

Chapter 1

1. Page 44
Notation 1.2: Should it be
“given a formula of the form X ”
instead of
“given a formula of the form $XSAT$ ”?
2. Page 46
GAP 3SAT-5: Should it be
“at most $(1 - \epsilon)$ fraction of its clauses”
instead of
“at most $(1 - \epsilon)$ of its clauses”?
3. Page 47
After Definition 1.14: Should it be
“number of TRUE literals per clause”
rather than
“number of literals per clause”?
4. It is said that “we give the only example that we will be using” but where is that example?
5. Page 49
Proof theorem 1.21: In the point 1 of construction of ϕ , should it be
“ $E=T$ or F or F ”
instead of
“ $E=TRUE$ or $FALSE$ or $FALSE$ ”?
6. Page 52
Example 1.27. 2.: I think that the second part says that if there is an edge from x_i to x_j for $i < j$, then there is an edge from x_j to x_i . But this means that $E(x_j, x_i)$ could be true without $E(x_i, x_j)$ being true. In order to have symmetricity, should not the AND be over all i, j such that
 $1 \leq i, j \leq 6$ instead of
 $1 \leq i < j \leq 6$?
7. Also, there is a typo in this condition. It says that
 $1 \leq i < j < \leq 6$ instead of
 $1 \leq i < j \leq 6$.

8. In the third part, there is a typo in the condition of AND: I think it should be
 $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6$ instead of
 $1 \leq i < jk \leq 6$.
9. There is a typo in Example 1.28 1.: I think it should be $TRI(x_1, x_2, x_3) = E(x_1, x_2) \text{ AND } E(x_2, x_3) \text{ AND } E(x_3, x_1)$ instead of
 $TRI(x_1, x_2, x_3) = E(x_1, x_2) \text{ AND } E(x_2, x_3) \text{ AND } E(x_2, x_1)$.
10. I think the condition on AND at the bottom of the page should be
 $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 5$ instead of $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 4$.
11. There is another typo in the last equation of this page: It should be
 $SQUARE(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ instead of $SQUARE(x_1, x_2, 3, x_4)$.
12. Page 54
 Proof of Theorem 1.30: In the reduction, no gadget is described for using NOT x for some variable x . That is, do we not need to show how the literals x and NOT x are guaranteed to be colored differently in G ?
13. Page 57
 In the definition of CRYPTARITHMS, it says that
 there is an injection of Σ into $\{0, \dots, B - 1\}$.
 But since $|\Sigma| = |\{0, \dots, B - 1\}| = B$, it is not actually a bijection? Also,
 in the first bullet of point 2 on page 59, it says that
 B will be large enough so that many numbers will not be used.
 But if the above mapping is actually a bijection, then how is it possible for
 any number to be not used? I think the definition of CRYPTARITHMS
 needs to be changed here.
14. Page 59 Proof of Theorem 1.32: In point 1, the case of v_i is TRUE is
 considered but not the case of v_i being FALSE.