

The Infinite a -ary Can Ramsey Thm

William Gasarch-U of MD

Min-Homog, Max-Homog, Rainbow

Def: Let $\text{COL} : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$. Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Assume $a < b$ and $c < d$.

- ▶ V is *homog* if $\text{COL}(a, b) = \text{COL}(c, d)$ iff *TRUE*.
- ▶ V is *min-homog* if $\text{COL}(a, b) = \text{COL}(c, d)$ iff $a = c$.
- ▶ V is *max-homog* if $\text{COL}(a, b) = \text{COL}(c, d)$ iff $b = d$.
- ▶ V is *rainb* if $\text{COL}(a, b) = \text{COL}(c, d)$ iff $a = c$ and $b = d$.

Can Ramsey Thm for $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$: For all $\text{COL} : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$, there exists an infinite set V such that V is homog OR min-homog OR max-homog OR rainb.

Restate So We Can Generalize

Def: Let $\text{COL} : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$. Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Assume $a_1 < a_2$ and $b_1 < b_2$.

- ▶ V is *homog* if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2)$ iff *TRUE*. So $\text{COL}(x, y)$ does not depend on the first or second coordinate. We call this \emptyset -homog.
- ▶ V is *min-homog* if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2)$ iff $a_1 = b_1$. So $\text{COL}(x, y)$ depend on the first coordinate only. We call this $\{1\}$ -homog.
- ▶ V is *max-homog* if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2)$ iff $a_2 = b_2$. So $\text{COL}(x, y)$ depend on the second coordinate only. Can call this $\{2\}$ -homog.
- ▶ V is *rainb* if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2)$ iff $a_1 = b_1$ and $a_2 = b_2$. So $\text{COL}(x, y)$ depend on the first and second coordinate only. Can call this $\{1, 2\}$ -homog.

Can Ramsey Thm for $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$: For all $\text{COL} : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$, there exists $A \subseteq \{1, 2\}$ and an infinite set V such that V is A -homog.

All 8 Cases For 3-Ary Can Ramsey

COL : $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{3} \rightarrow \omega$. $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ and $b_1 < b_2 < b_3$.

All 8 Cases For 3-Ary Can Ramsey

$\text{COL} : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{3} \rightarrow \omega$. $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ and $b_1 < b_2 < b_3$.
 V is \emptyset -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff *TRUE*.

All 8 Cases For 3-Ary Can Ramsey

$\text{COL} : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{3} \rightarrow \omega$. $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ and $b_1 < b_2 < b_3$.

V is \emptyset -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff *TRUE*.

V is $\{1\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_1 = b_1$.

All 8 Cases For 3-Ary Can Ramsey

$\text{COL} : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{3} \rightarrow \omega$. $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ and $b_1 < b_2 < b_3$.

V is \emptyset -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff *TRUE*.

V is $\{1\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_1 = b_1$.

V is $\{2\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_2 = b_2$.

All 8 Cases For 3-Ary Can Ramsey

$\text{COL} : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{3} \rightarrow \omega$. $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ and $b_1 < b_2 < b_3$.

V is \emptyset -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff *TRUE*.

V is $\{1\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_1 = b_1$.

V is $\{2\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_2 = b_2$.

V is $\{3\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_3 = b_3$.

All 8 Cases For 3-Ary Can Ramsey

$\text{COL} : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{3} \rightarrow \omega$. $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ and $b_1 < b_2 < b_3$.

V is \emptyset -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff *TRUE*.

V is $\{1\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_1 = b_1$.

V is $\{2\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_2 = b_2$.

V is $\{3\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_3 = b_3$.

V is $\{1, 2\}$ -homog if

$\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $(a_1 = b_1) \wedge (a_2 = b_2)$.

All 8 Cases For 3-Ary Can Ramsey

$\text{COL} : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{3} \rightarrow \omega$. $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ and $b_1 < b_2 < b_3$.

V is \emptyset -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff *TRUE*.

V is $\{1\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_1 = b_1$.

V is $\{2\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_2 = b_2$.

V is $\{3\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_3 = b_3$.

V is $\{1, 2\}$ -homog if

$\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $(a_1 = b_1) \wedge (a_2 = b_2)$.

V is $\{1, 3\}$ -homog if

$\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $(a_1 = b_1) \wedge (a_3 = b_3)$.

All 8 Cases For 3-Ary Can Ramsey

$\text{COL} : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{3} \rightarrow \omega$. $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ and $b_1 < b_2 < b_3$.

V is \emptyset -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff *TRUE*.

V is $\{1\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_1 = b_1$.

V is $\{2\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_2 = b_2$.

V is $\{3\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_3 = b_3$.

V is $\{1, 2\}$ -homog if

$\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $(a_1 = b_1) \wedge (a_2 = b_2)$.

V is $\{1, 3\}$ -homog if

$\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $(a_1 = b_1) \wedge (a_3 = b_3)$.

V is $\{2, 3\}$ -homog if

$\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $(a_2 = b_2) \wedge (a_3 = b_3)$.

All 8 Cases For 3-Ary Can Ramsey

$\text{COL} : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{3} \rightarrow \omega$. $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ and $b_1 < b_2 < b_3$.

V is \emptyset -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff *TRUE*.

V is $\{1\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_1 = b_1$.

V is $\{2\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_2 = b_2$.

V is $\{3\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $a_3 = b_3$.

V is $\{1, 2\}$ -homog if

$\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $(a_1 = b_1) \wedge (a_2 = b_2)$.

V is $\{1, 3\}$ -homog if

$\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $(a_1 = b_1) \wedge (a_3 = b_3)$.

V is $\{2, 3\}$ -homog if

$\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $(a_2 = b_2) \wedge (a_3 = b_3)$.

V is $\{1, 2, 3\}$ -homog if $\text{COL}(a_1, a_2, a_3) =$

$\text{COL}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ iff $(a_1 = b_1) \wedge (a_2 = b_2) \wedge (a_3 = b_3)$.

3-ary Can Ramsey

Can Ramsey Thm for $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{3}$: For all COL : $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{3} \rightarrow \omega$, there exists $A \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$ and an infinite set V such that V is A -homog.

All 8 Types are Possible

Define $\text{COL} : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{3} \rightarrow \omega$ by

$$\text{COL}(x < y < z) = (x, z)$$

Then \mathbb{N} is a $(1, 3)$ -homog set.

All 8 Types are Possible

Define $\text{COL} : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{3} \rightarrow \omega$ by

$$\text{COL}(x < y < z) = (x, z)$$

Then \mathbb{N} is a $(1, 3)$ -homog set.

The rest of the cases are similar.

Proofs of 3-ary Can Ramsey

There are three proofs of 3-ary Ramsey (there are probably more).

Proofs of 3-ary Can Ramsey

There are three proofs of 3-ary Ramsey (there are probably more).

1. One is similar to the proof of 2-ary Ramsey that used 4-ary. It uses 6-ary.

Proofs of 3-ary Can Ramsey

There are three proofs of 3-ary Ramsey (there are probably more).

1. One is similar to the proof of 2-ary Ramsey that used 4-ary. It uses 6-ary.
2. One is similar to the proof of 2-ary Ramsey that used 3-ary. It uses 5-ary (I think).

Proofs of 3-ary Can Ramsey

There are three proofs of 3-ary Ramsey (there are probably more).

1. One is similar to the proof of 2-ary Ramsey that used 4-ary. It uses 6-ary.
2. One is similar to the proof of 2-ary Ramsey that used 3-ary. It uses 5-ary (I think).
3. One is similar to the proof of 3-hypergraph Ramsey.

a-ary Can Ramsey

a-ary Can Ramsey

Erdos and Rado showed that $CR_a(k)$ is bounded by a function related to $R_{2a}(k)$ in 1950.

a-ary Can Ramsey

Erdos and Rado showed that $CR_a(k)$ is bounded by a function related to $R_{2a}(k)$ in 1950.

This proof is similar to our proof of CR_2 using 4-ary Ramsey.

a-ary Can Ramsey

Erdos and Rado showed that $CR_a(k)$ is bounded by a function related to $R_{2a}(k)$ in 1950.

This proof is similar to our proof of CR_2 using 4-ary Ramsey.

Erdos and Rado showed that $CR_a(k)$ is bounded by a function related to $R_{2a-1}(k)$ in 1986.

a -ary Can Ramsey

Erdos and Rado showed that $CR_a(k)$ is bounded by a function related to $R_{2a}(k)$ in 1950.

This proof is similar to our proof of CR_2 using 4-ary Ramsey.

Erdos and Rado showed that $CR_a(k)$ is bounded by a function related to $R_{2a-1}(k)$ in 1986.

This proof is similar to our proof of CR_2 using 3-ary Ramsey.

a-ary Can Ramsey

Erdos and Rado showed that $CR_a(k)$ is bounded by a function related to $R_{2a}(k)$ in 1950.

This proof is similar to our proof of CR_2 using 4-ary Ramsey.

Erdos and Rado showed that $CR_a(k)$ is bounded by a function related to $R_{2a-1}(k)$ in 1986.

This proof is similar to our proof of CR_2 using 3-ary Ramsey.

Miletti's did not finitize his proof. My student Milan Tan did and its a mess

a-ary Can Ramsey

Erdos and Rado showed that $CR_a(k)$ is bounded by a function related to $R_{2a}(k)$ in 1950.

This proof is similar to our proof of CR_2 using 4-ary Ramsey.

Erdos and Rado showed that $CR_a(k)$ is bounded by a function related to $R_{2a-1}(k)$ in 1986.

This proof is similar to our proof of CR_2 using 3-ary Ramsey.

Miletti's did not finitize his proof. My student Milan Tan did and its a mess

Milettie did not use any prior Ramsey Theorem.

a -ary Can Ramsey

Erdos and Rado showed that $CR_a(k)$ is bounded by a function related to $R_{2a}(k)$ in 1950.

This proof is similar to our proof of CR_2 using 4-ary Ramsey.

Erdos and Rado showed that $CR_a(k)$ is bounded by a function related to $R_{2a-1}(k)$ in 1986.

This proof is similar to our proof of CR_2 using 3-ary Ramsey.

Miletti's did not finitize his proof. My student Milan Tan did and its a mess

Miletti did not use any prior Ramsey Theorem.

We might later discuss why he did this.