Complexity Theory

Def L is a language. Game:

- ▶ Alice is Poly time and she has x , $|x| = n$.
- ▶ Bob is all powerful and he has nothing.

App of 3-Free Sets to Complexity Theory

Def L is a language. Game:

- ▶ Alice is Poly time and she has x , $|x| = n$.
- ▶ Bob is all powerful and he has nothing.
- ▶ They cooperate to determine if $x \in L$. Alice could just send Bob x . That takes n bits.

App of 3-Free Sets to Complexity Theory Cont

Let L be the set of all 3-colorable graphs (or any NPC graph problem). Note size is $O(n^2)$. Is there a protocol for Alice and Bob in $O(n^{2-\epsilon})$ bits for some $\epsilon > 0$?

App of 3-Free Sets to Complexity Theory Cont

Let L be the set of all 3-colorable graphs (or any NPC graph problem). Note size is $O(n^2)$. Is there a protocol for Alice and Bob in $O(n^{2-\epsilon})$ bits for some $\epsilon > 0$?

- ▶ Dell and van Melkebeek showed that if there is a protocol in $O(n^{2-\epsilon})$ bits then the Poly Hierarchy Collapses to Σ_2^P . The proof used large 3-free set. <https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/TOPICS/ramsey/dell.pdf>

App of 3-Free Sets to Complexity Theory Cont

Let L be the set of all 3-colorable graphs (or any NPC graph problem). Note size is $O(n^2)$. Is there a protocol for Alice and Bob in $O(n^{2-\epsilon})$ bits for some $\epsilon > 0$?

- ▶ Dell and van Melkebeek showed that if there is a protocol in $O(n^{2-\epsilon})$ bits then the Poly Hierarchy Collapses to Σ_2^P . The proof used large 3-free set. <https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/TOPICS/ramsey/dell.pdf>
- ▶ Too much prerequisite knowledge.

Hindman's Thm

Hindman's Thm For any finite coloring of \mathbb{N} there exists an infinite A such that all finite sums of elements of A are the same color.

Hindman's Thm

Hindman's Thm For any finite coloring of \mathbb{N} there exists an infinite A such that all finite sums of elements of A are the same color.

- ▶ Proof use ultrafilters, so hard, but nowhere near as hard as Szemerédi's Result.

Hindman's Thm

Hindman's Thm For any finite coloring of \mathbb{N} there exists an infinite A such that all finite sums of elements of A are the same color.

- ▶ Proof use ultrafilters, so hard, but nowhere near as hard as Szemerédi's Result.
- ▶ I would need to brush up on this one (this is a PRO)

Hindman's Thm

Hindman's Thm For any finite coloring of \mathbb{N} there exists an infinite A such that all finite sums of elements of A are the same color.

- ▶ Proof use ultrafilters, so hard, but nowhere near as hard as Szemerédi's Result.
- ▶ I would need to brush up on this one (this is a PRO)
- ▶ `https://web.williams.edu/Mathematics/lg5/Hindman.pdf`

Hindman's Thm

Hindman's Thm For any finite coloring of \mathbb{N} there exists an infinite A such that all finite sums of elements of A are the same color.

- ▶ Proof use ultrafilters, so hard, but nowhere near as hard as Szemerédi's Result.
- ▶ I would need to brush up on this one (this is a PRO)
- ▶ `https://web.williams.edu/Mathematics/lg5/Hindman.pdf`
- ▶ **Research** Come up with an elementary proof.

Results Bill Likes But Would be Hard to Teach: Ramsey

Exposition by William Gasarch

May 18, 2020

Results from Logic

Results from Logic

Thm For every computable COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \rightarrow [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

Results from Logic

Thm For every computable COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \rightarrow [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

- ▶ Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.

Results from Logic

Thm For every computable COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \rightarrow [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

- ▶ Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.
- ▶ Proof leads to a different proof of Ramsey's Thm!

Results from Logic

Thm For every computable COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \rightarrow [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

- ▶ Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.
- ▶ Proof leads to a different proof of Ramsey's Thm!
- ▶ Important: measures how nonconstructive the proof of Ramsey's Thm.

Results from Logic

Thm For every computable COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \rightarrow [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

- ▶ Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.
- ▶ Proof leads to a different proof of Ramsey's Thm!
- ▶ Important: measures how nonconstructive the proof of Ramsey's Thm.
- ▶ Part of **Recursive Combinatorics**. My survey:
<https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/papers/rcombsur.pdf>

Results from Logic

Thm For every computable COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \rightarrow [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

- ▶ Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.
- ▶ Proof leads to a different proof of Ramsey's Thm!
- ▶ Important: measures how nonconstructive the proof of Ramsey's Thm.
- ▶ Part of **Recursive Combinatorics**. My survey:

https:

[//www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/papers/rcombsur.pdf](https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/papers/rcombsur.pdf)

Thm The full a -ary c -color Large Ramsey Thm cannot be proven from Peano Arithmetic.

Results from Logic

Thm For every computable COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \rightarrow [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

- ▶ Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.
- ▶ Proof leads to a different proof of Ramsey's Thm!
- ▶ Important: measures how nonconstructive the proof of Ramsey's Thm.
- ▶ Part of **Recursive Combinatorics**. My survey:

https:

[//www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/papers/rcombsur.pdf](https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/papers/rcombsur.pdf)

Thm The full a -ary c -color Large Ramsey Thm cannot be proven from Peano Arithmetic.

- ▶ The first natural Thm to be shown ind. of PA.

Results from Logic

Thm For every computable COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \rightarrow [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

- ▶ Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.
- ▶ Proof leads to a different proof of Ramsey's Thm!
- ▶ Important: measures how nonconstructive the proof of Ramsey's Thm.
- ▶ Part of **Recursive Combinatorics**. My survey:
<https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/papers/rcombsur.pdf>

Thm The full a -ary c -color Large Ramsey Thm cannot be proven from Peano Arithmetic.

- ▶ The first natural Thm to be shown ind. of PA.
- ▶ Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.

Ramsey Multiplicity

Recall:

Thm For all 2-col of K_n , exists $\frac{n^3}{24} - O(n^2)$ mono K_3 's.

Ramsey Multiplicity

Recall:

Thm For all 2-col of K_n , exists $\frac{n^3}{24} - O(n^2)$ mono K_3 's.

This is the first thm in a field called **Ramsey Multiplicity**

Ramsey Multiplicity

Recall:

Thm For all 2-col of K_n , exists $\frac{n^3}{24} - O(n^2)$ mono K_3 's.

This is the first thm in a field called **Ramsey Multiplicity**

Here is the second thm

Ramsey Multiplicity

Recall:

Thm For all 2-col of K_n , exists $\frac{n^3}{24} - O(n^2)$ mono K_3 's.

This is the first thm in a field called **Ramsey Multiplicity**

Here is the second thm

Thm Fix k . For large n , for all 2-colorings of K_n there exists $\frac{n^2}{4^{k^2(1+o(1))}}$ mono K_k 's.

Ramsey Multiplicity

Recall:

Thm For all 2-col of K_n , exists $\frac{n^3}{24} - O(n^2)$ mono K_3 's.

This is the first thm in a field called **Ramsey Multiplicity**

Here is the second thm

Thm Fix k . For large n , for all 2-colorings of K_n there exists $\frac{n^2}{4^{k^2(1+o(1))}}$ mono K_k 's.

This result was deemed too trivial to actually write up until I wrote it up (with help from Nathan Grammel and Erik Metz) in an open problems column on this topic [https:](https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/open/Ramseymult.pdf)

[//www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/open/Ramseymult.pdf](https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/open/Ramseymult.pdf)

Ramsey Multiplicity

Recall:

Thm For all 2-col of K_n , exists $\frac{n^3}{24} - O(n^2)$ mono K_3 's.

This is the first thm in a field called **Ramsey Multiplicity**

Here is the second thm

Thm Fix k . For large n , for all 2-colorings of K_n there exists $\frac{n^2}{4^{k^2(1+o(1))}}$ mono K_k 's.

This result was deemed too trivial to actually write up until I wrote it up (with help from Nathan Grammel and Erik Metz) in an open problems column on this topic [https:](https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/open/Ramseymult.pdf)

[//www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/open/Ramseymult.pdf](https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/open/Ramseymult.pdf)

- ▶ Could teach this thm next time.

Ramsey Multiplicity

Recall:

Thm For all 2-col of K_n , exists $\frac{n^3}{24} - O(n^2)$ mono K_3 's.

This is the first thm in a field called **Ramsey Multiplicity**

Here is the second thm

Thm Fix k . For large n , for all 2-colorings of K_n there exists $\frac{n^2}{4^{k^2(1+o(1))}}$ mono K_k 's.

This result was deemed too trivial to actually write up until I wrote it up (with help from Nathan Grammel and Erik Metz) in an open problems column on this topic [https:](https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/open/Ramseymult.pdf)

[//www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/open/Ramseymult.pdf](https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/open/Ramseymult.pdf)

- ▶ Could teach this thm next time.
- ▶ **Research** Look at col G to get mono H for other G and H .

Results Bill Likes But Would be Hard to Teach: Complexity

Exposition by William Gasarch

May 18, 2020

Complexity: Π_2^P Completeness of Arrow

Def $G \rightarrow (H_1, H_2)$ means that for every 2-coloring of the edges of G there is either a **RED** H_1 or a **BLUE** H_2 .

Complexity: Π_2^P Completeness of Arrow

Def $G \rightarrow (H_1, H_2)$ means that for every 2-coloring of the edges of G there is either a **RED** H_1 or a **BLUE** H_2 .

Marcus Schaefer proved the following.

Thm $\{(G, H_1, H_2) : G \rightarrow (H_1, H_2) \text{ is } \Pi_2^P\text{-complete.}$

See <http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/npramsey.pdf>

Complexity: NP-Completeness of Grid Extension

Grid Color Extension (GCE) is the set of tuples (n, m, c, χ) such that the following hold:

- ▶ $n, m, c \in \mathbb{N}$. χ is a partial c -coloring of $[n] \times [m]$ that is rectangle-free.
- ▶ χ can be extended to a rectangle-free coloring of $[n] \times [m]$.

Complexity: NP-Completeness of Grid Extension

Grid Color Extension (GCE) is the set of tuples (n, m, c, χ) such that the following hold:

- ▶ $n, m, c \in \mathbb{N}$. χ is a partial c -coloring of $[n] \times [m]$ that is rectangle-free.
- ▶ χ can be extended to a rectangle-free coloring of $[n] \times [m]$.

Thm (Apon, Gasarch, Lawler) *GCE* is NP-complete

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1205.3813.pdf>

Complexity: Long Proofs Required

Def Resolution proofs are a proof system to show that a Boolean Formula is NOT satisfiable. It is of interest to find a class of non-satisfiable formulas ϕ_n that require (say) $(1.5)^n$ long Res Proofs.

Complexity: Long Proofs Required

Def Resolution proofs are a proof system to show that a Boolean Formula is NOT satisfiable. It is of interest to find a class of non-satisfiable formulas ϕ_n that require (say) $(1.5)^n$ long Res Proofs.

Def A graph is c -random if it does not contain a clique or ind set of size $c \log n$.

Def $\phi_{n,c}$ is a Boolean Formula that says **every** graph on n vertices is c -random. (This is false for c around $\frac{1}{2}$.)

Complexity: Long Proofs Required

Def Resolution proofs are a proof system to show that a Boolean Formula is NOT satisfiable. It is of interest to find a class of non-satisfiable formulas ϕ_n that require (say) $(1.5)^n$ long Res Proofs.

Def A graph is c -random if it does not contain a clique or ind set of size $c \log n$.

Def $\phi_{n,c}$ is a Boolean Formula that says **every** graph on n vertices is c -random. (This is false for c around $\frac{1}{2}$.)

Lauria, Pudlak, Rodl, Thapen proved:

Thm For appropriate c , any resolution proof for $\phi_{n,c}$ requires length $n^{\Omega(\log n)}$.

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1303.3166.pdf>

PROS and CONS of Complexity of Ramsey

I will let you decide which are PROS and which are CONS.

PROS and CONS of Complexity of Ramsey

I will let you decide which are PROS and which are CONS.

- ▶ Students get to (or have to) learn some complexity theory.

PROS and CONS of Complexity of Ramsey

I will let you decide which are PROS and which are CONS.

- ▶ Students get to (or have to) learn some complexity theory.
- ▶ These results are Theoretical Computer science.

PROS and CONS of Complexity of Ramsey

I will let you decide which are PROS and which are CONS.

- ▶ Students get to (or have to) learn some complexity theory.
- ▶ These results are Theoretical Computer science.
- ▶ These results show that $TCS=Math$.

PROS and CONS of Complexity of Ramsey

I will let you decide which are PROS and which are CONS.

- ▶ Students get to (or have to) learn some complexity theory.
- ▶ These results are Theoretical Computer science.
- ▶ These results show that $TCS=Math$.
- ▶ Bill would have to learn these proofs.

PROS and CONS of Complexity of Ramsey

I will let you decide which are PROS and which are CONS.

- ▶ Students get to (or have to) learn some complexity theory.
- ▶ These results are Theoretical Computer science.
- ▶ These results show that $TCS=Math$.
- ▶ Bill would have to learn these proofs.
- ▶ Would take time away from more proofs of Can Ramsey.

PROS and CONS of Complexity of Ramsey

I will let you decide which are PROS and which are CONS.

- ▶ Students get to (or have to) learn some complexity theory.
- ▶ These results are Theoretical Computer science.
- ▶ These results show that TCS=Math.
- ▶ Bill would have to learn these proofs.
- ▶ Would take time away from more proofs of Can Ramsey.

Research What we **really** want is evidence that computing $R(k)$ is hard. These results do not really do that. Maybe you can!

Research Look at the above results for particular cases and see if easier.

Results Bill Does Not Care About But Should:VDW

Exposition by William Gasarch

May 18, 2020

Rado's Thm for Matrices

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ▶ Some subset of the a_i 's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c , for all COL: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$x_1 a_1 + \dots + a_k x_k = 0.$$

Rado's Thm for Matrices

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ▶ Some subset of the a_i 's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c , for all COL: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$x_1 a_1 + \dots + a_k x_k = 0.$$

There is a version for systems of linear equations.

Rado's Thm for Matrices

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ▶ Some subset of the a_i 's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c , for all COL: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$x_1 a_1 + \dots + a_k x_k = 0.$$

There is a version for systems of linear equations.

It's a real pain to state and I don't care.

Rado's Thm for Matrices

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ▶ Some subset of the a_i 's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c , for all COL: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$x_1 a_1 + \dots + a_k x_k = 0.$$

There is a version for systems of linear equations.

It's a real pain to state and I don't care.

For a statement of the thm see the Wikipedia entry.

Hales-Jewitt Thm

A Very General Thm from which we can derive cleanly VDW and Gallai-Witt.

Hales-Jewitt Thm

A Very General Thm from which we can derive cleanly VDW and Gallai-Witt.

Too abstract for my tastes, but its very important!

Hales-Jewitt Thm

A Very General Thm from which we can derive cleanly VDW and Gallai-Witt.

Too abstract for my tastes, but its very important!

See Wikipedia Entry for Statement.

Hales-Jewitt Thm

A Very General Thm from which we can derive cleanly VDW and Gallai-Witt.

Too abstract for my tastes, but its very important!

See Wikipedia Entry for Statement.

This is someone else's slides on it. So I REALLY could have covered it!

https:

[//www.ti.inf.ethz.ch/ew/courses/extremal04/razen.pdf](https://www.ti.inf.ethz.ch/ew/courses/extremal04/razen.pdf)

Ramsey's thm for n-parameter sets

Too complicated to state.

Ramsey's thm for n-parameter sets

Too complicated to state.

Can derive Ramsey's Thm and the Hales-Jewitt Thm from it.

Ramsey's thm for n-parameter sets

Too complicated to state.

Can derive Ramsey's Thm and the Hales-Jewitt Thm from it.

[https://www.ams.org/journals/tran/1971-159-00/
S0002-9947-1971-0284352-8/S0002-9947-1971-0284352-8.
pdf](https://www.ams.org/journals/tran/1971-159-00/S0002-9947-1971-0284352-8/S0002-9947-1971-0284352-8.pdf)

Results Bill Does Not Care About But Should: Ramsey

Exposition by William Gasarch

May 18, 2020

Ramsey Over the Reals Fails: So what to do?

Thm (AC) There is a coloring of $\binom{\mathbb{R}}{2}$ with no homog set of size \mathbb{R} .

Ramsey Over the Reals Fails: So what to do?

Thm (AC) There is a coloring of $\binom{\mathbb{R}}{2}$ with no homog set of size \mathbb{R} .
So what to do?

Ramsey Over the Reals Fails: So what to do?

Thm (AC) There is a coloring of $\binom{\mathbb{R}}{2}$ with no homog set of size \mathbb{R} .
So what to do?

- ▶ **Research Topic** Assume $\neg AC$ and perhaps something else like *AD*.

Ramsey Over the Reals Fails: So what to do?

Thm (AC) There is a coloring of $\binom{\mathbb{R}}{2}$ with no homog set of size \mathbb{R} .
So what to do?

- ▶ **Research Topic** Assume $\neg AC$ and perhaps something else like *AD*.
- ▶ Look at restricted colorings, like Borel colorings. Leads to:
<https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S13/canrampol.pdf>

Ramsey Over the Reals Fails: So what to do?

Thm (AC) There is a coloring of $\binom{\mathbb{R}}{2}$ with no homog set of size \mathbb{R} .
So what to do?

- ▶ **Research Topic** Assume $\neg AC$ and perhaps something else like *AD*.
- ▶ Look at restricted colorings, like Borel colorings. Leads to: <https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S13/canrampol.pdf>
- ▶ Prove what you can: If κ is a cardinal then for all COL: $\binom{2^{\kappa+}}{2}$ there is a homog set of size κ .

Ramsey Over the Reals Fails: So what to do?

Thm (AC) There is a coloring of $\binom{\mathbb{R}}{2}$ with no homog set of size \mathbb{R} .
So what to do?

- ▶ **Research Topic** Assume $\neg AC$ and perhaps something else like *AD*.
- ▶ Look at restricted colorings, like Borel colorings. Leads to: <https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S13/canrampol.pdf>
- ▶ Prove what you can: If κ is a cardinal then for all COL: $\binom{2^{\kappa+}}{2}$ there is a homog set of size κ .
- ▶ Ramsey Cardinals on Next Slide.

Inaccessible Cardinals

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^\alpha < \aleph_0$.

Inaccessible Cardinals

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^\alpha < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that
If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^\alpha < \kappa$.

Inaccessible Cardinals

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^\alpha < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that
If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^\alpha < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

Inaccessible Cardinals

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^\alpha < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that
If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^\alpha < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER.

Inaccessible Cardinals

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^\alpha < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that
If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^\alpha < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER. I know what you are thinking.

Inaccessible Cardinals

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^\alpha < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that
If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^\alpha < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER. I know what you are thinking. Ind of ZFC.

Inaccessible Cardinals

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^\alpha < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that
If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^\alpha < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER. I know what you are thinking. Ind of ZFC. Not quite.

Inaccessible Cardinals

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^\alpha < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that
If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^\alpha < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER. I know what you are thinking. Ind of ZFC. Not quite.

Thm ZFC cannot prove that such a κ exists.

Pf Such a κ would be a model of ZFC. No theory can prove the existence of a model for itself.

Inaccessible Cardinals

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^\alpha < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that
If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^\alpha < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER. I know what you are thinking. Ind of ZFC. Not quite.

Thm ZFC cannot prove that such a κ exists.

Pf Such a κ would be a model of ZFC. No theory can prove the existence of a model for itself.

What About... Can ZFC prove that such a κ does not exist?

Inaccessible Cardinals

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^\alpha < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that
If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^\alpha < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER. I know what you are thinking. Ind of ZFC. Not quite.

Thm ZFC cannot prove that such a κ exists.

Pf Such a κ would be a model of ZFC. No theory can prove the existence of a model for itself.

What About... Can ZFC prove that such a κ does not exist?
Unknown.

Inaccessible Cardinals

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^\alpha < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that
If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^\alpha < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER. I know what you are thinking. Ind of ZFC. Not quite.

Thm ZFC cannot prove that such a κ exists.

Pf Such a κ would be a model of ZFC. No theory can prove the existence of a model for itself.

What About... Can ZFC prove that such a κ does not exist?
Unknown.

Def κ is **inaccessible** if $\alpha < \kappa \implies 2^\alpha < \kappa$.

Ramsey Cardinals

Def If for all COL: $\binom{\kappa}{2}$ there is a homog set of size κ then κ is **Ramsey**.

True \aleph_0 is Ramsey.

Ramsey Cardinals

Def If for all COL: $\binom{\kappa}{2}$ there is a homog set of size κ then κ is **Ramsey**.

True \aleph_0 is Ramsey.

Question Does there exist a Ramsey cardinal $\kappa > \aleph_0$?

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

Ramsey Cardinals

Def If for all COL: $\binom{\kappa}{2}$ there is a homog set of size κ then κ is Ramsey.

True \aleph_0 is Ramsey.

Question Does there exist a Ramsey cardinal $\kappa > \aleph_0$?

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

Thm If κ is Ramsey then κ is inaccessible. (The converse is ind of ZFC but reasons to think its false.)

Results Bill May One Day Learn But Still too Hard for the Students

Exposition by William Gasarch

May 18, 2020

Ramsey's Thm with control of the differences

Thm For all c, k and for all order types η there exists $N = N(c)$ such that for all COL: $[N] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists a homog set $a_1 < \dots < a_k$ such that

$$(a_2 - a_1, a_3 - a_2, \dots, a_n - a_{n-1})$$

are all distinct and are in order type η .

- ▶ First proven by Noga Alon and Jan Pach using VDW, so bounds on $N(c)$ are large. Later Noga Alon, Alan Stacey, and Saharon Shelah got an iterated exp bound. None of this is written down anywhere.
- ▶ In 1995 Saharon Shelah got double exp bounds <https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/9502234.pdf>
- ▶ Shelah's paper is hard. I'm looking for easier proof of weaker results.

Szemerédi, Furstenberg, Gowers

Szemerédi, Furstenberg, Gowers have given different proofs of:

Sz Thm If A has upper pos density then, for all k , A contains a k -AP.

Szemerédi, Furstenberg, Gowers

Szemerédi, Furstenberg, Gowers have given different proofs of:

Sz Thm If A has upper pos density then, for all k , A contains a k -AP.

All the proofs look hard to learn and to teach.

Szemerédi, Furstenberg, Gowers

Szemerédi, Furstenberg, Gowers have given different proofs of:

Sz Thm If A has upper pos density then, for all k , A contains a k -AP.

All the proofs look hard to learn and to teach.

Research Easier Proof.

Szemerédi, Furstenberg, Gowers

Szemerédi, Furstenberg, Gowers have given different proofs of:

Sz Thm If A has upper pos density then, for all k , A contains a k -AP.

All the proofs look hard to learn and to teach.

Research Easier Proof.

Caveat There is a proof of Sz thm for Hales-Jewitt which is said to be elementary.

<https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.3926>

Green-Tao Thm

Thm For all k the set of primes has a k -AP.

Green-Tao Thm

Thm For all k the set of primes has a k -AP.

Seems hard to learn and teach.

Green-Tao Thm

Thm For all k the set of primes has a k -AP.

Seems hard to learn and teach.

Research Easier proof, perhaps of subcases.

Green-Tao Thm

Thm For all k the set of primes has a k -AP.

Seems hard to learn and teach.

Research Easier proof, perhaps of subcases.

Research Look for the AP's.