

BILL, RECORD LECTURE!!!!

BILL RECORD LECTURE!!!

Introduction to the La-Lb Problem

Exposition by William Gasarch-U of MD

Chromatic Number of the Plane

Chromatic Number of the Plane

Thm $\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists 2 \text{ points, same color, 1 inch apart.}$

Chromatic Number of the Plane

Thm $\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists 2 \text{ points, same color, } 1 \text{ inch apart.}$

We rephrase this but first need some definitions.

Chromatic Number of the Plane

Thm $\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists 2 \text{ points, same color, } 1 \text{ inch apart.}$

We rephrase this but first need some definitions.

Def

Chromatic Number of the Plane

Thm $\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists 2 \text{ points, same color, } 1 \text{ inch apart.}$

We rephrase this but first need some definitions.

Def

1) ℓ_2 is 2 points in the plane an inch apart.

Chromatic Number of the Plane

Thm $\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists 2 \text{ points, same color, 1 inch apart.}$

We rephrase this but first need some definitions.

Def

- 1) ℓ_2 is 2 points in the plane an inch apart.
- 2) ℓ_3 is three colinear points p_1, p_2, p_3 where $d(p_1, p_2) = d(p_2, p_3) = 1$.

Chromatic Number of the Plane

Thm $\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists 2 \text{ points, same color, 1 inch apart.}$

We rephrase this but first need some definitions.

Def

- 1) ℓ_2 is 2 points in the plane an inch apart.
- 2) ℓ_3 is three colinear points p_1, p_2, p_3 where $d(p_1, p_2) = d(p_2, p_3) = 1$.
- 3) You can define ℓ_k .

Chromatic Number of the Plane

Thm $\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists 2 \text{ points, same color, 1 inch apart.}$

We rephrase this but first need some definitions.

Def

- 1) ℓ_2 is 2 points in the plane an inch apart.
- 2) ℓ_3 is three colinear points p_1, p_2, p_3 where $d(p_1, p_2) = d(p_2, p_3) = 1$.
- 3) You can define ℓ_k .
- 4) Given a $\text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2]$ a **Red** ℓ_k is an ℓ_k where all the points in it are **Red**. Similar for a **Blue** ℓ_k

Chromatic Number of the Plane

Thm $\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists 2 \text{ points, same color, 1 inch apart.}$

We rephrase this but first need some definitions.

Def

- 1) ℓ_2 is 2 points in the plane an inch apart.
- 2) ℓ_3 is three colinear points p_1, p_2, p_3 where $d(p_1, p_2) = d(p_2, p_3) = 1$.
- 3) You can define ℓ_k .
- 4) Given a $\text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2]$ a **Red** ℓ_k is an ℓ_k where all the points in it are **Red**. Similar for a **Blue** ℓ_k

And now the restatement

Chromatic Number of the Plane

Thm $\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists 2 \text{ points, same color, 1 inch apart.}$

We rephrase this but first need some definitions.

Def

- 1) ℓ_2 is 2 points in the plane an inch apart.
- 2) ℓ_3 is three colinear points p_1, p_2, p_3 where $d(p_1, p_2) = d(p_2, p_3) = 1$.
- 3) You can define ℓ_k .
- 4) Given a $\text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2]$ a **Red** ℓ_k is an ℓ_k where all the points in it are **Red**. Similar for a **Blue** ℓ_k

And now the restatement

Thm $\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_2 .

Question

Is the following true:

Question

Is the following true:

$\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Thm $\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Question

Is the following true:

$\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Thm $\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Let $\text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2]$.

Question

Is the following true:

$\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Thm $\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Let $\text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2]$.

Case 1 There exists a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Question

Is the following true:

$\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Thm $\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Let $\text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2]$.

Case 1 There exists a **Blue** ℓ_3 . Then done.

Question

Is the following true:

$\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Thm $\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Let $\text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2]$.

Case 1 There exists a **Blue** ℓ_3 . Then done.

Case 2 There is no **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Question

Is the following true:

$\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** l_2 or a **Blue** l_3 .

Thm $\forall \text{ COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** l_2 or a **Blue** l_3 .

Let $\text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2]$.

Case 1 There exists a **Blue** l_3 . Then done.

Case 2 There is no **Blue** l_3 .

Hence for all points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

Question

Is the following true:

$\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Thm $\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Let $\text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2]$.

Case 1 There exists a **Blue** ℓ_3 . Then done.

Case 2 There is no **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Hence for all points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,
at least one of (x, y) , $(x, y + 1)$, $(x, y + 2)$ is **R**.

Question

Is the following true:

$\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** l_2 or a **Blue** l_3 .

Thm $\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** l_2 or a **Blue** l_3 .

Let $\text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2]$.

Case 1 There exists a **Blue** l_3 . Then done.

Case 2 There is no **Blue** l_3 .

Hence for all points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,
at least one of (x, y) , $(x, y + 1)$, $(x, y + 2)$ is **R**.

We define $\text{COL}': \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [3]$ as follows:

Question

Is the following true:

$\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Thm $\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Let $\text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2]$.

Case 1 There exists a **Blue** ℓ_3 . Then done.

Case 2 There is no **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Hence for all points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,
at least one of (x, y) , $(x, y + 1)$, $(x, y + 2)$ is **R**.

We define $\text{COL}': \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [3]$ as follows:

$\text{COL}'(x, y)$ is the least $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $\text{COL}(x, y + i) = \mathbf{R}$.

Question

Is the following true:

$\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Thm $\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Let $\text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2]$.

Case 1 There exists a **Blue** ℓ_3 . Then done.

Case 2 There is no **Blue** ℓ_3 .

Hence for all points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,
at least one of (x, y) , $(x, y + 1)$, $(x, y + 2)$ is **R**.

We define $\text{COL}': \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [3]$ as follows:

$\text{COL}'(x, y)$ is the least $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $\text{COL}(x, y + i) = \mathbf{R}$.

This is well defined because of the case we are in.

Use That Chromatic Number of Plane is ≤ 3

Use That Chromatic Number of Plane is ≤ 3

$\text{COL}'(x, y)$ is the least $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $\text{COL}(x, y + i) = \mathbf{R}$.

Use That Chromatic Number of Plane is ≤ 3

$\text{COL}'(x, y)$ is the least $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $\text{COL}(x, y + i) = \mathbf{R}$.

Chrom number of plane is ≤ 3 , so $\exists (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ such that

Use That Chromatic Number of Plane is ≤ 3

$\text{COL}'(x, y)$ is the least $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $\text{COL}(x, y + i) = \mathbf{R}$.

Chrom number of plane is ≤ 3 , so $\exists (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ such that

1) $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = 1$, and

Use That Chromatic Number of Plane is ≤ 3

$\text{COL}'(x, y)$ is the least $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $\text{COL}(x, y + i) = \mathbf{R}$.

Chrom number of plane is ≤ 3 , so $\exists (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ such that

1) $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = 1$, and

2) $\text{COL}'(x_1, y_1) = \text{COL}'(x_2, y_2) = i$.

Use That Chromatic Number of Plane is ≤ 3

$\text{COL}'(x, y)$ is the least $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $\text{COL}(x, y + i) = \mathbf{R}$.

Chrom number of plane is ≤ 3 , so $\exists (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ such that

1) $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = 1$, and

2) $\text{COL}'(x_1, y_1) = \text{COL}'(x_2, y_2) = i$.

Hence $\text{COL}(x_1, y_1 + i) = \mathbf{R}$ and $\text{COL}(x_2, y_2 + i) = \mathbf{R}$

Use That Chromatic Number of Plane is ≤ 3

$\text{COL}'(x, y)$ is the least $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $\text{COL}(x, y + i) = \mathbf{R}$.

Chrom number of plane is ≤ 3 , so $\exists (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ such that

1) $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = 1$, and

2) $\text{COL}'(x_1, y_1) = \text{COL}'(x_2, y_2) = i$.

Hence $\text{COL}(x_1, y_1 + i) = \mathbf{R}$ and $\text{COL}(x_2, y_2 + i) = \mathbf{R}$

Since $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = 1$, $d((x_1, y_1 + i), (x_2, y_2 + i)) = 1$,

Use That Chromatic Number of Plane is ≤ 3

$\text{COL}'(x, y)$ is the least $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $\text{COL}(x, y + i) = \mathbf{R}$.

Chrom number of plane is ≤ 3 , so $\exists (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ such that

1) $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = 1$, and

2) $\text{COL}'(x_1, y_1) = \text{COL}'(x_2, y_2) = i$.

Hence $\text{COL}(x_1, y_1 + i) = \mathbf{R}$ and $\text{COL}(x_2, y_2 + i) = \mathbf{R}$

Since $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = 1$, $d((x_1, y_1 + i), (x_2, y_2 + i)) = 1$,

So $(x_1, y_1 + 1)$ and $(x_2, y_2 + 1)$ are a **Red** ℓ_2 .

Use That Chromatic Number of Plane is ≤ 3

$\text{COL}'(x, y)$ is the least $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $\text{COL}(x, y + i) = \mathbf{R}$.

Chrom number of plane is ≤ 3 , so $\exists (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ such that

1) $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = 1$, and

2) $\text{COL}'(x_1, y_1) = \text{COL}'(x_2, y_2) = i$.

Hence $\text{COL}(x_1, y_1 + i) = \mathbf{R}$ and $\text{COL}(x_2, y_2 + i) = \mathbf{R}$

Since $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = 1$, $d((x_1, y_1 + i), (x_2, y_2 + i)) = 1$,

So $(x_1, y_1 + 1)$ and $(x_2, y_2 + 1)$ are a **Red** ℓ_2 . Done!

Can Prove Result About (ℓ_2, ℓ_4)

Using that the Chromatic Number of the Plane (χ) is ≤ 4 one can easily prove the following:

Thm $\forall \text{ COL: } \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_4 .

Can Prove Result About (ℓ_2, ℓ_4)

Using that the Chromatic Number of the Plane (χ) is ≤ 4 one can easily prove the following:

Thm $\forall \text{ COL: } \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ either a **Red** ℓ_2 or a **Blue** ℓ_4 .

Juhasz prove the above theorem without using $\chi \leq 4$. In fact, he prove the theorem in 1979, 39 years before $\chi \leq 4$ was proven.

Notation

Notation Let $a, b \geq 2$. $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_n, \ell_m)$ means

Notation

Notation Let $a, b \geq 2$. $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_n, \ell_m)$ means
 $\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ **Red** ℓ_n or **Blue** ℓ_m .

Notation

Notation Let $a, b \geq 2$. $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_n, \ell_m)$ means
 $\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ **Red** ℓ_n or **Blue** ℓ_m .

We proved $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_3)$.

Notation

Notation Let $a, b \geq 2$. $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_n, \ell_m)$ means
 $\forall \text{COL}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [2] \exists$ **Red** ℓ_n or **Blue** ℓ_m .

We proved $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_3)$.

We will soon present statements about $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_n)$

Notes About the Statements

Notes About the Statements

The next two slides have statements about what is known.

Notes About the Statements

The next two slides have statements about what is known.

All of the papers referred to are on this website:

<https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/TOPICS/ERT/ert.html>

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_n)?$$

Author and Year	Result	About $\mathbb{R}^2$
Positive Results		

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_n)?$$

Author and Year	Result	About $\mathbb{R}^2$
Positive Results		
Szlam, 1999	$(\forall X)[X = 3]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_3)$

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_n)?$$

Author and Year	Result	About $\mathbb{R}^2$
Positive Results		
Szlam, 1999	$(\forall X)[X = 3]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_3)$
Juhasz, 1979	$(\forall X)[X = 4]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_4)$

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_n)?$$

Author and Year	Result	About $\mathbb{R}^2$
Positive Results		
Szlam, 1999	$(\forall X)[X = 3]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_3)$
Juhasz, 1979	$(\forall X)[X = 4]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_4)$
Tsaturian, 2017	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_n)?$$

Author and Year	Result	About $\mathbb{R}^2$
Positive Results		
Szlam, 1999	$(\forall X)[X = 3]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_3)$
Juhasz, 1979	$(\forall X)[X = 4]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_4)$
Tsaturian, 2017	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$
Arman-Tsaturian, 2017	$\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_6)$	NONE

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_n)?$$

Author and Year	Result	About $\mathbb{R}^2$
Positive Results		
Szlam, 1999	$(\forall X)[X = 3]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_3)$
Juhasz, 1979	$(\forall X)[X = 4]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_4)$
Tsaturian, 2017	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$
Arman-Tsaturian, 2017	$\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_6)$	NONE
Negative Results		

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_n)?$$

Author and Year	Result	About $\mathbb{R}^2$
Positive Results		
Szlam, 1999	$(\forall X)[X = 3]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_3)$
Juhasz, 1979	$(\forall X)[X = 4]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_4)$
Tsaturian, 2017	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$
Arman-Tsaturian, 2017	$\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_6)$	NONE
Negative Results		
Csizmadia-Togh 1994	$\exists X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2, X = 8$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	NONE

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_n)?$$

Author and Year	Result	About $\mathbb{R}^2$
Positive Results		
Szlam, 1999	$(\forall X)[X = 3]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_3)$
Juhasz, 1979	$(\forall X)[X = 4]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_4)$
Tsaturian, 2017	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$
Arman-Tsaturian, 2017	$\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_6)$	NONE
Negative Results		
Csizmadia-Togh 1994	$\exists X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2, X = 8$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	NONE
Conlon-Fox, 2018	$(\forall n \geq 2)$ $\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{10^{10}})$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{10^{50}})$

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_n)?$$

Author and Year	Result	About $\mathbb{R}^2$
Positive Results		
Szlam, 1999	$(\forall X)[X = 3]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_3)$
Juhasz, 1979	$(\forall X)[X = 4]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_4)$
Tsaturian, 2017	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$
Arman-Tsaturian, 2017	$\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_6)$	NONE
Negative Results		
Csizmadia-Togh 1994	$\exists X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2, X = 8$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	NONE
Conlon-Fox, 2018	$(\forall n \geq 2)$ $\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{10^{10}})$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{10^{50}})$
Currier et al., 2026	$\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{6330})$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{6330})$

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_n)?$$

Author and Year	Result	About $\mathbb{R}^2$
Positive Results		
Szlam, 1999	$(\forall X)[X = 3]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_3)$
Juhasz, 1979	$(\forall X)[X = 4]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_4)$
Tsaturian, 2017	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$
Arman-Tsaturian, 2017	$\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_6)$	NONE
Negative Results		
Csizmadia-Togh 1994	$\exists X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2, X = 8$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	NONE
Conlon-Fox, 2018	$(\forall n \geq 2)$ $\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{10^{10}})$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{10^{50}})$
Currier et al., 2026	$\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{6330})$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{6330})$
Hu, 2026	$\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{175})$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{175})$

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_n)?$$

Author and Year	Result	About $\mathbb{R}^2$
Positive Results		
Szlam, 1999	$(\forall X)[X = 3]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_3)$
Juhasz, 1979	$(\forall X)[X = 4]$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_4)$
Tsaturian, 2017	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$
Arman-Tsaturian, 2017	$\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_6)$	NONE
Negative Results		
Csizmadia-Togh 1994	$\exists X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2, X = 8$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, X)$	NONE
Conlon-Fox, 2018	$(\forall n \geq 2)$ $\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{10^{10}})$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{10^{50}})$
Currier et al., 2026	$\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{6330})$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{6330})$
Hu, 2026	$\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{175})$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{175})$

Open Narrow the gap between $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{175})$.

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_n)?$$

All of the negative results hold for all $n \geq 2$. Our interest is in the $n = 2$ case.

Author and Year	Result
Positive Results	

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_n)?$$

All of the negative results hold for all $n \geq 2$. Our interest is in the $n = 2$ case.

Author and Year	Result
Positive Results	
Currier-Moore-Yip, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_3)$
Negative Results	

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_n)?$$

All of the negative results hold for all $n \geq 2$. Our interest is in the $n = 2$ case.

Author and Year	Result
Positive Results Currier-Moore-Yip, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_3)$
Negative Results Conlon-Wu, 2022	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{10^{50}})$

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_n)?$$

All of the negative results hold for all $n \geq 2$. Our interest is in the $n = 2$ case.

Author and Year	Result
Positive Results	
Currier-Moore-Yip, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_3)$
Negative Results	
Conlon-Wu, 2022	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{10^{50}})$
Fuhrer-Toth, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{1177})$

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_n)?$$

All of the negative results hold for all $n \geq 2$. Our interest is in the $n = 2$ case.

Author and Year	Result
Positive Results	
Currier-Moore-Yip, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_3)$
Negative Results	
Conlon-Wu, 2022	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{10^{50}})$
Fuhrer-Toth, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{1177})$
Currier-Moore-Yip, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{20})$
	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_{18})$
	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_5, \ell_{10})$

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_n)?$$

All of the negative results hold for all $n \geq 2$. Our interest is in the $n = 2$ case.

Author and Year	Result
Positive Results	
Currier-Moore-Yip, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_3)$
Negative Results	
Conlon-Wu, 2022	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{10^{50}})$
Fuhrer-Toth, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{1177})$
Currier-Moore-Yip, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{20})$
	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_{18})$
	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_5, \ell_{10})$

Open Problems

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_n)?$$

All of the negative results hold for all $n \geq 2$. Our interest is in the $n = 2$ case.

Author and Year	Result
Positive Results	
Currier-Moore-Yip, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_3)$
Negative Results	
Conlon-Wu, 2022	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{10^{50}})$
Fuhrer-Toth, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{1177})$
Currier-Moore-Yip, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{20})$
	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_{18})$
	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_5, \ell_{10})$

Open Problems

Narrow the gap between $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_3)$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{20})$.

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_n)?$$

All of the negative results hold for all $n \geq 2$. Our interest is in the $n = 2$ case.

Author and Year	Result
Positive Results	
Currier-Moore-Yip, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_3)$
Negative Results	
Conlon-Wu, 2022	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{10^{50}})$
Fuhrer-Toth, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{1177})$
Currier-Moore-Yip, 2024	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{20})$
	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_{18})$
	$\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow (\ell_5, \ell_{10})$

Open Problems

Narrow the gap between $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_3)$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{20})$.

The results of Fuhrer-Togh and Currie-Moore-Yip are messy. For some reasonable values of n find nice proofs that $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_n)$.

One More Result

Author and Year	Result
Negative Results Erdos et al. See Below	$\mathbb{R}_2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_6, \ell_6)$

One More Result

Author and Year	Result
Negative Results	
Erdos et al. See Below	$\mathbb{R}_2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_6, \ell_6)$

Erdős, Graham, Montgomery, Rothchild, Spencer, Strauss.

One More Result

Author and Year	Result
Negative Results Erdos et al. See Below	$\mathbb{R}_2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_6, \ell_6)$

Erdős, Graham, Montgomery, Rothchild, Spencer, Strauss.

The title of the paper is **Euclidean Ramsey Theorems I (1973)** and was (obviously) an early paper on Euclidean Ramsey Theory.

Open Problems about ℓ_2 , ℓ_3

ℓ_2

Open Problems about ℓ_2 , ℓ_3

ℓ_2

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$

Open Problems about ℓ_2 , ℓ_3

ℓ_2

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{175})$

Open Problems about ℓ_2 , ℓ_3

ℓ_2

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{175})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Open Problems about ℓ_2 , ℓ_3

ℓ_2

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{175})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

ℓ_3

Open Problems about ℓ_2 , ℓ_3

ℓ_2

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{175})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

ℓ_3

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_3)$

Open Problems about ℓ_2 , ℓ_3

ℓ_2

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{175})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

ℓ_3

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_3)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{20})$

Open Problems about ℓ_2 , ℓ_3

ℓ_2

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_5)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_2, \ell_{175})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

ℓ_3

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_3)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_{20})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Open Problems about l_4 , l_5

l_4

Open Problems about ℓ_4 , ℓ_5

ℓ_4

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 4$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_a)$

Open Problems about ℓ_4 , ℓ_5

ℓ_4

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 4$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_{18})$

Open Problems about ℓ_4 , ℓ_5

ℓ_4

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 4$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_{18})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Open Problems about ℓ_4 , ℓ_5

ℓ_4

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 4$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_{18})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Might be $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_4)$ so there is no such a .

Open Problems about ℓ_4 , ℓ_5

ℓ_4

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 4$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_{18})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Might be $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_4)$ so there is no such a .

ℓ_5

Open Problems about ℓ_4 , ℓ_5

ℓ_4

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 4$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_{18})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Might be $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_4)$ so there is no such a .

ℓ_5

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 5$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_5, \ell_a)$

Open Problems about l_4 , l_5

l_4

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 4$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (l_4, l_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_4, l_{18})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Might be $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_4, l_4)$ so there is no such a .

l_5

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 5$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (l_5, l_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_5, l_{10})$

Open Problems about ℓ_4 , ℓ_5

ℓ_4

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 4$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_{18})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Might be $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_4, \ell_4)$ so there is no such a .

ℓ_5

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 5$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_5, \ell_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (\ell_5, \ell_{10})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Open Problems about l_4 , l_5

l_4

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 4$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (l_4, l_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_4, l_{18})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Might be $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_4, l_4)$ so there is no such a .

l_5

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 5$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (l_5, l_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_5, l_{10})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Might be $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_5, l_5)$ so there is no such a .

Open Problems about l_4 , l_5

l_4

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 4$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (l_4, l_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_4, l_{18})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Might be $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_4, l_4)$ so there is no such a .

l_5

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 5$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (l_5, l_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_5, l_{10})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Might be $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_5, l_5)$ so there is no such a .

l_6

Open Problems about l_4, l_5

l_4

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 4$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (l_4, l_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_4, l_{18})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Might be $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_4, l_4)$ so there is no such a .

l_5

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 5$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (l_5, l_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_5, l_{10})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Might be $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_5, l_5)$ so there is no such a .

l_6

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_6, l_6)$.

Open Problems about l_4, l_5

l_4

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 4$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (l_4, l_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_4, l_{18})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Might be $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_4, l_4)$ so there is no such a .

l_5

Known (not) Do not know any $a \geq 5$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (l_5, l_a)$

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_5, l_{10})$

Open Narrow the Gap.

Might be $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_5, l_5)$ so there is no such a .

l_6

Known $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\rightarrow (l_6, l_6)$.

No Open Problem.

General Open Problems

General Open Problems

The papers with large numbers have nice proof since they just use numbers that work.

General Open Problems

The papers with large numbers have nice proof since they just use numbers that work.

Some of the papers with small numbers are messy and rather particular.

General Open Problems

The papers with large numbers have nice proof since they just use numbers that work.

Some of the papers with small numbers are messy and rather particular.

$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_3)$ is one of them

General Open Problems

The papers with large numbers have nice proof since they just use numbers that work.

Some of the papers with small numbers are messy and rather particular.

$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_3)$ is one of them

Open Get nicer proofs.

General Open Problems

The papers with large numbers have nice proof since they just use numbers that work.

Some of the papers with small numbers are messy and rather particular.

$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\ell_3, \ell_3)$ is one of them

Open Get nicer proofs.

Open In some cases get nicer proofs of weaker results.

What Do People Think Is True?

I emailed these slides to Conlon and Fox to ask

What Do People Think Is True?

I emailed these slides to Conlon and Fox to ask
Any results on $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow$ or $\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow$ absent? (NO.)

What Do People Think Is True?

I emailed these slides to Conlon and Fox to ask

Any results on $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow$ or $\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow$ absent? (NO.)

What does the good money say is true of the open problems?

What Do People Think Is True?

I emailed these slides to Conlon and Fox to ask

Any results on $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow$ or $\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow$ absent? (NO.)

What does the good money say is true of the open problems?

Fox's answer surprised me:

What Do People Think Is True?

I emailed these slides to Conlon and Fox to ask

Any results on $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow$ or $\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow$ absent? (NO.)

What does the good money say is true of the open problems?

Fox's answer surprised me:

Some of these problems may be independent of ZF

What Do People Think Is True?

I emailed these slides to Conlon and Fox to ask

Any results on $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow$ or $\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow$ absent? (NO.)

What does the good money say is true of the open problems?

Fox's answer surprised me:

Some of these problems may be independent of ZF

My Opinion This would be both awesome and awful.

What Do People Think Is True?

I emailed these slides to Conlon and Fox to ask

Any results on $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow$ or $\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow$ absent? (NO.)

What does the good money say is true of the open problems?

Fox's answer surprised me:

Some of these problems may be independent of ZF

My Opinion This would be both awesome and awful.

Awesome Natural Problems Ind of ZF. Cool!

What Do People Think Is True?

I emailed these slides to Conlon and Fox to ask

Any results on $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow$ or $\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow$ absent? (NO.)

What does the good money say is true of the open problems?

Fox's answer surprised me:

Some of these problems may be independent of ZF

My Opinion This would be both awesome and awful.

Awesome Natural Problems Ind of ZF. Cool!

Awful I want answers! Dagnabbit!

What Do People Think Is True?

I emailed these slides to Conlon and Fox to ask

Any results on $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow$ or $\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow$ absent? (NO.)

What does the good money say is true of the open problems?

Fox's answer surprised me:

Some of these problems may be independent of ZF

My Opinion This would be both awesome and awful.

Awesome Natural Problems Ind of ZF. Cool!

Awful I want answers! Dagnabbit!

Caveat If we assume choice these questions have answers.

What Do People Think Is True?

I emailed these slides to Conlon and Fox to ask

Any results on $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow$ or $\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow$ absent? (NO.)

What does the good money say is true of the open problems?

Fox's answer surprised me:

Some of these problems may be independent of ZF

My Opinion This would be both awesome and awful.

Awesome Natural Problems Ind of ZF. Cool!

Awful I went answers! Dagnabbit!

Caveat If we assume choice these questions have answers.

What Does Ind of ZF Mean? Proof will be hard and unlike known papers all of which are in ZF.

What Do People Think Is True?

I emailed these slides to Conlon and Fox to ask

Any results on $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow$ or $\mathbb{R}^n \not\rightarrow$ absent? (NO.)

What does the good money say is true of the open problems?

Fox's answer surprised me:

Some of these problems may be independent of ZF

My Opinion This would be both awesome and awful.

Awesome Natural Problems Ind of ZF. Cool!

Awful I went answers! Dagnabbit!

Caveat If we assume choice these questions have answers.

What Does Ind of ZF Mean? Proof will be hard and unlike known papers all of which are in ZF.

Conjectures? None.