BILL, RECORD LECTURE!!!!

BILL RECORD LECTURE!!!

From Infinite Ramsey To Finite Ramsey

Exposition by William Gasarch

January 8, 2026

Notation

Let $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let A be a set. A can be finite or infinite.

Notation

Let $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let A be a set. A can be finite or infinite.

1. $\mathbb{N}$ is the naturals which are $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$.

Notation

Let $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let A be a set. A can be finite or infinite.

1. $\mathbb{N}$ is the naturals which are $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$.
2. $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Notation

Let $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let A be a set. A can be finite or infinite.

1. $\mathbb{N}$ is the naturals which are $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$.
2. $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
3. 2^A is the powerset of A.

Notation

Let $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let A be a set. A can be finite or infinite.

1. $\mathbb{N}$ is the naturals which are $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$.
2. $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
3. 2^A is the powerset of A.
4. $\binom{A}{a}$ is the set of all a-sized subsets of A.

Notation

Let $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let A be a set. A can be finite or infinite.

1. $\mathbb{N}$ is the naturals which are $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$.
2. $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
3. 2^A is the powerset of A.
4. $\binom{A}{a}$ is the set of all a-sized subsets of A.

Let $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{A}{2} \to [2]$. A set $H \subseteq A$ is **homogenous** if COL restricted to $\binom{H}{2}$ is constant. (From now on **homog**.)

Infinite And Finite Ramsey Thm

Infinite Ramsey Thm

Infinite And Finite Ramsey Thm

Infinite Ramsey Thm

Thm For all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \to [2]$ there exists an infinite homog set.

Infinite And Finite Ramsey Thm

Infinite Ramsey Thm

Thm For all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \to [2]$ there exists an infinite homog set.

Finite Ramsey Thm

Infinite And Finite Ramsey Thm

Infinite Ramsey Thm

Thm For all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \to [2]$ there exists an infinite homog set.

Finite Ramsey Thm

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \to [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

Infinite And Finite Ramsey Thm

Infinite Ramsey Thm

Thm For all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists an infinite homog set.

Finite Ramsey Thm

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

We have already proven the **Infinite Ramsey Thm.**

Infinite And Finite Ramsey Thm

Infinite Ramsey Thm
Thm For all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \to [2]$ there exists an infinite homog set.

Finite Ramsey Thm
Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \to [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

We have already proven the **Infinite Ramsey Thm.**

We will prove **The Finite Ramsey** from **The Infinite Ramsey.**

Proof of the Finite Ramsey Thm From The Infinite Ramsey Thm

First Step of The Proof

First Step of The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \to [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

First Step of The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

Assume, by way of contradiction, that

First Step of The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

Assume, by way of contradiction, that

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[\mathbf{n}]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ with no homog set of size k).

First Step of The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

Assume, by way of contradiction, that

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[\mathbf{n}]}{\mathbf{2}} \rightarrow [2]$ **with no homog set of size k).**

Say $k = 182$. There is a coloring of $\binom{[10^{100}]}{2}$ with no homog set of size 182.

First Step of The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

Assume, by way of contradiction, that

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[\mathbf{n}]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ with no homog set of size k).

Say $k = 182$. There is a coloring of $\binom{[10^{100}]}{2}$ with no homog set of size 182. That seems unlikely.

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[\mathbf{n}]}{\mathbf{2}} \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}]$ **with no homog set of size** k**).**

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[\mathbf{n}]}{\mathbf{2}} \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}]$ **with no homog set of size** k**).**

The following exist

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[\mathbf{n}]}{\mathbf{2}} \rightarrow [2]$ **with no homog set of size** k**).**

The following exist

$COL_0\colon \binom{[k]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[\mathbf{n}]}{\mathbf{2}} \rightarrow [2]$ **with no homog set of size** k**).**

The following exist

$COL_0\colon \binom{[k]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$COL_1\colon \binom{[k+1]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[\mathbf{n}]}{\mathbf{2}} \rightarrow [2]$ **with no homog set of size** k**).**

The following exist

$COL_0\colon \binom{[k]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$COL_1\colon \binom{[k+1]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$COL_2\colon \binom{[k+2]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[\mathbf{n}]}{\mathbf{2}} \rightarrow [2]$ **with no homog set of size** k**).**

The following exist

$COL_0\colon \binom{[k]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$COL_1\colon \binom{[k+1]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$COL_2\colon \binom{[k+2]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[\mathbf{n}]}{\mathbf{2}} \to [2]$ **with no homog set of size** k**).**

The following exist

$COL_0\colon \binom{[k]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$COL_1\colon \binom{[k+1]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$COL_2\colon \binom{[k+2]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

$COL_L\colon \binom{[k+L]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[\mathbf{n}]}{\mathbf{2}} \to [\mathbf{2}]$ **with no homog set of size k).**

The following exist

$COL_0\colon \binom{[k]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$COL_1\colon \binom{[k+1]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$COL_2\colon \binom{[k+2]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

$COL_L\colon \binom{[k+L]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[\mathbf{n}]}{\mathbf{2}} \rightarrow [2]$ **with no homog set of size** k**).**

The following exist

$COL_0\colon \binom{[k]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$COL_1\colon \binom{[k+1]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$COL_2\colon \binom{[k+2]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

$COL_L\colon \binom{[k+L]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

We use $\mathrm{COL}_0, \mathrm{COL}_1, \ldots$ to form

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[\mathbf{n}]}{\mathbf{2}} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k).

The following exist

$COL_0\colon \binom{[k]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$COL_1\colon \binom{[k+1]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$COL_2\colon \binom{[k+2]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

$COL_L\colon \binom{[k+L]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

We use $\mathrm{COL}_0, \mathrm{COL}_1, \ldots$ to form
$\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \to [2]$.

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[\mathbf{n}]}{\mathbf{2}} \to [2]$ **with no homog set of size** k**).**

The following exist

$COL_0\colon \binom{[k]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$COL_1\colon \binom{[k+1]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$COL_2\colon \binom{[k+2]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

$COL_L\colon \binom{[k+L]}{2} \to [2]$ with no homog set of size k.

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

We use $\mathrm{COL}_0, \mathrm{COL}_1, \ldots$ to form

$\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \to [2]$.

We will use the inf Ramsey Theory to get a contradiction.

Forming COL

Forming COL

Let $e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots$ be a list of every element of $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$.

Forming COL

Let $e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots$ be a list of every element of $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$.

We will color e_1, then e_2, etc.

Forming COL

Let $e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots$ be a list of every element of $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$.

We will color e_1, then e_2, etc.
Let $e_1 = (1, 2)$.

Forming COL

Let $e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots$ be a list of every element of $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$.

We will color e_1, then e_2, etc.

Let $e_1 = (1, 2)$. How should we color e_1?

Forming COL

Let $e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots$ be a list of every element of $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$.

We will color e_1, then e_2, etc.

Let $e_1 = (1, 2)$. How should we color e_1? Discuss.

Forming COL

Let $e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots$ be a list of every element of $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$.

We will color e_1, then e_2, etc.

Let $e_1 = (1, 2)$. How should we color e_1? Discuss.

Answer on the next slide

Forming $\mathbf{COL}(1,2)$

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(1, 2)$

COL_0 colors $(1, 2)$ **R**

Forming $\mathbf{COL}(1,2)$

COL_0 colors $(1,2)$ **R**

COL_1 colors $(1,2)$ **B**

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(1, 2)$

COL_0 colors $(1, 2)$ **R**

COL_1 colors $(1, 2)$ **B**

COL_2 colors $(1, 2)$ **B**

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(1,2)$

COL_0 colors $(1,2)$ **R**

COL_1 colors $(1,2)$ **B**

COL_2 colors $(1,2)$ **B**

COL_3 colors $(1,2)$ **R**

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(1,2)$

COL_0 colors $(1,2)$ **R**

COL_1 colors $(1,2)$ **B**

COL_2 colors $(1,2)$ **B**

COL_3 colors $(1,2)$ **R**

$\vdots$ $\vdots$ (No pattern implied)

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(1,2)$

COL_0 colors $(1,2)$ **R**

COL_1 colors $(1,2)$ **B**

COL_2 colors $(1,2)$ **B**

COL_3 colors $(1,2)$ **R**

$\vdots \quad \vdots$ (No pattern implied)

In this list either **R** or **B** occurs infinitely often.

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(1,2)$

COL_0 colors $(1,2)$ **R**

COL_1 colors $(1,2)$ **B**

COL_2 colors $(1,2)$ **B**

COL_3 colors $(1,2)$ **R**

$\vdots \qquad \vdots$ (No pattern implied)

In this list either **R** or **B** occurs infinitely often.

$\mathrm{COL}(e_1) =$ **R** if $|\{y : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(1,2)$

COL_0 colors $(1,2)$ **R**

COL_1 colors $(1,2)$ **B**

COL_2 colors $(1,2)$ **B**

COL_3 colors $(1,2)$ **R**

$\vdots \quad \vdots$ (No pattern implied)

In this list either **R** or **B** occurs infinitely often.

$\mathrm{COL}(e_1) =$ **R** if $|\{y : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) = \mathbf{R}\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

What about e_2?

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(1,2)$

COL_0 colors $(1,2)$ **R**

COL_1 colors $(1,2)$ **B**

COL_2 colors $(1,2)$ **B**

COL_3 colors $(1,2)$ **R**

$\vdots$ $\vdots$ (No pattern implied)

In this list either **R** or **B** occurs infinitely often.

$\mathrm{COL}(e_1) =$ **R** if $|\{y \colon \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) = \mathbf{R}\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

What about e_2? Discuss.

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(1,2)$

COL_0 colors $(1,2)$ **R**

COL_1 colors $(1,2)$ **B**

COL_2 colors $(1,2)$ **B**

COL_3 colors $(1,2)$ **R**

$\vdots \qquad \vdots$ (No pattern implied)

In this list either **R** or **B** occurs infinitely often.

$\mathrm{COL}(e_1) =$ **R** if $|\{y : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) = \mathbf{R}\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

What about e_2? Discuss. Answer on Next Slide.

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(e_2)$

You might think:

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(e_2)$

You might think:

$\mathrm{COL}(e_2) =$ **R** if $|\{y \colon \mathrm{COL}_y(e_2) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(e_2)$

You might think:

$\mathrm{COL}(e_2) = $ **R** if $|\{y : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_2) = $ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

No!

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(e_2)$

You might think:

$\mathrm{COL}(e_2) = $ **R** if $|\{y : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_2) = $ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

No! (you probably guessed that from my **You might think**)

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(e_2)$

You might think:

$\mathrm{COL}(e_2) =$ **R** if $|\{y : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_2) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

No! (you probably guessed that from my **You might think**)

Some COL_i's disagree on $\mathrm{COL}(e_1)$. What will we do to them?

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(e_2)$

You might think:

$\mathrm{COL}(e_2) =$ **R** if $|\{y \colon \mathrm{COL}_y(e_2) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

No! (you probably guessed that from my **You might think**)

Some COL_i's disagree on $\mathrm{COL}(e_1)$. What will we do to them?
KILL ALL COLORINGS THAT DISAGREE!

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(e_2)$

You might think:

$\mathrm{COL}(e_2) =$ **R** if $|\{y : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_2) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

No! (you probably guessed that from my **You might think**)

Some COL_i's disagree on $\mathrm{COL}(e_1)$. What will we do to them?
KILL ALL COLORINGS THAT DISAGREE!
$\mathrm{COL}(e_2) =$ **R** if
$|\{y : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_2) =$ **R** $\wedge \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) = \mathrm{COL}(e_1)\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

Forming $\mathrm{COL}(e_2)$

You might think:

$\mathrm{COL}(e_2) =$ **R** if $|\{y : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_2) = \mathbf{R}\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

No! (you probably guessed that from my **You might think**)

Some COL_i's disagree on $\mathrm{COL}(e_1)$. What will we do to them?
KILL ALL COLORINGS THAT DISAGREE!
$\mathrm{COL}(e_2) =$ **R** if
$|\{y : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_2) = \mathbf{R} \wedge \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) = \mathrm{COL}(e_1)\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

We do the full COL on the next slide.

Forming COL

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y **still alive**. It will be ∞.)

Forming COL

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y **still alive**. It will be ∞.)

$\mathrm{COL}(e_1) =$ **R** if $|\{y \in I_1 \colon \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

Forming COL

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y **still alive**. It will be ∞.)

$\mathrm{COL}(e_1) =$ **R** if $|\{y \in I_1 : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) = \mathbf{R}\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

$I_2 = \{y \in I_1 : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) = \mathrm{COL}(e_1)\}$

Forming COL

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y **still alive**. It will be ∞.)

$\mathrm{COL}(e_1) =$ **R** if $|\{y \in I_1 \colon \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

$I_2 = \{y \in I_1 \colon \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) = \mathrm{COL}(e_1)\}$

$\mathrm{COL}(e_2) =$ **R** if $|\{y \in I_2 \colon \mathrm{COL}_y(e_2) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

Forming COL

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y **still alive**. It will be ∞.)
$\mathrm{COL}(e_1) =$ **R** if $|\{y \in I_1 : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

$I_2 = \{y \in I_1 : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) = \mathrm{COL}(e_1)\}$
$\mathrm{COL}(e_2) =$ **R** if $|\{y \in I_2 : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_2) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

Assume $\mathrm{COL}(e_1)$, ..., $\mathrm{COL}(e_s)$, I_{s+1} are defined.

Forming COL

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y **still alive**. It will be ∞.)

$\mathrm{COL}(e_1) =$ **R** if $|\{y \in I_1 : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

$I_2 = \{y \in I_1 : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) = \mathrm{COL}(e_1)\}$

$\mathrm{COL}(e_2) =$ **R** if $|\{y \in I_2 : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_2) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

Assume $\mathrm{COL}(e_1), \ldots, \mathrm{COL}(e_s)$, I_{s+1} are defined.

$\mathrm{COL}(e_{s+1}) =$ **R** if $|\{y \in I_{s+1} : \mathrm{COL}_y(e_{s+1}) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

Forming COL

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y **still alive**. It will be ∞.)

$\mathrm{COL}(e_1) =$ **R** if $|\{y \in I_1 \colon \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

$I_2 = \{y \in I_1 \colon \mathrm{COL}_y(e_1) = \mathrm{COL}(e_1)\}$

$\mathrm{COL}(e_2) =$ **R** if $|\{y \in I_2 \colon \mathrm{COL}_y(e_2) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

Assume $\mathrm{COL}(e_1), \ldots, \mathrm{COL}(e_s), I_{s+1}$ are defined.

$\mathrm{COL}(e_{s+1}) =$ **R** if $|\{y \in I_{s+1} \colon \mathrm{COL}_y(e_{s+1}) =$ **R**$\}| = \infty$, **B** OW.

$I_{s+2} = \{y \in I_{s+1} \colon \mathrm{COL}_y(e_{s+1}) = \mathrm{COL}(e_{s+1})\}$

Using COL To Get a Contradiction

We have defined $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \to [2]$.

Using COL To Get a Contradiction

We have defined $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \to [2]$.

By **The Infinite Ramsey Thm** there exists infinite homog set

$$H = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4 < \cdots\}$$

Using COL To Get a Contradiction

We have defined $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow [2]$.

By **The Infinite Ramsey Thm** there exists infinite homog set

$$H = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4 < \cdots\}$$

Look at COL restricted to $\binom{\{x_1,\ldots,x_k\}}{2}$.

Using COL To Get a Contradiction

We have defined $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow [2]$.

By **The Infinite Ramsey Thm** there exists infinite homog set

$$H = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4 < \cdots\}$$

Look at COL restricted to $\binom{\{x_1,\ldots,x_k\}}{2}$.
By the construction there is an L (actually infinitely many L) such that COL and COL_L agree on $\binom{\{x_1,\ldots,x_k\}}{2}$.

Using COL To Get a Contradiction

We have defined $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \to [2]$.

By **The Infinite Ramsey Thm** there exists infinite homog set

$$H = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4 < \cdots\}$$

Look at COL restricted to $\binom{\{x_1,\ldots,x_k\}}{2}$.
By the construction there is an L (actually infinitely many L) such that COL and COL_L agree on $\binom{\{x_1,\ldots,x_k\}}{2}$.
Hence there is a homog set of size k for COL_L.

Using COL To Get a Contradiction

We have defined $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \to [2]$.

By **The Infinite Ramsey Thm** there exists infinite homog set

$$H = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4 < \cdots\}$$

Look at COL restricted to $\binom{\{x_1,\ldots,x_k\}}{2}$.
By the construction there is an L (actually infinitely many L) such that COL and COL_L agree on $\binom{\{x_1,\ldots,x_k\}}{2}$.
Hence there is a homog set of size k for COL_L.
This is a contradiction since COL_L has no homog sets of size k.

Comments On The Proof

Comments On The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

Comments On The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

BILL: So we have proven that, for all k, there is an $n = R(k)$.

Comments On The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

BILL: So we have proven that, for all k, there is an $n = R(k)$.

STUDENT: Great! what is $R(10)$?

Comments On The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

BILL: So we have proven that, for all k, there is an $n = R(k)$.

STUDENT: Great! what is $R(10)$?

BILL: We showed $R(10)$ exists by showing there is SOME n such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there is a homog set of size k.

Comments On The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

BILL: So we have proven that, for all k, there is an $n = R(k)$.

STUDENT: Great! what is $R(10)$?

BILL: We showed $R(10)$ exists by showing there is SOME n such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there is a homog set of size k.

STUDENT: Surely the proof gives an upper bound on $R(10)$!

Comments On The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

BILL: So we have proven that, for all k, there is an $n = R(k)$.

STUDENT: Great! what is $R(10)$?

BILL: We showed $R(10)$ exists by showing there is SOME n such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there is a homog set of size k.

STUDENT: Surely the proof gives an upper bound on $R(10)$!

BILL: The proof is nonconstructive. And don't call me Shirley.

Comments On The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

BILL: So we have proven that, for all k, there is an $n = R(k)$.

STUDENT: Great! what is $R(10)$?

BILL: We showed $R(10)$ exists by showing there is SOME n such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there is a homog set of size k.

STUDENT: Surely the proof gives an upper bound on $R(10)$!

BILL: The proof is nonconstructive. And don't call me Shirley.

STUDENT: Dagnabbit! I want a bound on $R(10)$!

Comments On The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

BILL: So we have proven that, for all k, there is an $n = R(k)$.

STUDENT: Great! what is $R(10)$?

BILL: We showed $R(10)$ exists by showing there is SOME n such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there is a homog set of size k.

STUDENT: Surely the proof gives an upper bound on $R(10)$!

BILL: The proof is nonconstructive. And don't call me Shirley.

STUDENT: Dagnabbit! I want a bound on $R(10)$!

BILL: You want a bound on $R(10)$ factorial?

Comments On The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

BILL: So we have proven that, for all k, there is an $n = R(k)$.

STUDENT: Great! what is $R(10)$?

BILL: We showed $R(10)$ exists by showing there is SOME n such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there is a homog set of size k.

STUDENT: Surely the proof gives an upper bound on $R(10)$!

BILL: The proof is nonconstructive. And don't call me Shirley.

STUDENT: Dagnabbit! I want a bound on $R(10)$!

BILL: You want a bound on $R(10)$ factorial?

STUDENT: No you muffinhead, I want a bound on $R(10)$ and I feel strongly about it!

Comments On The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

BILL: So we have proven that, for all k, there is an $n = R(k)$.

STUDENT: Great! what is $R(10)$?

BILL: We showed $R(10)$ exists by showing there is SOME n such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there is a homog set of size k.

STUDENT: Surely the proof gives an upper bound on $R(10)$!

BILL: The proof is nonconstructive. And don't call me Shirley.

STUDENT: Dagnabbit! I want a bound on $R(10)$!

BILL: You want a bound on $R(10)$ factorial?

STUDENT: No you muffinhead, I want a bound on $R(10)$ and I feel strongly about it!

BILL: Then you shall have it!

Comments On The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = R(k)$ such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

BILL: So we have proven that, for all k, there is an $n = R(k)$.

STUDENT: Great! what is $R(10)$?

BILL: We showed $R(10)$ exists by showing there is SOME n such that for all $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there is a homog set of size k.

STUDENT: Surely the proof gives an upper bound on $R(10)$!

BILL: The proof is nonconstructive. And don't call me Shirley.

STUDENT: Dagnabbit! I want a bound on $R(10)$!

BILL: You want a bound on $R(10)$ factorial?

STUDENT: No you muffinhead, I want a bound on $R(10)$ and I feel strongly about it!

BILL: Then you shall have it! Later in the course.

3-Ary Infinite to Finite

3-Ary Infinite to Finite

We proved finite 2-ary Ramsey FROM infinite 2-ary Ramsey.

3-Ary Infinite to Finite

We proved finite 2-ary Ramsey FROM infinite 2-ary Ramsey.
That proof did not give any bounds on $R_2(k)$.

3-Ary Infinite to Finite

We proved finite 2-ary Ramsey FROM infinite 2-ary Ramsey.
That proof did not give any bounds on $R_2(k)$.
Then a direct proof of finite 2-ary Ramsey, sim to infinite.

3-Ary Infinite to Finite

We proved finite 2-ary Ramsey FROM infinite 2-ary Ramsey.
That proof did not give any bounds on $R_2(k)$.
Then a direct proof of finite 2-ary Ramsey, sim to infinite.

You can prove finite a-ary Ramsey from infinite a-ary Ramsey.

3-Ary Infinite to Finite

We proved finite 2-ary Ramsey FROM infinite 2-ary Ramsey.
That proof did not give any bounds on $R_2(k)$.
Then a direct proof of finite 2-ary Ramsey, sim to infinite.

You can prove finite a-ary Ramsey from infinite a-ary Ramsey.
That proof did not give any bounds on $R_a(k)$.

3-Ary Infinite to Finite

We proved finite 2-ary Ramsey FROM infinite 2-ary Ramsey.
That proof did not give any bounds on $R_2(k)$.
Then a direct proof of finite 2-ary Ramsey, sim to infinite.

You can prove finite a-ary Ramsey from infinite a-ary Ramsey.
That proof did not give any bounds on $R_a(k)$.

Next lecture we will give a direct proof of 3-ary Ramsey which gives bounds on $R_3(k)$.

3-Ary Infinite to Finite

We proved finite 2-ary Ramsey FROM infinite 2-ary Ramsey.
That proof did not give any bounds on $R_2(k)$.
Then a direct proof of finite 2-ary Ramsey, sim to infinite.

You can prove finite a-ary Ramsey from infinite a-ary Ramsey.
That proof did not give any bounds on $R_a(k)$.

Next lecture we will give a direct proof of 3-ary Ramsey which gives bounds on $R_3(k)$.

That proof easily extends to $R_a(k)$.