

NIM with Cash

William Gasarch-U of MD

John Purtilo- U of MD

Douglas Ulrich- U of MD

Standard NIM Games

A is a finite set of natural numbers.

1. n stones on the table.
2. Players alternate removing $a \in A$ stones.
3. Play until someone can't move. That player loses.

Notation: $W_A(n)$ = whoever wins if start with n stones.

Example: If $A = \{1, 3, 4\}$ then

Standard NIM Games

A is a finite set of natural numbers.

1. n stones on the table.
2. Players alternate rm $a \in A$ stones.
3. Play until someone can't move. That player loses.

Notation: $W_A(n)$ = whoever wins if start with n stones.

Example: If $A = \{1, 3, 4\}$ then

$$W_A(n) = \text{II} \text{ iff } n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{7}.$$

Standard NIM Games

A is a finite set of natural numbers.

1. n stones on the table.
2. Players alternate removing $a \in A$ stones.
3. Play until someone can't move. That player loses.

Notation: $W_A(n)$ = whoever wins if start with n stones.

Example: If $A = \{1, 3, 4\}$ then

$$W_A(n) = \text{II} \text{ iff } n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{7}.$$

Note: NIM is a well known game. NIM and many variants have been studied.

NIM SWITCH THEOREM

Theorem

Let A be a finite set and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$W_A(n) = \text{I} \text{ iff } (\exists a \in A, a \leq n)[W_A(n - a) = \text{II}].$$

NIM with Cash (**NWC**)

Let A be a finite subset of \mathbb{N} . We assume $1 \in A$ throughout.

1. n stones on the table. Player **I** has $\$d$, Player **II** has $\$e$.
2. Players alternate rm $a \in A$ stones. If rm a then loses $\$a$.
3. Play until someone can't move. That player loses.

Note: Either there are no stones or that player is broke.

Notation: $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e)$ is who wins if start with n stones on the table, Player **I** has $\$d$, Player **II** has $\$e$.

NIM WITH CASH SWITCH THEOREM

Theorem

Let A be a finite set and $n, d, e \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = \text{I} \text{ iff } (\exists a \in A, a \leq n)[W_A(n - a; e, d - a) = \text{II}].$$

Main Question

Given A :

Math Person: We want an exact win condition for $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e)$.
(e.g, Player **I** wins iff $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ and $e < d^2$)

CS Person: Can solve using dynamic programming in $O(n^3)$ time.

Math Person: OKAY. We want an easily understood $(\log n)^{O(1)}$ algorithm to determine $W^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e)$ (assuming constant time arithmetic operations).

Convention: **Win Condition** means $(\log n)^{O(1)}$ **Algorithm**

Rich, Poor, and Middle Class

There will be three cases:

1. At least one of the players is **Rich**! Using the same strategy as you would in standard NIM is **The Normal Strategy**.
2. At least one player is **Poor**. Always rm 1 until the other player is broke is **The Miserly Strategy**.
3. Both are **Middle Class**. This is the hard case.

If At Least One Player Is Rich: Example

$A = \{1, 3, 4\}$. $n = 10$. Player 1 needs ??? to win.

If At Least One Player Is Rich: Example

$A = \{1, 3, 4\}$. $n = 10$. Player I needs ??? to win.

1. Player I rm 3 leaving 7 stones.
2. Player II rm 1,3,4 leaving 6,4,3 stones.
3. Player I rm 4,4,3 leaving 2,0,0 stones.
4. If 0 stones left then DONE- Player I wins, else there are 2 stones
 - 4.1 Player II rm 1 leaving 1
 - 4.2 Player I rm 1 leaving 0 and he wins!

Player I rm at most $3+4+1=8$ stones.

Upshot: If Player I has \$8 then Player I wins **no matter how much Player II has**. $W^{\text{cash}}(10; 8, \infty) = \text{I}$. Player I **normally**.

If At Least One Player Is Rich: How Rich?

$f^{II}(0) = 0$ (Player II wins and needs 0 to win.)

If $W_A(n) = I$ then

$$f^I(n) = \min_{a \in A, a \leq n} \{f^{II}(n-a) + a : W_A(n-a) = II\}$$

If $W_A(n) = II$ then

$$f^{II}(n) = \max_{a \in A, a \leq n} \{f^I(n-a)\}$$

Easy to prove:

- ▶ If $W_A(n) = I$ then Player I wins $(n; f^I(n), \infty)$.
- ▶ If $W_A(n) = II$ then Player II wins $(n; \infty, f^{II}(n))$.

Note: Only defined $f^I(n)$ when $W_A(n) = I$. Sim $f^{II}(n)$.

Wrong Guy Problem

What if $W_A(n) = \text{I}$ but Player I has $f^{\text{I}}(n) - 1$ dollars?

How much does Player II need to win?

If $W_A(n) = \text{II}$ then

$$f^{\text{I}}(n) = \min_{a \in A, a \leq n} \{f^{\text{II}}(n-a) + a : f^{\text{I}}(n-a) = f^{\text{II}}(n)\}.$$

If $W_A(n) = \text{I}$ then

$$f^{\text{II}}(n) = \max_{a \in A, a \leq n} \{f^{\text{I}}(n-a)\}.$$

Rich Man Theorem

Theorem

Let A, n, d, e be given. Let f^I, f^{II} be as defined above.

1. If $d \geq f^I(n)$ and $e < f^{II}(n)$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = I$.
2. If $d < f^I(n)$ and $e \geq f^{II}(n)$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = II$.
3. If $d \geq f^I(n)$ and $e \geq f^{II}(n)$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = W_A(n)$.

Upshot: Have covered **ALL** cases where at least one player is rich.

Example $A = \{1, 3, 4\}$

1. $f^I(7k) = f^{II}(7k) = 5k$.
2. $f^I(7k + 1) = 5k + 1$ and $f^{II}(7k + 1) = 5k$.
3. $f^I(7k + 2) = f^{II}(7k + 2) = 5k + 1$.
4. $f^I(7k + 3) = 5k + 2$ and $f^{II}(7k + 3) = 5k + 1$.
5. $f^I(7k + 4) = 5k + 4$ and $f^{II}(7k + 4) = 5k + 2$.
6. $f^I(7k + 5) = f^{II}(7k + 5) = 5k + 4$.
7. $f^I(7k + 6) = 5k + 5$ and $f^{II}(7k + 6) = 5k + 4$.

Note: For **all** sets A we have looked at the functions f^I and f^{II} are roughly of the form above.

If At Least One Player is Poor: Example

$A = \{1, 3, 4\}$. $n = 10$, Player I has \$4 , Player II has \$4.

If At Least One Player is Poor: Example

$A = \{1, 3, 4\}$. $n = 10$, Player I has \$4, Player II has \$4.

1. Player II always rm just one stone.
2. Player I runs out of money and loses.

If At Least One Players is Poor: How Poor?

$$g^I(n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$$

$$g^{II}(n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + (n \bmod 2)$$

Theorem Let $n, d, e \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. If $d \geq g^I(n)$ and $e < g^{II}(n)$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = I$.
(II is poor, I is not)
2. If $d < g^I(n)$ and $e \geq g^{II}(n)$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = II$.
(I is poor, II is not)
3. If $d < g^I(n)$ and $e < g^{II}(n)$ (both poor) then
 - 3.1 If $d > e$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = I$
 - 3.2 If $d = e$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = II$
 - 3.3 If $d < e$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = II$

Upshot: Have covered all cases where at least one player is poor.

If Both Players are Middle Class: Example

$n = 12$. Player I needs \$9 to win normally. If Player I has \$8 dollars than Player II can wrong-guy-win with \$9. What if both players have \$8? We are in scenario $(12; 8, 8)$.

If Both Players are Middle Class: Example

$n = 12$. Player I needs \$9 to win normally. If Player I has \$8 dollars than Player II can wrong-guy-win with \$9. What if both players have \$8? We are in scenario $(12; 8, 8)$.

1. Player I rm 1.
2. If Player II rm 3 or 4 then he is poor and lose. So he rm 1.
3. Game is now $(10; 7, 7)$. Player I is rich and can win normally.

Note: Typical: Play **miserly** until you are **Rich**.

If Both Players are Middle Class

Let $n, d, e \in \mathbb{N}$. If any of the following happens then the previous slides determine who wins:

▶ $d \geq f^I(n)$

▶ $e \geq f^{II}(n)$

▶ $d \leq g^I(n)$

▶ $e \leq g^{II}(n)$

Def: Both players are **Middle Class** if none of the above happens.

Different Viewpoint

A is a finite set, $1 \in A$. Normal Nim has periodicity p . $(n; d, e)$ is such that both players are middle class.

We map $(n; d, e)$ to $(n \bmod p; b, b^\dagger)$ where

- ▶ $b = f^{\text{I}}(n) - d - 1$. How much Player I is short of $f^{\text{I}}(n)$.
- ▶ $b^\dagger = f^{\text{II}}(n) - e - 1$. How much Player II is short of $f^{\text{II}}(n)$.

A set A is **nice** if from $(n \bmod p, b, b^\dagger)$ and $a \in A$ you can determine what $(n - a \bmod p, b^{\dagger'}, b')$ you are in.

We assume A is nice.

The Magic Set X

$X \subseteq [p] \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is **WINNING** if:

I: For all $(i, b, b^\dagger) \in X$ if rm 1 get $(i', b^{\dagger'}, b')$ where EITHER

- ▶ $(i, b^{\dagger'}, b')$ is NOT in X .
- ▶ $b' < 0$ and $b^{\dagger'} > 0$.
- ▶ $b' < 0$ and $b^{\dagger'} < 0$ and $W_A(i') = \text{II}$.

II: For all $(i, b, b^\dagger) \notin X$, if rm $a \in A$ get $(i', b^{\dagger'}, b')$ then EITHER

- ▶ $(i', b^{\dagger'}, b') \in X$.
- ▶ $b^{\dagger'} < 0$ and $b' \geq 0$.
- ▶ $b^{\dagger'} < 0$ and $b' < 0$ and $W_A(i') = \text{I}$.

Middle Class Theorem

Theorem

Let A be a nice finite set. Assume there exists an p, X as above. Let $n, d, e \geq 0$. Assume that with $(n; d, e)$ both players are middle class. Let $b = f^I(n) - d - 1$ and $b^\dagger = f^{II}(n) - e - 1$.

$$W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = I \text{ iff } (n \bmod p; b, b^\dagger) \in X.$$

Example of a set X

If $A = \{1, 3, 4\}$ then the following set X works.

Take the union of the following sets of $(i; b, b^\dagger)$.

1. $i \in \{0, 2, 5\}$ and $b \leq \lfloor b^\dagger/2 \rfloor \times 2$.
2. $i \in \{1, 3, 6\}$ and $b^\dagger > \lfloor b/2 \rfloor \times 2$.
3. $i \in \{4\}$ and $b^\dagger \geq \lfloor b/2 \rfloor \times 2$.

Complete Theorem

Let A be a nice finite set. Let f^I, f^{II}, g^I, g^{II} be defined as above. Assume there exists an p, X as above. Let $n, d, e \geq 0$.

1. If $d \geq f^I(n)$ and $e < f^{II}(n)$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = I$.
2. If $d < f^I(n)$ and $e \geq f^{II}(n)$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = II$.
3. If $d \geq f^I(n)$ and $e \geq f^{II}(n)$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = W_A(n)$.
4. If $d \geq g^I(n)$ and $e < g^{II}(n)$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = I$.
5. If $d < g^I(n)$ and $e \geq g^{II}(n)$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = II$.
6. If $d < g^I(n)$ and $e < g^{II}(n)$ (both poor) then
 - 6.1 If $d > e$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = I$
 - 6.2 If $d = e$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = II$
 - 6.3 If $d < e$ then $W_A^{\text{cash}}(n; d, e) = II$
7. If none of the above hold then let $b = f^I(n) - d - 1$ and $b^\dagger = f^{II}(n) - e - 1$. Player I wins iff $(n \bmod p; b, b^\dagger) \in X$.

Upshot: Have covered **ALL** cases.

Conjecture about f^I, f^{II} for $A = \{L, \dots, M\}$

Conjecture 1: There is an offset Θ , depending on L, M such that

$$(\forall 0 \leq n \leq \Theta - 1) [f^I(n), f^{II}(n) \text{ some stuff}]$$

$$(\forall n \geq \Theta) [f^I(n + L + M) = f^I(n) + M]$$

$$(\forall n \geq \Theta) [f^{II}(n + L + M) = f^{II}(n) + M]$$

Conjecture 2: $\Theta \leq 5(M - L)^2 + 2$

Conjecture 3: If $M \geq 2L$ then $\Theta = 2(L + 1)$.

Conjecture about Magic X for $A = \{L, \dots, M\}$

Conjecture: The Magic set X for $A = \{L, \dots, M\}$ is (i, b, b^\dagger) such that:

- ▶ $0 \leq i < L + M$ and $b, b^\dagger \geq 0$
- ▶ if $i < L$ then $\lfloor \frac{b}{L} \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{b^\dagger}{L} \rfloor$
- ▶ If $L \leq i < 2L$ then $\lfloor \frac{b}{L} \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{b^\dagger - L}{L} \rfloor$
- ▶ If $2L \leq i < 3L$ then $\lfloor \frac{b}{L} \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{b^\dagger - 3L + i + 1}{L} \rfloor$
- ▶ If $i \geq 3L$ then $\lfloor \frac{b}{L} \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{b^\dagger}{L} \rfloor$

What Have We Done/What Can We Do

1. Have exact win conditions for
 - 1.1 $\{1, L\}$
 - 1.2 $\{1, L, L + 1\}$.
2. We have a program that on input A :
 - ▶ Outputs f^I, f^{II}, g^I, g^{II} (easy).
 - ▶ Outputs a conjecture for X (it has never been wrong).
3. For $A = \{L, \dots, M\}$ we have a conjecture that is surely true.

Future Directions

Conjectures:

1. Exists fast alg to find win cond for W_A^{cash} .
2. Exists alg to find win cond for W_A^{cash} .
3. Exists a win cond for W_A^{cash} .
4. The functions f^I and f^{II} are always some sort of mod pattern.