

Computability Theory and Ramsey Theory

An Exposition by William Gasarch

All of the results in this document are due to Jockusch [1].

1 A Computable Coloring with NO Infinite c.e. Homog Sets

All of the results in this

Notation 1.1

1. M_1, M_2, \dots is a standard list of Turing Machines.
2. Note that from e we can extract the code for M_e .
3. $M_{e,s}(x)$ means that we run M_e for s steps.
4. W_e is the domain of M_e , that is,

$$W_e = \{x \mid (\exists s)[M_{e,s}(x) \downarrow]\}.$$

Note that W_1, W_2, \dots is a list of ALL c.e. sets.

- 5.

$$W_{e,s} = \{x \mid M_{e,s}(x) \downarrow\}.$$

Theorem 1.2 *There exists a computable $COL : \binom{N}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ such that there is NO infinite c.e. homog set.*

Proof: We construct $COL : \binom{N}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ to satisfy the following requirements (NOTE- *requirements* is the most important word in computability theory.)

$R_e : W_e \text{ infinite} \implies W_e \text{ NOT a homog set .}$

CONSTRUCTION OF COLORING

Stage 0: COL is not defined on anything.

Stage s : We define $COL(0, s), \dots, COL(s - 1, s)$. For $e = 0, 1, \dots, s$:

If this occurs:

$$(\exists x, y \leq s - 1)[x, y \in W_{e,s} \wedge COL(x, s), COL(y, s) \text{ undefined}]$$

then take the LEAST two x, y for which this is the case and do the following:

- $COL(x, s) = RED$
- $COL(y, s) = BLUE$.

(Note that IF $s \in W_e$ (which we do not know at this time) then R_e would be satisfied.)

After you to through all of the $0 \leq e \leq s$ define all other $COL(x, y)$ where $0 \leq x < y \leq s$ that have not been defined by $COL(x, y) = RED$. This is arbitrary. The important things is that ALL $COL(x, s)$ where $0 \leq x \leq s - 1$ are now defined. This is why COL is computable— at stage s we have defined all $COL(x, y)$ with $0 \leq x < y \leq s$.

END OF CONSTRUCTION

We show that each requirement is eventually satisfied.

For pedagogue we first look at R_1 .

If W_1 is finite then R_1 is satisfied.

Assume W_1 is infinite. We show that R_1 is satisfied. Let $x < y$ be the least two elements in W_1 . Let s_0 be the least number such that $x, y \in W_{1,s_0}$. Note that, for ALL $s \geq s_0$ you will have

$$COL(x, s) = RED$$

$$COL(y, s) = BLUE$$

Since W_1 is infinite there is SOME $s \geq s_0$ with $s \in W_e$. Hence $x, y, s \in W_1$ and show that W_1 is NOT homogenous.

Can we show R_2 is satisfied the same way? Yes but with a caveat- we won't use the least two elements of W_2 . We'll use the least two elements of W_2 that are bigger than the least two elements of W_1 . We now do this rigorously and more generally.

Claim: For all e , R_e is satisfied:

Proof: Fix e . If W_e is finite then R_e is satisfied.

Assume W_e is infinite. We show that R_e is satisfied. Let $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{2e}$ be the first (numerically) $2e$ elements of W_e . Let s_0 be the least number such that

- $x_1, \dots, x_{2e} \in W_{e, s_0}$, and
- For all $x \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{2e}\}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq e - 1$, if $x \in W_i$ then $x \in W_{i, s_0}$.

KEY: for all $s \geq s_0$, during stage s , the requirements R_1, \dots, R_{e-1} may define $COL(x, s)$ for some of the $x \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{2e}\}$. But they will NOT define $COL(x, s)$ for ALL of those x . Why? Because R_i only defines $COL(x, s)$ for at most TWO of those x 's, and there are $e - 1$ such i , so at most $2e - 2$ of those x 's have $COL(x, s)$ defined. Hence there will exist x, y such that R_e gets to define $COL(x, s)$ and $COL(y, s)$. Furthermore, they will always be the SAME x, y since the R_i with $i < e$ have already made up their minds about the x in $\{x_1, \dots, x_{2e}\}$.

UPSHOT: There exists $x, y \in W_e$ such that, for all $s \geq s_0$,

$$COL(x, s) = RED$$

$$COL(y, s) = BLUE$$

Since W_e is infinite there is SOME $s \geq s_0$ with $s \in W_e$. Hence $x, y, s \in W_e$ and show that W_e is NOT homogenous.

■

2 A Computable Coloring with NO c.e.-in- K Homog Sets

Notation 2.1

1. If A is a c.e. set, say A is the domain of M , then A_s is $\{x \leq s \mid M_{e,s}(x) \downarrow\}$. Note that, given s , one can compute A_s .
2. $M_1^(), M_2^(), \dots$ is a standard list of oracle Turing Machines.
3. Note that from e we can extract the code for $M_e^()$.
4. If A is a c.e. set then $M_{e,s}^{A_s}(x)$ means that we run $M_e^()$ for s steps and using A_s for the oracle.
5. If A is c.e. then W_e^A is the domain of M_e^A .

$$W_e^A = \{x \mid (\exists s)[M_{e,s}^{A_s}(x) \downarrow]\}.$$

Note that W_1^K, W_2^K, \dots is a list of ALL c.e.-in- K sets.

6.

$$W_{e,s}^{A_s} = \{x \mid M_{e,s}^{A_s}(x) \downarrow\}.$$

Theorem 2.2 *There exists $COL : \binom{N}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ such that there is NO infinite c.e.-in- K . homog set.*

Proof sketch: This will be a HW. But note that its very similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2— if W_e^K is infinite then eventually $W_{e,s}^{K_s}$ will settle down on its first $2e$ elements. ■

3 A Computable Coloring with NO Σ_2 Homog Sets

We state equivalences of both c.e. and c.e.-in- K . We leave the proofs to the reader.

Theorem 3.1 *Let A be a set. The following are equivalent:*

1. There exists e such that $A = W_e$. (A is c.e.)

2. There exists a decidable R such that

$$A = \{x \mid (\exists y)[(x, y) \in R]\}.$$

(A is Σ_1 .)

3. There exists e such that

$$A = \{x \mid (\exists y, s)[M_{e,s}(y) = x]\}.$$

(This is the origin of the phrase ‘computably ENUMERABLE.’)

Theorem 3.2 Let A be a set. The following are equivalent:

1. There exists e such that $A = W_e^K$. (A is c.e.-in- K .)

2. There exists a decidable-in- K R such that

$$A = \{x \mid (\exists y)[(x, y) \in R]\}.$$

(A is Σ_1^K .)

3. There exists e such that

$$A = \{x \mid (\exists y, s)[M_{e,s}^K(y) = x]\}.$$

(This is the origin of the phrase ‘computably ENUMERABLE-in- K .’)

We also need to know that K is quite powerful:

Def 3.3 If A, B are sets then $A \leq_m B$ means that there exists a computable f such that

$$x \in A \iff f(x) \in B.$$

We leave the proof of the following to the reader.

Theorem 3.4 *If A is c.e. then $A \leq_m K$.*

The key use of the above theorem is that we can phrase Σ_1 questions as queries to K .

Theorem 3.5 *$A \in \Sigma_2$ iff A is c.e.-in- K .*

Proof:

1) $A \in \Sigma_2$ implies A is c.e.-in- K :

If $A \in \Sigma_2$ then there exists a TM R that always converges such that

$$A = \{x \mid (\exists y)(\forall z)[R(x, y, z) = 1]\}.$$

Let M^K be the TM that does the following:

1. Input(x, y).
2. Ask K $(\forall z)[R(x, y, z) = 1]$. (Can rephrase as $(\exists z)[R(x, y, z) = 0]$.)
3. If YES answer YES, if NO then answer NO.

$$A = \{x \mid (\exists y)[M^K(x, y) = 1]\}.$$

Hence A is c.e.-in- K .

2) A c.e.-in- K implies $A \in \Sigma_2$.

A is c.e.-in- K . So

$$A = W_e^K = \{x \mid (\exists s)(\forall t)[t \geq s \implies x \in W_{e,t}^{K_t}]\}.$$

So A is Σ_2 .

■

Theorem 3.6 *There exists $COL : \binom{N}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ such that there is NO infinite Σ_2 homog set.*

Proof: Combine Theorems 2.2 and 3.5. Note that we only need one part of the implication in Theorem 3.5. ■

4 Every Computable Coloring has an Infinite Π_2 Homog set

We obtain this with a modification of the usual proof of Ramsey's theorem. the key is that we don't really toss things out- we guess on what the colors are and change our mind.

Theorem 4.1 *For every computable coloring $COL : \binom{N}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ there is an infinite Π_2 homog set.*

Proof:

We are given computable $COL : \binom{N}{2} \rightarrow [2]$.

CONSTRUCTION of x_1, x_2, \dots and c_1, c_2, \dots

NOTE: at the end of stage s we might have x_1, \dots, x_i defined where $i < s$. We will not try to keep track of how big i is. Also, we may have at stage (say) 1000 a sequence of length 50, and then at stage 1001 have a sequence of length only 25. The sequence will grow eventually but do so in fits and starts.

$$x_1 = 1$$

$$c_1 = \text{RED} \text{ We are guessing. We might change our mind later}$$

Let $s \geq 2$, and assume that x_1, \dots, x_{s-1} and c_1, \dots, c_{s-1} are defined.

1. Ask K *Does there exists $x \geq x_{s-1}$ such that, for all $1 \leq i \leq s-1$, $COL(x_i, x) = c_i$?*

2. If YES then (using that COL is computable) find the least such x .

$$x_i = x$$

$$c_i = \text{RED} \text{ We are guessing. We might change our mind later}$$

We have implicitly tossed out all of the numbers between x_{i-1} and x_i .

3. If NO then we ask K how far back we can go. More rigorously we ask the following sequence of questions until we get a YES.

- Does there exists $x \geq x_{s-1}$ such that, for all $1 \leq i \leq s - 2$, $COL(x_i, x) = c_i$?
- Does there exists $x \geq x_{s-1}$ such that, for all $1 \leq i \leq s - 3$, $COL(x_i, x) = c_i$?
- \vdots
- Does there exists $x \geq x_{s-1}$ such that, for all $1 \leq i \leq 2$, $COL(x_i, x) = c_i$?
- Does there exists $x \geq x_{s-1}$ such that, for all $1 \leq i \leq 1$, $COL(x_i, x) = c_i$?

(One of these must be a YES since (1) if $c_1 = RED$ and there are NO red edges coming out of x_1 then there must be an infinite number of $BLUE$ edges, and (2) if $c_1=BLUE$ its because there are only a finite number of RED edges coming out of x_1 so there are an infinite number of $BLUE$ edges. Let i_0 be such that *There exists $x \geq x_{s-1}$ such that, for all $1 \leq i \leq i_0$, $COL(x_i, x) = c_i$*) Do the following:

- (a) Change the color of c_{i+1} . (We will later see that this change must have been from RED to $BLUE$.)
- (b) Wipe out x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{s-1} .
- (c) Search for the $x \geq x_{s-1}$ that the question asked says exist.
- (d) x_{i+2} is now x .

(e) c_{i+2} is now *RED*.

END OF CONSTRUCTION of $x_1, x_2 \dots$ and c_1, c_2, \dots

We need to show that there is a Π_2 homog set.

Let X be the set of x_i that are put on the board and stay on the board.

Let R be the set of $x_i \in X$ whose final color is *RED*.

Claim 1: Once a number turns from *RED* to *BLUE* it can't go back to *RED* again.

Proof:

If a number is turned *BLUE* its because there are only a finite number of *RED* edges coming out of it. Hence there must be an infinite number of *BLUE* edges coming out of it. Hence it will never change color (though it may be tossed out).

End of Proof

Claim 1: $X, R \in \Pi_2$.

Proof:

We show that $\overline{X} \in \Sigma_2$. In order to NOT be in X you must have, at some point in the construction, been tossed out.

$$\overline{X} = \{x \mid (\exists x)[\text{at stage } s \text{ of the construction } x \text{ was tossed out }]\}.$$

Note that the condition is computable-in- K . Hence \overline{X} is c.e.-in- K . By Theorem 3.5 $\overline{X} \in \Sigma_2$.

We show that $\overline{R} \in \Sigma_2$. In order to NOT be in R you must have to either NOT be in X or have been turned blue. Note that once you turn at some point in the construction, been tossed out.

$$\overline{R} = \overline{X} \cup \{x \mid (\exists x)[\text{at stage } s \text{ of the construction } x \text{ was turned BLUE}]\}.$$

Recall that Σ_2 is closed under complementation. So we only need to show that the other unionand is in Σ_2 . Note that the condition is computable-in- K . Hence \overline{R} is c.e.-in- K . By Theorem 3.5

$\bar{R} \in \Sigma_2$.

End of Proof

There are two cases:

1. If R is infinite then R is an infinite homog set that is Π_2 .
2. If R is finite then B is X minus a finite number of elements. Since X is Π_2 , B is Π_2 .

■

References

- [1] C. Jockusch. Ramsey's theorem and recursion theory. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 37(2):268–280, 1972. <http://www.jstor.org/pss/2272972>.