

Rado's Theorem

Exposition by William Gasarch

June 19, 2020

VDW and Extended VDW

Recall VDW's Theorem

VDW's Theorem For all k, c there exists $W = W(k, c)$ such that for every c -coloring of $[W]$ there exists a, d such that

$$a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$$

are all the same color.

VDW and Extended VDW

Recall VDW's Theorem

VDW's Theorem For all k, c there exists $W = W(k, c)$ such that for every c -coloring of $[W]$ there exists a, d such that

$$a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$$

are all the same color.

What about d itself? Can it be the same colors as $a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$?

VDW and Extended VDW

Recall VDW's Theorem

VDW's Theorem For all k, c there exists $W = W(k, c)$ such that for every c -coloring of $[W]$ there exists a, d such that

$$a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$$

are all the same color.

What about d itself? Can it be the same colors as $a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$?

Extended VDW's Theorem

EVDW Theorem For all k, c there exists $E = E(k, c)$ such that for every c -coloring of $[E]$ there exists a, d such that

$$a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d, d$$

are all the same color.

Proof of Extended VDW Theorem

EVDW Theorem For all k, c there exists $E = E(k, c)$ such that for every c -coloring of $[E]$ there exists a, d such that

$$a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d, d$$

are all the same color.

Proof of Extended VDW Theorem

EVDW Theorem For all k, c there exists $E = E(k, c)$ such that for every c -coloring of $[E]$ there exists a, d such that

$$a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d, d$$

are all the same color.

Pf. Induction on c . $E(k, 1) = k$. We show $E(k, c) \leq W(X + 1, c)$, X LARGE.

Proof of Extended VDW Theorem

EVDW Theorem For all k, c there exists $E = E(k, c)$ such that for every c -coloring of $[E]$ there exists a, d such that

$$a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d, d$$

are all the same color.

Pf. Induction on c . $E(k, 1) = k$. We show $E(k, c) \leq W(X + 1, c)$, X LARGE. *COL*: $[W(X + 1, c)] \rightarrow [c]$. By VDW there exists A, D $A, A + D, \dots, A + XD$ is color *CCC*.

Proof of Extended VDW Theorem

EVDW Theorem For all k, c there exists $E = E(k, c)$ such that for every c -coloring of $[E]$ there exists a, d such that

$$a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d, d$$

are all the same color.

Pf. Induction on c . $E(k, 1) = k$. We show $E(k, c) \leq W(X + 1, c)$, X LARGE. $COL: [W(X + 1, c)] \rightarrow [c]$. By VDW there exists A, D

$A, A + D, \dots, A + XD$ is color CCC .

$A, A + D, \dots, A + (k - 1)D$ are color CCC . So $COL(D) \neq CCC$.

$A, A + 2D, \dots, A + 2(k - 1)D$ are CCC . So $COL(2D) \neq CCC$.

\vdots

$A, A + \frac{XD}{k-1}, A + \frac{2XD}{k-1}, \dots, A + \frac{(k-1)XD}{k-1}$. So $COL(\frac{XD}{k-1}) \neq CCC$.

Proof of Extended VDW Theorem

EVDW Theorem For all k, c there exists $E = E(k, c)$ such that for every c -coloring of $[E]$ there exists a, d such that

$$a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d, d$$

are all the same color.

Pf. Induction on c . $E(k, 1) = k$. We show $E(k, c) \leq W(X + 1, c)$, X LARGE. $COL: [W(X + 1, c)] \rightarrow [c]$. By VDW there exists A, D

$A, A + D, \dots, A + XD$ is color CCC .

$A, A + D, \dots, A + (k - 1)D$ are color CCC . So $COL(D) \neq CCC$.

$A, A + 2D, \dots, A + 2(k - 1)D$ are CCC . So $COL(2D) \neq CCC$.

\vdots

$A, A + \frac{XD}{k-1}, A + \frac{2XD}{k-1}, \dots, A + \frac{(k-1)XD}{k-1}$. So $COL(\frac{XD}{k-1}) \neq CCC$.

$D, 2D, \dots, \frac{X}{k-1}D$ not colored CCC , only use $c - 1$ colors.

Proof of Extended VDW Theorem, II

$D, 2D, \dots, \frac{X}{k-1}D$ not colored CCC, only use $c - 1$ colors.

Proof of Extended VDW Theorem, II

$D, 2D, \dots, \frac{X}{k-1}D$ not colored CCC, only use $c - 1$ colors.

Set $X = E(k, c - 1)(k - 1)$. This is where we use Ind. Hyp.

Proof of Extended VDW Theorem, II

$D, 2D, \dots, \frac{X}{k-1}D$ not colored CCC, only use $c - 1$ colors.

Set $X = E(k, c - 1)(k - 1)$. This is where we use Ind. Hyp.

$D, 2D, \dots, E(k, c - 1)D$ only use $c - 1$ colors (not CCC).

Proof of Extended VDW Theorem, II

$D, 2D, \dots, \frac{X}{k-1}D$ not colored CCC, only use $c - 1$ colors.

Set $X = E(k, c - 1)(k - 1)$. This is where we use Ind. Hyp.

$D, 2D, \dots, E(k, c - 1)D$ only use $c - 1$ colors (not CCC).

Define $COL'(i) = COL(iD)$, a $(c - 1)$ -coloring, so there exists a', d'

Proof of Extended VDW Theorem, II

$D, 2D, \dots, \frac{X}{k-1}D$ not colored CCC, only use $c - 1$ colors.

Set $X = E(k, c - 1)(k - 1)$. This is where we use Ind. Hyp.

$D, 2D, \dots, E(k, c - 1)D$ only use $c - 1$ colors (not CCC).

Define $COL'(i) = COL(iD)$, a $(c - 1)$ -coloring, so there exists a', d'

$a', a' + d', \dots, a' + (k - 1)d', d'$ same COL' color.

Proof of Extended VDW Theorem, II

$D, 2D, \dots, \frac{X}{k-1}D$ not colored CCC, only use $c - 1$ colors.

Set $X = E(k, c - 1)(k - 1)$. This is where we use Ind. Hyp.

$D, 2D, \dots, E(k, c - 1)D$ only use $c - 1$ colors (not CCC).

Define $COL'(i) = COL(iD)$, a $(c - 1)$ -coloring, so there exists a', d'

$a', a' + d', \dots, a' + (k - 1)d', d'$ same COL' color.

$a'D, (a' + d')D, \dots, (a' + (k - 1)d')D, d'D$ same COL color.

Proof of Extended VDW Theorem, II

$D, 2D, \dots, \frac{X}{k-1}D$ not colored CCC, only use $c - 1$ colors.

Set $X = E(k, c - 1)(k - 1)$. This is where we use Ind. Hyp.

$D, 2D, \dots, E(k, c - 1)D$ only use $c - 1$ colors (not CCC).

Define $COL'(i) = COL(iD)$, a $(c - 1)$ -coloring, so there exists a', d'

$a', a' + d', \dots, a' + (k - 1)d', d'$ same COL' color.

$a'D, (a' + d')D, \dots, (a' + (k - 1)d')D, d'D$ same COL color.

$a'D, a'D + d'D, \dots, a'D + (k - 1)d'D, d'D$ same COL color.

Proof of Extended VDW Theorem, II

$D, 2D, \dots, \frac{X}{k-1}D$ not colored CCC, only use $c - 1$ colors.

Set $X = E(k, c - 1)(k - 1)$. This is where we use Ind. Hyp.

$D, 2D, \dots, E(k, c - 1)D$ only use $c - 1$ colors (not CCC).

Define $COL'(i) = COL(iD)$, a $(c - 1)$ -coloring, so there exists a', d'

$a', a' + d', \dots, a' + (k - 1)d', d'$ same COL' color.

$a'D, (a' + d')D, \dots, (a' + (k - 1)d')D, d'D$ same COL color.

$a'D, a'D + d'D, \dots, a'D + (k - 1)d'D, d'D$ same COL color.

$a = a'D, d = d'D$

Proof of Extended VDW Theorem, II

$D, 2D, \dots, \frac{X}{k-1}D$ not colored CCC, only use $c - 1$ colors.

Set $X = E(k, c - 1)(k - 1)$. This is where we use Ind. Hyp.

$D, 2D, \dots, E(k, c - 1)D$ only use $c - 1$ colors (not CCC).

Define $COL'(i) = COL(iD)$, a $(c - 1)$ -coloring, so there exists a', d'

$a', a' + d', \dots, a' + (k - 1)d', d'$ same COL' color.

$a'D, (a' + d')D, \dots, (a' + (k - 1)d')D, d'D$ same COL color.

$a'D, a'D + d'D, \dots, a'D + (k - 1)d'D, d'D$ same COL color.

$a = a'D, d = d'D$

$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d, d$ same COL color.

Real EVDW

What I presented above is NOT the EVDW. This is:

EVDW Theorem For all k, c, e there exists $E = E(k, e, c)$ such that for every c -coloring of $[E]$ there exists a, d such that

$$a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d, de$$

are all the same color.

Real EVDW

What I presented above is NOT the EVDW. This is:

EVDW Theorem For all k, c, e there exists $E = E(k, e, c)$ such that for every c -coloring of $[E]$ there exists a, d such that

$$a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d, de$$

are all the same color.

This is an exercise. It might be on a HW or the Final.

Notation

For this talk

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, \}$$

Mono Solutions To $x + y = z$

Thm For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$
 $(\exists x, y, z \text{ mono})$ with $x + y = z$.

Mono Solutions To $x + y = z$

Thm For all c there exists S such that for all $\text{COL}: [S] \rightarrow [c]$
($\exists x, y, z$ mono) with $x + y = z$.

Pf $S = \text{EVDW}(2, 1, c)$. By EVDW, for $\text{COL}: [S] \rightarrow [c]$ ($\exists a, d$)
 $a, a + d, d$ the same color.

Mono Solutions To $x + y = z$

Thm For all c there exists S such that for all $\text{COL}: [S] \rightarrow [c]$
($\exists x, y, z$ mono) with $x + y = z$.

Pf $S = \text{EVDW}(2, 1, c)$. By EVDW, for $\text{COL}: [S] \rightarrow [c]$ ($\exists a, d$)
 $a, a + d, d$ the same color.

Take $x = a, y = d, z = a + d$.

Mono Solutions To $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$

Thm For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$
($\exists w, x, y, z$ mono) with $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$.

Pf We plan to use the EVDW. CLASS WORK ON IT IN GROUPS

Mono Solutions To $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$

Thm For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$
($\exists w, x, y, z$ mono) with $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$.

Pf We plan to use the EVDW. CLASS WORK ON IT IN GROUPS

$$w = a_1 + k_1d$$

$$x = a_2 + k_2d$$

$$y = a_3 + k_3d$$

$$z = a_4 + k_4d$$

$a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \{0, a\}$. Can't have $a_i = k_i = 0$.

Mono Solutions To $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$

Thm For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$
($\exists w, x, y, z$ mono) with $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$.

Pf We plan to use the EVDW. CLASS WORK ON IT IN GROUPS

$$w = a_1 + k_1d$$

$$x = a_2 + k_2d$$

$$y = a_3 + k_3d$$

$$z = a_4 + k_4d$$

$a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \{0, a\}$. Can't have $a_i = k_i = 0$.

$$a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + k_1d + 2k_2d + 3k_3d = 5a_4 + 5k_4d$$

Mono Solutions To $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$

Thm For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$
($\exists w, x, y, z$ mono) with $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$.

Pf We plan to use the EVDW. CLASS WORK ON IT IN GROUPS

$$w = a_1 + k_1d$$

$$x = a_2 + k_2d$$

$$y = a_3 + k_3d$$

$$z = a_4 + k_4d$$

$a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \{0, a\}$. Can't have $a_i = k_i = 0$.

$$a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + k_1d + 2k_2d + 3k_3d = 5a_4 + 5k_4d$$

For d : $k_1 + 2k_2 + 3k_3 = 5k_4$. Take $k_1 = 5, k_2 = k_3 = 1, k_4 = 2$.

Mono Solutions To $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$

Thm For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$
($\exists w, x, y, z$ mono) with $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$.

Pf We plan to use the EVDW. CLASS WORK ON IT IN GROUPS

$$w = a_1 + k_1d$$

$$x = a_2 + k_2d$$

$$y = a_3 + k_3d$$

$$z = a_4 + k_4d$$

$a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \{0, a\}$. Can't have $a_i = k_i = 0$.

$$a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + k_1d + 2k_2d + 3k_3d = 5a_4 + 5k_4d$$

For d : $k_1 + 2k_2 + 3k_3 = 5k_4$. Take $k_1 = 5, k_2 = k_3 = 1, k_4 = 2$.

For a : $a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 = 5a_4$. Take $a_1 = 0, a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a$.

Mono Solutions To $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$

Thm For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$
($\exists w, x, y, z$ mono) with $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$.

Pf We plan to use the EVDW. CLASS WORK ON IT IN GROUPS

$$w = a_1 + k_1d$$

$$x = a_2 + k_2d$$

$$y = a_3 + k_3d$$

$$z = a_4 + k_4d$$

$a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \{0, a\}$. Can't have $a_i = k_i = 0$.

$$a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + k_1d + 2k_2d + 3k_3d = 5a_4 + 5k_4d$$

For d : $k_1 + 2k_2 + 3k_3 = 5k_4$. Take $k_1 = 5, k_2 = k_3 = 1, k_4 = 2$.

For a : $a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 = 5a_4$. Take $a_1 = 0, a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a$.

$$w = 5d$$

$$x = a + d$$

$$y = a + d$$

$$z = a + 2d$$

So $E = EVDW(3, 5, c)$.

Mono Distinct Solution to $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$

Thm For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$
($\exists w, x, y, z$ mono and distinct) with $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$.

Mono Distinct Solution to $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$

Thm For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$
($\exists w, x, y, z$ mono and distinct) with $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$.

For d : $k_1 + 2k_2 + 3k_3 = 5k_4$. Take $k_1 = 1, k_2 = 3, k_3 = 6, k_4 = 5$

Mono Distinct Solution to $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$

Thm For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$
($\exists w, x, y, z$ mono and distinct) with $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$.

For d : $k_1 + 2k_2 + 3k_3 = 5k_4$. Take $k_1 = 1, k_2 = 3, k_3 = 6, k_4 = 5$

For a : $a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 = 5a_4$. Take $a_1 = 0, a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a$.

Mono Distinct Solution to $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$

Thm For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$
($\exists w, x, y, z$ mono and distinct) with $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$.

For d : $k_1 + 2k_2 + 3k_3 = 5k_4$. Take $k_1 = 1, k_2 = 3, k_3 = 6, k_4 = 5$

For a : $a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 = 5a_4$. Take $a_1 = 0, a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a$.

$$w = d$$

$$x = a + 3d$$

$$y = a + 6d$$

$$z = a + 5d$$

Mono Distinct Solution to $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$

Thm For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$
($\exists w, x, y, z$ mono and distinct) with $w + 2x + 3y = 5z$.

For d : $k_1 + 2k_2 + 3k_3 = 5k_4$. Take $k_1 = 1, k_2 = 3, k_3 = 6, k_4 = 5$

For a : $a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 = 5a_4$. Take $a_1 = 0, a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a$.

$$w = d$$

$$x = a + 3d$$

$$y = a + 6d$$

$$z = a + 5d$$

So $E = EVDW(6, 1, c)$.

Does This work for All Equation?

Def $\mathbb{Z}_d[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the set of degree- d polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} and variables x_1, \dots, x_n .

Does This work for All Equation?

Def $\mathbb{Z}_d[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the set of degree- d polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} and variables x_1, \dots, x_n .

Thm Let $E(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$ ($\exists a_1, \dots, a_n$ mono) with $E(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$.

Does This work for All Equation?

Def $\mathbb{Z}_d[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the set of degree- d polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} and variables x_1, \dots, x_n .

Thm Let $E(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$ ($\exists a_1, \dots, a_n$ mono) with $E(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$.

Vote TRUE or FALSE (this is known to science)

Does This work for All Equation?

Def $\mathbb{Z}_d[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the set of degree- d polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} and variables x_1, \dots, x_n .

Thm Let $E(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$ ($\exists a_1, \dots, a_n$ mono) with $E(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$.

Vote TRUE or FALSE (this is known to science)

FALSE but for a dumb reason.

Does This work for All Equation?

Def $\mathbb{Z}_d[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the set of degree- d polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} and variables x_1, \dots, x_n .

Thm Let $E(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$ ($\exists a_1, \dots, a_n$ mono) with $E(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$.

Vote TRUE or FALSE (this is known to science)

FALSE but for a dumb reason.

$$x + y + z = 0 \text{ has no solution}$$

Does This work for All Equation?

Def $\mathbb{Z}_d[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the set of degree- d polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} and variables x_1, \dots, x_n .

Thm Let $E(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$ ($\exists a_1, \dots, a_n$ mono) with $E(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$.

Vote TRUE or FALSE (this is known to science)

FALSE but for a dumb reason.

$$x + y + z = 0 \text{ has no solution}$$

Thm Let $E(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ have a solution in \mathbb{N} . For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$ ($\exists a_1, \dots, a_n$ mono) with $E(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$.

Does This work for All Equation?

Def $\mathbb{Z}_d[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the set of degree- d polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} and variables x_1, \dots, x_n .

Thm Let $E(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$ ($\exists a_1, \dots, a_n$ mono) with $E(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$.

Vote TRUE or FALSE (this is known to science)

FALSE but for a dumb reason.

$$x + y + z = 0 \text{ has no solution}$$

Thm Let $E(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ have a solution in \mathbb{N} . For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$ ($\exists a_1, \dots, a_n$ mono) with $E(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$.

Vote TRUE or FALSE (this is known to science)

Does This work for All Equation?

Def $\mathbb{Z}_d[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the set of degree- d polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} and variables x_1, \dots, x_n .

Thm Let $E(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$ ($\exists a_1, \dots, a_n$ mono) with $E(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$.

Vote TRUE or FALSE (this is known to science)

FALSE but for a dumb reason.

$$x + y + z = 0 \text{ has no solution}$$

Thm Let $E(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ have a solution in \mathbb{N} . For all c there exists S such that for all COL: $[S] \rightarrow [c]$ ($\exists a_1, \dots, a_n$ mono) with $E(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$.

Vote TRUE or FALSE (this is known to science)

FALSE but for an interesting reason.

No Mono Solution For $x + 2y = 4z$

We define $\text{COL}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that

$x + 2y = 4z$ has no mono solution.

No Mono Solution For $x + 2y = 4z$

We define $\text{COL}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that

$x + 2y = 4z$ has no mono solution.

$$\text{COL}(5^a b) = b \pmod{5}$$

No Mono Solution For $x + 2y = 4z$

We define $\text{COL}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that

$x + 2y = 4z$ has no mono solution.

$$\text{COL}(5^a b) = b \pmod{5}$$

If a_1, a_2, a_3 is a mono solution, say color is b .

No Mono Solution For $x + 2y = 4z$

We define $\text{COL}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that

$x + 2y = 4z$ has no mono solution.

$$\text{COL}(5^a b) = b \pmod{5}$$

If a_1, a_2, a_3 is a mono solution, say color is b .

$$a_1 = 5^{e_1} b_1 \quad a_2 = 5^{e_2} b_2 \quad a_3 = 5^{e_3} b_3$$

No Mono Solution For $x + 2y = 4z$

We define $\text{COL}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that

$x + 2y = 4z$ has no mono solution.

$$\text{COL}(5^a b) = b \pmod{5}$$

If a_1, a_2, a_3 is a mono solution, say color is b .

$$a_1 = 5^{e_1} b_1 \quad a_2 = 5^{e_2} b_2 \quad a_3 = 5^{e_3} b_3$$

$$b_1 \equiv b_2 \equiv b_3 \equiv b \pmod{5}$$

Case $e_1 < e_2, e_3$

$$a_1 = 5^{e_1} b_1 \quad a_2 = 5^{e_2} b_2 \quad a_3 = 5^{e_3} b_3$$

$$b_1 \equiv b_2 \equiv b_3 \equiv b \pmod{5}$$

$$a_1 + 2a_2 = 4a_3$$

$$5^{e_1} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2} b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3} b_3$$

$$b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2 - e_1} b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3 - e_1} b_3$$

Take this mod 5

$$b \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \text{ contradiction}$$

Case $e_2 < e_1, e_3$, Case $e_3 < e_1, e_2$

Both cases similar to $e_1 < e_2, e_3$ case.

Case $e_2 < e_1, e_3$, Case $e_3 < e_1, e_2$

Both cases similar to $e_1 < e_2, e_3$ case.

$e_2 < e_1, e_3$: $5^{e_1 - e_2} b_1 + 2b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3 - e_2}$, so $2b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$.

Case $e_2 < e_1, e_3$, Case $e_3 < e_1, e_2$

Both cases similar to $e_1 < e_2, e_3$ case.

$$e_2 < e_1, e_3: 5^{e_1 - e_2} b_1 + 2b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3 - e_2}, \text{ so } 2b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}.$$

$$e_3 < e_1, e_2: 5^{e_1 - e_3} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2 - e_3} b_2 = 4b_3, \text{ so } 4b_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}.$$

Case $e_2 < e_1, e_3$, Case $e_3 < e_1, e_2$

Both cases similar to $e_1 < e_2, e_3$ case.

$e_2 < e_1, e_3$: $5^{e_1-e_2} b_1 + 2b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3-e_2}$, so $2b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$.

$e_3 < e_1, e_2$: $5^{e_1-e_3} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2-e_3} b_2 = 4b_3$, so $4b_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$.

- ▶ 5 primes, so can go from $2b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ to $b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$.
- ▶ For $e_1 < e_2, e_3$ used that coeff of b_1 was $1 \neq 0$.
- ▶ For $e_2 < e_1, e_3$ used that coeff of b_2 was $2 \neq 0$.
- ▶ For $e_3 < e_1, e_2$ used that coeff of b_3 was $4 \neq 0$.

Case $e_1 = e_2 < e_3$

$$5^{e_1} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2} b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3} b_3$$

Case $e_1 = e_2 < e_3$

$$5^{e_1} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2} b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3} b_3$$

$$5^{e_1 - e_1} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2 - e_2} b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3 - e_1} b_3$$

Case $e_1 = e_2 < e_3$

$$5^{e_1} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2} b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3} b_3$$

$$5^{e_1 - e_1} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2 - e_2} b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3 - e_1} b_3$$

$$b_1 + 2b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3 - e_1} b_3$$

Then mod 5

$$b + 2b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

Case $e_1 = e_2 < e_3$

$$5^{e_1} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2} b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3} b_3$$

$$5^{e_1 - e_1} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2 - e_2} b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3 - e_1} b_3$$

$$b_1 + 2b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3 - e_1} b_3$$

Then mod 5

$$b + 2b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$3b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

Case $e_1 = e_2 < e_3$

$$5^{e_1} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2} b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3} b_3$$

$$5^{e_1 - e_1} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2 - e_2} b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3 - e_1} b_3$$

$$b_1 + 2b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3 - e_1} b_3$$

Then mod 5

$$b + 2b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$3b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

- ▶ Could not have used the prime 3 instead of 5.
- ▶ Used that sum of coeff of b_1 and b_2 was $3 \neq 0$.

Case $e_1 = e_3 < e_2, e_2 = e_3 < e_1$

$$5^{e_1} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2} b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3} b_3$$

Case $e_1 = e_3 < e_2, e_2 = e_3 < e_1$

$$5^{e_1} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2} b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3} b_3$$

$$e_1 = e_3 < e_2: b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2 - e_1} b_2 = 4b_3$$

$$b \equiv 4b \pmod{5}, b \equiv 0.$$

Case $e_1 = e_3 < e_2, e_2 = e_3 < e_1$

$$5^{e_1} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2} b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3} b_3$$

$$e_1 = e_3 < e_2: b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2 - e_1} b_2 = 4b_3$$

$$b \equiv 4b \pmod{5}, b \equiv 0.$$

$$e_2 = e_3 < e_1: 5^{e_1 - e_2} b_1 + 2b_2 = 4b_3$$

$$2b \equiv 4b \equiv 5, b \equiv 0.$$

Case $e_1 = e_2 = e_3$

$$5^{e_1} b_1 + 2 \times 5^{e_2} b_2 = 4 \times 5^{e_3} b_3$$

$$b_1 + 2b_2 = 4b_3$$

$$b + 2b \equiv 4b \pmod{5}$$

$$b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

Rado's Theorem

Thm Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ▶ Some subset of the a_i 's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c , for all COL: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_k = 0.$$

Rado's Theorem

Thm Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ▶ Some subset of the a_i 's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c , for all COL: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_k = 0.$$

We will not prove this.

Rado's Theorem

Thm Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ▶ Some subset of the a_i 's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c , for all COL: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_k = 0.$$

We will not prove this.

From what I did above:

Rado's Theorem

Thm Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ▶ Some subset of the a_i 's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c , for all COL: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_k = 0.$$

We will not prove this.

From what I did above:

- ▶ Given any particular $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{Z}$ with some subset summing to 0 you should be able to show that any finite coloring of \mathbb{N} has a mono solution.
- ▶ Given any particular $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{Z}$ with NO subset sums to 0 you should be able to define a finite coloring of \mathbb{N} with no mono solution.

Other Equations

1. There is a matrix form of Rado that I don't care about.
2. **Folkman's Thm** For all k, c there exists $N = N(k, c)$ such that for all $\text{COL}: [N] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists a_1, \dots, a_k such that ALL non-empty sums of the a_i 's are the same color.
3. For all c there exists $N = N(c)$ such that for any $\text{COL}: [N] \rightarrow [c]$ there is a mono solution to $16x^2 + 9y^2 = z^2$. (This equation has certain properties that make it work, so there is really a more general theorem here.) <http://fourier.math.uoc.gr/~ergodic/Slides/Host.pdf>

$x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ Result by Heule&Kullmann

Theorem There exists N such that for any $COL: [N] \rightarrow [2]$ there is a mono solution to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

$x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ Result by Heule&Kullmann

Theorem There exists N such that for any $COL: [N] \rightarrow [2]$ there is a mono solution to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

Do we know what N is? We actually do!

$x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ Result by Heule&Kullmann

Theorem There exists N such that for any $COL: [N] \rightarrow [2]$ there is a mono solution to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

Do we know what N is? We actually do!

- ▶ \exists 2-col of [7824] w/o mono sol to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

$x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ Result by Heule&Kullmann

Theorem There exists N such that for any $COL: [N] \rightarrow [2]$ there is a mono solution to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

Do we know what N is? We actually do!

- ▶ \exists 2-col of [7824] w/o mono sol to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.
- ▶ \forall 2-col of [7825] \exists mono sol to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

$x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ Result by Heule&Kullmann

Theorem There exists N such that for any $COL: [N] \rightarrow [2]$ there is a mono solution to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

Do we know what N is? We actually do!

- ▶ \exists 2-col of [7824] w/o mono sol to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.
- ▶ \forall 2-col of [7825] \exists mono sol to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

(1) We actually know N . What else is unusual?

$x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ Result by Heule&Kullmann

Theorem There exists N such that for any $COL: [N] \rightarrow [2]$ there is a mono solution to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

Do we know what N is? We actually do!

- ▶ \exists 2-col of [7824] w/o mono sol to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.
- ▶ \forall 2-col of [7825] \exists mono sol to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

(1) We actually know N . What else is unusual?

(2) we only proved it for 2 colors. For 3 it is unknown.

$x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ Result by Heule&Kullmann

Theorem There exists N such that for any $COL: [N] \rightarrow [2]$ there is a mono solution to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

Do we know what N is? We actually do!

- ▶ \exists 2-col of [7824] w/o mono sol to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.
- ▶ \forall 2-col of [7825] \exists mono sol to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

(1) We actually know N . What else is unusual?

(2) we only proved it for 2 colors. For 3 it is unknown.

Thm proven by SAT-Solver. 200 terabytes: longest proof ever.

$x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ Result by Heule&Kullmann

Theorem There exists N such that for any $COL: [N] \rightarrow [2]$ there is a mono solution to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

Do we know what N is? We actually do!

- ▶ \exists 2-col of [7824] w/o mono sol to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.
- ▶ \forall 2-col of [7825] \exists mono sol to $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

(1) We actually know N . What else is unusual?

(2) we only proved it for 2 colors. For 3 it is unknown.

Thm proven by SAT-Solver. 200 terabytes: longest proof ever.

Research Obtain a human-readable proof with perhaps a much bigger N , but which can be generalized to $c = 3$ and beyond.